

Network Working Group  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Informational  
Expires: April 25, 2020

P. Hallam-Baker  
October 23, 2019

Mathematical Mesh 3.0 Part V: Protocol Reference  
draft-hallambaker-mesh-protocol-03

## Abstract

The Mathematical Mesh 'The Mesh' is an end-to-end secure infrastructure that facilitates the exchange of configuration and credential data between multiple user devices. The core protocols of the Mesh are described with examples of common use cases and reference data.

[Note to Readers]

Discussion of this draft takes place on the MATHMESH mailing list ([mathmesh@ietf.org](mailto:mathmesh@ietf.org)), which is archived at [https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/search/?email\\_list=mathmesh](https://mailarchive.ietf.org/arch/search/?email_list=mathmesh).

This document is also available online at <http://mathmesh.com/Documents/draft-hallambaker-mesh-protocol.html> [1] .

## Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 25, 2020.

Internet-Draft

Mesh Protocol Reference

October 2019

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	Introduction . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	Definitions . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">2.1.</a>	Requirements Language . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">2.2.</a>	Defined Terms . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">2.3.</a>	Related Specifications . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">2.4.</a>	Implementation Status . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	Mesh Service . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">3.1.</a>	Data Model . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">3.2.</a>	Partitioning . . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	Protocol Bindings . . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">4.1.</a>	DNS Web Service Discovery . . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">4.2.</a>	Web Service Protocol Binding . . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">4.2.1.</a>	Transport Security . . . . .	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">4.2.2.</a>	HTTP Message Binding . . . . .	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">4.2.3.</a>	Request . . . . .	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">4.2.4.</a>	Response . . . . .	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">4.3.</a>	DARE Message Encapsulation . . . . .	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">4.3.1.</a>	Null Authentication . . . . .	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">4.3.2.</a>	Device Authentication . . . . .	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">4.3.3.</a>	Profile Authentication . . . . .	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">4.3.4.</a>	Ticket Authentication . . . . .	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">4.4.</a>	Payload Encoding . . . . .	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">4.5.</a>	Error handling and response codes . . . . .	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	Service Description . . . . .	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	Account Management . . . . .	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	Container Synchronization . . . . .	<a href="#">16</a>

<a href="#">7.1.</a>	Status Transaction . . . . .	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">7.2.</a>	Download Transaction . . . . .	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">7.2.1.</a>	Conflict Detection . . . . .	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">7.2.2.</a>	Filtering . . . . .	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">7.3.</a>	Upload Transaction . . . . .	<a href="#">17</a>

<a href="#">8.</a>	Device Connection . . . . .	<a href="#">18</a>
<a href="#">8.1.</a>	Device Authenticated . . . . .	<a href="#">18</a>
<a href="#">8.2.</a>	PIN Authenticated . . . . .	<a href="#">19</a>
<a href="#">8.3.</a>	EARL connection mode . . . . .	<a href="#">19</a>
<a href="#">9.</a>	Mesh Messaging . . . . .	<a href="#">19</a>
<a href="#">9.1.</a>	Message Exchange . . . . .	<a href="#">20</a>
<a href="#">9.1.1.</a>	Client-Service (Post Transaction) . . . . .	<a href="#">20</a>
<a href="#">9.1.2.</a>	Service-Service (Post Transaction) . . . . .	<a href="#">20</a>
<a href="#">9.1.3.</a>	Service-Client (Synchronization) . . . . .	<a href="#">21</a>
<a href="#">10.</a>	Protocol Schema . . . . .	<a href="#">22</a>
<a href="#">10.1.</a>	Request Messages . . . . .	<a href="#">22</a>
<a href="#">10.1.1.</a>	Message: MeshRequest . . . . .	<a href="#">22</a>
<a href="#">10.1.2.</a>	Message: MeshRequestUser . . . . .	<a href="#">22</a>
<a href="#">10.2.</a>	Response Messages . . . . .	<a href="#">22</a>
<a href="#">10.2.1.</a>	Message: MeshResponse . . . . .	<a href="#">23</a>
<a href="#">10.3.</a>	Imported Objects . . . . .	<a href="#">23</a>
<a href="#">10.4.</a>	Common Structures . . . . .	<a href="#">23</a>
<a href="#">10.4.1.</a>	Structure: KeyValue . . . . .	<a href="#">23</a>
<a href="#">10.4.2.</a>	Structure: ConstraintsSelect . . . . .	<a href="#">23</a>
<a href="#">10.4.3.</a>	Structure: ConstraintsData . . . . .	<a href="#">24</a>
<a href="#">10.4.4.</a>	Structure: PolicyAccount . . . . .	<a href="#">24</a>
<a href="#">10.4.5.</a>	Structure: ContainerStatus . . . . .	<a href="#">24</a>
<a href="#">10.4.6.</a>	Structure: ContainerUpdate . . . . .	<a href="#">25</a>
<a href="#">10.5.</a>	Transaction: Hello . . . . .	<a href="#">25</a>
<a href="#">10.5.1.</a>	Message: MeshHelloResponse . . . . .	<a href="#">25</a>
<a href="#">10.6.</a>	Transaction: Complete . . . . .	<a href="#">26</a>
<a href="#">10.6.1.</a>	Message: CompleteRequest . . . . .	<a href="#">26</a>
<a href="#">10.7.</a>	Transaction: Status . . . . .	<a href="#">26</a>
<a href="#">10.7.1.</a>	Message: StatusRequest . . . . .	<a href="#">26</a>
<a href="#">10.7.2.</a>	Message: StatusResponse . . . . .	<a href="#">27</a>
<a href="#">10.8.</a>	Transaction: Download . . . . .	<a href="#">27</a>
<a href="#">10.8.1.</a>	Message: DownloadRequest . . . . .	<a href="#">27</a>
<a href="#">10.8.2.</a>	Message: DownloadResponse . . . . .	<a href="#">27</a>
<a href="#">10.9.</a>	Transaction: Upload . . . . .	<a href="#">28</a>
<a href="#">10.9.1.</a>	Message: UploadRequest . . . . .	<a href="#">28</a>
<a href="#">10.9.2.</a>	Message: UploadResponse . . . . .	<a href="#">28</a>

