

IPv6 maintenance Working Group (6man)  
Internet-Draft  
Obsoletes: [6564](#) (if approved)  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: March 19, 2016

F. Gont  
SI6 Networks / UTN-FRH  
W. Liu  
Huawei Technologies  
S. Krishnan  
Ericsson  
H. Pfeifer  
Rohde & Schwarz  
September 16, 2015

IPv6 Universal Extension Header  
draft-gont-6man-ipv6-universal-extension-header-02

## Abstract

In IPv6, optional internet-layer information is encoded in separate headers that may be placed between the IPv6 header and the transport-layer header. There are a small number of such extension headers currently defined. This document describes the issues that can arise when defining new extension headers and specifies a new IPv6 Extension Header – the Universal Extension Header – that overcomes the aforementioned problem, while enabling the extensibility of IPv6. Finally, this document formally obsoletes [RFC 6564](#).

## Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on March 19, 2016.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2015 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

Internet-Draft

Universal Extension Header

September 2015

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	Introduction . . . . .	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	Terminology . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	A Problem with <a href="#">RFC 6564</a> . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	Implications . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	UEH Specification . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	Forbidding New IPv6 Extension Headers . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	Operation of the UEH . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">8.</a>	IANA Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">9.</a>	Security Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">10.</a>	Acknowledgements . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">11.</a>	Contributors . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">12.</a>	References . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">12.1.</a>	Normative References . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">12.2.</a>	Informative References . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
	Authors' Addresses . . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>

## [1.](#) Introduction

There has recently been a lot of work in the area of IPv6 Extension Headers. Firstly, there has been research about the extent to which IPv6 packets employing Extension Headers are dropped in the public Internet [[GONT-IEPG-Nov13](#)] [[GONT-IEPG-Mar14](#)], and debate about the motivation behind such policy [[I-D.gont-v6ops-ipv6-ehs-packet-drops](#)]. Secondly, there has been a fair share of work to improve some technicalities of IPv6 Extension Headers (see e.g. [[RFC7112](#)] [[RFC7045](#)]) in the hopes that they can be reliably used in the public Internet.

A key challenge for IPv6 Extension Headers to be "deployable" in the public Internet is that they should not impair any nodes's ability to process the entire IPv6 header chain. One of the steps meant in that

direction has been the specification of a Uniform Format for IPv6 Extension Headers [[RFC6564](#)], which was meant to be employed by any IPv6 Extension Headers that might be defined in the future, such that middle-boxes can still process the entire IPv6 header chain if new extension headers were specified. However, a problem in the

aforementioned specification prevents such uniform format from being of use.

[Section 3](#) discusses the aforementioned flaw in the Uniform Format for Extension Headers specified in [[RFC6564](#)]. [Section 4](#) explicitly describes the implications of the aforementioned flaw. [Section 5](#) specifies the new Universal Extension Header (UEH). [Section 7](#) explains how new IPv6 extensions would be specified with the UEH. [Section 6](#) formally forbids the specification of new IPv6 Extension Headers (with new Next Header values), and mandates that any new IPv6 extensions be conveyed/encoded in the UEH specified in this document.

## [2.](#) Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [[RFC2119](#)].

## [3.](#) A Problem with [RFC 6564](#)

A key problem with the Uniform Format for IPv6 Extension Headers [[RFC6564](#)] lies in that both IPv6 Extension Headers and Transport Protocols share the same "Next Header" registry/namespace. Thus, given an "unknown Next Header value", it is impossible to tell whether the aforementioned value refers to an IPv6 Extension Header that employs the aforementioned uniform format, or an "unknown" upper-layer protocol (e.g. an "unknown" transport protocol). That is, while [[RFC6564](#)] specifies the syntax for a Uniform Format for IPv6 Extension Headers, it does not provide a mechanism for a node to identify whether the aforementioned format is being employed in the first place.

## [4.](#) Implications

The current impossibility to parse an IPv6 header chain that includes unknown Next Header values results in concrete implications for the

extensibility of the IPv6 protocol, and the deployability of new transport protocols. Namely,

- o New IPv6 extension headers cannot be incrementally deployed.
- o New transport protocols cannot be incrementally deployed.

Since there is no way for a node to process IPv6 extension headers that employ unknown next header values, an IPv6 host that receives a packet that employs a new IPv6 extension header will not be able to parse the IPv6 header chain past that unknown extension header, and hence it will drop the aforementioned packet

[[I-D.gont-v6ops-ipv6-ehs-packet-drops](#)]. In a similar way, a middlebox that needs to process the transport-protocol header will be faced with the dilemma of what to do with packets that employ unknown Next Header values. Since they will not be able to parse the IPv6 header chain past the unknown Next Header, it is very likely that they will drop such packets.

Unfortunately, since transport protocols share the same namespace as IPv6 Extension Headers, new transport protocols will pose the same challenge to middle-boxes, and hence they will be likely dropped in the network.

