

WG Working Group  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Informational  
Expires: 12 May 2023

S. Fluhrer  
Cisco Systems  
S. Gazdag  
genua GmbH  
D. V. Geest  
ISARA Corporation  
S. Kousidis  
BSI  
8 November 2022

Algorithm Identifiers for Hash-based Signatures for Use in the Internet  
X.509 Public Key Infrastructure  
[draft-gazdag-x509-hash-sigs-00](#)

## Abstract

This document specifies algorithm identifiers and ASN.1 encoding formats for the Hash-Based Signature (HBS) schemes Hierarchical Signature System (HSS), eXtended Merkle Signature Scheme (XMSS), and XMSS<sup>MT</sup>, a multi-tree variant of XMSS, as well as SPHINCS+, the latter being the only stateless scheme. This specification applies to the Internet X.509 Public Key infrastructure (PKI) when digital signatures are used to sign certificates and certificate revocation lists (CRLs).

## About This Document

This note is to be removed before publishing as an RFC.

The latest revision of this draft can be found at <https://example.com/LATEST>. Status information for this document may be found at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-gazdag-x509-hash-sigs/>.

Discussion of this document takes place on the WG Working Group mailing list (<mailto:WG@example.com>), which is archived at <https://example.com/WG>.

Source for this draft and an issue tracker can be found at <https://github.com/fluppe2/x509-hash-sigs>.

## Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 12 May 2023.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2022 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the [Trust Legal Provisions](#) and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

1. Introduction
  2. Conventions and Definitions
  3. Subject Public Key Algorithms
    - 3.1. HSS Public Keys
    - 3.2. XMSS Public Keys
    - 3.3. XMSS<sup>MT</sup> Public Keys
    - 3.4. SPHINCS+ Public Keys
  4. Key Usage Bits
  5. Signature Algorithms
    - 5.1. HSS Signature Algorithm
    - 5.2. XMSS Signature Algorithm
    - 5.3. XMSS<sup>MT</sup> Signature Algorithm
    - 5.4. SPHINCS+ Signature Algorithm
  6. ASN.1 Module
  7. Security Considerations
    - 7.1. Algorithm Security Considerations
    - 7.2. Implementation Security Considerations
  8. IANA Considerations
  9. References
    - 9.1. Normative References
    - 9.2. Informative References
- Acknowledgments  
Authors' Addresses

## 1. Introduction

Hash-Based Signature (HBS) Schemes combine Merkle trees with One/Few Time Signatures (OTS/FTS) in order to provide digital signature schemes that remain secure even when quantum computers become available. Their security is well understood and depends only on the security of the underlying hash function. As such they can serve as an important building block for quantum computer resistant information and communication technology.

The private key of HSS, XMSS and XMSS<sup>MT</sup> is a finite collection of OTS keys, hence only a limited number of messages can be signed and the private key's state must be updated and persisted after signing

to prevent reuse of OTS keys. Due to this statefulness of the private key and the limited number of signatures that can be created, these signature algorithms might not be appropriate for use in interactive protocols. While the right selection of algorithm parameters would allow a private key to sign a virtually unbounded number of messages (e.g.  $2^{60}$ ), this is at the cost of a larger signature size and longer signing time. Since these algorithms are already known to be secure against quantum attacks, and because roots of trust are generally long-lived and can take longer to be deployed than end-entity certificates, these signature algorithms are more appropriate to be used in root and subordinate CA certificates. They are also appropriate in non-interactive contexts such as code signing. In particular, there are multi-party IoT ecosystems where publicly trusted code signing certificates are useful.

The private key of SPHINCS+ is a finite but very large collection of FTS keys and hence stateless. This typically comes at the cost of larger signatures compared to the stateful HBS variants. Thus SPHINCS+ is suitable for more use-cases if the signature sizes fit the requirements.

## **2. Conventions and Definitions**

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [BCP 14](#) [[RFC2119](#)] [[RFC8174](#)] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

The parameter 'n' is the security parameter, given in bytes. In practice this is typically aligned to the standard output length of the hash function in use, either 32 or 64 bytes. The height of a single tree is typically given by the parameter 'h'. The number of levels of trees is either called 'L' (HSS) or 'd' (XMSS<sup>AMT</sup>, SPHINCS+).