<a href="#">10.9.3.</a>	Structure: EntryResponse . . . . .	<a href="#">29</a>
<a href="#">10.10.</a>	Transaction: Post . . . . .	<a href="#">29</a>
<a href="#">10.10.1.</a>	Message: PostRequest . . . . .	<a href="#">29</a>
<a href="#">10.10.2.</a>	Message: PostResponse . . . . .	<a href="#">30</a>
<a href="#">10.11.</a>	Transaction: Connect . . . . .	<a href="#">30</a>
<a href="#">10.11.1.</a>	Message: ConnectRequest . . . . .	<a href="#">30</a>
<a href="#">10.11.2.</a>	Message: ConnectResponse . . . . .	<a href="#">30</a>
<a href="#">10.12.</a>	Transaction: CreateAccount . . . . .	<a href="#">30</a>
<a href="#">10.12.1.</a>	Message: CreateRequest . . . . .	<a href="#">31</a>
<a href="#">10.12.2.</a>	Message: CreateResponse . . . . .	<a href="#">31</a>
<a href="#">10.13.</a>	Transaction: DeleteAccount . . . . .	<a href="#">31</a>
<a href="#">10.13.1.</a>	Message: DeleteRequest . . . . .	<a href="#">32</a>

<a href="#">10.13.2.</a>	Message: DeleteResponse . . . . .	<a href="#">32</a>
<a href="#">11.</a>	Security Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">32</a>
<a href="#">12.</a>	IANA Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">32</a>
<a href="#">13.</a>	Acknowledgements . . . . .	<a href="#">32</a>
<a href="#">14.</a>	References . . . . .	<a href="#">32</a>
<a href="#">14.1.</a>	Normative References . . . . .	<a href="#">32</a>
<a href="#">14.2.</a>	Informative References . . . . .	<a href="#">33</a>
<a href="#">14.3.</a>	URIs . . . . .	<a href="#">33</a>
Author's Address	. . . . .	<a href="#">33</a>

## [1.](#) Introduction

This document describes the Mesh Service protocol supported by Mesh Services, an account-based protocol that facilitates exchange of data between devices connected to a Mesh profile and between Mesh accounts.

Mesh Service Accounts support the following services:

- o Provides the master persistence store for the Catalogs and Spools associated with the account.
- o Enables synchronization of Catalogs and Spools with connected devices.
- o Enforces access control on inbound Mesh Messages from other users and other Mesh Services.
- o Authenticates outbound Mesh Messages, certifying that they comply

with abuse mitigation policies.

A Mesh Profile MAY be bound to multiple Mesh Service Accounts at the same time but only one Mesh Service Account is considered to be authoritative at a time. Users may add or remove Mesh Service Accounts and change the account designated as authoritative at any time.

The Mesh Services are build from a very small set of primitives which provide a surprisingly extensive set of capabilities. These primitives are:

Hello Describes the features and options provided by the service and provides a 'null' transaction which MAY be used to establish an authentication ticket without performing any action,

CreateAccount, DeleteAccount Manage the creation and deletion of accounts at the service.

Status, Download, Upload Support synchronization of Mesh containers between the service (Master) and the connected devices (Replicas).

Connect Initiate the process of connecting a device to a Mesh profile from the device itself.

Post Request that a Mesh Message be transferred to one or more Mesh Accounts.

Although these functions could in principle be used to replace many if not most existing Internet application protocols, the principal value of any communication protocol lies in the size of the audience it allows them to communicate with. Thus, while the Mesh Messaging service is designed to support efficient and reliable transfer of messages ranging in size from a few bytes to multiple terabytes, the near-term applications of these services will be to applications that are not adequately supported by existing protocols if at all.

## [2.](#) Definitions

This section presents the related specifications and standard, the terms that are used as terms of art within the documents and the

terms used as requirements language.

## [2.1.](#) Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)] .

## [2.2.](#) Defined Terms

The terms of art used in this document are described in the Mesh Architecture Guide [[draft-hallambaker-mesh-architecture](#)] .

## [2.3.](#) Related Specifications

The architecture of the Mathematical Mesh is described in the Mesh Architecture Guide [[draft-hallambaker-mesh-architecture](#)] . The Mesh documentation set and related specifications are described in this document.

## [2.4.](#) Implementation Status

The implementation status of the reference code base is described in the companion document [[draft-hallambaker-mesh-developer](#)] .

## [3.](#) Mesh Service

A Mesh Service is a minimally trusted service. In particular a user does not need to trust a Mesh service to protect the confidentiality or integrity of most data stored in the account catalogs and spools.

Unless the use of the Mesh Service is highly restricted, a user does need to trust the Mesh Service in certain respects:

**Data Loss** A service could refuse to respond to requests to download data.

**Integrity (Stale Data)** The use of Merkle Trees limits but does not eliminate the ability of a Mesh Service to respond to requests with stale data.

**Messaging** A service could reject requests to post messages to or accept messages from other mesh users.

This risk is a necessary consequence of the fact that the Mesh Service Provider is accountable to other Mesh Service Providers for abuse originating from their service.

**Traffic analysis** A Mesh Service has knowledge of the number of Mesh Messages being sent and received by its users and the addresses to which they are being sent to or received from.

The need to trust the Mesh Service in these respects is mitigated by accountability and the user's ability to change Mesh Service providers at any time they choose with minimal inconvenience.

It is possible that some of these risks will be reduced in future versions of the Mesh Service Protocol but it is highly unlikely that these can be eliminated entirely without compromising practicality or efficiency.

### [3.1.](#) Data Model

The design of the Mesh Service model followed a quasi-formal approach in which the system was reduced to schemas which could in principle be rendered in a formal development method but without construction of proofs.

Like the contents of Mesh Accounts, a Mesh Service may be represented by a collection of catalogs and spools, for example:

**Account Catalog** Contains the account entries.

**Incident Spool** Reports of potential abuse

Backup of the service MAY be implemented using the same container synchronization mechanism used to synchronize account catalogs and spools.

### [3.2.](#) Partitioning

Mesh Services supporting a large number of accounts or large activity volume MAY partition the account catalog between one or more hosts using the usual tiered service model in which a front-end server receives traffic for any account hosted at the server and routes the request to the back-end service that provides the persistence store for that account.

