

Network Working Group
Internet Draft
Intended Status: Informational
Expires: April 29, 2012

Groves
CESG
October 27, 2011

Sakai-Kasahara Key Establishment (SAKKE)
draft-groves-sakke-03

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 29, 2012.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2011 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the [Trust Legal Provisions](#) and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Internet Draft

[draft-groves-sakke-03](#)

Oct 27, 2011

Abstract

In this document the Sakai-Kasahara Identifier-Based Encryption algorithm (SAKKE) is described. This uses Identifier-Based Encryption to exchange a shared secret from a Sender to a Receiver.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction.....	2
1.1.	Requirements Terminology.....	3
2.	Notation and Definitions.....	3
2.1.	Notation.....	3
2.2.	Definitions.....	5
2.3.	Parameters to be Defined or Negotiated.....	6
3.	Elliptic Curves and Pairings.....	6
3.1.	E(F_p²) and the Distortion Map.....	7
3.2.	The Tate-Lichtenbaum Pairing.....	7
4.	Representation of Values.....	9
5.	Supporting Algorithms.....	9
5.1.	Hashing to an Integer Range.....	9
6.	The SAKKE Cryptosystem.....	10
6.1.	Setup.....	10
6.1.1.	Secret Key Extraction.....	11
6.1.2.	User Provisioning.....	11
6.2.	Key Exchange.....	11
6.2.1.	Sender.....	11
6.2.2.	Receiver.....	12
6.3.	Group Communications.....	12
7.	Security Considerations.....	13
8.	IANA Considerations.....	14
9.	References.....	14
9.1.	Normative References.....	14
9.2.	Informative References.....	15
Appendix A.	Test Data.....	15

[1.](#) Introduction

This document defines an efficient use of Identifier-Based Encryption

(IBE) based on bilinear pairings. The Sakai-Kasahara IBE cryptosystem [[S-K](#)] is described for establishment of a shared secret value. This document adds to the IBE options available in [[RFC5091](#)], providing an efficient primitive and an additional family of curves.

This document is restricted to a particular family of curves (see [Section 2.1](#)) which have the benefit of a simple and efficient method of calculating the pairing on which the Sakai-Kasahara IBE cryptosystem is based.

Identifier-Based Encryption schemes allow public and private keys to be derived from Identifiers. In fact, the Identifier can itself be

viewed as corresponding to a public key or certificate in a traditional public key system. However, in IBE, the Identifier can be formed by both Sender and Receiver, which obviates the necessity of providing public keys through a third party or of transmitting certified public keys during each session establishment. Furthermore, in an IBE system, calculation of keys can occur as needed, and indeed, messages can be sent to users who are yet to enrol.

The Sakai-Kasahara primitive described in this document supports simplex transmission of messages from a Sender to a Receiver. The choice of elliptic curve pairing on which the primitive is based allows simple and efficient implementations.

The Sakai-Kasahara Key Establishment scheme described in this document is drawn from the SK-KEM scheme (as modified to support multi-party communications) submitted to the IEEE P1363 Working Group in [[SK-KEM](#)].

[1.1](#). Requirements Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

[2](#). Notation and Definitions

[2.1.](#) Notation

- n A security parameter; the size of symmetric keys in bits to be exchanged by SAKKE.
- p A prime, which is the order of the finite field F_p . In this document p is always congruent to 3 modulo 4.
- F_p The finite field of order p .
- F^* The multiplicative group of the non-zero elements in the field F ; e.g., $(F_p)^*$ is the multiplicative group of the finite field F_p .
- q An odd prime that divides $p + 1$. To provide the desired level of security, $\lg(q)$ MUST be greater than $2 \cdot n$.
- E An elliptic curve defined over F_p , having a subgroup of order q . In this document we use supersingular curves with equation $y^2 = x^3 - 3 \cdot x$ modulo p . This curve is chosen because of the efficiency and simplicity advantages it offers. The choice of -3 for the coefficient of x provides

advantages for elliptic curve arithmetic which are explained in [[P1363](#)]. A further reason for this choice of curve is that Barreto's trick [[Barreto](#)] of eliminating the computation of the denominators when calculating the pairing applies.

- $E(F)$ The additive group of points of affine coordinates (x,y) with x, y in the field F , that satisfy the curve equation for E .
- P A point of $E(F_p)$ that generates the cyclic subgroup of order q . The coordinates of P are given by $P = (P_x, P_y)$. These coordinates are in F_p , and they satisfy the curve equation.
- \emptyset The null element of any additive group of points on an elliptic curve, also called the point at infinity.
- F_{p^2} The extension field of degree 2 of the field F_p . In this document we use a particular instantiation of this field;

$F_p^2 = F_p[i]$ where $i^2 + 1 = 0$.

