Network Working Group   J. Haluska
Internet Draft   Telcordia
Intended status: Informational   R. Ahern
Expires: February 2012   AT&T Customer Information Services
                                                         Marty Cruze
                                                         CenturyLink
                                                         C. Blackwell
                                                         Verizon
                                                    August 15, 2011

Considerations for Information Services and Operator Services Using
                                 SIP
              draft-haluska-sipping-directory-assistance-11.txt

Status of this Memo

   This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with
   the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

   This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with
   the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79. This document may not be
   modified, and derivative works of it may not be created, and it may
   not be published except as an Internet-Draft.

   This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with
   the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79. This document may not be
   modified, and derivative works of it may not be created, except to
   publish it as an RFC and to translate it into languages other than
   English.

   Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering
   Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that
   other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-
   Drafts.

   Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six
   months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents
   at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference
   material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at
http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at
http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html

This Internet-Draft will expire on February 15, 2009.

Copyright Notice

Abstract

Information Services are services whereby information is provided in
response to user requests, and may include involvement of a human or
automated agent. A popular existing Information Service is Directory
Assistance (DA). Moving ahead, Information Services providers
envision exciting multimedia services that support simultaneous
voice and data interactions with full operator backup at any time
during the call. Information Services providers are planning to
migrate to SIP based platforms, which will enable such advanced
services, while continuing to support traditional DA services.

Operator Services are traditional PSTN services which often involve
providing human or automated assistance to a caller, and often
require the specialized capabilities traditionally provided by an
operator services switch. Market and/or regulatory factors in some
jurisdictions dictate that some subset of Operator Services continue
to be provided going forward.

This document aims to identify how Operator and Information Services
can be implemented using existing or currently proposed SIP
mechanisms, to identity existing protocol gaps, and to provide a set
of Best Current Practices to facilitate interoperability. For

Operator Services, the intention is to describe how current operator
services can continue to be provided to PSTN based subscribers via a
SIP based operator services architecture. It also looks at how
current operator services might be provided to SIP based subscribers

via such an architecture, but does not consider the larger question
of the need for or usefulness or suitability of each of these
services for SIP based subscribers.

This document addresses the needs of current Operator and
Information Services providers; as such, the intended audience
includes vendors of equipment and services to such providers.

Table of Contents

[1](#). Introduction

   Information Services are services whereby information is provided in
   response to user requests. This may include involvement of a human
   or automated agent. Information Services may include call completion
   to a requested telephone number and other extensions provided on
   behalf of the owner of the information, such as assistance with
   purchases. The users normally access the Information Services by
   dialing an appropriate dialing sequence and verbally requesting an
   operator or automated system for the information. Examples of such
   dialing sequences for directory assistance currently include "411"
   or "1-NPA-555-1212" in North America, or "118xxx" in many European
   countries. Dialing sequences for operator services in North America
   often include "0" either by itself or as a prefix. In Europe the

   dialing sequence varies by country, but may include "00", or "100"
   plus additional digits depending on the service being requested. The
   users may also request information through other access methods,
   such as chat (IM), email, Web (HTTP) or SMS initiated requests. The
   Information may be delivered to the user via any mode, such as
   verbal announcements, chat (IM), email, Web (HTTP), MMS, or SMS.

   A popular existing Information Service is Directory Assistance (DA).
   DA is a well known service in today's PSTN, and is generally
   identified with "411" or "NPA-555-1212" type services in North
   America. Today's DA services provide a user with telephone number
   associated with a name and locality provided by the user, can
   complete the call for the user, and can send SMS with the listing to
   the user's wireless phone. Other Information Services provide the
   user with a wide range of information, such as movie listings and
   the weather.

   Moving ahead, Information Services providers envision exciting
   multimedia services that support simultaneous voice and data
   interactions with full operator backup at any time during the call.
   For instance, a directions Information Service may announce and
   display directions to the requested listing, with the option for the
   caller to request transfer to an operator with the latest call
   context information.

   Operator Services are traditional PSTN services which often involve
   providing human or automated assistance to a caller, and often
   require the specialized capabilities traditionally provided by an

operator services switch. Market and/or regulatory factors in some
jurisdictions dictate that some subset of Operator Services continue
to be provided going forward. Some examples of such services include
collect calls, third party billed calls, and busy line verification.

Operator and Information Services providers are planning to migrate
to SIP based platforms, which will enable such advanced services,
while continuing to support traditional DA services.

Implementing Operator and Information Services with SIP will require
the exchange of certain information, and possibly the use of
specialized capabilities which are not normally required for other
types of calls. This document aims to identify such information, and
stimulate discussion about how this information could be exchanged.
Existing mechanisms will be used where appropriate, and currently
existing proposals will be favored over new extensions.

Some of the services discussed in this document are based on
Operator Services offered in North America. Also, many of the

signaling issues described are based on North American PSTN
signaling. However, the ideas in this document are not intended to
be exclusive to North America, and are intended to be useful in
other environments as well.

For Operator Services, the intention is to describe how current
operator services can continue to be provided to PSTN based
subscribers via a SIP based operator services architecture. It also
looks at how current operator services might be provided to SIP
based subscribers via such an architecture, but does not consider
the larger question of the need for or usefulness or suitability of
each of these services in such an environment. Specifically, many of
the constraints and assumptions regarding access to wireline
services via a copper loop, under which services such as Busy Line
Verification, Interrupt, and services where the operator controls
the "line" make sense, do not have natural parallels in a SIP based
environment. Some of these services are treated here for
completeness.

A basic architecture utilizing an application server as the primary
controller, performing third party call control to route incoming
calls among media servers, operator workstations, etc. is described.
Interface to the PSTN is described using PSTN gateways which

interwork between ISUP or MF signaling and SIP.

Operator services in the North American PSTN often utilize MF
trunks. As there is currently no specific specification for MF/SIP
interworking, we assume that the PSTN gateway performs an internal
MF to ISUP translation.

The use of existing SIP mechanisms is described where possible. Some
of the main mechanisms described include third party call control,
the REFER method with several extensions (e.g. Replaces), the Join
header, Netann, and some of the ongoing work in the MEDIACTRL
working group.

It is assumed that appropriate business relationships are in place
between involved providers, and that the providers involved have
trust relationships as described in [RFC3325]. In other words, this
document does not assume general operation on the open internet, but
rather between sets of providers with appropriate business and trust
relationships. Individual providers may decide to provide handling
for other requests, but this is beyond the scope of this document.

2. Protocol Gaps

As indicated above, one of the purposes of this document is to identify
gaps in existing protocols, with respect to implementing Directory
Assistance and Operator Services in SIP. Several gaps have been
identified, and these are listed in this section of the document for
convenience to the reader. These include:

   o Charge Number

   o Coin Deposit Tones

   o Carrier Information: ISUP TNS, CIP, and CSI parameters, and
      "cic", "dai" tel URI parameters

3. Terminology

This section defines terms that will be used to discuss Information and Operator Services.

"0-" ("zero minus") Dialing - Invocation of Operator Services by dialing "0" with no further digits.

"0+" ("Zero Plus") Dialing - Invocation of Operator Services by dialing "0" followed by a phone number.