In addition, the Mesh Service Protocol supports a 'direct connection' partitioning model in which devices are given a DNS name which MAY allow for direct connection to the persistence host or to a front-end service offering service that is in some way specific to that account.

## [4.](#) Protocol Bindings

Mesh Service transactions are mapped to an underlying messaging and transport protocol. The following binding

Mesh Services MUST support the Web Service binding specified in this document and MAY support the UDP binding currently in development.

### [4.1.](#) DNS Web Service Discovery

The DNS Web Service discovery mechanism is used to discover Mesh Services regardless of the protocol binding. The service name, DNS prefix and .well-known service suffix are specified as follows:

- o Service Name: mmm
- o DNS Prefix: \_mmm.\_tcp
- o Well Known service suffix: /.well-known/mmm

### [4.2.](#) Web Service Protocol Binding

The Web Service Protocol binding makes use of the most widely deployed and used protocols:

- o Discovery: DNS Service discovery

- o Transport: TLS



- o Application: HTTP
- o Presentation: DARE Message
- o Encoding: JSON, JSON-B

The chief limitations of the Web Service Protocol Binding are that the use of TCP based transport results in unsatisfactory latency for some applications and that the HTTP application layer only serves to allow a host to support multiple services on the same TCP/IP port.

#### [4.2.1.](#) Transport Security

Mesh Services MUST offer TLS transport and MAY offer non TLS transport. MESH clients SHOULD use TLS transport when connecting to a MESH service.

TLS version 1.3 [[RFC8446](#)] or higher MUST be supported. Client authentication SHOULD NOT be used.

#### [4.2.2.](#) HTTP Message Binding

All messages are exchanged as HTTP POST transactions. Support for and use of HTTP/1.1 [[RFC7230](#)] is REQUIRED. Services MAY support HTTP/2.

In contrast to other approaches to the design of Web Services, the only use made of the HTTP transport is to distinguish between different services on the same host using the Host header and .well-known convention and for message framing. No use is made of the URI request line to identify commands, nor are the caching or proxy capabilities of HTTP made use of.

#### [4.2.3.](#) Request

The HTTP request MAY contain any valid HTTP header specified in [[RFC7230](#)] .

Request Line URI /well-known/<service> (unless overridden using a TXT path attribute)

Request Line Method POST

Host: Header <domain>

Content-Encoding As specified in section yy below.

Content-Type As specified in section zz below.

Content-Length or Transfer-Encoding As specified in [\[RFC7230\]](#) .

Payload The content payload as specified in section XX below.

[Note, this is showing the payload, not the binding as is intended because the current code doesn't implement it as intended yet]

```
{  
  "Hello": {}}
```

#### [4.2.4.](#) Response

The response MAY contain any HTTP response header but since JWB services do not make use of HTTP caching and messages are not intended to be modified by HTTP intermediaries, only a limited number of headers have significance:

Response Code The HTTP response code. This is processed as described in section zz below.

Content-Type As specified in section zz below.

Content-Length or Transfer-Encoding As specified in [\[RFC7230\]](#) .

Cache-Control Since the only valid HTTP method for a JWB request is POST, JWB responses are not cacheable. The use of the cache-control header is therefore unnecessary. However, experience suggests that reviewers find it easier to understand protocol specifications if they are reminded of the fact that caching is neither supported nor desired.

[Note, this is showing the payload, not the binding as is intended because the current code doesn't implement it as intended yet]

```
{  
  "MeshHelloResponse": {  
    "Status": 201,  
    "Version": {  
      "Major": 3,  
      "Minor": 0,  
      "Encodings": [{  
        "ID": ["application/json"]}],  
    "EnvelopedProfileService": [{  
      "dig": "S512"},
```

"ewogICJQcm9maWxlU2VydmljZSI6IHsKICAgICJLZXlPZmZsaW5lU2l  
nbmF0dXJlIjogewogICAgICAiVURGIjogIk1BNk0tSEJDQy1DMktULVJJSdCtV

```
kZZQy1YSTVXL TJUV1kiLAogICAgICAiUHVibGljUGFyYW1ldGVycyI6IHsKICA
gICAgICAiUHVibGljS2V5RUNESCI6IHsKICAgICAgICAgICJjcnYiOiAiRWQ0N
DgiLAogICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgIC
xcWd5c28xc2d3TUx0a3M5NTRXOTlnbm1sX2VGNlRzCiAgc01IaUhyZDJEc nFhb
EFHcXpjT1VDYi1BIn19fX19",
{
  "signatures": [{
    "alg": "S512",
    "kid": "MA6M-HBCC-C2KT-RIH7-VFYC-XI5W-2TWY",
    "signature": "VvhWJdlvJvLlo_A5iY9K90edg44iv9gfwvcQ-ydez23a-aALL
r8ukcOLWXVYsCFd8mqfXAcDU3sAVs7UIox3HpHBFe0DCOA2yAUqEe1YMQYria-
THTQHxGC3tEU7LMICSWH0RUvRQtYwRPdJuCvbiSoA"]},
  "PayloadDigest": "ptw0BNt_3p5MUPYELmoe8SeLRKg0o4frMUL-43qbCQiY4
JJkPjR1jSNAjfZvRyWlxsMioYcGAXmMPk-CqIbI4Q"]},
  "EnvelopedProfileHost": [{
    "dig": "S512"},
    "ewogICJQcm9maWxlSG9zdCI6IHsKICAgICJLZXlPZmZsaW5lU2lnbmF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",
{
  "signatures": [{
    "alg": "S512",
    "kid": "MCGG-6KZX-DJAD-SLNV-L46H-PGSO-HRW7",
    "signature": "A-lgHnIUgGu43ceU0dAfHgC_EqzX2FC4Webm5aMwGeqpSad2l
Vtyw5FNCw-LEikhGc0BI7GQHBWAQo_jV5VUJW3euW1o071N5GT_iFM9v99tA4-
lSirwQINFaQpCJloDw8vWBZ_KQkP30mfkK0Qa0T8A"]},
    "PayloadDigest": "wvwhuYak6oflca3tPJh4kYSDP8KiBl7rr1247gNEYjRCg
0YkFswLvB6TnyV1HZwoIdT3CectRkLOSr7StXUaXg"]}]}
```

### [4.3.](#) DARE Message Encapsulation

The payload of the HTTP requests and responses is a DARE Message whose payload contains the Mesh Service request or response.