PF_p The projectivisation of F_p . We define this to be $(F_p^2)^*/(F_p)^*$. Note that PF_p is cyclic and has order $p + 1$, which is divisible by q .

$G[q]$ The q -torsion of a group G . This is the subgroup generated by points of order q in G .

\langle , \rangle A version of the Tate-Lichtenbaum pairing. In this document this is a bilinear map from $E(F_p)[q] \times E(F_p)[q]$ onto the subgroup of order q in PF_p . A full definition is given in [Section 3.2](#).

Hash A cryptographic hash function.

$\lg(x)$ The base 2 logarithm of the real value x .

The following conventions are assumed for curve operations.

Point addition - If A and B are two points on a curve E , their sum is denoted as $A + B$.

Scalar multiplication - If A is a point on a curve, and k an integer, the result of adding A to itself a total of k times is denoted $[k]A$.

We assume that the following concrete representations of mathematical objects are used.

Elements of F_p - The p elements of F_p are represented directly using the integers from 0 to $p-1$.

Elements of F_p^2 - The elements of $F_p^2 = F_p[i]$ are represented as $x_1 + i * x_2$, where x_1 and x_2 are elements of F_p .

Elements of PF_p - Elements of PF_p are cosets of $(F_p)^*$ in $(F_p^2)^*$. Every element of F_p^2 can be written unambiguously in the form $x_1 + i * x_2$, where x_1 and x_2 are elements of F_p . Thus elements of PF_p (except the unique element of order 2) can be represented unambiguously by x_2 / x_1 in F_p . Since q is odd, every element of $PF_p[q]$ can be represented by an element of F_p .

in this manner.

This representation of elements in $PF_p[q]$ allows efficient implementation of $PF_p[q]$ group operations, as these can be defined using arithmetic in F_p . If a and b are elements of F_p representing elements A and B of $PF_p[q]$ respectively, then $A * B$ in $PF_p[q]$ is represented by $(a + b)/(1 - a * b)$ in F_p .

[2.2. Definitions](#)

Identifier – Each user of an IBE system MUST have a unique, unambiguous identifying string that can be easily derived by all valid communicants. This string is the user's Identifier. An Identifier is an integer in the range 2 to $q-1$. The method by which Identifiers are formed MUST be defined for each application.

Key Management Server (KMS) – The Key Management Server is a trusted 3rd party for the IBE system. It derives system secrets and distributes key material to those authorised to obtain it. Applications MAY support the use mutual communication between the users of multiple KMSs. We denote KMSs by KMS_T , KMS_S etc.

Public parameters – The public parameters are a set of parameters that are held by all users of an IBE system. Such a system MAY contain multiple KMSs. Each application of SAKKE MUST define the set of public parameters to be used. The parameters needed are p , q , E , P , $g = \langle P, P \rangle$, Hash and n .

Master Secret (z_T) – The Master Secret z_T is the master key generated and privately kept by KMS_T and is used by KMS_T to generate the private keys of the users that it provisions; it is an integer in the range 2 to $q-1$.

KMS Public Key: $Z_T = [z_T]P$ – The KMS Public Key Z_T is used to form Public Key Establishment Keys for all users provisioned by KMS_T ; it is a point of order q in $E(F_p)$. It MUST be provisioned by KMS_T to all who are authorised to send messages to users of the IBE system.

Receiver Secret Key (RSK) – Each user enrolled in an IBE system is provisioned with a Receiver Secret Key by its KMS. The RSK provided to a user with Identifier a by KMS_T is denoted $K_{(a,T)}$. In SAKKE,

the RSK is a point of order q in $E(F_p)$.

Shared Secret Value – The aim of the SAKKE scheme is for the Sender to securely transmit a Shared Secret Value to the Receiver. The Shared Secret Value is an integer in the range 0 to $(2^n) - 1$; it is denoted SSV.

Encapsulated Data – The Encapsulated Data are used to transmit secret information securely to the Receiver. They can be computed directly from the Receiver's Identifier, the public parameters, the KMS Public Key, and the Shared Secret Value to be transmitted. In SAKKE the Encapsulated Data are a point of order q in $E(F_p)$ and an integer in the range 0 to $(2^n) - 1$. They are formatted as described in [Section 4](#).

[2.3](#). Parameters to be Defined or Negotiated

In order for an application to make use of the SAKKE algorithm, the communicating hosts MUST agree on values for several of the parameters described above. The curve equation (E) and the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ are constant and used for all applications.

For the following parameters, each application MUST either define an application-specific constant value or define a mechanism for hosts to negotiate a value:

- * n
- * p
- * q
- * $P = (P_x, P_y)$
- * $g = \langle P, P \rangle$
- * Hash

[3](#). Elliptic Curves and Pairings

E is a supersingular elliptic curve (of j -invariant 1728). $E(F_p)$ contains a cyclic subgroup of order q , denoted $E(F_p)[q]$, whereas the larger object $E(F_{p^2})$ contains the direct product of two cyclic subgroups of order q , denoted $E(F_{p^2})[q]$.