Application Server (AS) - An Application Server is a server providing value added services. It controls SIP sessions on behalf of the services supported by the service provider's network.

Back End Automation - Back End Automation refers to automation of the function that provides listing information to the caller. This includes playing a verbal announcement with the listing information, and may also include prompting the user for additional service requests (e.g., call completion service).

Branding - Branding is a service where customized announcements are provided to the caller to identify the service provider. For example, if the service is provided to a Home Provider's subscribers by a third party provider, branded service might include a message thanking them for using that Home Provider. Thus the user experience is that the service is provided by their Home Provider rather than some third party. Branding can be influenced by a number of factors, including but not limited to the identity of the caller's Home Provider, or of other providers involved in the call.

Call Completion - Call Completion is a service where a call is initiated by the provider on behalf of the user. For example, in the DA service, once the DA provider has identified the requested listing, it may offer to complete the call for the caller, usually for some additional fee. This relieves the user from having to remember the number and then dial it.

DA Provider - The DA provider is the provider of DA services to end users. Since DA services are a subset of IS services, a DA provider is also an IS provider, and the definition of IS provider holds true for DA provider, except that the scope of services is limited to DA services.

Front End Automation - Front End Automation refers to automation of
the initial customer contact, whereby a branded announcement may be
played, a prompt is played to the user, and the user's spoken
request is recorded. Speech recognition and querying for the listing
information are performed as part of front end automation.

Home Provider - The service provider who is responsible for
providing voice services to the calling customer. This is the
service provider that has the business relationship with the calling
customer. The identity of the home provider influences call
processing treatment, such as branding and operator queue selection.

Home Subscriber Server (HSS) - The Home Subscriber Server is an IMS
network element similar to a Home Location Register. It is a
database containing information about the subscriber, user
equipment, filter criteria for call processing triggers, etc.

Information Services (IS) Provider - The IS provider is the provider
of Information Services to end users. The Information Services
provider provides retail services directly to end users, and
provides wholesale services to other service providers.

Intermediate Provider - In the context of this document, an
Intermediate Provider is a provider which has agreements with home
providers to handle OIS requests, and with OISPs which actually
provide the requested services. Note that some home providers will
have direct relationships with OISPs, rather than using an
Intermediate Provider. Intermediate Providers are the targets of SIP
requests from home providers since they are involved when a home
provider does not have a direct relationship with an OISP.
Intermediate Providers perform retargeting of received SIP requests
toward the OISP. Intermediate providers make service level
decisions, such as receiving requests for a service (such as DA
calls) from other networks, deciding which provider will actually

provide the service, and forwarding the request to that provider,
retargeting the Request-URI as necessary.

Layer 3 connectivity - This refers to IP connectivity, for example
as provided by an Internet Service Provider or Managed IP service
provider. If one entity has Layer 3 connectivity to another entity,
then it can route packets to that entity. This does not imply
anything about any physical path between the entities. Nor does it

imply any application layer connectivity between the entities.

Media Server – A Media Server is a general-purpose platform for executing real-time media processing tasks. Examples of typical functions performed by media servers include playing announcements, collecting speech and/or DTMF digits, and performing conferencing functions.

Operator and Information Services Provider (OISP) – In this document, this term refers to an Information Services Provider, Directory Assistance Provider, or Operator Services Provider, depending on the context. This term is used for brevity. We are also defining this to be an adjective, thus "OISP services" is a convenient, intuitive way to say "Operator and Information Services".

Operator Services – Traditional PSTN services which often involve providing human or automated assistance to a caller, and often require the specialized capabilities traditionally provided by an operator services switch. Some examples of such services include collect calls, third party billed calls, and busy line verification.

Retail OIS service – A retail OIS service is one which is provided to a user by the user's Home Provider.

SIP Layer connectivity – When two SIP service providers interconnect for the purpose of exchanging SIP sessions or calls, they are said to have SIP layer connectivity to one another.

Time Division Multiplexed (TDM) Local Exchange Carriers (LECs) – ATDM LEC provides local exchange service to end users utilizing TDM-based switching systems.

Transit Provider – In the context of this document, a transit provider simply "moves calls", and has no concept of OIS services. It may perform SIP rerouting of the request, but does not perform SIP retargeting. Such a provider is used when a provider cannot directly route calls to another provider. For example, an Intermediate Provider might use a Transit Provider if for some

reason (e.g. error condition) it cannot route a call directly to an OISP. This is in contrast to an Intermediate Provider (see definition earlier in this section).

Whisper - During front end automation, the OIS-MS will record and
    may time compress the caller's perhaps meandering speech into what
    is known as the "Whisper". This is intended to be played into a
    human operator's ear, should the call be referred to an operator, to
    avoid the operator from having to prompt the caller again. The
    whisper is obtained during the front end automation, and saved as an
    audio file.

    Wholesale OIS service -A Wholesale OIS Service is one which is
    provided to a user by a Service Provider other than the user's Home
    Provider.

    Zero Minus ("0-") Dialing - Invocation of Operator Services by
    dialing "0" with no further digits.

    Zero Plus ("0+") Dialing - Invocation of Operator Services by
    dialing "0" followed by a phone number.

[4](). High Level Requirements

    In addition to all-IP scenarios, it must be possible to support
    interworking with existing PSTN and wireless based providers, via
    both SS7 and MF interconnections.

    It must be possible to support collection of usage information. This
    includes both online and offline usage information. It must be
    possible to perform usage collection for all actions associated with
    a particular call, and further to be able to correlate actions
    across multiple provider elements and across providers.

    It must be possible to support multiple Operator and Information
    Services Providers (OISPs) per originating provider. The choice as
    to which OISP to be used could be on a per subscriber basis, or on
    other criteria.

    It must be possible to support multiple OISP providers per call. For
    example, one provider might be used for front end automation, and
    another used for operator assistance.

It must be possible to provide an automated announcement to the
user, and prompt the user for the type of query and query
information.

It must be possible to pass a "whisper" to the operator workstation.

It must be possible to connect the user to a human operator.

It must be possible to provide an automated announcement of the
requested information.

It must be possible to prompt the user for call completion.

It must be possible to perform call completion.

It must be possible to support the case where OIS services are
provided by the caller's Home Provider. This scenario is known in
the OIS industry as the Retail scenario. In this case, the caller's
Home Provider is also an OISP, and provides OIS service to its own
subscribers. This is illustrated in the following figure:

```
+--------+    +-------------------+
| Caller |----| Home      +------+ |
|        |    | Provider  | OISP | |
|        |    |           +------+ |
+--------+    +-------------------+
```

          Figure 1 Services Provider by Home Provider

It must be possible to support the case where OIS services are
provided by a direct third party provider. In this scenario, the
OISP is a third party service provider, and there is direct SIP
layer connectivity as well as business relationships between the
calling user's provider and the OISP. This is illustrated in the
following figure:

```
+--------+    +----------+   +------+
| Caller |----| Home     |---| OISP |
|        |    | Provider |   |      |
+--------+    +----------+   +------|
```