The DARE Message encapsulation is used to authenticate the request or response data. The form of the authentication depending on the credentials available to the sender at the time the request is made.

Hallam-Baker

Expires April 25, 2020

[Page 10]

---

Internet-Draft

Mesh Protocol Reference

October 2019

Mesh Service MUST support the use of Mutually Authenticated Key Exchange [[draft-hallambaker-mesh-security](#)] to establish the Master Key used for authentication of requests and responses.

Requests and Responses MUST be authenticated. Requests and Responses MUST be encrypted if the transport is not encrypted and MAY be encrypted otherwise.

#### [4.3.1.](#) Null Authentication

Null Authentication MAY be used to make a Hello Request.

The Null Authentication mechanism MUST NOT be used for any Mesh Service request or response other than a Hello request.

Since the Mutually Authenticated key exchange requires both parties to know the public key of the other, it is not possible for a client to authenticate itself to the service until it has obtained the service public key. One means by which the client MAY obtain the service public key is by requesting the service return the credential in a Hello transaction.

#### [4.3.2.](#) Device Authentication

Device Authentication is used in two circumstances

- o When requesting creation of an account
- o When a device is requesting connection to a profile.

#### [4.3.3.](#) Profile Authentication

Profile Authentication has the same form as Device Authentication except that the client provides its Device Connection Assertion as part of the request:

#### [4.3.4.](#) Ticket Authentication

Ticket Authentication is used after a device has obtained an authentication ticket from a service. The ticket is returned in the response to a previous Profile Authentication exchange.

#### [4.4.](#) Payload Encoding

The Dare Message payload of a Hello request MUST be encoded in JSON encoding. The payload of all other requests MUST be in either JSON encoding or one of the encodings advertised as being accepted in a Hello response from the Service. Services MUST accept JSON encoding

and MAY support the JSON-B or JSON-C encodings as specified in this document. Services MUST generate a response that is compatible with the DARE Message Content-Type specified in the request.

JSON was originally developed to provide a serialization format for the JavaScript programming language [[ECMA-262](#)]. While this approach is generally applicable to the type systems of scripting programming languages, it is less well matched to the richer type systems of modern object oriented programming languages such as Java and C#.

Working within a subset of the capabilities of JSON allows a Web Service protocol to be accessed with equal ease from either platform type. The following capabilities of JSON are avoided:

The ability to use arbitrary strings as field names.

The use of JSON objects to define maps directly

The following data field types are used:

Integer Integer values are encoded as JSON number values.

String Text strings are encoded as JSON text strings.

Boolean Boolean values are encoded as JSON 'false', 'true' or 'null'

tokens according to value.

Sequence Sequences of data items that are encoded as JSON arrays

Object of known type Objects whose type is known to the receiver are encoded as JSON objects

Object of variable type Objects whose type is not known to the receiver are encoded as JSON objects containing a single field whose name describes the type of the object value and whose value contains the value.

Binary Data Byte sequences are converted to BASE64-url encoding [[RFC4648](#)] and encoded as JSON string values.

Date Time Date Time values are converted to Internet time format as described in [[RFC3339](#)] and encoded as JSON string values.

#### [4.5.](#) Error handling and response codes

It is possible for an error to occur at any of the three layers in the Web Service binding:

Hallam-Baker

Expires April 25, 2020

[Page 12]

---

Internet-Draft

Mesh Protocol Reference

October 2019

Service Layer

HTTP Layer

Transport Layer

Services SHOULD always attempt to return error codes at the highest level possible. However, it is clearly impossible for a connection that is refused at the Transport layer to return an error code at the HTTP layer. It is however possible for a HTTP layer error response to contain a content body.

In the case that a response contains both a HTTP response code and a well-formed payload containing a response, the payload response SHALL have precedence.

#### [5.](#) Service Description

The Hello transaction is used to determine the features supported by the service and obtain the service credentials

The request payload:

```
{
  "Hello": {}
}
```

The response payload:

```
{
  "MeshHelloResponse": {
    "Status": 201,
    "Version": {
      "Major": 3,
      "Minor": 0,
      "Encodings": [{
        "ID": ["application/json"]}]}],
    "EnvelopedProfileService": [{
      "dig": "S512"},
      "ewogICJQcm9maWxlU2VydmVjZSI6IHsKICAgICJLZXlPZmZsaW5lU2l  
nbmF0dXJlIjogewogICAgICAiVURGIjogIk1BNk0tSEJDQy1DMktULVJJSDctV  
kZZQy1YSTVXLTJUV1kiLAogICAgICAiUHVibGljUGFyYW1ldGVycyI6IHsKICA  
gICAgICAiUHVibGljS2V5RUNESCI6IHsKICAgICAgICAgICJjcnYiOiAiRWQ0N  
DgiLAogICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAg  
xcWd5c28xc2d3TUx0a3M5NTRXOTlnbm1sX2VGNlRzCiAgc01IaUhyZDJEcnFhb  
EFHcXpjT1VDYi1BIn19fX19",
      {
        "signatures": [{
          "alg": "S512",
```

```
    "kid": "MA6M-HBCC-C2KT-RIH7-VFYC-XI5W-2TWY",
    "signature": "VvhWJdlvJvlLo_A5iY9K90edg44iv9gfwvcQ-ydez23a-aALL  
r8ukcOLWXVYsCFd8mqfXAcDU3sAVs7UIox3HpHBF0DCOA2yAUqEe1YMQYria-  
THTQHxGC3tEU7LMICSWH0RUvRQtYwRPdJuCVbISoA"}]],
    "PayloadDigest": "ptw0BNt_3p5MUPYELmoe8SeLRKg0o4frMUL-43qbCQiY4  
JJKpjR1jSNAjfZvRyWlxsMIoYcGAXmMPk-CqIbI4Q"}]],
    "EnvelopedProfileHost": [{
      "dig": "S512"},
      "ewogICJQcm9maWxlSG9zdCI6IHsKICAgICJLZXlPZmZsaW5lU2lnbmF  
0dXJlIjogewogICAgICAiVURGIjogIk1DR0ctNktaWC1ESkFELVNMTlYtTDQ2S  
C1QR1NPLUhSVzciLAogICAgICAiUHVibGljUGFyYW1ldGVycyI6IHsKICAgICA
```

```
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",
{
  "signatures": [{
    "alg": "S512",
    "kid": "MCGG-6KZX-DJAD-SLNV-L46H-PGS0-HRW7",
    "signature": "A-lgHnIUgGu43ceU0dAfHgC_EqzX2FC4Webm5aMwGeqpSad2l
Vtyw5FNCw-LEikhGc0BI7GQHBWAQo_jV5VUJW3euW1o071N5GT_iFM9v99tA4-
lSirwQINFaQpCJloDw8vWBZ_KQkP30mfkK0Qa0T8A"]],
  "PayloadDigest": "vwvhuYak6oflca3tPJh4kYSDP8KiBl7rr1247gNEYjRCg
0YkFswLvB6TnyV1HZwoIdT3CectRkLOSr7StXUaXg"}]}}

