

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Expires: July 25, 2002

J. Galvin
eList eXpress LLC
January 24, 2002

IAB and IESG Selection, Confirmation, and Recall Process: Operation
of the Nominating and Recall Committees
draft-galvin-rfc2727bis-00.txt

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of [Section 10 of RFC2026](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on July 25, 2002.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2002). All Rights Reserved.

Abstract

The process by which the members of the IAB and IESG are selected, confirmed, and recalled is specified. This document is a self-consistent, organized compilation of the process as it was known at the time of publication.

Discussion of this Draft

Please direct all comments, suggestions, and questions regarding this draft to the following mailing list:

ietf-nomcom@lists.elistx.com

Internet-Draft

IAB and IESG Selection

January 2002

To subscribe to that mailing list you may send a message with the single word "subscribe" in the body to:

ietf-nomcom-request@lists.elistx.com

Or you may visit the web-based subscription manager at:

<<http://lists.elistx.com/subscribe>>

Internet-Draft

IAB and IESG Selection

January 2002

1. Introduction

This document is a revision of and supercedes [RFC2727](#). [1] It is a complete specification of the process by which members of the IAB and IESG are selected, confirmed, and recalled as of the date of its approval. However, these procedures are subject to change and such change takes effect immediately upon its approval, regardless of whether this document has yet been revised.

The following two assumptions continue to be true of this specification.

1. The Internet Research Task Force (IRTF) and Internet Research Steering Group (IRSG) are not a part of the process described here.
2. The organization (and re-organization) of the IESG is not a part of the process described here.

The time frames specified here use IETF meetings as a frame of reference. The time frames assume that the IETF meets three times per calendar year with approximately equal amounts of time between them. The meetings are referred to as the First IETF, Second IETF, or Third IETF as needed.

A sitting member of either the IAB or IESG is a person who is currently serving a term of membership in that committee.

The remainder of this document is divided into four major topics as follows.

General: This a set of rules and constraints that apply to the selection and confirmation process as a whole.

Nominating Committee Selection: This is the process by which

volunteers from the IETF community are recognized to serve on the committee that nominates candidates to serve on the IESG and IAB.

Nominating Committee Operation: This is the set of principles, rules, and constraints that guide the activities of the nominating committee, including the confirmation process.

Member Recall: This is the process by which the behavior of a sitting member of the IESG or IAB may be questioned, perhaps resulting in the removal of the sitting member.

A final section describes how this document differs from its predecessor: [RFC2727](#). [1]

Galvin

Expires July 25, 2002

[Page 3]

Internet-Draft

IAB and IESG Selection

January 2002

[2](#). General

The following set of rules apply to the selection and confirmation process as a whole. If necessary, a paragraph discussing the interpretation of each rule is included.

1. The principal functions of the nominating committee are to review the open IESG and IAB positions and to either nominate its incumbent or recruit a superior candidate.

The nominating committee does not select the open positions to be reviewed; it is instructed as to which positions to review.

At a minimum, the nominating committee will be given the title of the position to be reviewed. The nominating committee may be given a desirable set of qualifications for the candidate nominated to fill each position.

Incumbents must notify the nominating committee if they do not wish to be nominated.

The nominating committee does not confirm its candidates; it presents its candidates to the appropriate confirming body as indicated below.

2. The annual selection and confirmation process is expected to be completed within 3 months.

The annual selection and confirmation process is expected to be completed one month prior to the Friday of the week before the First IETF. It is expected to begin 4 months prior to the Friday of the week before the First IETF.

3. One-half of each of the then current IESG and IAB positions is selected to be reviewed each year.

The intent of this rule to ensure the review of approximately one-half of each of the sitting IESG and IAB members each year. It is recognized that circumstances may exist that will require the nominating committee to review more or less than one-half of the current positions, e.g., if the IESG or IAB have re-organized prior to this process and created new positions, or if there are an odd number of current positions.

4. Confirmed candidates are expected to serve at least a 2 year term.

The intent of this rule is to ensure that members of the IESG and

Galvin

Expires July 25, 2002

[Page 4]

Internet-Draft

IAB and IESG Selection

January 2002

IAB serve the number of years that best facilitates the review of one-half of the members each year.

It is consistent with this rule for the nominating committee to choose one or more of the currently open positions to which it may assign a term greater than 2 years in order to ensure the ideal application of this rule in the future.

It is consistent with this rule for the nominating committee to choose one or more of the currently open positions that share responsibilities with other positions (both those being reviewed and those sitting) to which it may assign a term greater than 2 years to ensure that all such members will not be reviewed at the same time.

