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Abstract

In the SRv6 network, the headend node could use Ping (ICMPv6 Echo) to detect the connectivity of the SRv6 path to implement path switching. When a headend use Ping to detect the segment list/CPath of SRv6 Policy, the forward path of ICMPv6 Echo Request message is indicated by segment list, the reverse path of ICMPv6 Echo Reply message is via the shortest path from the destination node to the source as determined by routing. The forward path and reverse path of ICMPv6 message are likely inconsistent going through different intermediate nodes or links. This document describes how to ensure the consistency of the forward path and the reverse path when using ICMPv6 Echo messages to detect SRv6 Policy.
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1. Introduction

Segment Routing (SR) allows a headend node to steer a packet flow along any path. Per-path states of Intermediate nodes are eliminated thanks to source routing. The headend node steers a flow into an SR Policy. The packets steered into an SR Policy carry an ordered list of segments associated with that SR Policy.

SR can be instantiated on the MPLS data plane (MPLS-SR) and the IPv6 data plane (SRv6). On the SRv6 data plane, a segment is encoded as an IPv6 address (SRv6 SID) [RFC8986], and an ordered list of segments is encoded as an ordered list of SRv6 SIDs in the SR header (SRH) [RFC8754].

In the SRv6 network, the headend node could use Ping (ICMPv6 Echo) to detect the connectivity of the SRv6 path to implement path switching. When a headend use Ping to detect the segment list/CPath of SRv6 Policy, the forward path of ICMPv6 Echo Request message is indicated by segment list, the reverse path of ICMPv6 Echo Reply message is via the shortest path from the destination node to the source as determined by routing. The forward path and reverse path of ICMPv6 message are likely inconsistent going through different intermediate nodes or links.

The inconsistency impacts the detecting result. If the forward path is up and reverse path is down, then the ICMPV6 Echo Reply message will not be able to be sent to the source, and Ping detection will timeout. If there are multiple path (segment list) in a SRv6 policy between a headend (local system) node and a tailend (remote system) node, Ping detection instance will be created for each path. Each Ping detection instance uses corresponding path to send ICMPv6 Echo Request message, but the reverse path is identical. If the reverse path is down, all Ping detection instances will be down. Then the SRv6 policy is down.

The transmission path of forward ICMPV6 Echo Request messages and reverse ICMPV6 Echo Reply messages for the same Ping detection instance should be consistent.

This document describes how to ensure the consistency of the forward path and the reverse path when using ICMPv6 Echo messages to detect SRv6 policy.

1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in
2. Requirement of Path Consistency for Ping

Detecting the connectivity of SRv6 policy using Ping is usually based on segment list. Ping detection instance is created for each segment list and associated with the segment list.

Referring to the topology in Figure 1, there are two paths between Node A and D, and All nodes allocate end.x Segments on SRv6 data plane or adjacency SIDs on SR-MPLS data plane. Node A and D are headend and tailend nodes of each other, and SRv6 policy is created on A and D respectively.

```
     +--------B-----------------C-----------+
     SID-A1/                                    \ SID-D1
       /                                          \
      A                                           D
     \                                          / /SID-D2
     SID-A2\           SID-E1      SID-E2            /SID-D2
     +-------------------E-------------------+
```

Figure 1

Assuming that the deployed SRv6 policy has one candidate path and each path has two segment lists.

Node A:                        Node D:
SR Policy A-D                 SR Policy D-A
Candidate Path1                Candidate Path2
  Segment list1-1               Segment list2-1
    SID-A1, SID-B2, SID-C2      SID-D1, SID-C1, SID-B1
  Segment list1-2               Segment list2-1
    SID-A2, SID-E2              SID-D2, SID-E1

List1-1 and List2-1 correspond to a forward and reverse SR forwarding path between node A and node D. List2-1 and List2-2 also correspond to another forward and reverse forwarding path between node A and node D.

Both Node A and Node D serve as head nodes and need to detect the connectivity of the segment list of a SRv6 policy.

When node A is the Ping detection initiator, Ping detection instances for segment list1 and segment list2 will be created respectively. Node A uses the associated segment list to encapsulate IPv6 header and SRH of the ICMPV6 Echo Request messages.
After Node D receives an ICMPV6 Echo Request message to its local address (or SID), the ICMPV6 Echo Reply message should be able to return along the same path to avoid the false detection of the Ping instance caused by the inconsistency of the forward and reverse paths.

The ICMPV6 Echo Request messages associated with the segment list1-1 is forwarded to node D according to the segment list1-1 of node A. The corresponding ICMPV6 Echo Reply messages of node D need to be sent to node A according to the segment list2-1 of node D. Thus, the forward and reverse paths of ICMPV6 message are ensured to be consistent.

3. Correlate Bidirectional Path Using Path Segment

This draft proposes a method to achieve consistency in the forward and reverse paths of Ping detection packets using path segment.