        Figure 2 Services Provider by a Direct Third Party Provider

It must be possible to support the case where services are provided
by an indirect third party provider. In this scenario, the OISP is a
third party provider, but the caller's Home Provider does not have
direct SIP connectivity to the OISP. Further, it's possible that it
has no business relationship with the OISP. The caller's provider
routes the call to a provider with whom it does have a relationship,
referred to in this document as an "intermediate provider", and this
intermediate provider in turn routes either to the OISP, with which
it has a relationship, or there could be multiple intermediate
providers. This is illustrated in the following figure:

```
+--------+      +--------+      +---------+     +------+
| Caller |      |Home     |      | Inter-   |     | OISP |
|        |----|Provider|---|  mediate |---|      |
|        |      |        |      | Provider|     |      |
|        |      |  (A)    |      |   (B)    |     | (C)  |
+--------+      +--------+      +---------+     +------+
```

        Figure 3 Services Provided by an Indirect Third Party Provider

It must be possible to support the case where transit providers are
included between any other providers involved in the call. The
transit provider only "moves calls" between other providers, and is
involved in no other way with OIS services. I.e., it simply forwards
the call towards the destination, without making any service level
decisions, in contrast to an Intermediate provider as described
previously. This is illustrated in the following figure:

```
+--------+      +--------+      +--------+      +---------+     +------+
| Caller |      |Home     |      |Transit |      | Inter-   |     | OISP |
|        |----|Provider|---|Provider|---|  mediate |---|      |
|        |      |        |      |        |      | Provider|     |      |
|        |      |  (A)    |      |  (B)    |      |   (C)    |     | (D)  |
+--------+      +--------+      +--------+      +---------+     +------+
```

            Figure 4 Involvement of a Transit Provider

It must be possible to support both Information Services as well as
Operator Services. Examples of Operator services include Operator

Intercept, Busy Line Verification, Call Restrictions, etc.

4.1. Potential Future Requirements

   The following are potential future requirements.

   Operation via the general internet, not specific to any particular
   SDO's architecture, and not depending on any protocol extensions
   specific to those architectures, should be supported.

   It must be possible to support non voice initiated Information
   Services requests. Possible examples include chat (IM), email, Web
   (HTTP) or SMS initiated requests. In the case that the subscriber
   makes a purchase via some online auction service, that subscriber
   can via IM or email request the assistance of an operator.

   It must be possible to provide an application interface to other
   types of systems. An example could be a web based API, so that once
   some online search engine has located some business listing, the
   services of the Information Services provider could be invoked by
   the user from the web page.

   It must be possible to support IPTV interactive services. As
   multiple services such as IM and telephony are integrated with IPTV,
   it must be possible to initiate Information Services requests in
   this context as well.

5. Information Services

   Information Services (IS) are services whereby information is
   provided in response to user requests. This may include involvement
   of a human or automated agent. Usually, the user accesses the
   Information Service by placing a voice call to the automated
   Information Service and verbally requests the information, such as
   phone number, movie listings, weather, etc. Frequently, a live
   operator is attached to recognize the user's verbal request.
   Sometimes, the user can utilize other access methods, such as SMS,
   IM, or HTTP-initiated requests. These additional methods are not

currently covered in this document.

Information Services are often provided on a wholesale basis to Home
Providers, and include features such as branded announcements.

Directory Assistance (DA) is a specific type of Information Service
whereby end users request a telephone number for an entity.

Purchase services and Concierge services facilitate the Information
Services operator providing assistance to the caller after the
listing has been announced and perhaps call completion performed.
The call is routed to an Information Services operator who interacts
with the customer, offering to help make a purchase. Concierge
service is similar; the Information Services operator offers to make
e.g. restaurant reservations for the caller.

The following represents a list of representative steps taken during
the course of a typical DA request and identifies a set of required
high level capabilities.

1. Initial recognition of an OIS call. At some point, the call needs
to be identified as an OIS call, and appropriate routing or other
logic must be invoked in order to fulfill the request. This could be
based on any number of criteria, including but not limited to
analysis of the "address information" - e.g. the digits or Request-
URI emitted by the caller's UA. This could occur at any number of
places - e.g. in the caller's UA, in a proxy in the home provider,
or in some downstream element.

2. Identification of the requested service. There are many possible
OIS services, and the number of these is only expected to increase
as providers respond to customer needs. At some point during call
processing it is necessary to identify exactly which service is
desired. For example "directory assistance with call completion" is
a service where after the listing information is provided to the
caller, the option is provided for the call to be placed
automatically, so the customer need not hang up, remember the
digits, and dial the number. Another example is "directory
assistance only", where call completion is not offered. There are
multiple factors which could affect the service which is to be
offered, and the logic deciding this could be located anywhere along

the path to the OIS provider. Some of the information required to
make such decisions could include:

   o   The digits dialed by the caller.

   o   The Request-URI emitted by the caller's UA.

   o   The identity of the calling party, for instance the calling
        party number.

   o   The charge number associated with the caller's account.

   o   The identity of the calling party's home provider.

   o   The identity of the provider which directly hands off the call
        to the OISP.

   o   The identity of other provider which the request might
        traverse

   o   The Originating Station Type, in case the call was originated
        in the PSTN.

   o   Trunk group information, in case the call was originated in
        the PSTN.

   o   Capabilities and characteristics of the caller's user
        equipment.

3. Routing of the OIS call. The call must be routed towards an
entity which can provide the requested service. Each entity or
network handling the call routes it based on the logic located in
that provider, and the information currently available. For
instance, the home provider may know very little about OIS services,
having farmed that service out to another provider. Consequently it
might simply route all such calls towards the OIS provider, which
decides which service is to be offered.

4. Authentication. When one provider passes a call to another
provider, there is a need for the providers involved to be sure of

each other's identity. This might be through explicit security
mechanisms such as mutual TLS or security gateways using IPSec
tunnel mode, it might be through reliance on a closed set of trusted
interconnected providers, or some other policy set by the providers
involved.

5. Receipt of the OIS call. The OIS provider needs to be able to
receive such calls.

6. Querying upstream providers for information. The OISP, or an
intermediate provider may require information from an upstream
provider. For instance, the capabilities and characteristics of the
caller's equipment may be needed in order to influence call
processing.

7. Selection of automated voice platform. When it has been
determined that the call must be routed to an automated voice
platform, there are a number of factors to be taken into account to
determine an appropriate, available platform for the call. It must

be possible to determine an appropriate, available automated voice
platform to which the call should be routed.

8. Connection of caller to automated voice platform. The OISP must
be able to connect the caller to an appropriate automated voice
platform.

9. Provision of branded announcements. The OISP must be capable of
providing custom announcements to the caller based on a number of
criteria. For example, it might greet the caller, thanking them for
using their Home Provider's service. Though the service is actually
provided by the OISP, business arrangements would dictate such
branded announcements.

10. Query the caller. The OISP must be capable of playing a voice
request to the customer asking them for the listing. For example
"Name and city, please."

11. Recording a spoken request. The OISP must be capable of
recording the caller's spoken request. This both for speech
recognition, and also for playing back the "whisper" to a human
operator should one be required, to prevent having to ask the
customer to repeat the request.

12. Speech recognition. The OISP must be able to pass the caller's spoken request to speech recognition system, suitable for querying a listing database.

13. Listing database query. The OISP must be capable of querying one or more listings databases using the request.

14. Decide to use human operator if listing query fails. If the listing query fails, or the speech recognition fails, the OISP must be able to decide to send the call to a human operator.