All sitting member terms end during the First IETF meeting corresponding to the end of the term for which they were confirmed. All confirmed candidate terms begin during the First IETF meeting corresponding to the beginning of the term for which they were confirmed. Normally, the confirmed candidate's term begins when the currently sitting member's term ends on the last

day of the meeting. A term may begin or end no sooner than the first day of the meeting and no later than the last day of the meeting as determined by the mutual agreement of the currently sitting member and the confirmed candidate. The confirmed candidate's term may overlap the sitting member's term during the meeting as determined by their mutual agreement.

5. Mid-term vacancies are filled by the same rules as documented here with four qualifications. First, the most recently constituted nominating committee is reconvened to nominate a candidate to fill the vacancy. Second, the selection and confirmation process is expected to be completed within 1 month, with all other time periods otherwise unspecified prorated accordingly. Third, the confirming body has two weeks from the day it is notified of a candidate to reject the candidate, otherwise the candidate is assumed to have been confirmed. Fourth, the term of the confirmed candidate will be either:
 1. the remainder of the term of the open position if that remainder is not less than one year.
 2. the remainder of the term of the open position plus the next 2 year term if that remainder is less than one year.
6. All deliberations and supporting information that relate to specific nominees, candidates, and confirmed candidates are confidential.

The nominating committee and confirming body members will be exposed to confidential information as a result of their deliberations, their interactions with those they consult, and from those who provide requested supporting information. All members and all other participants are expected to handle this information in a manner consistent with its sensitivity.

It is consistent with this rule for current nominating committee members who have served on prior nominating committees to advise the current committee on deliberations and results of the prior committee, as necessary and appropriate.

7. The Chair is responsible for ensuring all information related to the selection and confirmation of candidates is archived with the Internet Society President upon completion of the nominating committee's responsibilities.

Information includes but is not limited to the archive of any electronic discussion forum used by the nominating committee, for example, a mailing list.

All electronic information should be collected and formatted as a single file using a popular application format, for example, zip or tar, to facilitate its management and storage.

Electronic information should be encrypted using a popular and generally well regarded security application. Encryption keys must be provided separately to the Internet Society President in a mutually agreed upon format.

8. Unless otherwise specified, the advise and consent model is used throughout the process. This model is characterized as follows.
 1. The IETF Executive Director advises the nominating committee of the IESG and IAB positions to be reviewed.
 2. The nominating committee selects candidates and advises the confirming bodies of them.
 3. The sitting IAB members review the IESG candidates, consenting to some, all, or none.

If all of the candidates are confirmed, the job of the nominating committee with respect to reviewing the open IESG positions is considered complete. If some or none of the candidates are confirmed, the nominating committee must reconvene to select alternate candidates for the rejected candidates. Any additional time required by the nominating committee should not exceed its maximum time allotment.

4. The Internet Society Board of Trustees reviews the IAB candidates, consenting to some, all, or none.

If all of the candidates are confirmed, the job of the nominating committee with respect to reviewing the open IAB positions is considered complete. If some or none of the candidates are confirmed, the nominating committee must reconvene to select alternate candidates for the rejected

candidates. Any additional time required by the nominating committee should not exceed its maximum time allotment.

5. The confirming bodies decide their consent according to a mechanism of their own choosing, which must ensure that at least one-half of the sitting members agree with the decision.

At least one-half of the sitting members of the confirming bodies must agree to either confirm or reject each individual nominee. The agreement must be decided within a reasonable timeframe. The agreement may be decided by conducting a formal vote, by asserting consensus based on informal exchanges (email), or by whatever mechanism is used to conduct the normal business of the confirming body.

The following set of rules apply to the creation of the nominating committee and the selection of its members.

1. The committee comprises at least a non-voting Chair, 10 voting volunteers, and 3 non-voting liaisons.

Any committee member may propose the addition of a non-voting advisor to participate in some or all of the deliberations of the committee. The addition must be approved by both the voting and non-voting members of the committee according to its established voting mechanism. Advisors participate as individuals.

Any committee member may propose the addition of a non-voting liaison from other unrepresented organizations to participate in some or all of the deliberations of the committee. The addition must be approved by both the voting and non-voting members of the committee according to its established voting mechanism. Liaisons participate as representatives of their respective organizations.

Advisors and liaisons must meet the usual requirements for membership in the nominating committee. In the case of liaisons the requirements apply to the organization not to the individual.