P is a generator of $E(F_p)[q]$. It is specified by the (affine) coordinates (P_x, P_y) in F_p , satisfying the curve equation.

Routines for point addition and doubling on $E(F_p)$ can be found in

[3.1.](#) $E(F_p^2)$ and the Distortion Map

If (Q_x, Q_y) are (affine) coordinates in F_p for some point (denoted Q) on $E(F_p)[q]$, then $(-Q_x, iQ_y)$ are (affine) coordinates in F_p^2 for some point on $E(F_p^2)[q]$. This latter point is denoted $[i]Q$, by analogy with the definition for scalar multiplication. The two points P and $[i]P$ together generate $E(F_p^2)[q]$. The map $[i]: E(F_p) \rightarrow E(F_p^2)$ is sometimes termed the distortion map.

[3.2.](#) The Tate-Lichtenbaum Pairing

We proceed to describe the pairing \langle , \rangle to be used in SAKKE. We will need to evaluate polynomials f_R that depend on points on $E(F_p)[q]$. Miller's algorithm [[Miller](#)] provides a method for evaluation of $f_R(X)$, where X is some element of $E(F_p^2)[q]$ and R is some element of $E(F_p)[q]$ and f_R is some polynomial over F_p whose divisor is $(q)(R) - (q)(\theta)$. Note that f_R is defined only up to scalars of F_p .

The version of the Tate-Lichtenbaum pairing used in this document is given by $\langle R, Q \rangle = f_R([i]Q)^c / (F_p)^*$. It satisfies the bilinear relation $\langle [x]R, Q \rangle = \langle R, [x]Q \rangle = \langle R, Q \rangle^x$ for all Q, R in $E(F_p)[q]$, for all integers x . Note that the domain of definition is restricted to $E(F_p)[q] \times E(F_p)[q]$ so that certain optimisations are natural.

We provide pseudocode for computing $\langle R, Q \rangle$, with elliptic curve arithmetic expressed in affine coordinates. We make use of Barreto's trick [[Barreto](#)] for avoiding the calculation of denominators. Note that this section does not fully describe the most efficient way of computing the pairing; it is possible to compute the pairing without any explicit reference to the extension field F_p^2 . This reduces the number and complexity of the operations needed to compute the pairing.

<CODE BEGINS>

/*

Copyright (c) 2011 IETF Trust and the persons identified as authors

of this code. All rights reserved.

THIS SOFTWARE IS PROVIDED BY THE COPYRIGHT HOLDERS AND CONTRIBUTORS "AS IS" AND ANY EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARE DISCLAIMED. IN NO EVENT SHALL THE COPYRIGHT OWNER OR CONTRIBUTORS BE LIABLE FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL, EXEMPLARY, OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES (INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, PROCUREMENT OF SUBSTITUTE GOODS OR SERVICES; LOSS OF USE, DATA, OR PROFITS; OR BUSINESS INTERRUPTION) HOWEVER CAUSED AND ON ANY THEORY OF LIABILITY, WHETHER IN CONTRACT, STRICT LIABILITY, OR TORT

Groves

Informational

[Page 7]

Internet Draft

[draft-groves-sakke-03](#)

Oct 27, 2011

(INCLUDING NEGLIGENCE OR OTHERWISE) ARISING IN ANY WAY OUT OF THE USE OF THIS SOFTWARE, EVEN IF ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGE.

*/

Routine for computing the pairing $\langle R, Q \rangle$:

Input R, Q points on $E(F_p)[q]$;

Initialise variables:

```
v = (F_p)*;    // An element of  $PF_p[q]$ 
C = R;         // An element of  $E(F_p)[q]$ 
c = (p+1)/q;   // An integer
```

for bits of $q-1$, starting with the second MSB, ending with the LSB, do

```
// gradient of line through C, C,  $[-2]C$ .
l = 3*( C_x^2 - 1 ) / ( 2*C_y );

//accumulate line evaluated at  $[i]Q$  into v
v = v^2 * ( l*( Q_x + C_x ) + ( i*Q_y - C_y ) );

C =  $[2]C$ ;

if bit is 1 then

    // gradient of line through C, R,  $-C-R$ .
    l = ( C_y - R_y ) / ( C_x - R_x );

    //accumulate line evaluated at  $[i]Q$  into v
    v = v * ( l*( Q_x + C_x ) + ( i*Q_y - C_y ) );
```

```

        C = C+R;

    end if;
end for;

t = v^c;
return representative in F_p of t;

End of routine;

Routine for computing representative in F_p of elements of PF_p:

    Input t, in F_p^2, representing an element of PF_p;

    Represent t as a + i*b, with a,b in F_p;
    return b/a;

End of routine;
<CODE ENDS>

```

[4.](#) Representation of Values

This section provides canonical representations of values which MUST be used to ensure interoperability of implementations. The following representations MUST be used for input into hash functions and for transmission.