## **3. Subject Public Key Algorithms**

Certificates conforming to [[RFC5280](#)] can convey a public key for any public key algorithm. The certificate indicates the algorithm through an algorithm identifier. An algorithm identifier consists of an OID and optional parameters.

In this document, we define new OIDs for identifying the different hash-based signature algorithms. An additional OID is defined in [[RFC8708](#)] and repeated here for convenience. For all of the OIDs, the parameters MUST be absent.

### **3.1. HSS Public Keys**

The object identifier and public key algorithm identifier for HSS is defined in [[RFC8708](#)]. The definitions are repeated here for reference.

The object identifier for an HSS public key is "id-alg-hss-lms-hashsig":

```
id-alg-hss-lms-hashsig OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { iso(1)
  member-body(2) us(840) rsadsi(113549) pkcs(1) pkcs9(9)
  smime(16) alg(3) 17 }
```

Note that the "id-alg-hss-lms-hashsig" algorithm identifier is also referred to as "id-alg-mts-hashsig". This synonym is based on the terminology used in an early draft of the document that became [\[RFC8554\]](#).

The HSS public key's properties are defined as follows:

```
pk-HSS-HashSig PUBLIC-KEY ::= {
  IDENTIFIER id-alg-hss-lms-hashsig
  KEY HSS-LMS-HashSig-PublicKey
  PARAMS ARE absent
  CERT-KEY-USAGE { digitalSignature, nonRepudiation, keyCertSign,
cRLSign }
}
```

The HSS public key is defined as follows:

```
HSS-HashSig-PublicKey ::= SEQUENCE {
  levels      OCTET STRING, -- number of levels L
  tree        OCTET STRING, -- typecode of top-level LMS tree
  ots         OCTET STRING, -- typecode of top-level LM-OTS
  identifier  OCTET STRING, -- identifier I of top-level LMS key pair
  root        OCTET STRING -- root T[1] of top-level tree
}
```

See [\[RFC8554\]](#) for more information on the contents and format of an HSS public key. Note that the single-tree signature scheme LMS is instantiated as HSS with level L=1.

### **3.2. XMSS Public Keys**

The object identifier for an XMSS public key is id-alg-xmss-hashsig:

```
id-alg-xmss-hashsig OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { itu-t(0)
  identified-organization(4) etsi(0) reserved(127)
  etsi-identified-organization(0) isara(15) algorithms(1)
  asymmetric(1) xmss(13) 0 }
```

The XMSS public key's properties are defined as follows:

```
pk-XMSS-HashSig PUBLIC-KEY ::= {
  IDENTIFIER id-alg-xmssi-hashsig
  KEY XMSS-PublicKey
  PARAMS ARE absent
  CERT-KEY-USAGE
    { digitalSignature, nonRepudiation, keyCertSign, cRLSign } }
```

The XMSS public key is defined as follows:

```
XMSS-HashSig-PublicKey ::= SEQUENCE {
  type      OCTET STRING, -- XMSS algorithm type
```

```

    seed      OCTET STRING, -- bitmask seed
    root      OCTET STRING  -- root of the single-tree
}

```

See [\[RFC8391\]](#) for more information on the contents and format of an XMSS public key.

### **3.3. XMSS<sup>AMT</sup> Public Keys**

The object identifier for an XMSS<sup>AMT</sup> public key is id-alg-xmssmt-hashsig:

```

id-alg-xmssmt-hashsig OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { itu-t(0)
  identified-organization(4) etsi(0) reserved(127)
  etsi-identified-organization(0) isara(15) algorithms(1)
  asymmetric(1) xmssmt(14) 0 }

```

The XMSS<sup>AMT</sup> public key's properties are defined as follows:

```

pk-XMSSMT-HashSig PUBLIC-KEY ::= {
  IDENTIFIER id-alg-xmssmt-hashsig
  KEY XMSSMT-PublicKey
  PARAMS ARE absent
  CERT-KEY-USAGE
  { digitalSignature, nonRepudiation, keyCertSign, cRLSign } }

```

The XMSS<sup>AMT</sup> public key is defined as follows:

```

XMSSMT-HashSig-PublicKey ::= SEQUENCE {
  type      OCTET STRING, -- XMSSAMT algorithm type
  seed      OCTET STRING, -- bitmask seed
  root      OCTET STRING  -- root of top-level tree
}

```

See [\[RFC8391\]](#) for more information on the contents and format of an XMSS<sup>AMT</sup> public key.