2. The Internet Society President appoints the non-voting Chair, who must meet the usual requirements for membership in the nominating committee.

The nominating committee Chair must agree to invest the time necessary to ensure that the nominating committee completes its assigned duties and to perform in the best interests of the IETF community in that role.

The appointment must be completed no later than the Second IETF meeting to ensure it can be announced during a plenary session at that meeting as determined by the IETF secretariat. This ensures the Chair has approximately two months to conduct the selection process for the nominating committee members and approximately one month to organize one or more initial meetings of the nominating committee prior to the Third IETF meeting.

3. The Chair obtains the list of IESG and IAB positions to be reviewed and publishes it along with a solicitation for names of volunteers from the IETF community willing to serve on the nominating committee.

The list of open positions is published with the solicitation to facilitate community members choosing between volunteering for an open position and volunteering for the nominating committee.

The opportunity to volunteer must be available for at least one month. The opportunity to volunteer must end no later than one week prior to the earliest time at which the selection process may begin.

The list and solicitation must be publicized using at least the same mechanism used by the IETF secretariat for its announcements.

4. Members of the IETF community must have attended at least 2 of the last 3 IETF meetings in order to volunteer.

The 3 meetings are the three most recent meetings that ended prior to the date on which the solicitation for nominating committee volunteers was submitted for distribution to the IETF community.

5. Internet Society Board of Trustees, sitting members of the IAB, and sitting members of the IESG may not volunteer.
6. The Chair announces an enumerated list of the pool of volunteers from which the 10 voting volunteers will be randomly selected.

The announcement must be made at least one week prior to the earliest time the selection process may begin.

The announcement must be made using at least the same mechanism used by the IETF secretariat for its announcements.

The enumerated list should be announced incrementally to ensure the pool of volunteers is unbiased. The enumeration is immutable such that if a volunteer withdraws or is otherwise ineligible to be selected, that slot is left blank and is skipped during the execution of the selection process.

7. The Chair randomly selects the 10 voting volunteers from the pool of names of volunteers using a method that can be independently verified to be unbiased and fair.

A method is fair if each eligible volunteer is equally likely to be selected. A method is unbiased if no one can influence its outcome in favor of a specific outcome.

The method must include an announcement of an enumerated list of

the pool of names together with the specific algorithm for how names will be chosen from the list.

The method must depend on random data whose value is not known at the time the list and algorithm are announced and can not be known for at least one week from the time of the announcement.

As soon as the random data is available it must be possible for anyone with access to it to execute the method and confirm that the correct 10 voting volunteers were selected.

If a selected volunteer is unable to complete their commitment for any reason, it must be possible to iterate the method and randomly select the next eligible volunteer in turn.

One possible method is described in [RFC2777](#). [2]

All announcements must be made using at least the mechanism used by the IETF secretariat for its announcements.

8. The sitting IAB and IESG members each appoint a non-voting liaison to the nominating committee from their current membership who are not sitting in an open position.
9. The Chair of the prior year's nominating committee serves as a non-voting liaison.

The prior year's Chair may select a designee from a pool composed of the voting members of the prior year's committee and all prior Chairs if the Chair is unavailable. If the prior year's Chair is unavailable and is unable or unwilling to make such a designation in a timely fashion, the Chair of the current committee may do so.

Selecting a prior year's committee member as the designee permits the experience of the prior year's deliberations to be readily available to the current committee. Selecting an earlier prior year Chair as the designee permits the experience of being a Chair as well as that Chair's committee deliberations to be

readily available to the current committee.

Galvin

Expires July 25, 2002

[Page 10]

Internet-Draft

IAB and IESG Selection

January 2002

[4.](#) Nominating Committee Operation

The following rules apply to the operation of the nominating committee. If necessary, a paragraph discussing the interpretation of each rule is included.

The rules are organized approximately in the order in which they would be invoked.

The term nominee refers to an individual under consideration by the nominating committee. The term candidate refers to a nominee that has been selected by the nominating committee to be considered for confirmation by a confirming body. A confirmed candidate is a candidate that has been reviewed and approved by a confirming body.

1. All rules and special circumstances not otherwise specified are at the discretion of the committee.

Exceptional circumstances will occasionally arise during the normal operation of the nominating committee. This rule is intended to foster the continued forward progress of the committee.

Any member of the committee may propose a rule for adoption by the committee. The rule must be approved by both the voting and non-voting members of the committee according to its established voting mechanism.