Integers	Integers MUST be represented as an octet string, with bit length a multiple of 8. To achieve this, the integer is represented most significant bit first, and padded with zero bits on the left until an octet string of the necessary length is obtained. This is the Octet String representation described in Section 6 of [RFC6090] .
F_p elements	Elements of F_p MUST be represented as integers in the range 0 to p-1 using the octet string representation defined above. Such octet strings MUST have length $L = \text{Ceiling}(\lg(p)/8)$.
PF_p elements	Elements of PF_p MUST be represented as an element

Points on E	Elliptic Curve Points MUST be represented in Uncompressed representation as defined in Section 2.2 of [RFC5480] . For an elliptic curve point (x, y) with x and y in F_p , this representation is given by <code>0x04 x' y'</code> , where x' is the octet string representing x , y' is the octet string representing y and <code> </code> denotes concatenation. The representation is $2*L+1$ octets in length.
Encapsulated Data	The Encapsulated Data MUST be represented as an Elliptic Curve Point concatenated with an integer in the range 0 to $(2^n) - 1$. Since the length of the representation of elements of F_p is well defined given p , these data can be unambiguously parsed to retrieve their components. The Encapsulated Data is $2*L + n + 1$ octets in length.

5.1. Hashing to an Integer Range

Groves Informational [Page 9]

Output:

* an integer, v in the range 0 to $n-1$

Method:

- 1) Let $A = \text{hashfn}(s)$
- 2) Let $h_0 = 00\dots00$, a string of null bits of length hashlen bits
- 3) Let $l = \text{Ceiling}(\lg(n) / \text{hashlen})$
- 4) For each i in 1 to l do:
 - a) Let $h_i = \text{hashfn}(h_{i-1})$
 - b) Let $v_i = \text{hashfn}(h_i || A)$, where $||$ denotes concatenation
- 5) Let $v' = v_1 || \dots || v_l$
- 6) Let $v = v' \bmod n$

[6. The SAKKE Cryptosystem](#)

This chapter describes the Sakai-Kasahara Key Establishment algorithm. It draws from the cryptosystem first described in [\[S-K\]](#).

[6.1. Setup](#)

All users share a set of public parameters with a KMS. In most circumstances it is expected that a system will only use a single KMS. However, it is possible for users provisioned by different KMSs to interoperate provided that they use a common set of public parameters, and that they each possess the necessary KMS Public Keys. In order to facilitate this interoperation, it is anticipated that parameters will be published in application specific standards.

KMS_T chooses its KMS Master Secret, z_T . It MUST randomly select a value in the range 2 to $q-1$, and assigns this value to z_T . It MUST

derive its KMS Public Key, Z_T , by performing the calculation $Z_T =$

$[z_T]P$.

[6.1.1. Secret Key Extraction](#)

The KMS derives each Receiver Secret Key from an Identifier and its KMS Master Secret. It MUST derive a Receiver Secret Key for each user that it provisions.

For Identifier 'a', the Receiver Secret Key $K(a,T)$ provided by KMS_T MUST be derived by KMS_T as $K(a,T) = [(a + z_T)^{-1}]P$, where 'a' is interpreted as an integer, and the inversion is performed modulo q .

[6.1.2. User Provisioning](#)

The KMS MUST provide its KMS Public Key to all users through an authenticated channel. Receiver Secret Keys MUST be supplied to all users through a channel that provides confidentiality and mutual authentication. The mechanisms that provide security for these channels are beyond the scope of this document: they are application specific.

Upon receipt of key material, each user MUST verify its Receiver Secret Key. For Identifier 'a', Receiver Secret Keys from KMS_T are verified by checking that the following equation holds: $\langle [a]P + Z, K(a,T) \rangle = g$, where 'a' is interpreted as an integer.

[6.2. Key Exchange](#)

A Sender forms Encapsulated Data and sends it to the Receiver, who processes it. The result is a shared secret which can be used as keying material for securing further communications. We denote the Sender "A", with Identifier a ; we denote the Receiver "B", with Identifier b ; Identifiers are to be interpreted as integers in the algorithms below. Let A be provisioned by KMS_T and B be provisioned by KMS_S.

[6.2.1. Sender](#)

In order to form Encapsulated Data to send to device B who is provisioned by KMS_S, A needs to hold Z_S . It is anticipated that this will have been provided to A by KMS_T along with its User Private Keys. The Sender MUST carry out the following steps.

- 1) Select a random ephemeral integer value for the Shared Secret Value SSV in the range 0 to $2^n - 1$.
- 2) Compute $r = \text{HashToIntegerRange}(\text{SSV} || b, q, \text{Hash})$.