15. Selection of appropriate operator. When it has been determined that the call must be routed to a human operator, there are a number of factors to be taken into account to determine the appropriate operator for the call. It must be possible to determine an available, appropriate human operator to which the call should be routed.

16. Routing of call to operator workstation. Once the appropriate operator has been identified, the call must be routed to that operator's workstation.

17. Whisper. Once the operator answers the call, the previously recorded request should be played to the operator as a "whisper", prior to connecting the caller to the operator.

18. Connection of caller to operator. Once the operator has heard the whisper, the caller can be connected to the human operator. The operator queries the caller for the request, and initiates a query to the listing database.

19. Playing listing information. Once the listing information is returned from the database, the caller must be connected to a media resource which speaks the listing information to the caller.

20. Prompting for call completion. If the identified service includes call completion, the caller should be prompted for this service, for example by pressing some DTMF key. In such a case, the AS would instruct the MS to prompt the user, and collect any DTMF stimulus from the user. The MS would do so, and would report back to

the AS whether the DTMF stimulus was received.

21. Call completion. If the caller requests call completion, the
call should be automatically initiated for the caller.

6. Operator Services

Operator Services are traditional PSTN services which often involve
providing human or automated assistance to a caller, and often
require the specialized capabilities traditionally provided by an
operator services switch. Market and/or regulatory factors in some
jurisdictions dictate that some subset of Operator Services continue
to be provided going forward.

This document assumes an architecture with SIP based OISPs, SIP
based home providers, and SIP based end users. Since it is necessary
to maintain backward compatibility with traditional TDM based
providers and end users, these are also considered. It may not be
necessary, desirable, or technically feasible to support every
existing Operator Service using SIP, or to support both SIP and TDM
based end users for all Operator Services. This is the subject of
ongoing investigation, and the current iteration of this document
assumes that both SIP and TDM based home providers and end users are
in scope for these services, unless specifically indicated to the
contrary. A future revision may update this assumption based on the
findings of the investigation.

With respect to Operator Services, this iteration of this document
intends to provide an introduction to and descriptions of some of
these services, as well as provide some high level requirements. It
is intended that the subsequent iteration will build upon this,
providing more detailed requirements, suggested SIP mechanisms, and
more call flows.

Operator Services are typically provided by the requesting party's
OISP. In some cases, such as Busy Line Verification, the target or
called party's OISP may be involved as well.

Next, several traditional Operator Services will be described. As
indicated above, the current iteration of this document is silent

regarding which of these may or may not be candidates for
implementation with SIP, or towards SIP end users. Note that unless
specifically indicated, most of these services are traditionally
provided by the caller's OISP.

Operator Assistance. This allows the caller to perform either "zero
minus" or "zero plus" dialing to be connected to a human or
automated system for assistance with the call.

Collect calls. This allows the caller to request that the called
party accept the charges for the call. Typically an OISP utilizes a
human operator or automated system to provide this service.

Rate Quotes. This allows the caller to request a quote for the cost
or rate for specific calls.

Third party billed calls. This allows the caller to request that a
third party (different than the calling or called party) be
contacted and requested to accept charges for the call (although in
some limited cases, contacting the third party is not necessary).

Busy Line Verification and Interrupt. This allows a caller to have
the OISP determine whether a target line is in use, and if so, to
"barge in" to the conversation and request whether the target party
is willing to accept a call from the caller. This service is
initially handled by the caller's OISP, which then contacts the
target party's OISP, which is able to perform the verification and
interrupt on the target party.

Coin Calls. Operator services systems must be able to control TDM-
based network controlled coin stations (payphones). This includes
monitoring of coin deposit tones (to verify payment) sent from the
coin station, as well as sending supervision (control) signals to
the coin station. Network controlled coin stations are connected to

TDM based end offices via specialized phone lines which support the
required signaling. These end offices, in turn, connect to TDM based
OISPs using specialized trunks capable of conveying the coin
signaling. The OISP monitors and controls the coin station via these
trunks. "Smart" coin stations perform coin detection locally and do
not require network control, and are not discussed here. This
service is provided by the OISP associated with the coin station.

Emergency Calls. Sometimes a caller dials "0" instead of the standard emergency dialstring, resulting in placement of an emergency call to the OISP. The OISP must properly route such a call toward the PSAP. This service is provided by the caller's OISP.

Calling Card Billing Service. This enables a calling party to bill a call to a calling card number.

Commercial Credit Card Billing Service. This enables a calling party to bill a call to a commercial credit card.

Directory Assistance (DA). In some contexts, DA is considered as an Operator Service. Within the context of this document, we consider DA as an Information Service, which is related to but distinct from Operator Services.

The following sections describe an initial set of basic high level capabilities required for supporting Operator Services. The capabilities for Information Services generally apply for Operator Services as well. This work is currently under study, and a complete set of required capabilities is expected to be identified in the near future. Similarly to the required capabilities for Information Services, the use of existing SIP mechanisms will be investigated for providing these capabilities.

6.1. Inter Provider Capabilities

Ability to accept requests from other providers. This is the ability to accept incoming OIS requests from other providers, including home providers, intermediate providers, and transit providers.

Ability to terminate calls to other providers. This applies to call completion services, as well as other services such as third party billing.

6.2. Inter OISP Capabilities

These are capabilities between OISPs.

Inward connection. This is a call from one OISP to another, e.g. so
that the originating OISP may request services from the terminating
OISP. One example of this is Busy Line Verification, where the
caller calls their own OISP, and this OISP places an "inward" call
to the target party's OISP, which would have the capability to
perform the verification of the target party.

Transfer between OISPs. In this case, one OISP transfers the call to
another OISP, to be handled by that OISP, so that the first OISP is
no longer in the signaling path.

Moving connection from one OISP to another. An example of this case
is where one OISP farms out a specific service to another OISP. The
first OISP performs initial handling of the call, to determine the
desired service. The call is sent to a different OISP with which the
first has a relationship. The first OISP remains in the signaling
path, and when the provided service is complete, the first OISP
determines what if any additional processing may be necessary. This
is similar to a third party call control type arrangement.

6.3. Intra OISP Capabilities

   Note that some of the following capabilities may be required for
   inter OISP scenarios as well; this is the subject of ongoing
   analysis and is not covered in the current iteration of this
   document.

   Placing a caller on hold, possibly with announcements. This is used
   in many services, including Information Services.

   Exchanging information between Application Server and Operator
   Workstations/Automated Platforms. This capability is required
   whenever an operator workstation or automated platform is used.
   Because an Operator Workstation interacts with a human user, it is
   expected that additional information, beyond that which an automated
   system would exchange with an application server, will be required.
   Further, several modes of application server control are currently
   under investigation. The first is where the workstation or automated
   platform is more or less autonomous, and is capable of initiating
   calls and directly impacting call processing. The other is more of a
   master-slave relationship, where the AS is in complete control. The

master-slave model requires that more information be exchanged with the AS than does the autonomous model. Other models may be possible.