```

## 6. Account Management

A Mesh Account is bound to a Mesh Service by completing a CreateAccount transaction with the service.

The client requesting the account creation specifies the ProfileMesh profile describing the requested account and lists of initial entries to populate the devices and contacts catalogs. Additional catalogs MAY be synchronized if the account creation request is accepted.

The request payload:

```

{
  "CreateAccount": {
    "ServiceID": "alice@example.com",
    "SignedProfileMesh": [{
      "dig": "S512"},

```

```

"ewogICJQcm9maWx1TWVzaCI6IHsKICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAg
0dXJlIjogewogICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAg
y1DSkVTLVFMVFIiLAogICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAg
gICAIUHVibGljS2V5RUNESCi6IHsKICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAgICAg

```





```

vd0Vka3Y5R3RXaWhnQVR3N0Zzc1IKICBDe1BHNnFfaFE0Ytd4ei1NU0xqRjNTZ
0EifX19fX0",
{
  "signatures": [{
    "alg": "S512",
    "kid": "MCAD-04YS-FOK7-TJSI-UPOT-EX6T-XHS5",
    "signature": "AQKCDz1mw4wRebixv3N72yz46kz-Wx_NfzEsI7kG9w5Extnvt
rleMy8c9Y3X781uoS0f31dJKiMAdVU2RdYXyhaKohIp3ImKKmi9yIq00LH7ptZ
jGdZsn6Mc1lx59qVwqpQwbAC__hU90NFJYnsXUg4A"}],
  "PayloadDigest": "qB_IQ4LgFEMPdqmYTBH0ISjSXx3a2HFez3PKW07A88wCl
XBK2minR4PrQnCC-bfbvRrZVfm6SekjLV48Ho6gaA"}]}}

```

The response payload:

```

{
  "CreateResponse": {
    "Status": 201,
    "StatusDescription": "Operation completed successfully"}}

```

An account registration is deleted using the DeleteAccount transaction.

## 7. Container Synchronization

All the state associated with a Mesh profile is stored as a sequence of DARE Messages in a Dare Container. The Mesh Service holding the master copy of the persistence stores and the devices connected to the profile containing complete copies (replicas) or partial copies (redactions).

Thus, the only primitive needed to achieve synchronization of the profile state are those required for synchronization of a DARE Container. These steps are:

- o Obtain the status of the catalogs and spools associated with the account.
- o Download catalog and spool updates
- o Upload catalog updates.

To ensure a satisfactory user experience, Mesh Messages are intentionally limited in size to 64 KB or less, thus ensuring that an application can retrieve the most recent 100 messages almost instantaneously on a high bandwidth connection and without undue delay on a slower one.

### [7.1.](#) Status Transaction

The status transaction returns the status of the containers the device is authorized to access for the specified account together with the updated Device Connection Entry if this has been modified since the entry presented to authenticate the request was issued.

### [7.2.](#) Download Transaction

The download transaction returns a collection of entries from one or more containers associated with the profile.

Optional filtering criteria MAY be specified to only return objects matching specific criteria and/or only return certain parts of the selected messages.

The service MAY limit the number of entries returned in an individual response for performance reasons.

#### [7.2.1.](#) Conflict Detection

Clients SHOULD check to determine if updates to a container conflict with pending updates on the device waiting to be uploaded. For example, if a contact that the user modified on the device attempting to synchronize was subsequently deleted.

The means of resolving such conflicts is not in the scope of this specification.

#### [7.2.2.](#) Filtering

Clients may request container updates be filtered to redact catalog entries that have been updated or deleted or spool entries that have been read, deleted or were received before a certain date.

### [7.3.](#) Upload Transaction

The upload transaction upload objects to a catalog or spool.

Multiple objects MAY be uploaded at once. Object updates MAY be

conditional on the successful completion of other upload requests.

The transaction MAY be performed in one request/response round trip or with separate round trips to confirm that the transaction is accepted by the service before sending large number of updates.

## [8.](#) Device Connection

Devices request connection to a Mesh profile using the Connect transaction. Three connection mechanisms are currently defined. All three of which offer strong mutual authentication.

Device Authenticated

Pin Authenticated

EARL Connection Mode

The first two of these mechanisms are initiated from the device being connected which requires that the Mesh Service Account it is being connected to be entered into it. Use of these mechanisms thus requires keyboard and display affordances or accessibility equivalents.

The last mechanism is initiated from an administration device that is already connected to the account. It is intended for use in circumstances where the device being connected does not have the necessary affordances to allow the Device or PIN authenticated modes.

In either case, the connection request is completed by the device requesting synchronization with the Mesh Account using its device credential for authentication. If the connection request was accepted, the device will be provisioned with the Device Connection Assertion allowing it to complete the process.

The Device Connection Assertion includes an overlay device profile containing a set of private key contributions to be used to perform key cogeneration on the original set of device keys to create a new device profile to be used for all purposes associated with the Mesh

Profile to which it has just been connected. This assures the user that the keys the device uses for performing operation in the context of their profile are not affected by any compromise that might have occurred during manufacture or at any point after up to the time it was connected to their profile.

#### [8.1.](#) Device Authenticated

The direct connection mechanism requires that both the administration device and the device originating the connection request have data entry and output affordances and that it is possible for the user to compare the authentication codes presented by the two devices to check that they are identical.