Internet Draft

[draft-groves-sakke-03](#)

Oct 27, 2011

- 3) Compute $R_{\text{b,S}} = [r]([b]P + Z_{\text{S}})$ in $E(F_p)$.
- 4) Compute the Hint, H .
 - a) Compute g^r . Note that g is an element of $PF_p[q]$ represented by an element of F_p . Thus, in order to calculate g^r , the operation defined in [Section 2.1](#) for calculation of $A * B$ in $PF_p[q]$ is to be used as part of a square and multiply (or similar) exponentiation algorithm, rather than the regular F_p operations.
 - b) Compute $H := \text{SSV} \text{ xor } \text{HashToIntegerRange}(g^r, 2^n, \text{Hash})$.
- 5) Form the Encapsulated Data ($R_{\text{b,S}}$, H) and transmit it to B.
- 6) Output SSV for use to derive key material for the application to be keyed.

[6.2.2](#). Receiver

Device B receives Encapsulated Data from device A. In order to process this, it requires its Receiver Secret Key, $K_{\text{b,S}}$, which will have been provisioned in advance by KMS_{S} . The method by which keys are provisioned by the KMS is application specific. The Receiver MUST carry out the following steps to derive and verify the Shared Secret Value.

- 1) Parse the Encapsulated Data ($R_{\text{b,S}}$, H) and extract $R_{\text{b,S}}$ and H .
- 2) Compute $w := \langle R_{\text{b,S}} , K_{\text{b,S}} \rangle$. Note that by bilinearity $w = g^r$.
- 3) Compute $\text{SSV} = H \text{ xor } \text{HashToIntegerRange}(w, 2^n, \text{Hash})$.
- 4) Compute $r = \text{HashToIntegerRange}(\text{SSV} || b, q, \text{Hash})$.
- 5) Compute $\text{TEST} = [r]([b]P + Z_{\text{S}})$ in $E(F_p)$. If TEST does not equal $R_{\text{b,S}}$ then B MUST NOT use the SSV to derive key

material.

- 6) Output SSV for use to derive key material for the application to be keyed.

6.3. Group Communications

The SAKKE scheme can be used to exchange Shared Secret Values for group communications. To provide a Shared Secret to multiple

Groves

Informational

[Page 12]

Internet Draft

[draft-groves-sakke-03](#)

Oct 27, 2011

Receivers, a Sender MUST form Encapsulated Data for each of their Identifiers, and transmit the appropriate data to each Receiver. Any party possessing the group Shared Secret Value MAY extend the group by forming Encapsulated Data for a new group member.

Whilst the Sender needs to form multiple Encapsulated Data, the fact that the sending operation avoids pairings means that the extension to multiple Receivers can be carried out more efficiently than for alternative IBE schemes which require the Sender to compute a pairing.

7. Security Considerations

This document describes the SAKKE cryptographic algorithm. We assume that the security provided by this algorithm depends entirely on the secrecy of the secret keys it uses, and that for an adversary to defeat this security, he will need to perform computationally intensive cryptanalytic attacks to recover a secret key. Note that a security proof exists for SAKKE in the Random Oracle Model [[SK-KEM](#)].

When defining public parameters, guidance on parameter sizes from [[SP800-57](#)] SHOULD be followed. Note that the size of the F_p^{*2} discrete logarithm on which the security rests is $2 \cdot \lg(p)$. Table 1 shows bits of security afforded by various sizes of p . If k bits of security are needed, then $\lg(q)$ SHOULD be chosen to be at least $2 \cdot k$. Similarly, if k bits of security are needed, then a Hash with output size at least $2 \cdot k$ SHOULD be chosen.

Bits of Security $\lg(p)$	

80	512

112		1024
128		1536
192		3840
256		7680

Table 1: Comparable strengths, taken from Table 2 of [[SP800-57](#)]

The KMS Master Secret provides the security for each device provisioned by the KMS. It MUST NOT be revealed to any other entity. Each user's Receiver Secret Key protects the SAKKE communications it receives. This key MUST NOT be revealed to any other entity than the trusted KMS and the authorised user.

In order to ensure that the Receiver Secret Key is received only by an authorised device, it MUST be provided through a secure channel. The security offered by this system is no greater than the security provided by this delivery channel.

Note that IBE systems have different properties than other asymmetric

cryptographic schemes with regards to key recovery. The KMS (and hence any administrator with appropriate privileges) can create Receiver Secret Keys for arbitrary Identifiers, and procedures to monitor the creation of Receiver Secret Keys such as logging of administrator actions SHOULD be defined by any functioning implementation of SAKKE.