Queuing and call distribution. Resources including human operators and automated platforms need to be tracked and managed, and the appropriate resource of the appropriate type needs to be selected on a per invocation basis. What is needed is that for a particular call, that a set of criteria be provided and the best match resource be selected. This is the job of the ACD server. Some means is needed to communicate the selection criteria for human operators and automated platforms to the ACD server.

Operator Registration and Location. Human operators may not be interchangeable, and have specific attributes such as skillsets which can be used to identify the best human operator to service a particular call. Operators log in at workstations at the beginning of a shift, and log out during breaks and at the end of a shift. It is important to associate each available operator with the workstation at which they are logged in, so that requests can be sent to the appropriate human operator. This is needed because the selection process described above identifies a particular human operator; it is then necessary to identify the workstation at which that operator can be reached.

Bridging and removal of operator or automated system. Many operator services require that either a human operator or automated system be "bridged" onto a call, and to be removed at some point.

6.4. Capabilities Required for Specific Services

Connection Hold and Ringback. This capability involves having the OISP "hold" the connection, such that the originating caller remains connected, even if they attempt to hang up. This is mainly used in relation to emergency services. Ringback is the ability for the OISP to call back the calling party after they have hung up. This too is often used in conjunction with emergency calls. Note that these are only discussed in this document in the context of controlling a PSTN based endpoint, as this capability does not carry over directly to SIP based endpoints.

Coin Station Control. This is the ability of the OISP to determine the coinage deposited into a TDM based network controlled coin station (as opposed to an "intelligent" coin station which performs such functions locally). This involves interpretation of the coin control signals sent via specialized trunks from the end office to

which the TDM based coin station is connected via a specialized
phone line. Additionally, the need to signal toward the coin station
needs to be supported as well, for example to instruct the station
to return coins to the caller. This capability is intended to
interact with the specialized coin trunk.

Network Service Recall. After a call resulting from Operator
Services is completed, the caller may signal the desire to return
back to the OISP, without placing another call. In the traditional
PSTN, this is typically accomplished by the user signaling a hook
flash or other distinctive stimulus.

Verification and Interrupt. This is used in the Busy Line
Verification and Interrupt service, and allows the OISP to determine
if the target number is in use, to listen to a scrambled
representation of the conversation, and to interrupt the target
party's conversation to ask if they would accept a call from the
caller.

Transfer of emergency services call to selective router. Sometimes a
caller places an emergency call using a dial string which invokes
operator assistance (such as "0" in North America), rather than an
emergency call dial string. In such cases, the OISP must be able to
pass the emergency call to the appropriate PSAP. Handling of these
types of calls is outside the scope of this document. Standards for
emergency calling with SIP are still in development.

7. OISP Internal Architecture

This section describes an initial view of the elements internal to
the OISP architecture.

The following types of elements may be present within the OISP
infrastructure:

Automatic Call Distributor (ACD) server - The ACD provides queuing
and call distribution functions for human operators. Specifically,
it is the component that tracks the availability of the human
operators and selects an available operator utilizing complex
algorithms based on operator skills, type of call, type of request,
calling party information, etc. Similar functionality is required

with respect to automated platforms. The ACD server is modeled as an
Application Server. Two different models of ACD include a "query"
model, where the ACD accepts a request and returns a response (such
as a SIP redirection response) identifying the selected resource,

and an "inline" model, where the ACD server accepts a request and
inserts itself into the signaling path, making its selection and
sending requests to that resource. There is currently work in the
MEDIACTL working group regarding Media Resource Brokers (MRBs) which
may be relevant to this.

The ACD server may also contain functionality for tracking and
maintaining statistics about resource utilization; this is sometimes
referred to as force management.

Customer Profile Database - The Customer Profile Database is a per
subscriber database maintained by an OISP about its customers. Some
of this information might be statically provisioned, other
information such as customer preferences or session information
might be populated dynamically as a result of customer interactions.

Messaging Gateways - Messaging Gateways provide access and data via
E-mail, SMS, MMS, WAP.

Operator and Information Services Application Server (OIS-AS) - The
OIS-AS contains AS functions specifically for directory assistance
and information services as well as other Operator Services. This
may coordinate multiple call legs, connecting the caller in sequence
to one or more OIS-MS and/or operator workstations according to the
logic contained within. The OIS-AS may need to communicate with
elements of other providers, for instance to query information about
the capabilities and characteristics of the caller's equipment, or
to access another provider's operator resources.

Operator and Information Services Media Server (OIS-MS) - The OIS-MS
provides the media resources for playing announcements, performing
voice recognition, performing listing database queries, generating
whisper from the user's verbal request, etc.

Operator Workstations - Operator Workstations provide an interface
to the human operator. They may receive the customer's recorded
request (e.g. name and city of requested listing), information from
listing or other databases, and also terminate a multimedia session

with the customer. Operator workstations are specialized SIP
endpoints, and may be modeled in various ways, such as UAs or media
servers.

PSTN Gateways - OISPs need to interface with the PSTN. Thus,
gateways are needed to interface between the OISP and both signaling
and bearer. The bearer is handled by trunk gateways, which interface
RTP streams on the OISP side to TDM trunks on the PSTN side. The
signaling may be handled by signaling gateways which interface SS7

on the PSTN side and SIP on the OISP side. Currently in North
America, inband signaling on MF trunks is common for interfacing to
OISPs, and trunk gateways need to be able to interpret and report as
well as generate the appropriate MF signaling.

Service Databases - Service Databases store service specific
information (e.g. listing information such as name, address, and
phone number, etc.) These may be located in the OISP's network
and/or in other networks, and more than one may be used.

SIP Proxy - One or more SIP proxies may be present in the OISP
network, to facilitate SIP communications with other providers as
well as to perform call processing functions between OISP
components.

The following figure shows a simplified view of an OISP internal
architecture. The lines show logical connectivity; elements
communicate via the proxy. A single OIS-AS is shown, along with up
to "k" OIS-MS and up to "m" Operator Work Stations, and an ACD
server. Communications between elements are assumed to traverse a
proxy, which has been omitted from the figure for brevity.

```
          +-------+   +---------+   +---------+
          | OIS-AS |-+-| OIS-MS1 |...| OIS-MSk |
          +--+-----+ | +---------+   +---------+
             |
             |       | +---------+   +---------+
             |       +-| OWS1    |...| OWSk    |
          +--+--+    | +---------+   +---------+
          | ACD |    |
          +-----+    | +--+---+
                     +-|PSTNGW|
```

```
                          +------+
```

            Figure 5 Simplified view of OISP Internal Architecture

8. General Approach

   This section describes one possible way to implement DA using SIP.
   Other ways may be possible.

   The general approach involves having the OIS-AS host most of the
   processing logic, and to control the call in general. The OIS-AS
   implements a third party call control (3PCC) functionality, as

   described in [RFC3725]. It terminates the signaling dialog from the
   caller, and originates dialogs towards other components as
   necessary. There may be multiple sequential sessions set up during
   the course of a DA call.

   For example, the OIS-AS would initiate a new dialog towards a MS for
   front-end automation. When it gets the 200 OK from the MS with SDP,
   it passes that SDP back toward the caller. When the front end
   automation has completed, the OIS-MS sends a BYE containing message
   bodies conveying the success of the operation (i.e., was it able to
   obtain the listing) as well as any data related to the operation. In
   case of success, the body might carry the listing information, or a
   URI pointing to it. In case of failure, it might carry a URI
   pointing to the whisper file.