Hallam-Baker

Expires April 25, 2020

[Page 18]

---

Internet-Draft

Mesh Protocol Reference

October 2019

#### [8.2.](#) PIN Authenticated

The PIN Connection mechanism is similar to the Direct connection mechanism except that the process is initiated on an administration device by requesting assignment of a new authentication PIN. The PIN is then input to the connecting device to authenticate the request.

#### [8.3.](#) EARL connection mode

The EARL/QR code connection mechanisms are used to connect a constrained device to a Mesh profile by means of an Encrypted Authenticated Resource Locator, typically presented as a QR code on the device itself or its packaging.

### [9.](#) Mesh Messaging

Mesh Messages provide a means of communication between Mesh Service Accounts with capabilities that are not possible or poorly supported in traditional SMTP mail messaging:

- o End-to-end confidentiality and authentication by default.
- o Abuse mitigation by applying access control to every inbound and outbound message.
- o End-to-end secure group messaging.

- o Transfer of very large data sets (Terabytes).

Note that although Mesh Messaging is designed to facilitate the transfer of very large data sets, the size of Mesh Messages themselves is severely restricted. The current default maximum size being 64 KB. This approach allows Mesh

In addition, the platform anticipates but does not currently support additional cryptographic security capabilities:

- o Traffic analysis resistance using mix networks (Chaum).
- o Simultaneous contract binding using fair contract signing (Micali).

While these capabilities might in time cause Mesh Messaging to replace SMTP, this is not a near term goal. The short-term goal of Mesh Messaging is to support the Contact Exchange and Confirmation applications.

Two important classes of application that are not currently supported directly are payments and presence. While prototypes of these applications have been considered, it is not clear if these are best implemented as special cases of the Confirmation and Contact Exchange applications or as separate applications in their own right.

### [9.1.](#) Message Exchange

To enable effective abuse mitigation, Mesh Messaging enforces a four corner communication model in which all outbound and inbound messages pass through a Mesh Service which accredits and authorizes the messages on the user's behalf.

[[This figure is not viewable in this format. The figure is available at <http://mathmesh.com/Documents/draft-hallambaker-mesh-protocol.html> [2].]]

The Post transaction is used for client-service and service-service

messaging transactions.

#### [9.1.1.](#) Client-Service (Post Transaction)

To send a message, the client creates the Mesh Message structure, encapsulates it in a DARE Message and forwards this to its service using a Post transaction.

The Post transaction is authenticated to the service by device using the usual means of profile or ticket authentication.

The DARE Message MUST be signed under a device signature key accredited by a Device Connection Assertion provided in the message signature block.

#### [9.1.2.](#) Service-Service (Post Transaction)

The Mesh Service receiving the message from the user's device MAY attempt immediate retransmission or queue it to be sent at a future time. Mesh Services SHOULD forward messages without undue delay.

The Post transaction forwarding the message to the destination service carries the same payload as the original request but is authenticated by the service forwarding it. This authentication MAY be by means of either profile or ticket authentication.

#### [9.1.2.1.](#) Denial of Service Mitigation

Services SHOULD implement Denial of Service mitigation strategies including limiting the maximum time taken to complete a transaction and refusing connections from clients that engage in patterns of behavior consistent with abuse.

The limitation in message size allows Mesh Services to aggressively time out connections that take too long to complete a transaction. A Mesh Service that hosted on a 10Mb/s link should be able to transfer 20 messages a second. If the service is taking more than 5 seconds to complete a transaction, either the source or the destination

service is overloaded or the message itself is an attack.

Imposing hard constraints on Mesh Service performance requires deployments to scale and apply resources appropriately. If a service is attempting to transfer 100 messages simultaneously and 40% are taking 4 seconds or more, this indicates that the number of simultaneous transfers being attempted should be reduced. Contrawise, if 90% are completin in less than a second, the number of threads allocated to sending outbound messages might be increased.

#### [9.1.2.2.](#) Access Control

The inbound service MUST subject inbound messages to Access Control according to the credentials presented in the DARE Message payload.

After verifying the signature and checking that the key is properly accredited in accordance with site policy, the service applies authorization controls taking account of:

- o The accreditation of the sender
- o The accreditation of the transmitting Service
- o The type of Mesh Message being sent
- o User policy as specified in their Contact Catalog
- o Site policy.

#### [9.1.3.](#) Service-Client (Synchronization)

The final recipient receives the message by synchronizing their inbound spool.

## [10.](#) Protocol Schema

HTTP Well Known Service Prefix: /.well-known/mmm

Every Mesh Portal Service transaction consists of exactly one request



followed by exactly one response. Mesh Service transactions MAY cause modification of the data stored in the Mesh Service or the Mesh itself but do not cause changes to the connection state. The protocol itself is thus idempotent. There is no set sequence in which operations are required to be performed. It is not necessary to perform a Hello transaction prior to any other transaction.

## [10.1.](#) Request Messages

A Mesh Portal Service request consists of a payload object that inherits from the MeshRequest class. When using the HTTP binding, the request MUST specify the portal DNS address in the HTTP Host field.

### [10.1.1.](#) Message: MeshRequest

Base class for all request messages.

[No fields]

### [10.1.2.](#) Message: MeshRequestUser

Base class for all request messages made by a user.

Inherits: MeshRequest

Inherits: MeshRequest

Account: String (Optional) The fully qualified account name (including DNS address) to which the request is directed.

DeviceProfile: DareEnvelope (Optional) Device profile of the device making the request.

## [10.2.](#) Response Messages

A Mesh Portal Service response consists of a payload object that inherits from the MeshResponse class. When using the HTTP binding, the response SHOULD report the Status response code in the HTTP response message. However the response code returned in the payload object MUST always be considered authoritative.

#### [10.2.1.](#) Message: MeshResponse

Base class for all response messages. Contains only the status code and status description fields.

[No fields]

#### [10.3.](#) Imported Objects

The Mesh Service protocol makes use of JSON objects defined in the JOSE Signature and Encryption specifications and in the DARE Data At Rest Encryption extensions to JOSE.

#### [10.4.](#) Common Structures

The following common structures are used in the protocol messages:

##### [10.4.1.](#) Structure: KeyValue

Describes a Key/Value structure used to make queries for records matching one or more selection criteria.

Key: String (Optional) The data retrieval key.