Identifiers MUST be defined unambiguously by each application of SAKKE. Note that it is not necessary to hash the data in a format for Identifiers (except in the case where its size would be greater than that of q). In this way any weaknesses that might be caused by collisions in hash functions can be avoided without reliance on the structure of the Identifier format. Applications of SAKKE MAY include a time/date component in their Identifier format to ensure that Identifiers (and hence Receiver Secret Keys) are only valid for a fixed period of time.

The randomness of values stipulated to be selected at random in SAKKE described in this document is essential to the security provided by SAKKE. If the ephemeral value r selected by the Sender is not chosen at random then the SSV, which is used to provide key material for further communications, could be predictable. Guidance on the

generation of random values for security can be found in [[RFC4086](#)].

[8.](#) IANA Considerations

This document has no IANA actions.

[9.](#) References

[9.1.](#) Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC5480] Turner, S., Brown, D., Yiu, K., Housley, R. and T. Polk, "Elliptic Curve Cryptography Subject Public Key Information", [RFC 5480](#), March 2009.
- [RFC6090] McGrew, D., Igoe, K. and M. Salter, "Fundamental Elliptic Curve Cryptography Algorithms", [RFC 6090](#), February 2011.
- [S-K] Sakai, R., Ohgishi, K. and M. Kasahara, "ID based cryptosystem with pairing on elliptic curve", Symposium on Cryptography and Information Security - SCIS, 2003.
- [SK-KEM] Barbosa, M., Chen, L., Cheng, Z., Chimley, M.,

Groves

Informational

[Page 14]

Internet Draft

[draft-groves-sakke-03](#)

Oct 27, 2011

Dent, A., Farshim, P., Harrison, K., Malone-Lee, J., Smart, N. and F. Vercauteren, "SK-KEM: An Identity-Based KEM", submission for IEEE P1363.3, June 2006.
(<http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/1363/IBC/submissions/Barbosa-SK-KEM-2006-06.pdf>)

- [SP800-57] E. Barker, W. Barker, W. Burr, W. Polk and M. Smid, "Recommendation for Key Management - Part 1: General (Revised)," NIST Special Publication 800-57, March 2007.

9.2. Informative References

- [Barreto] Barreto, P., Kim, H., Lynn, B. and M. Scott "Efficient Algorithms for Pairing-Based Cryptosystems", Advances in Cryptology - Crypto 2002, LNCS 2442, Springer-Verlag (2002), pp.354-368.
- [MIKEY-SAKKE] Groves, M., "MIKEY-SAKKE: Sakai-Kasahara Key Exchange in Multimedia Internet KEYing (MIKEY)", [draft-groves-mikey-sakke-03](#) [work in progress], October 2011.
- [Miller] Miller, V., "The Weil pairing, and its efficient calculation", J. Cryptology 17 (2004), 235-261.
- [P1363] IEEE P1363-2000, "Standard Specifications for Public-Key Cryptography," 2001.
- [RFC4086] Eastlake, D., Schiller, J., and S. Crocker, "Randomness Requirements for Security", [BCP 106](#), [RFC 4086](#), June 2005.
- [RFC5091] Boyen, X. and L. Martin, "Identity Based Cryptography Standard (IBCS) #1: Supersingular Curve Implementations of the BF and BB1 Cryptosystems", [RFC 5091](#), December 2007.

[Appendix A](#). Test Data

This appendix provides test data for SAKKE with the public parameters defined in [Appendix A](#) of [\[MIKEY-SAKKE\]](#). "b" represents the Identifier of the Responder. The value "mask" is the value used to mask the SSV, and is defined to be HashToIntegerRange(g^r , 2^n , Hash).

// -----

z = AFF429D3 5F84B110 D094803B 3595A6E2 998BC99F

Zx = 5958EF1B 1679BF09 9B3A030D F255AA6A
23C1D8F1 43D4D23F 753E69BD 27A832F3
8CB4AD53 DDEF4260 B0FE8BB4 5C4C1FF5
10EFFE30 0367A37B 61F701D9 14AEF097
24825FA0 707D61A6 DFF4FBD7 273566CD
DE352A0B 04B7C16A 78309BE6 40697DE7
47613A5F C195E8B9 F328852A 579DB8F9
9B1D0034 479EA9C5 595F47C4 B2F54FF2

Zy = 1508D375 14DCF7A8 E143A605 8C09A6BF
2C9858CA 37C25806 5AE6BF75 32BC8B5B
63383866 E0753C5A C0E72709 F8445F2E
6178E065 857E0EDA 10F68206 B63505ED
87E534FB 2831FF95 7FB7DC61 9DAE6130
1EEACC2F DA3680EA 4999258A 833CEA8F
C67C6D19 487FB449 059F26CC 8AAB655A
B58B7CC7 96E24E9A 39409575 4F5F8BAE