We believe that the current situation has implications that are generally overlooked, and that, whatever the outcome, it should be the result of an explicit decision by our community, rather than simply "omission".

## [5.](#) UEH Specification

This document specifies a new IPv6 Extension Header: Universal Extension Header. This Extension Header is identified by the value [TBD] of [[IANA-IP-PROTO](#)]. The syntax of the Universal Extension Header is:

0	1	2	3																												
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1																															
+-----+																															
Next Header								Hdr Ext Len								Subtype															
+-----+																															



## [7.](#) Operation of the UEH

This section describes the operation of the Universal Extension Header.

The goal of the UEH is to provide a common syntax for all future IPv6 extensions. Any future extension headers will be encoded in a UEH, and will be identified by a specific UEH Subtype assigned by IANA at the time the corresponding specification is published. The UEH thus provides the "common syntax" required to process "unrecognized extensions", and the Subtype field identifies the specific extension being encoded in the UEH. Any "future extension headers" would actually be new Subtypes (assigned by IANA) of the UEH.

As a result, unrecognized Next Header values should be interpreted to identify an upper-layer protocol, rather than an IPv6 extension header.

## [8.](#) IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to create a new registry to maintain the Universal Extension Header Subtypes [[IANA-UEH](#)].

## [9.](#) Security Considerations

Enabling nodes to parse an entire IPv6 header chain even in the presence of unrecognized extensions allows for security mechanisms to be implemented and deployed.

## [10.](#) Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank [TBD] for providing valuable input on earlier versions of this document.

## [11.](#) Contributors

C.M. Heard identified the problems related with the Uniform Format for IPv6 Extension Headers specified in [[RFC6564](#)], and participated

in the brainstorming that led to this document.

## 12. References

### 12.1. Normative References

- [RFC2460] Deering, S. and R. Hinden, "Internet Protocol, Version 6 (IPv6) Specification", [RFC 2460](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2460, December 1998, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2460>>.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC6564] Krishnan, S., Woodyatt, J., Kline, E., Hoagland, J., and M. Bhatia, "A Uniform Format for IPv6 Extension Headers", [RFC 6564](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6564, April 2012, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6564>>.
- [RFC7045] Carpenter, B. and S. Jiang, "Transmission and Processing of IPv6 Extension Headers", [RFC 7045](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7045, December 2013, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7045>>.

### 12.2. Informative References

- [RFC7112] Gont, F., Manral, V., and R. Bonica, "Implications of Oversized IPv6 Header Chains", [RFC 7112](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7112, January 2014, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7112>>.

Gont, et al.

Expires March 19, 2016

[Page 6]

---

Internet-Draft

Universal Extension Header

September 2015

[I-D.gont-v6ops-ipv6-ehs-packet-drops]

Gont, F., Hilliard, N., Doering, G., LIU, S., and W. Kumari, "Operational Implications of IPv6 Packets with Extension Headers", [draft-gont-v6ops-ipv6-ehs-packet-drops-00](#) (work in progress), July 2015.

[GONT-IEPG-Nov13]

Gont, F., "Fragmentation and Extension Header Support in

the IPv6 Internet", IEPG 88, November 3, 2013. Vancouver, BC, Canada, 2013, <<http://www.iepg.org/2013-11-ietf88/fgont-iepg-ietf88-ipv6-frag-and-eh.pdf>>.

[GONT-IEPG-Mar14]

Gont, F. and T. Chown, "More results from measurements of IPv6 Extension Header probing", IEPG 89, March 2, 2014. London, U.K., 2014, <<http://www.iepg.org/2014-03-02-ietf89/fgont-iepg-ietf89-eh-update.pdf>>.

[IANA-IP-PROTO]

Internet Assigned Numbers Authority, "Assigned Internet Protocol Numbers", April 2011, <<http://www.iana.org/assignments/protocol-numbers/protocol-numbers.xhtml>>.

[IANA-UEH]

Internet Assigned Numbers Authority, "Universal Extension Header Subtypes", 2014.

#### Authors' Addresses

Fernando Gont  
SI6 Networks / UTN-FRH  
Evaristo Carriego 2644  
Haedo, Provincia de Buenos Aires 1706  
Argentina

Phone: +54 11 4650 8472  
Email: [fgont@si6networks.com](mailto:fgont@si6networks.com)  
URI: <http://www.si6networks.com>

Will (Shucheng) Liu  
Huawei Technologies  
Bantian, Longgang District  
Shenzhen 518129  
P.R. China

Email: [liushucheng@huawei.com](mailto:liushucheng@huawei.com)

Ericsson  
8400 Decarie Blvd.  
Town of Mount Royal, QC  
Canada

Phone: +1 514 345 7900 x42871  
Email: suresh.krishnan@ericsson.com

Hagen Paul Pfeifer  
Rohde & Schwarz  
Muehldorfstrasse 15  
Munich 81671  
Germany

Phone: +49 89 4129 15515  
Email: hagen.pfeifer@rohde-schwarz.com  
URI: <http://www.rohde-schwarz.com/>