### **3.4. SPHINCS+ Public Keys**

The object identifier for a SPHINCS+ public key is id-alg-sphincsplus-hashsig:

```

id-alg-sphincsplus-hashsig OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { TBD }

```

The SPHINCS+ public key's properties are defined as follows:

```

pk-SPHINCSPLUS-HashSig PUBLIC-KEY ::= {
  IDENTIFIER id-alg-sphincsplus-hashsig
  KEY SPHINCSPLUS-PublicKey
  PARAMS ARE absent
  CERT-KEY-USAGE
  { digitalSignature, nonRepudiation, keyCertSign, cRLSign } }

```

```

SPHINCSPLUS-HashSig-PublicKey ::= OCTET STRING

```

The SPHINCS+ public key is defined as follows:

```
XMSSMT-PublicKey ::= SEQUENCE {
    type      OCTET STRING, -- SPHINCS+ algorithm type
    seed      OCTET STRING, -- bitmask seed
    root      OCTET STRING  -- root of top-level tree
}
```

[SPHINCSPLUS] contains more information on the contents and format of a SPHINCS+ public key.

#### **4. Key Usage Bits**

The intended application for the key is indicated in the keyUsage certificate extension.

If the keyUsage extension is present in an end-entity certificate that indicates id-alg-xmss-hashsig or id-alg-xmssmt-hashsig in SubjectPublicKeyInfo, then the keyUsage extension MUST contain one or both of the following values:

```
nonRepudiation; and
digitalSignature.
```

If the keyUsage extension is present in a certification authority certificate that indicates id-alg-xmss-hashsig or id-alg-xmssmt-hashsig, then the keyUsage extension MUST contain one or more of the following values:

```
nonRepudiation;
digitalSignature;
keyCertSign; and
cRLSign.
```

[RFC8708] defines the key usage for id-alg-hss-lms-hashsig, which is the same as for the keys above.

#### **5. Signature Algorithms**

This section identifies OIDs for signing using HSS, XMSS, XMSS<sup>AMT</sup>, and SPHINCS+. When these algorithm identifiers appear in the algorithm field as an AlgorithmIdentifier, the encoding MUST omit the parameters field. That is, the AlgorithmIdentifier SHALL be a SEQUENCE of one component, one of the OIDs defined below.

The data to be signed is prepared for signing. For the algorithms used in this document, the data is signed directly by the signature algorithm, the data is not hashed before processing. Then, a private key operation is performed to generate the signature value. For HSS, the signature value is described in [section 6.4 of \[RFC8554\]](#). For XMSS and XMSS<sup>AMT</sup> the signature values are described in sections B.2 and C.2 of [\[RFC8391\]](#), respectively. The octet string representing the signature is encoded directly in the BIT STRING without adding any additional ASN.1 wrapping. For the Certificate and CertificateList structures, the signature value is wrapped in the "signatureValue" BIT STRING field.

### **5.1. HSS Signature Algorithm**

The HSS public key OID is also used to specify that an HSS signature was generated on the full message, i.e. the message was not hashed before being processed by the HSS signature algorithm.

```
id-alg-hss-lms-hashsig OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { iso(1)
  member-body(2) us(840) rsadsi(113549) pkcs(1) pkcs9(9)
  smime(16) alg(3) 17 }
```

The HSS signature is defined as follows:

```
HSS-HashSig-PublicKey ::= SEQUENCE {
  nspk          OCTET STRING, -- number of signed public keys
  signed_pub_keys OCTET STRING, -- nspk many LMS signed public keys
  signed_msg     OCTET STRING, -- an LMS signature of the message
}
```

Note that the number of signed public keys nspk equals L-1 where L denotes the number of levels. [RFC8391] contains more information on the contents and format of an HSS signature.