// -----
// Creating Encapsulated Data

b = 3230 31312D30 32007465 6C3A2B34
34373730 30393030 31323300

SSV = 12345678 9ABCDEF0 12345678 9ABCDEF0

r = HashToIntegerRange(
12345678 9ABCDEF0 12345678 9ABCDEF0
32303131 2D303200 74656C3A 2B343437
37303039 30303132 3300, q, SHA-256)

= 13EE3E1B 8DAC5DB1 68B1CEB3 2F0566A4
C273693F 78BAFFA2 A2EE6A68 6E6BD90F
8206CCAB 84E7F42E D39BD4FB 131012EC
CA2ECD21 19414560 C17CAB46 B956A80F
58A3302E B3E2C9A2 28FBA7ED 34D8ACA2
392DA1FF B0B17B23 20AE09AA EDFD0235
F6FE0EB6 5337A63F 9CC97728 B8E5AD04
60FADE14 4369AA5B 21662132 47712096

Rbx = 44E8AD44 AB8592A6 A5A3DDCA 5CF896C7
18043606 A01D650D EF37A01F 37C228C3
32FC3173 54E2C274 D4DAF8AD 001054C7
6CE57971 C6F4486D 57230432 61C506EB
F5BE438F 53DE04F0 67C776E0 DD3B71A6
29013328 3725A532 F21AF145 126DC1D7
77ECC27B E50835BD 28098B8A 73D9F801
D893793A 41FF5C49 B87E79F2 BE4D56CE

Internet Draft

[draft-groves-sakke-03](#)

Oct 27, 2011

```
Rby      = 557E134A D85BB1D4 B9CE4F8B E4B08A12
           BABF55B1 D6F1D7A6 38019EA2 8E15AB1C
           9F76375F DD1210D4 F4351B9A 009486B7
           F3ED46C9 65DED2D8 0DADE4F3 8C6721D5
           2C3AD103 A10EBD29 59248B4E F006836B
           F097448E 6107C9ED EE9FB704 823DF199
           F832C905 AE45F8A2 47A072D8 EF729EAB
           C5E27574 B07739B3 4BE74A53 2F747B86
```

```
g^r      = 7D2A8438 E6291C64 9B6579EB 3B79EAE9
           48B1DE9E 5F7D1F40 70A08F8D B6B3C515
           6F2201AF FBB5CB9D 82AA3EC0 D0398B89
           ABC78A13 A760C0BF 3F77E63D 0DF3F1A3
           41A41B88 11DF197F D6CD0F00 3125606F
           4F109F40 0F7292A1 0D255E3C 0EBCCB42
           53FB182C 68F09CF6 CD9C4A53 DA6C74AD
           007AF36B 8BCA979D 5895E282 F483FCD6
```

```
mask     = HashToIntegerRange(
           7D2A8438 E6291C64 9B6579EB 3B79EAE9
           48B1DE9E 5F7D1F40 70A08F8D B6B3C515
           6F2201AF FBB5CB9D 82AA3EC0 D0398B89
           ABC78A13 A760C0BF 3F77E63D 0DF3F1A3
           41A41B88 11DF197F D6CD0F00 3125606F
           4F109F40 0F7292A1 0D255E3C 0EBCCB42
           53FB182C 68F09CF6 CD9C4A53 DA6C74AD
           007AF36B 8BCA979D 5895E282 F483FCD6, 2^128, SHA-256 )
```

```
= 9BD4EA1E 801D37E6 2AD2FAB0 D4F5BBF7
```

```
H        = 89E0BC66 1AA1E916 38E6ACC8 4E496507
```

```
// -----
// Receiver processing
```

```
// Device receives Kb from KMS
```

```
Kbx      = 93AF67E5 007BA6E6 A80DA793 DA300FA4
           B52D0A74 E25E6E7B 2B3D6EE9 D18A9B5C
           5023597B D82D8062 D3401956 3BA1D25C
           0DC56B7B 979D74AA 50F29FBF 11CC2C93
           F5DFCA61 5E609279 F6175CEA DB00B58C
```

6BEE1E7A 2A47C4F0 C456F052 59A6FA94
A634A40D AE1DF593 D4FECF68 8D5FC678
BE7EFC6D F3D68353 25B83B2C 6E69036B

Kby = 155F0A27 241094B0 4BFB0BDF AC6C670A
65C325D3 9A069F03 659D44CA 27D3BE8D
F311172B 55416018 1CBE94A2 A783320C
ED590BC4 2644702C F371271E 496BF20F
588B78A1 BC01ECBB 6559934B DD2FB65D

Groves

Informational

[Page 17]

Internet Draft

[draft-groves-sakke-03](#)