   In case of failure, the OIS-AS would determine that the call needs
   to be routed to a human operator. The OIS-AS first needs to identify
   a suitable operator to handle this request. The ACD server has this
   responsibility, and could be implemented as a redirect server facing
   the OIS-AS, redirecting towards the best suited available operator.
   Facing the operator workstations, the ACD server could be
   implemented as a presence server, maintaining availability of each
   operator, as well as the associated information for each (e.g.
   languages, skill level, cost, etc).

   The OIS-AS would then send an INVITE toward the identified operator
   workstation. This INVITE includes the caller's SDP as well as a URI
   pointing to the whisper file. The workstation could play the whisper

to the operator as the call is answered. The operator workstation's
SDP would be passed back to the caller via a re-INVITE or UPDATE
request.

If the operator is successful in locating the desired listing, the
workstation would send a BYE containing message bodies with an
indication of success, and either the listing information of a
pointer to the same.

The OIS-AS would then initiate a call leg towards an OIS-MS for back
end automation. The INVITE would include the same body with the
listing information that was sent by the operator workstation. The
OIS-MS returns its SDP, which the OIS-AS would propagate back over
the originating leg via a re-INVITE or UPDATE request. The back end
automation process includes audibly playing out the listing
information, and possibly offering call completion service. The OIS-
MS sends a BYE with a message body indicating whether call
completion is desired.

If call completion is desired, the OIS-AS sends a REFER back over
the originating call leg to the caller, and clears the call.

These examples describe simple voice scenarios. Other media types
may be possible. For example, it may be desirable to send the
listing information via text message to the caller's terminal, or to
show a video clip. Such features require knowledge of the calling
terminal's capabilities and characteristics. The mechanism described
in [RFC3840] Indicating User Agent Capabilities in the Session
Initiation Protocol (SIP) can be used for this. The capabilities
might have been signaled in the initial INVITE request. Otherwise,
the OIS-AS can query for capabilities using an OPTIONS request.
Additionally, some non SIP mechanism might be used, such as querying
a database (e.g. IMS HSS) in the caller's network.

References to a whisper file can be passed using the mechanism
described in [RFC4483].

Other information signaled via message bodies includes the success
or failure status of operations (such as identifying the requested
listing), or other data (such as the listing information).

Context information may be maintained on a per call basis. It could

include such information as the caller's preferred language, etc. A
URI pointing to the context information could be passed between
elements in the OISP infrastructure.

Note that the IETF MEDIACTRL working group is currently developing
mechanisms for control of SIP based MSs by ASs; this work may be
applicable for OIS as well.

9. Signaling Mechanisms

   This section discusses the signaling mechanisms required to provide
   OIS services.

9.1. PSTN Protocol Interworking

   Operator Services will need to interoperate with the existing PSTN.
   This includes both receiving incoming call requests from the PSTN as
   well as initiating calls towards the PSTN. There are several issues
   which are specific to PSTN interworking.

   Current Operator Services systems use both SS7 ISUP and MF
   signaling. PSTN gateways interwork between the PSTN signaling and

   SIP signaling, and between the PSTN's circuit switched bearer
   channels and RTP. [RFC3398] defines ISUP-SIP interworking
   procedures. ATIS, which is responsible for defining North American
   specific telecommunications standards, provides North American
   procedures in [T1679]. There is currently no standard for MF-SIP
   interworking; rather, ATIS standards assume a gateway model whereby
   MF signaling is logically mapped to ISUP, then ISUP-SIP interworking
   procedures are applied.

   ISUP interworking involves two mechanisms; parameter mapping and
   encapsulation. Some concepts exist natively in both PSTN and SIP
   signaling, and thus both PSTN signaling and SIP define protocol
   mechanisms for conveying such information. Mapping between these is
   specified in interworking standards such as [RFC3398] and [T1679].

   Other ISUP parameters have no direct equivalent in SIP, but are
   needed in SIP headers so that proxies and other SIP entities can
   route calls; extensions have defined SIP headers and parameters for

this purpose. In order to convey those parameters which have no
mapping to SIP headers, encapsulation of ISUP messages is used,
whereby the ISUP message content is encoded in a MIME body which is
carried in SIP messages. [T1679] specifies that the entire ISUP
message be encapsulated in a MIME body of type "application/ISUP",
as registered with IANA and defined in [RFC3204]. [NSS] defines a
MIME type "application/NSS"; this standard specifies that the only
parameters which do not have mappings to SIP be included in the NSS
body, along with identification of the ISUP version and ISUP message
type, rather than encapsulating the entire ISUP message. [T1679] is
the ATIS standard for North American networks.

Thus, PSTN gateways send SIP messages containing SIP headers and
parameters mapped from ISUP parameters where specified, and carrying
an "application/ISUP" MIME body containing an entire encapsulated
ISUP messages.

It should be noted that when MF PSTN signaling is used, the use of
encapsulated ISUP involves logically mapping the MF signaling to the
corresponding ISUP information elements, generation of the
corresponding ISUP message, and MIME encapsulation of this generated
ISUP message in the corresponding SIP message.

9.2. Conveying Application Specific Information

Some information carried by PSTN signaling, such as the ISUP Called
Party Number is required for routing calls. Other information, such

as Charge Number, is for use by applications such as operator
services, and is not needed for routing the call.

With SIP, information needed for routing requests, or which
otherwise needs to be available to proxies, should be present in
message headers. Note that proxies may add headers and modify header
content.

Message bodies can be carried in SIP requests and responses. Such
bodies are generated by and consumed by endpoints, and are expected
to be passed transparently by proxies. Additional headers such as
Content-type and Content-disposition describe the MIME type of the
message body as well as how the receiving endpoint is to handle

unsupported MIME types. Messages can contain more than one body, as
described in [RFC2045].

Moreover, much of the information delivered to an operator services
system is expected to be provided by trusted equipment in the
caller's home provider, rather than by the caller's user equipment.

Architectures such as IMS include application servers which have the
ability to act as Back to Back User Agents (B2BUAs). Whereas proxies
cannot insert message bodies, B2BUAs can in fact do so, because they
act as SIP endpoints.

Not all information passed in PSTN signaling can be conveyed
natively in SIP, but operator services systems expect this
information. One option for doing this is to have an application
server in the caller's home provider, acting as a B2BUA, populate a
MIME body in the INVITE sent to the operator services provider, for
consumption by an OIS AS. There is at the time of this writing no
agreement on a MIME type to use for this purpose.

Some ISUP information for which SIP mappings are not currently
defined is also expected to be relevant for calls initiated using
SIP. Charge Number is business related information, and is expected
to apply regardless of whether a caller is using a SIP or PSTN
device. The same is true for Originating Line Information. Again,
the use of a MIME body is potential option. Mechanisms for some of
this information in SIP header fields and parameters are described
in several Internet-Drafts at the time of this writing, and are
described in this document where applicable.

9.3. Calling Party's Identity

In many cases, downstream providers may need to know the calling
party's identity. This might be needed to influence call processing,

or for usage collection purposes. Also, the calling party's identity
in the form of a SIP URI might be needed so that the identity of the
home provider can be determined.