A Path Segment is defined to identify an SR path. In SR for MPLS data plane (SR-MPLS), Path Segment is defined in [I-D.ietf-spring-mpls-path-segment]. In SR for IPv6 data plane (SRv6), Path Segment is defined in [I-D.ietf-spring-srv6-path-segment].

Path segments can be used to correlate the two unidirectional SR paths at both ends of the paths.

[I-D.ietf-idr-sr-policy-path-segment] proposes an extension to BGP SR Policy distribute SR policies carrying Path Segment and bidirectional path information.

Through this extension, when distributing SRv6 policy to the headend node, reverse path information and path segment of segment list can be carried together.
In this way, on the headend node in both directions of the forward and reverse paths, the path segment of the paths in both directions can be obtained, and the paths in both directions use the same intermediate links.

The headend node can use path segment in two directions to establish a mapping table. Using this mapping table, the headend node can get the reverse path through the path segment of the forward path.

The mapping table of Node A and Node D is shown below:

Node A:

```
+-----------------+          +--------------------+
|  Path Segment   |          |Reverse Path Segment|
+-----------------+          +--------------------+
|  SID-Path-1     |-+        | SID-Path-2         |--+
+-----------------+ |        +--------------------+  |
|  SID-Path-3     | |        | SID-Path-4         |--|-+
+-----------------+ |        +--------------------+  | |
|            |                                | |
|            |  +-----------------------+     | |
|            |  | segment List          |     | |
|            |  +-----------------------+     | |
|            +->|SID-A1, SID-B2, SID-C2 |<----+
|               +-----------------------+       |
+-------------->|SID-A2, SID-E2         |<------+
+-----------------------+
```

Figure 2: Mapping Table on Node A
For instance, the Ping detection initiator is Node A in Figure 1, and the Ping detection instance is bounded with Segment List1-1 of Policy A-D.

### 3.1. procedure of Ping Detection Initiator

When path segment is used, the encapsulation format of ICMPV6 Echo Request message from Node A to Node D is as follows:

```plaintext
+-----------------------------------------------+
| IPv6 Header                                   |
| . Source IP Address = Initiator's IPv6 Address . |
| . Destination IP Address = SegmentList[SL]     |
| . Next-Header = SRH (43)                       |
| .                                               |
| +-----------------------------------------------+
| SRH as specified in RFC 8754                  |
| . Next-Header = ICMPv6                         |
| . <P-Flag=1, PathSegment, Segment List>        |
| .                                               |
| +-----------------------------------------------+
| ICMPv6 Echo Request                           |
| .                                               |
+-----------------------------------------------+
```

Figure 4: ICMPv6 Echo Request Message Format

Node A Encapsulates the path segment of segment list1-1 in SRH and sets SRH.P-Flag.
The ICMPv6 Echo Request message is encapsulated and forwarded as follows:

\[
A---------->B---------->C---------->D
\]

\[
+-----------------+                      +-----------------+
| SA=A's Ipv6Addr |                      | SA=A's Ipv6Addr |
| DA=SID-B1       |                      | DA=D's ipv6Addr |
| SL=2 | P-Flag=1 |                      | SL=0 | P-Flag=1 |
| D's ipv6Addr    |                      | D's ipv6Addr    |
| SID-C2          |                      | SID-C2          |
| SID-B2          |                      | SID-B2          |
| SID-A1          |                      | SID-A1          |
| SID-Path-1      |                      | SID-Path-1      |
| ICMPv6 Echo     |                      | ICMPv6 Echo     |
| Request         |                      | Request         |
\]

Figure 5: Example of ICMPv6 Echo Request Message

### 3.2. Procedure of Ping's destination Node

ICMPv6 Echo Request message is forwarded along the path A->B->C-D. While packet arrives at Node D, SRH.SL is 0 and the destination address is IPv6 address of Node D. Packet is delivered up to control plane.

Control plane detects SRH.P-flag is set, extracts the path segment of the forward path from SRH, gets the path segment of the reverse path through the mapping table. Then use the segment list associated with path segment of the reverse path to encapsulate SRH of ICMPv6 Echo Reply message.

The encapsulation format of ICMPv6 Echo Reply message is as follows:
The ICMPv6 Echo Reply message is encapsulated and forwarded as follows:

D------------->C------------>B---------->A

Figure 7: Example of ICMPv6 Echo Reply Message

The ICMPv6 Echo Reply message will be forward along the path D->C->B->A. In this way, the forward and reverse paths of ICMPv6 packets are guaranteed to be consistent.
4. IANA Considerations

This document has no IANA actions.

5. Security Considerations

The security requirements and mechanisms described in [RFC8402] and [RFC8754] also apply to this document.

This document does not introduce any new security consideration.

6. References

6.1. Normative References
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