Oct 27, 2011

2884318A 33D1A42A DF5E33CC 5800280B
28356497 F87135BA B9612A17 26042440
9AC15FEE 996B744C 33215123 5DECB0F5

// Device processes Encapsulated Data

w = 7D2A8438 E6291C64 9B6579EB 3B79EAE9
48B1DE9E 5F7D1F40 70A08F8D B6B3C515
6F2201AF FBB5CB9D 82AA3EC0 D0398B89
ABC78A13 A760C0BF 3F77E63D 0DF3F1A3
41A41B88 11DF197F D6CD0F00 3125606F
4F109F40 0F7292A1 0D255E3C 0EBCCB42
53FB182C 68F09CF6 CD9C4A53 DA6C74AD
007AF36B 8BCA979D 5895E282 F483FCD6

SSV = 12345678 9ABCDEF0 12345678 9ABCDEF0

r = 13EE3E1B 8DAC5DB1 68B1CEB3 2F0566A4
C273693F 78BAFFA2 A2EE6A68 6E6BD90F
8206CCAB 84E7F42E D39BD4FB 131012EC
CA2ECD21 19414560 C17CAB46 B956A80F
58A3302E B3E2C9A2 28FBA7ED 34D8ACA2
392DA1FF B0B17B23 20AE09AA EDFD0235
F6FE0EB6 5337A63F 9CC97728 B8E5AD04
60FADE14 4369AA5B 21662132 47712096

TESTx = 44E8AD44 AB8592A6 A5A3DDCA 5CF896C7
18043606 A01D650D EF37A01F 37C228C3
32FC3173 54E2C274 D4DAF8AD 001054C7
6CE57971 C6F4486D 57230432 61C506EB
F5BE438F 53DE04F0 67C776E0 DD3B71A6
29013328 3725A532 F21AF145 126DC1D7
77ECC27B E50835BD 28098B8A 73D9F801

D893793A 41FF5C49 B87E79F2 BE4D56CE

TESTy = 557E134A D85BB1D4 B9CE4F8B E4B08A12
BABF55B1 D6F1D7A6 38019EA2 8E15AB1C
9F76375F DD1210D4 F4351B9A 009486B7
F3ED46C9 65DED2D8 0DADE4F3 8C6721D5
2C3AD103 A10EBD29 59248B4E F006836B
F097448E 6107C9ED EE9FB704 823DF199
F832C905 AE45F8A2 47A072D8 EF729EAB
C5E27574 B07739B3 4BE74A53 2F747B86

TEST == Rb

// -----
// HashToIntegerRange(M, q, SHA-256) Example

M = 12345678 9ABCDEF0 12345678 9ABCDEF0
32303131 2D303200 74656C3A 2B343437
37303039 30303132 3300

Groves

Informational

[Page 18]

Internet Draft

[draft-groves-sakke-03](#)

Oct 27, 2011

A = E04D4EF6 9DF86893 22B39AE3 80284617
4A93BEDB 1E3D2A2C 5F2C7EA0 05513EBA
h0 = 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000
00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000
h1 = 66687AAD F862BD77 6C8FC18B 8E9F8E20
08971485 6EE233B3 902A591D 0D5F2925
h2 = 2B32DB6C 2C0A6235 FB1397E8 225EA85E
0F0E6E8C 7B126D00 16CCBDE0 E667151E
h3 = 12771355 E46CD47C 71ED1721 FD5319B3
83CCA3A1 F9FCE3AA 1C8CD3BD 37AF20D7
h4 = FE15C0D3 EBE314FA D720A08B 839A004C
2E6386F5 AECC19EC 74807D19 20CB6AEB

v1 = FA2656CA 1D2DBD79 015AE918 773DFEDC
24957C91 E3C9C335 40D6BF6D 7C3C0055

v2 = F016CD67 59620AD7 87669E3A DD887DF6
25895A91 0CEE1486 91A06735 B2F0A248

v3 = AC45C6F9 7F83BCE0 A2BBD0A1 4CF4D7F4
CB3590FB FAF93AE7 1C64E426 185710B5

v4 = E65D50BD 551A54EF 981F535E 072DE98D
2223ACAD 4621E026 3B0A61EA C56DB078

v mod q = 13EE3E1B 8DAC5DB1 68B1CEB3 2F0566A4
C273693F 78BAFFA2 A2EE6A68 6E6BD90F
8206CCAB 84E7F42E D39BD4FB 131012EC
CA2ECD21 19414560 C17CAB46 B956A80F
58A3302E B3E2C9A2 28FBA7ED 34D8ACA2
392DA1FF B0B17B23 20AE09AA EDFD0235
F6FE0EB6 5337A63F 9CC97728 B8E5AD04
60FADE14 4369AA5B 21662132 47712096

// -----

Author's Address

Michael Groves
CESG
Hubble Road
Cheltenham
GL51 8HJ
UK

Email: Michael.Groves@cesg.gsi.gov.uk

Acknowledgement

Groves

Informational

[Page 19]

Internet Draft

[draft-groves-sakke-03](#)

Oct 27, 2011

Funding for the RFC Editor function is provided by the IETF
Administrative Support Activity (IASA).