### **5.2. XMSS Signature Algorithm**

The XMSS public key OID is also used to specify that an XMSS signature was generated on the full message, i.e. the message was not hashed before being processed by the XMSS signature algorithm.

```
id-alg-xmss-hashsig OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { itu-t(0)
  identified-organization(4) etsi(0) reserved(127)
  etsi-identified-organization(0) isara(15) algorithms(1)
  asymmetric(1) xmss(13) 0 }
```

The XMSS signature is defined as follows:

```
XMSS-HashSig-Signature ::= SEQUENCE {
  index          OCTET STRING, -- index of the signature
  randomness     OCTET STRING, -- a randomization string
  wots_sig       OCTET STRING, -- a WOTS+ signature
  auth_path      OCTET STRING, -- authentication path
}
```

auth\_path consists of h (being the height of the tree) nodes.

The format of an XMSS signature is formally defined using XDR [RFC4506] and is defined in [Appendix B.2 of \[RFC8391\]](#).

### **5.3. XMSS<sup>MT</sup> Signature Algorithm**

The XMSS<sup>MT</sup> public key OID is also used to specify that an XMSS<sup>MT</sup> signature was generated on the full message, i.e. the message was not hashed before being processed by the XMSS<sup>MT</sup> signature algorithm.

```
id-alg-xmssmt-hashsig OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { itu-t(0)
  identified-organization(4) etsi(0) reserved(127)
  etsi-identified-organization(0) isara(15) algorithms(1)
```

```
asymmetric(1) xmssmt(14) 0 }
```

The XMSS<sup>AMT</sup> signature is defined as follows:

```
XMSSMT-HashSig-Signature ::= SEQUENCE {  
    index      OCTET STRING, -- index of the signature  
    randomness OCTET STRING, -- a randomization string  
    xmss_sigs  OCTET STRING, -- d reduced XMSS signatures  
}
```

xmss\_sigs consists of d (being the number levels) XMSS signatures in reduced form. Reduced form means that each XMSS signature contains only a WOTS+ signature and an authentication path, but no index and no randomization string.

The format of an XMSS<sup>AMT</sup> signature is formally defined using XDR [[RFC4506](#)] and is defined in [Appendix C.2 of \[RFC8391\]](#).

#### **5.4. SPHINCS+ Signature Algorithm**

The SPHINCS+ public key OID is also used to specify that an SPHINCS+ signature was generated on the full message, i.e. the message was not hashed before being processed by the SPHINCS+ signature algorithm.

```
id-alg-sphincsplus-hashsig OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { TBD }
```

The SPHINCS+ signature is defined as follows:

```
SPHINCSPLUS-HashSig-Signature ::= SEQUENCE {  
    randomness OCTET STRING, -- a randomization string  
    fors_sig   OCTET STRING, -- a FORS signature  
    ht_sig     OCTET STRING, -- an HT signature  
}
```

fors\_sig consists of k private key values and their associated authentication paths, while ht\_sig consists of d (being the number of levels) XMSS signatures.

[SPHINCS] contains more information on the contents and format of a SPHINCS+ signature.