All members of the committee should consider whether the exception is worthy of mention in the next revision of this document and followup accordingly.

2. The Chair must establish and publicize milestones, which must include at least a call for nominations.

There is a defined time period during which the selection and confirmation process must be completed. The Chair must establish a set of milestones which, if met in a timely fashion, will result in the completion of the process on time. The Chair should allow time for iterating the activities of the committee if one or more candidates is not confirmed.

The milestones must be publicized using at least the same mechanism used by the IETF secretariat for its announcements.

3. The Chair must establish a voting mechanism.

The committee must be able to objectively determine when a

Galvin

Expires July 25, 2002

[Page 11]

Internet-Draft

IAB and IESG Selection

January 2002

decision has been made during its deliberations. The criteria for determining closure must be established and known to all members of the nominating committee.

4. At least a quorum of committee members must participate in a vote. A quorum comprises at least 7 voting members.
5. The Chair may establish a process by which a member of the nominating committee may be recalled.

The process, if established, must be agreed to by a 3/4 majority of the members of the nominating committee, including the non-voting members since they would be subject to the same process.

6. All members of the nominating committee may participate in all deliberations.

The emphasis of this rule is that no member, whether voting or non-voting, can be explicitly excluded from any deliberation. However, a member may individually choose not to participate in a deliberation.

7. The Chair announces the open positions to be reviewed and the call for nominees.

The call for nominees must include a request for comments regarding the past performance of incumbents, which will be considered during the deliberations of the nominating committee.

The announcements must be publicized using at least the same mechanism used by the IETF secretariat for its announcements.

8. Any member of the IETF community may nominate any member of the IETF community for any open position.

A self-nomination is permitted.

9. Nominating committee members must not be nominees.

To be a nominee is to enter the process of being selected as a candidate and confirmed. Nominating committee members are not eligible to be considered for filling any open position. They become ineligible as soon as their role is announced to the IETF community and they remain ineligible for the duration of this nominating committee's term.

10. Members of the IETF community who were recalled from any IESG or IAB position during the previous two years must not be nominees.

Galvin

Expires July 25, 2002

[Page 12]

Internet-Draft

IAB and IESG Selection

January 2002

11. The nominating committee selects candidates based on its understanding of the IETF community's consensus of the qualifications required to fill the open positions.

The intent of this rule is to ensure that the nominating committee consults with a broad base of the IETF community for input to its deliberations.

The consultations are permitted to include a slate of nominees, if all parties to the consultation agree to observe customary and reasonable rules of confidentiality.

A broad base of the community should include the existing members of the IAB and IESG, especially sitting members who share responsibilities with open positions, e.g., co-Area Directors.

12. Nominees should be advised that they are being considered and

must consent to their nomination prior to being confirmed.

The nominating committee should help nominees provide justification to their employers.

A nominee's consent must be written (email is acceptable) and include a commitment to provide the resources necessary to fill the open position and an assurance that the nominee will perform the duties of the position for which they are being considered in the best interests of the IETF community.

13. A candidate may not be confirmed to serve for both an IESG and an IAB position concurrently.

A nominee may be a sitting member of either the IESG or the IAB and confirmed for an alternate position in either the IESG or the IAB.

If the term of the sitting role does not end coincident with the start of the term of the new role, the confirmed candidate must choose exactly one role.

14. The nominating committee advises the confirming bodies of their candidates, specifying a single candidate for each open position and a testament as to how each candidate meets the qualifications of an open position.

The testament may include a brief resume of the candidate and a summary of the deliberations of the nominating committee.

15. With respect to any action to be taken in the context of notifying and announcing confirmed candidates, and notifying rejected nominees and candidates, the action must be valid according to all of the rules specified below prior to its execution.
 1. Up until a candidate is confirmed, the identity of the candidate must be kept confidential.
 2. The identity of all nominees must be kept confidential (except that the nominee may publicize their intentions).

3. Rejected nominees may be notified as soon as they are rejected.
4. Rejected candidates may be notified as soon as they are rejected.
5. Rejected nominees and candidates must be notified prior to announcing confirmed candidates.
6. Confirmed candidates may be notified and announced as soon as they are confirmed.

It is consistent with these rules for a nominee to never know if they were a candidate or not.

It is consistent with these rules for some nominees to be rejected early in the process and for some nominees to be kept as alternates in case a candidate is rejected by a confirming body. In the matter of whether a confirmed candidate was a first choice or an alternate, that information need not ever be disclosed and, in fact, probably never should be.

