

Networking Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: April 9, 2015

L. Ginsberg
Cisco Systems
B. Decraene
Orange
C. Filsfils
Cisco Systems
S. Litkowski
Orange Business Service
S. Previdi
Cisco Systems
October 06, 2014

IS-IS Prefix Attributes for Extended IP and IPv6 Reachability
draft-ginsberg-isis-prefix-attributes-00.txt

Abstract

This document introduces new sub-TLVs to support advertisement of prefix attribute flags and the source router id of the router which originated a prefix advertisement.

Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [[RFC2119](#)].

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 9, 2015.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

This document may contain material from IETF Documents or IETF Contributions published or made publicly available before November 10, 2008. The person(s) controlling the copyright in some of this material may not have granted the IETF Trust the right to allow modifications of such material outside the IETF Standards Process. Without obtaining an adequate license from the person(s) controlling the copyright in such materials, this document may not be modified outside the IETF Standards Process, and derivative works of it may not be created outside the IETF Standards Process, except to format it for publication as an RFC or to translate it into languages other than English.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	New sub-TLVs for Extended Reachability TLVs	3
2.1.	IPv4/IPv6 Extended Reachability Attribute Flags	3
2.2.	IPv4/IPv6 Source Router ID	4
3.	IANA Considerations	5
4.	Security Considerations	6
5.	Acknowledgements	6
6.	References	6
6.1.	Normative References	6
6.2.	Informational References	6
	Authors' Addresses	6

[1.](#) Introduction

There are existing use cases in which knowing additional attributes of a prefix is useful. For example, it is useful to know whether an advertised prefix is directly connected to the advertising router or not. In the case of [\[SR\]](#) knowing whether a prefix is directly connected or not determines what action should be taken as regards

processing of labels associated with an incoming packet. Current formats of the Extended Reachability TLVs for both IP and IPv6 are fixed and do not allow the introduction of additional flags without backwards compatibility issues. Therefore a new sub-TLV is introduced which allows for the advertisement of attribute flags associated with prefix advertisements.

It is also useful to know the source of a prefix advertisement when the advertisement has been leaked to another level. Therefore a new sub-TLV is introduced to advertise the router-id of the originator of a prefix advertisement.

2. New sub-TLVs for Extended Reachability TLVs

The following new sub-TLVs are introduced:

- o IPv4/IPv6 Extended Reachability Attributes
- o IPv4 Source Router ID
- o IPv6 Source Router ID

All sub-TLVs are applicable to TLVs 135, 235, 236, and/or 237.

2.1. IPv4/IPv6 Extended Reachability Attribute Flags

This sub-TLV supports the advertisement of additional flags associated with a given prefix advertisement. When a prefix advertisement is leaked from one level to another (upwards or downwards) the state of a given flag is either preserved or set to 0. The behavior of each flag is explicitly defined below.

All flags are applicable to TLVs 135, 235, 236, 237 unless otherwise stated.

Prefix Attribute Flags

Type: 4 (suggested - to be assigned by IANA)

Length: Number of octets to follow

Value

(Length * 8) bits.

```

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7...
+--+--+--+--+--+...
|X|R|N|          ...
+--+--+--+--+--+...

```

Bits are defined/sent starting with Bit #0 defined below. Additional bit definitions which may be defined in the future SHOULD be assigned in ascending bit order so as to minimize the number of bits which will need to be transmitted.

X-Flag: External Prefix Flag (Bit 0)

Set if the prefix has been redistributed from another protocol. This includes the case where multiple virtual routers are supported and the source of the redistributed prefix is another IS-IS instance.

The flag is preserved when leaked between levels.

In TLVs 236 and 237 this flag SHOULD always be sent as 0 and MUST be ignored on receipt. This is because there is an existing X flag defined in the fixed format of these TLVs as specified in [\[RFC5308\]](#) and [\[RFC5120\]](#).

R-Flag: Re-advertisement Flag (Bit 1)

Set when the prefix has been leaked from one level to another (upwards or downwards).

N-flag: Node Flag (Bit 2)

Set when the prefix identifies the advertising router i.e., the prefix is a host prefix advertising a globally reachable address typically associated with a loopback address.

The advertising router MAY choose to NOT set this flag even when the above conditions are met.

If the flag is set and the prefix length is NOT a host prefix (/32 for IPV4, /128 for IPv6) then the flag MUST be ignored.

The flag is preserved when leaked between levels.

2.2. IPv4/IPv6 Source Router ID

When a reachability advertisement is leaked from one level to another, the source of the original advertisement is unknown. In cases where the advertisement is an identifier for the advertising

router (e.g., N-flag set in the Extended Reachability Attribute sub-TLV as described in the previous section) it may be useful for other routers to know the source of the advertisement. The sub-TLVs defined below provide this information.

IPv4 Source Router ID

Type: 11 (suggested - to be assigned by IANA)

Length: 4

Value: IPv4 Router ID of the source of the advertisement

Inclusion of this TLV is optional and MAY occur in TLVs 135, 235, 236, or 237.

If present the sub-TLV MUST be included when the prefix advertisement is leaked to another level.

IPv6 Source Router ID

Type: 12 (suggested - to be assigned by IANA)

Length: 16

Value: IPv6 Router ID of the source of the advertisement

Inclusion of this TLV is optional and MAY occur in TLVs 135, 235, 236, or 237.

If present the sub-TLV MUST be included when the prefix advertisement is leaked to another level.

3. IANA Considerations

This document adds the following new sub-TLVs to the registry of sub-TLVs for TLVs 135, 235, 236, 237.

Value: 4 (suggested - to be assigned by IANA)

Name: Prefix Attribute Flags

Value: 11 (suggested - to be assigned by IANA)

Name: IPv4 Source Router ID

Value: 12 (suggested - to be assigned by IANA)

Name: IPv6 Source Router ID

This document also introduces a new registry for bit values in the Prefix Attribute Flags sub-TLV. Registration policy is Expert Review as defined in [[RFC5226](#)]. Defined values are:

Bit #	Name
-----	-----
0	External Prefix Flag
1	Re-advertisement Flag
2	Node Flag

4. Security Considerations

None.

5. Acknowledgements

TBD

6. References

6.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC5120] Przygienda, T., Shen, N., and N. Sheth, "M-ISIS: Multi Topology (MT) Routing in Intermediate System to Intermediate Systems (IS-ISs)", [RFC 5120](#), February 2008.
- [RFC5226] Narten, T. and H. Alvestrand, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", [BCP 26](#), [RFC 5226](#), May 2008.
- [RFC5308] Hopps, C., "Routing IPv6 with IS-IS", [RFC 5308](#), October 2008.

6.2. Informational References

- [SR] "IS-IS Extensions for Segment Routing, [draft-ietf-isis-segment-routing-extensions-02](#)(work in progress)", June 2014.

Authors' Addresses

Les Ginsberg
Cisco Systems
510 McCarthy Blvd.
Milpitas, CA 95035
USA

Email: ginsberg@cisco.com

Bruno Decraene
Orange
38 rue du General Leclerc
Missy Moulineaux cedex 9 92794
France

Email: bruno.decraene@orange.com

Clarence Filsfils
Cisco Systems

Email: cfilsfils@cisco.com

Stephane Litkowski
Orange Business Service

Email: stephane.litkowski@orange.com

Stefano Previdi
Cisco Systems
Via Del Serafico 200
Rome 0144
Italy

Email: sprevidi@cisco.com