#### **6. ASN.1 Module**

For reference purposes, the ASN.1 syntax is presented as an ASN.1 module here.

```
-- ASN.1 Module
```

```
Hashsigs-pkix-0 -- TBD - IANA assigned module OID
```

```
DEFINITIONS EXPLICIT TAGS ::= BEGIN
```

```
IMPORTS PUBLIC-KEY, SIGNATURE-ALGORITHM FROM  
AlgorithmInformation-2009 {iso(1) identified-organization(3) dod(6)  
internet(1) security(5) mechanisms(5) pkix(7) id-mod(0) id-mod-  
algorithmInformation-02(58)} ;
```



```

-- Object Identifiers

-- -- id-alg-hss-lms-hashsig is defined in [ietf-lamps-cms-hash-sig]
-- -- id-alg-hss-lms-hashsig OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { iso(1) --
member-body(2) us(840) rsadsi(113549) pkcs(1) pkcs9(9) -- smime(16)
alg(3) 17 }

id-alg-xmss-hashsig OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { itu-t(0) identified-
organization(4) etsi(0) reserved(127) etsi-identified-organization(0)
isara(15) algorithms(1) asymmetric(1) xmss(13) 0 }

id-alg-xmssmt-hashsig OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { itu-t(0) identified-
organization(4) etsi(0) reserved(127) etsi-identified-organization(0)
isara(15) algorithms(1) asymmetric(1) xmssmt(14) 0 }

id-alg-sphincsplus-hashsig OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { TBD }

-- Signature Algorithms and Public Keys

-- -- sa-HSS-LMS-HashSig is defined in [RFC8708] -- -- sa-HSS-LMS-
HashSig SIGNATURE-ALGORITHM ::= { -- IDENTIFIER id-alg-hss-lms-
hashsig -- PARAMS ARE absent -- PUBLIC-KEYS { pk-HSS-LMS-HashSig } --
SMIME-CAPS { IDENTIFIED BY id-alg-hss-lms-hashsig } }

-- -- pk-HSS-LMS-HashSig is defined in [RFC8708] -- -- pk-HSS-LMS-
HashSig PUBLIC-KEY ::= { -- IDENTIFIER id-alg-hss-lms-hashsig -- KEY
HSS-LMS-HashSig-PublicKey -- PARAMS ARE absent -- CERT-KEY-USAGE -- {
digitalSignature, nonRepudiation, keyCertSign, cRLSign } } -- -- HSS-
LMS-HashSig-PublicKey ::= OCTET STRING

sa-XMSS SIGNATURE-ALGORITHM ::= { IDENTIFIER id-alg-xmss-hashsig
PARAMS ARE absent PUBLIC-KEYS { pk-XMSS } SMIME-CAPS { IDENTIFIED BY
id-alg-xmss-hashsig } }

pk-XMSS PUBLIC-KEY ::= { IDENTIFIER id-alg-xmss-hashsig KEY XMSS-
PublicKey PARAMS ARE absent CERT-KEY-USAGE { digitalSignature,
nonRepudiation, keyCertSign, cRLSign } }

XMSS-PublicKey ::= OCTET STRING

sa-XMSSMT SIGNATURE-ALGORITHM ::= { IDENTIFIER id-alg-xmssmt-hashsig
PARAMS ARE absent PUBLIC-KEYS { pk-XMSSMT } SMIME-CAPS { IDENTIFIED
BY id-alg-xmssmt-hashsig } }

pk-XMSSMT PUBLIC-KEY ::= { IDENTIFIER id-alg-xmssmt-hashsig KEY
XMSSMT-PublicKey PARAMS ARE absent CERT-KEY-USAGE { digitalSignature,
nonRepudiation, keyCertSign, cRLSign } }

XMSSMT-PublicKey ::= OCTET STRING

sa-SPHINCSPPLUS SIGNATURE-ALGORITHM ::= { IDENTIFIER id-alg-
sphincsplus-hashsig PARAMS ARE absent PUBLIC-KEYS { pk-SPHINCSPPLUS }
SMIME-CAPS { IDENTIFIED BY id-alg-sphincsplus-hashsig } }

pk-SPHINCSPPLUS PUBLIC-KEY ::= { IDENTIFIER id-alg-sphincsplus-hashsig

```

```
KEY SPHINCSPUBLIC-PublicKey PARAMS ARE absent CERT-KEY-USAGE {
digitalSignature, nonRepudiation, keyCertSign, cRLSign } }
```

```
SPHINCSPUBLIC-PublicKey ::= OCTET STRING
```

```
END
```

## **7. Security Considerations**

### **7.1. Algorithm Security Considerations**

The cryptographic security of the signatures generated by the algorithms mentioned in this document depends only on the hash algorithms used within the signature algorithms and the pre-hash algorithm used to create an X.509 certificate's message digest. Grover's algorithm [Grover96] is a quantum search algorithm which gives a quadratic improvement in search time to brute-force pre-image attacks. The results of [BBBV97] show that this improvement is optimal, however [Fluhrer17] notes that Grover's algorithm doesn't parallelize well. Thus, given a bounded amount of time to perform the attack and using a conservative estimate of the performance of a real quantum computer, the pre-image quantum security of SHA-256 is closer to 190 bits. All parameter sets for the signature algorithms in this document currently use SHA-256 internally and thus have at least 128 bits of quantum pre-image resistance, or 190 bits using the security assumptions in [Fluhrer17].