Value: String (Optional) The data value to match.

##### [10.4.2.](#) Structure: ConstraintsSelect

Specifies constraints to be applied to a search result. These allow a client to limit the number of records returned, the quantity of data returned, the earliest and latest data returned, etc.

Container: String (Optional) The container to be searched.

IndexMin: Integer (Optional) Only return objects with an index value that is equal to or higher than the value specified.

IndexMax: Integer (Optional) Only return objects with an index value that is equal to or lower than the value specified.

NotBefore: DateTime (Optional) Only data published on or after the specified time instant is requested.

Before: DateTime (Optional) Only data published before the specified time instant is requested. This excludes data published at the specified time instant.

**PageKey:** String (Optional) Specifies a page key returned in a previous search operation in which the number of responses exceeded the specified bounds.

When a page key is specified, all the other search parameters except for **MaxEntries** and **MaxBytes** are ignored and the service returns the next set of data responding to the earlier query.

#### [10.4.3.](#) Structure: ConstraintsData

Specifies constraints on the data to be sent.

**MaxEntries:** Integer (Optional) Maximum number of entries to send.

**BytesOffset:** Integer (Optional) Specifies an offset to be applied to the payload data before it is sent. This allows large payloads to be transferred incrementally.

**BytesMax:** Integer (Optional) Maximum number of payload bytes to send.

**Header:** Boolean (Optional) Return the entry header

**Payload:** Boolean (Optional) Return the entry payload

**Trailer:** Boolean (Optional) Return the entry trailer

#### [10.4.4.](#) Structure: PolicyAccount

Describes the account creation policy including constraints on account names, whether there is an open account creation policy, etc.

**Minimum:** Integer (Optional) Specifies the minimum length of an account name.

**Maximum:** Integer (Optional) Specifies the maximum length of an account name.

**InvalidCharacters:** String (Optional) A list of characters that the service does not accept in account names. The list of characters MAY not be exhaustive but SHOULD include any illegal characters in the proposed account name.

#### [10.4.5.](#) Structure: ContainerStatus

Container: String (Optional)

Container: String (Optional)

Hallam-Baker

Expires April 25, 2020

[Page 24]

---

Internet-Draft

Mesh Protocol Reference

October 2019

Index: Integer (Optional)

Index: Integer (Optional)

Digest: Binary (Optional)

#### [10.4.6.](#) Structure: ContainerUpdate

Inherits: ContainerStatus

Inherits: ContainerStatus

Envelopes: DareEnvelope [0..Many] The entries to be uploaded.

#### [10.5.](#) Transaction: Hello

Request: HelloRequest

Request: HelloRequest

Response: MeshHelloResponse

Report service and version information.

The Hello transaction provides a means of determining which protocol versions, message encodings and transport protocols are supported by the service.

The PostConstraints field MAY be used to advise senders of a maximum size of payload that MAY be sent in an initial Post request.

##### [10.5.1.](#) Message: MeshHelloResponse

ConstraintsUpdate: ConstraintsData (Optional) Specifies the default data constraints for updates.

ConstraintsPost: ConstraintsData (Optional) Specifies the default data constraints for message senders.

PolicyAccount: PolicyAccount (Optional) Specifies the account creation policy

EnvelopedProfileService: DareEnvelope (Optional) The enveloped master profile of the service.

EnvelopedProfileHost: DareEnvelope (Optional) The enveloped profile of the host.

Hallam-Baker

Expires April 25, 2020

[Page 25]

---

Internet-Draft

Mesh Protocol Reference

October 2019

#### [10.6.](#) Transaction: Complete

Request: CompleteRequest

Request: CompleteRequest

Response: StatusResponse

##### [10.6.1.](#) Message: CompleteRequest

Inherits: StatusRequest

Inherits: StatusRequest

ServiceID: String (Optional)

#### [10.7.](#) Transaction: Status

Request: StatusRequest

Request: StatusRequest

Response: StatusResponse

##### [10.7.1.](#) Message: StatusRequest

Inherits: MeshRequestUser

Inherits: MeshRequestUser

DeviceUDF: String (Optional)

DeviceUDF: String (Optional)

ProfileMasterDigest: Binary (Optional)

ProfileMasterDigest: Binary (Optional)

Catalogs: String [0..Many]

Catalogs: String [0..Many]

Spools: String [0..Many]

#### [10.7.2.](#) Message: StatusResponse

Inherits: MeshResponse

Inherits: MeshResponse

EnvelopedProfileMaster: DareEnvelope (Optional) The master profile that provides the root of trust for this Mesh

EnvelopedCatalogEntryDevice: DareEnvelope (Optional) The catalog device entry

ContainerStatus: ContainerStatus [0..Many]

#### [10.8.](#) Transaction: Download

Request: DownloadRequest

Request: DownloadRequest

Response: DownloadResponse

Request objects from the specified container with the specified search criteria.

#### [10.8.1.](#) Message: DownloadRequest

Inherits: MeshRequestUser

Request objects from the specified container(s).

A client MAY request only objects matching specified search criteria be returned and MAY request that only specific fields or parts of the payload be returned.

Select: ConstraintsSelect [0..Many] Specifies constraints to be applied to a search result. These allow a client to limit the number of records returned, the quantity of data returned, the earliest and latest data returned, etc.

ConstraintsPost: ConstraintsData (Optional) Specifies the data constraints to be applied to the responses.

#### [10.8.2.](#) Message: DownloadResponse

Inherits: MeshResponse

Return the set of objects requested.

Services SHOULD NOT return a response that is disproportionately large relative to the speed of the network connection without a clear indication from the client that it is relevant. A service MAY limit the number of objects returned. A service MAY limit the scope of each response.

Updates: ContainerUpdate [0..Many] The updated data

#### [10.9.](#) Transaction: Upload

Request: UploadRequest

Request: UploadRequest

Response: UploadResponse

Request objects from the specified container with the specified search criteria.

#### [10.9.1.](#) Message: UploadRequest

Inherits: MeshRequestUser

Upload entries to a container. This request is only valid if it is issued by the owner of the account

Updates: ContainerUpdate [0..Many] The data to be updated

Self: DareEnvelope [0..Many] Entries to be added to the inbound spool on the account, e.g. completion messages.