It is consistent with these rules for confirmed candidates to be notified and announced as quickly as possible instead of requiring all confirmed candidates to wait until all open positions have been reviewed.

When consulting with individual members of the IETF community, if all parties to the consultation agree to observe customary and reasonable rules of confidentiality the consultations are permitted to include a slate of nominees.

The announcements must be publicized using at least the same mechanism used by the IETF secretariat for its

5. Member Recall

The following rules apply to the recall process. If necessary, a paragraph discussing the interpretation of each rule is included.

1. Anyone may request the recall of any sitting IAB or IESG member, at any time, upon written (email is acceptable) request with justification to the Internet Society President.
2. Internet Society President shall appoint a Recall Committee Chair.

The Internet Society President must not evaluate the recall request. It is explicitly the responsibility of the IETF community to evaluate the behavior of its leaders.

3. The recall committee is created according to the same rules as is the nominating committee with the qualifications that the person being investigated and the person requesting the recall must not be a member of the recall committee in any capacity.
4. The recall committee operates according to the same rules as the nominating committee with the qualification that there is no confirmation process.
5. The recall committee investigates the circumstances of the justification for the recall and votes on its findings.

The investigation must include at least both an opportunity for the member being recalled to present a written statement and consultation with third parties.

6. A 3/4 majority of the members who vote on the question is required for a recall.
7. If a sitting member is recalled the open position is to be filled according to the mid-term vacancy rules.

Internet-Draft

IAB and IESG Selection

January 2002

6. Changes From [RFC2727](#)

Editorial changes are not described here, only substantive changes. They are listed here in the order in which they appear in the document.

1. A definition for "sitting member" was added.
2. An information retention policy was added requiring the Chair to submit a collection of all information related to the operation of and execution of the responsibilities of the nominating committee to the Internet Society President for long-term storage. Previous Chairs have done this so the addition is just a formalization of existing practice.
3. A timeframe for the appointment of the Chair was added. The timeframe could always be inferred from the text but to avoid confusion it is now explicitly stated.
4. Some explicit guidance was added regarding the timeframe for volunteering to serve on the nominating committee.
5. Some explicit guidance was added to the process of selecting the nominating committee members. Among other things it must be possible to iterate the selection method more than just 10 times to ensure that unavailable or ineligible volunteers can be replaced.
6. It is now explicitly stated that no person may serve on both the IESG and the IAB concurrently.

[7.](#) Acknowledgements

There have been a number of people involved with the development of this document over the years. A great deal of credit goes to the first three Nominating Committee Chairs:

1993 - Jeff Case

1994 - Fred Baker

1995 - John Curran

who had the pleasure of operating without the benefit of a documented process. It was their fine work and oral tradition that became the first version of this document. Of course we can not overlook the bug discovery burden that each of the Chairs since the first publication have had to endure:

1996 - Guy Almes

1997 - Geoff Houston

1998 - Mike St. Johns

1999 - Donald Eastlake

2000 - Avia Doria

2001 - Ted T'so

Of course the bulk of the credit goes to the members of the POISSON Working Group, previously the POISED Working Group. The prose here would not be what it is were it not for the attentive and insightful

review of its members. Specific acknowledgement must be extended to Scott Bradner and John Klensin, who have consistently contributed to the improvement of this document throughout its evolution.

Galvin

Expires July 25, 2002

[Page 18]

Internet-Draft

IAB and IESG Selection

January 2002

[8.](#) Security Considerations

Any selection, confirmation, or recall process necessarily involves investigation into the qualifications and activities of prospective candidates. The investigation may reveal confidential or otherwise private information about candidates to those participating in the process. Each person who participates in any aspect of the process has a responsibility to maintain the confidentiality of any and all information not explicitly identified as suitable for public dissemination.

References

- [1] Galvin, J., "IAB and IESG Selection, Confirmation, and Recall Process: Operation of the Nominating and Recall Committees", [BCP 10](#), [RFC 2727](#), February 2000.
- [2] Eastlake, D., "Publicly Verifiable Nomcom Random Selection", [RFC 2777](#), February 2000.

Author's Address

James M. Galvin
eList eXpress LLC
607 Trixsam Road
Sykesville, MD 21784
US

Phone: +1 410-549-4619

Fax: +1 410-795-7978
EMail: galvin+ietf@elistx.com
URI: <http://www.elistx.com/>

Galvin

Expires July 25, 2002

[Page 20]

Internet-Draft

IAB and IESG Selection

January 2002

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2002). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other

Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Acknowledgement

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.