[Zhandry15] shows that hash collisions can be found using an algorithm with a lower bound on the number of oracle queries on the order of  $2^{(n/3)}$  on the number of bits, however [DJB09] demonstrates that the quantum memory requirements would be much greater. Therefore a parameter set using SHA-256 would have at least 128 bits of quantum collision-resistance as well as the pre-image resistance mentioned in the previous paragraph.

Given the quantum collision and pre-image resistance of SHA-256 estimated above, the current parameter sets used by id-alg-hss-lms-hashsig, id-alg-xmss-hashsig and id-alg-xmssmt-hashsig provide 128 bits or more of quantum security. This is believed to be secure enough to protect X.509 certificates for well beyond any reasonable certificate lifetime.

### **7.2. Implementation Security Considerations**

Implementations MUST protect the private keys. Compromise of the private keys may result in the ability to forge signatures. Along with the private key, the implementation MUST keep track of which leaf nodes in the tree have been used. Loss of integrity of this tracking data can cause a one-time key to be used more than once. As a result, when a private key and the tracking data are stored on non-volatile media or stored in a virtual machine environment, care must be taken to preserve confidentiality and integrity.

The generation of private keys relies on random numbers. The use of inadequate pseudo-random number generators (PRNGs) to generate these values can result in little or no security. An attacker may find it

much easier to reproduce the PRNG environment that produced the keys, searching the resulting small set of possibilities, rather than brute force searching the whole key space. The generation of quality random numbers is difficult. [[RFC4086](#)] offers important guidance in this area.

The generation of hash-based signatures also depends on random numbers. While the consequences of an inadequate pseudo-random number generator (PRNGs) to generate these values is much less severe than the generation of private keys, the guidance in [[RFC4086](#)] remains important.

## **8. IANA Considerations**

IANA is requested to assign a module OID from the "SMI for PKIX Module Identifier" registry for the ASN.1 module in [Section 6](#).

## **9. References**

### **9.1. Normative References**

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://doi.org/10.17487/RFC2119>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in [RFC 2119](#) Key Words", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 8174](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://doi.org/10.17487/RFC8174>>.

### **9.2. Informative References**

- [RFC8391] Huelsing, A., Butin, D., Gazdag, S., Rijnveld, J., and A. Mohaisen, "XMSS: eXtended Merkle Signature Scheme", [RFC 8391](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8391, May 2018, <<https://doi.org/10.17487/RFC8391>>.
- [RFC8554] McGrew, D., Curcio, M., and S. Fluhrer, "Leighton-Micali Hash-Based Signatures", [RFC 8554](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8554, April 2019, <<https://doi.org/10.17487/RFC8554>>.
- [RFC8708] Housley, R., "Use of the HSS/LMS Hash-Based Signature Algorithm in the Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS)", [RFC 8708](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8708, February 2020, <<https://doi.org/10.17487/RFC8708>>.

## Acknowledgments

Thanks for Russ Housley for the helpful suggestions.

This document uses a lot of text from similar documents ([[RFC3279](#)] and [[RFC8410](#)]) as well as [[RFC8708](#)]. Thanks go to the authors of those documents. "Copying always makes things easier and less error prone" - [[RFC8411](#)].

## Authors' Addresses

Scott Fluhrer  
Cisco Systems

Email: [sfluhrer@cisco.com](mailto:sfluhrer@cisco.com)

S. Gazdag  
genua GmbH

Email: [ietf@gazdag.de](mailto:ietf@gazdag.de)

D. Van Geest  
ISARA Corporation

Email: [daniel.vangeest@isara.com](mailto:daniel.vangeest@isara.com)

S. Kousidis  
BSI

Email: [tbd@tbd.tbd](mailto:tbd@tbd.tbd)