#### [10.9.2.](#) Message: UploadResponse

Inherits: MeshResponse

Response to an upload request.

Entries: EntryResponse [0..Many] The responses to the entries.

ConstraintsData: ConstraintsData (Optional) If the upload request contains redacted entries, specifies constraints that apply to the redacted entries as a group. Thus the total payloads of all the messages must not exceed the specified value.

#### [10.9.3.](#) Structure: EntryResponse

IndexRequest: Integer (Optional) The index value of the entry in the request.

IndexContainer: Integer (Optional) The index value assigned to the entry in the container.



Result: String (Optional) Specifies the result of attempting to add the entry to a catalog or spool. Valid values for a message are 'Accept', 'Reject'. Valid values for an entry are 'Accept', 'Reject' and 'Conflict'.

ConstraintsData: ConstraintsData (Optional) If the entry was redacted, specifies constraints that apply to the redacted entries as a group. Thus the total payloads of all the messages must not exceed the specified value.

#### [10.10.](#) Transaction: Post

Request: PostRequest

Request: PostRequest

Response: PostResponse

Request to post to a spool from an external party. The request and response messages are extensions of the corresponding messages for the Upload transaction. It is expected that additional fields will be added as the need arises.

##### [10.10.1.](#) Message: PostRequest

Inherits: MeshRequest

Inherits: MeshRequest

Accounts: String [0..Many] The account(s) to which the request is directed.

Message: DareEnvelope [0..Many] The entries to be uploaded. These MAY be either complete messages or redacted messages. In either case, the messages MUST conform to the ConstraintsUpdate specified by the service

Self: DareEnvelope [0..Many] Messages to be appended to the user's self spool. this is typically used to post notifications to the user to mark messages as having been read or responded to.

#### [10.10.2.](#) Message: PostResponse

Inherits: UploadResponse

[No fields]

#### [10.11.](#) Transaction: Connect

Request: ConnectRequest

Request: ConnectRequest

Response: ConnectResponse

Request information necessary to begin making a connection request.

##### [10.11.1.](#) Message: ConnectRequest

Inherits: MeshRequest

Inherits: MeshRequest

MessageConnectionRequestClient: DareEnvelope (Optional) The connection request generated by the client

##### [10.11.2.](#) Message: ConnectResponse

Inherits: MeshResponse

Inherits: MeshResponse

EnvelopedConnectionResponse: DareEnvelope (Optional) The connection request generated by the client

EnvelopedProfileMaster: DareEnvelope (Optional) The master profile that provides the root of trust for this Mesh

EnvelopedAccountAssertion: DareEnvelope (Optional) The current account assertion

#### [10.12.](#) Transaction: CreateAccount

Request: CreateRequest

Request: CreateRequest

Response: CreateResponse

Internet-Draft

Mesh Protocol Reference

October 2019

Request creation of a new service account.

Attempt

#### [10.12.1](#). Message: CreateRequest

Request binding of an account to a service address.

Inherits: MeshRequest

Inherits: MeshRequest

ServiceID: String (Optional) The service account to bind to.

SignedProfileMesh: DareEnvelope (Optional) The persistent profile that will be used to validate changes to the account assertion.

SignedAssertionAccount: DareEnvelope (Optional) The signed assertion describing the account.

#### [10.12.2](#). Message: CreateResponse

Inherits: MeshResponse

Reports the success or failure of a Create transaction.

Reason: String (Optional) Text explaining the status of the creation request.

URL: String (Optional) A URL to which the user is directed to complete the account creation request.

#### [10.13](#). Transaction: DeleteAccount

Request: DeleteRequest

Request: DeleteRequest

Response: DeleteResponse

Request deletion of a new service account.

Attempt

#### [10.13.1.](#) Message: DeleteRequest

Request creation of a new portal account. The request specifies the requested account identifier and the Mesh profile to be associated with the account.

Inherits: MeshRequestUser

[No fields]

#### [10.13.2.](#) Message: DeleteResponse

Inherits: MeshResponse

Reports the success or failure of a Delete transaction.

[No fields]

### [11.](#) Security Considerations

The security considerations for use and implementation of Mesh services and applications are described in the Mesh Security Considerations guide [[draft-hallambaker-mesh-security](#)] .

### [12.](#) IANA Considerations

All the IANA considerations for the Mesh documents are specified in this document

### [13.](#) Acknowledgements

A list of people who have contributed to the design of the Mesh is presented in [[draft-hallambaker-mesh-architecture](#)] .

### [14.](#) References

## 14.1. Normative References

### [draft-hallambaker-mesh-architecture]

Hallam-Baker, P., "Mathematical Mesh 3.0 Part I: Architecture Guide", [draft-hallambaker-mesh-architecture-10](#) (work in progress), August 2019.

### [draft-hallambaker-mesh-security]

Hallam-Baker, P., "Mathematical Mesh Part VII: Security Considerations", [draft-hallambaker-mesh-security-01](#) (work in progress), July 2019.

Hallam-Baker

Expires April 25, 2020

[Page 32]

---

Internet-Draft

Mesh Protocol Reference

October 2019

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997.

[RFC3339] Klyne, G. and C. Newman, "Date and Time on the Internet: Timestamps", [RFC 3339](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3339, July 2002.

[RFC4648] Josefsson, S., "The Base16, Base32, and Base64 Data Encodings", [RFC 4648](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4648, October 2006.

[RFC7230] Fielding, R. and J. Reschke, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Message Syntax and Routing", [RFC 7230](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7230, June 2014.

[RFC8446] Rescorla, E., "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.3", [RFC 8446](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8446, August 2018.

## 14.2. Informative References

### [draft-hallambaker-mesh-developer]

Hallam-Baker, P., "Mathematical Mesh: Reference Implementation", [draft-hallambaker-mesh-developer-08](#) (work in progress), April 2019.

### [ECMA-262]

Ecma International, "ECMAScript(R) 2017 Language Specification", June 2017.

## 14.3. URIs

- [1] <http://mathmesh.com/Documents/draft-hallambaker-mesh-protocol.html>
- [2] <http://mathmesh.com/Documents/draft-hallambaker-mesh-protocol.html>

Author's Address

Phillip Hallam-Baker

Email: [phill@hallambaker.com](mailto:phill@hallambaker.com)