The calling party's equipment populates the From header in SIP
messages. This is not trusted. There are several methods for
providing "network-asserted identities", which under the appropriate
conditions can be trusted.

The SIP Identity mechanism defined in [RFC4474] provides a standardized, architecture agnostic SIP mechanism for cryptographically assuring the user's identity. However, this mechanism has seen little deployment.

The P-Asserted-Identity header [RFC3325] is a private extension to SIP that enables a network of trusted SIP servers to assert the identity of authenticated users. This is the prevalent mechanism currently used in service provider environments.

Note that some networks may allow their users to hide their identity. In the current North American PSTN, for such cases the caller id information is often transported through the network, marked with a privacy indication such that it will not be presented to the called party. In SIP, the Privacy header field defined in [RFC3323] is used.

Bilateral agreements between VOIP providers determine whether providers are within the same "trust domain" as defined in [RFC3324], and what information, including network-asserted identities, may be exchanged between providers. Depending on such agreements, it is possible that the caller identity information is obscured or completely absent. As indicated in [RFC3325], "Masking identity information at the originating user agent will prevent certain services, e.g., call trace, from working in the Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN) or being performed at intermediaries not privy to the authenticated identity of the user."

When an OIS provider is not privy based on bilateral agreement to network asserted identity information from the calling network when the caller has requested privacy, it may be unable to implement any call processing logic based on such information.

If the OISP desires to reject anonymous calls, [RFC5079] defines a new SIP response code 433 (Anonymity Disallowed) for this purpose.

The following shows an example of an INVITE message contain a P-Asserted-Identity header.

```
INVITE sip:da@provider-c.example SIP/2.0
Via: SIP/2.0/UDP proxy-b.provider-b.example.com:5060
;branch=y9hG4bK74bf9
```

```
Via: SIP/2.0/UDP proxy-a.provider-a.example.com:5060
;branch=x9hG4bK74bf9
Via: SIP/2.0/UDP client.provider-a.example.com:5060
;branch=z9hG4bK74bf9
From: <sip:7327581234@provider-a.example.com>;tag=1234567
To: sip:411@provider-a.example.com
Contact: <sip:7327581234@provider-a.example.com>
P-Asserted-Identity: "732758123" <sip:73237581234@provider-
a.example.com>
Content-Type: application/sdp
Content-Length: ...
[SDP not shown]
```

9.4. Provider Identification

As discussed, in some deployment scenarios, the OISP makes use of
the identities of other providers involved in the call. This section
discusses how those identities can be conveyed using SIP.

9.4.1. Home Provider

In many cases, the OISP needs to identify the caller's Home
Provider. This may be needed for branding purposes as well as to
potentially influence treatment in other ways.

The basic mechanism for determining the home provider is to derive
it from the right hand side (RHS) of the network asserted identity.

In SIP, identities are expressed as URIs. These can be SIP (or SIPS)
URIs, or "tel" URIs.

[RFC3261] defines the SIP URI, which is used for identifying SIP
resources. The RHS can be expressed as a DNS domain name or as an
IPv4 or IPv6 address. The hostname format is most suitable for
providing an identity to reach the calling party. For instance the
mechanisms defined in [RFC3263] for locating SIP servers depends on
the use of domain names for the various types of DNS lookups such as
NAPTR, SRV, and A.

If a provider decides to provide network asserted identities
expressed as SIP URIs using IP addresses instead of hostnames, it
forfeits the use of such standardized mechanisms for reaching its
users. It also becomes difficult to derive the home provider
identity from the network asserted identity.

[RFC3966] defines the "tel" URI, which is used for describing
resources identified by phone numbers. The "tel" URI format does not
include a domain. Thus, if the network asserted identity includes
only a "tel" URI, no direct information about the home provider is
provided.

The SIP Identity mechanism is intended for use with SIP URIs. The
PAI mechanism can use either a SIP URI, a "tel" URI, or both.

This document depends on the home provider providing a network
asserted identity containing a hostname. This includes the SIP
identity where the SIP URI contains a hostname, or a PAI header
containing at least a SIP URI with a hostname.

Very simply, the RHS of the hostname in the SIP URI is extracted and
used as the basis to influence call processing. In cases where the
caller's identity is not available, as discussed in the "Calling
Party's Identity" section, then the home provider's identity is
consequently also not available, and call processing logic based on
such information (such as branding) cannot take place.

9.4.2. Intermediate Provider

In some cases, the OISP may need to know the identity of an
intermediate provider which the call has traversed. Recall that for
our purposes, we define "intermediate provider" as having a business
relationship with both the home provider (to handle OIS requests)
and with an OISP (which will actually provide the requested
service.) This may be needed to influence treatment.

The use of the SIP History-Info mechanism defined in [RFC4244], can
be used for this. As the call moves from one provider to the next
and is retargeted, corresponding entries are added to the SIP
History-Info header. If the domain name format is used for the
retargeted entities, then the History-Info header now includes a
list of traversed SIP domains or providers, which can be consulted
by the OISP.

According to [RFC4244], entries should be added to the History-Info header whenever the Request-URI is modified. Cases may exist where the call is sent to another provider but the URI is not modified. In such cases, the provider is not captured by the History-Info header.

The following figure illustrates the use of the History-Info header for this purpose.

```
  Caller        Provider-A      Provider-B      Provider-C
    |               |               |               |
    |               |               |               |
    |               |               |               |
    |(1) INVITE sip:411@provider-a.example.com         |
|
    |------------>|               |               |
    |               |               |               |
    |               |               |               |
    |               |(2) INVITE sip:da@prov-b.example.com
    |               |------------>|               |
    |               |               |               |
    |               |               |               |
    |               |               |(3) INVITE sip:da@prov-
  c.example.com
    |               |               |------------>|
    |               |               |               |
    |               |               |               |
```

   Figure 6 Use of History-Info header to identity traversed providers

1. The user dials "411", and the resulting INVITE to its home proxy is for "sip: 411@provider-a.example.com". No History-Info header is included yet.

       INVITE sip:411@provider-a.example.com SIP/2.0
       (other message content omitted)

2. The home proxy retargets this to "sip:da@prov-b.example.com", and

adds a History-Info header which includes the targeted-from URI:

```
INVITE sip:DA@prov-b.example.com SIP/2.0
History-Info: sip:411@provider-a.example.com; index=1
(other message content omitted)
```

3. Proxy-B retargets this to "SIP: da@prov-c.example.com", and
appends another entry to the History-Info header:

```
INVITE sip:DA@prov-c.example.com SIP/2.0
History-Info: sip:411@provider-a.example.com; index=1,
<sip:da@prov-b.example.com>; index=1.1
(other message content omitted)
```

When this request arrives a Proxy-C in Provider C (OISP), it conveys
the following:

   o The Request-URI (SIP: da@prov-c.example.com) indicates this as
      a DA call

   o The History-Info header conveys the history of the request:

   o It started as a SIP URI for "sip:411@provider-a.example.com"

   o It was then targeted to provider B

   o It is now targeted to provider C

   Please note that if a transit provider were encountered, the transit
   provider would simply route the request toward Provider C, and would
   not perform retargeting. It would not modify the Request-URI nor the
   SIP History-Info header contents.

9.5. Originating Line Information/ANI II Value

   In the current PSTN in North America, OIS providers have the ability

to tailor treatment based on the type of originating station. For
instance, calls from prison phones are restricted from accessing DA
services. Example values include POTS, coin, hospital,
prison/inmate, cellular, etc. In the PSTN in North America, this
information is signaled for SS7 calls using the Originating Line
Information (OLI) information element, and in MF calls using the ANI
II digits. To support interworking with the PSTN, it must be
possible to convey the Originating Line Information value. The

ability to convey this information natively with SIP is currently
lacking.

It is also desirable to characterize certain types of originating
SIP based callers using these same values, e.g. prison, police, etc.

[TS24229] defines the "oli" parameter for conveying Originating Line
Information in SIP using a tel URI parameter, is aimed at
telecommunications service provider applications, and has been
adopted by 3GPP, making it the preferred approach. This document
defines the parameter to convey the 2-digit numeric OLI value. This
is in contrast to the "cpc" parameter defined in [draft-mahy-iptel-
cpc], which specified a limited subset of string based values. This
mechanism would be applicable for both PSTN interworking and also
for SIP originated calls.

The "isup-oli" parameter is sometimes used to convey OLI information
for PSTN interworking, but it is not defined in any standards
document.

For PSTN interworking, the current version of [T1679] does not
specify a SIP mapping for the OLI parameter. Thus, that document
specifies that it be carried in an encapsulated ISUP message in a
MIME body. This mechanism would be applicable to PSTN interworking
but not for SIP originated calls.

9.6. Trunk Group Identifier

The incoming trunk group number provides information which could be
used to influence call processing, thus this information is needed.
Trunks are point to point circuits and as such, their remote
termination point is unambiguously known. As such, knowledge of the
incoming trunk group conveys the identity of the provider offering
the call.

For PSTN interworking, the incoming trunk group identifier is a key
piece of information and must be known. Thus, at a PSTN to IP
interworking point, the trunk group information must be kept and
signaled forward. This holds for OISP's accepting incoming calls
from the PSTN as well as upstream providers accepting calls from the
PSTN.

[RFC4904], "Representing trunk groups in tel/sip Uniform Resource
Identifiers (URIs)" defines a way to signal incoming and/or outgoing
trunk group information as a parameter in SIP URIs and tel URIs.

To represent incoming trunk groups, the trunk group parameter is
included in the Contact header of the SIP message. The "trunk-
context" parameter should also be included, to ensure that the trunk
group is unambiguously identified, since trunk group numbers are not
globally unique.

At the time of this writing, [T1679], which specifies PSTN
interworking for North American networks, does not include this
mechanism, possibly because it predates [RFC4904]. However, gateways
should include this information for operator services.

The following example shows an INVITE containing a trunk group
identification in the Contact header:

  INVITE sip:da@provider-c.example.com SIP/2.0
  Via: SIP/2.0/UDP proxy-b.provider-b.example.com:5060
  ;branch=y9hG4bK74bf9
  Via: SIP/2.0/UDP proxy-a.provider-a.example.com:5060
  ;branch=x9hG4bK74bf9
  Via: SIP/2.0/UDP client.provider-a.example.com:5060
  ;branch=z9hG4bK74bf9
  From: <sip:7327581234@provider-a.example.com>;tag=1234567
  To: sip:411@provider-a.example.com
  Contact: <sip:7327581234;tgrp=101; trunk-context=gateway-

```
       a.provider-b.example.com@ provider-b.example.com;user=phone>
       P-Asserted-Identity: "7327581234" <sip:73237581234@provider-
       a.example.com>
       Content-Type: application/sdp
       Content-Length: ...
```

This example identifies trunk group 101, with the trunk-context
identifying gateway-a.provider-b.com. Together these unambiguously
identify the incoming trunk group. Both of these parameters are tel URI
parameters and thus appear on the left hand side of the "@" sign. The
domain of the SIP URI formed from this tel URI is provider-
b.example.com, and the "user=phone" parameter is a SIP URI parameter.

9.7. Identification of PSTN Originated Calls

   Since calls arriving via PSTN trunks may require different
   processing from those received from SIP endpoints, it must be
   possible to distinguish between these types of calls. For PSTN
   originated calls, the Contact header identifies the gateway, and
   also the presence of the "tgrp" parameter in that header
   indicatesthat the call was received via a PSTN trunk. Obviously the
   presence of an encapsulated ISUP message also identifies the call as
   such.

   In some cases, the identity of the home PSTN provider of the caller
   may be known (e.g., the call arrived via a dedicated trunk group
   from a PSTN end office). In such cases, the gateway may populate the
   host portion of the SIP URI in a P-Asserted-Identity header field
   with a value of local significance within the OISP identifying that
   PSTN home provider. Conveyance of such information beyond the OISP
   is outside the scope of this document.

   Note that some implementations may make use of the trunk group
   parameters in a non standard or proprietary manner, including them
   when the call did not originate from the PSTN. Thus, the mere
   presence of these parameters does not guarantee that the call

originated in the PSTN. Rather, the value of the trunk-context
parameter must also be taken into account, and the OISP must
recognize this as identifying a PSTN trunk group.

9.8. Dialed Digits

   Currently in the North American PSTN, the OIS provider may take into
   account the digits dialed by the user. In that scenario the dialed
   digits are frequently forwarded to the OIS provider.

   Using SIP, the dialed digits would typically be sent by the user's
   equipment in the form of a SIP URI in the Request-URI of a SIP
   INVITE. In this case, the Request-URI would be in a form such as
   "sip:411@provider-a.example.com".

   The use of tel URIs instead of SIP URIs in the Request-URI is also
   theoretically possible. In this case, the URI might be formatted as
   "tel:411;phone-context=+1",where in this case the "+1" identifies
   the country code "1" for North America, or "tel:411;phone-
   context=+1-732", identifying a more specific context. However, the
   use of tel URIs in the Request-URI is not common in current service
   provider deployments.

   It is possible that retargeting could take place, in which case the
   dialed digits would be lost.

   The SIP History-Info mechanism defined in [RFC4244] provides a
   mechanism for solving exactly this type of problem. It defines a new
   optional SIP header, History-Info, to provide a standard mechanism
   for capturing the request history information. Whenever a node which
   supports this mechanism modifies the Request-URI of a request, it
   captures this in the History-Info header.

   The following example shows an INVITE containing a History-Info
   header, which conveys the original dialed digits, after having been
   retargeted.

      INVITE sip:DA@prov-b.example.com SIP/2.0
      (other message content omitted)
      History-Info: sip:411@provider-a.example.com; index=1,
      <sip:da@prov-b.example.com>; index=1.1

Please see the section titled "Arbitrary Involved Provider" for an
example of a flow where the History-Info mechanism delivers the
dialed digits to the OISP when retargeting has occurred.

9.9. Retargeting to Identify the Desired Service

It is necessary to identify the service being requested. Such
services might include directory assistance with or without call
completion. The logic to determine this might reside in one or more
points in the network. Additionally, the identification of the
service might be refined as the request traverses potentially
multiple networks, depending on the availability of additional
information.

It is proposed here to retarget the Request-URI of the SIP request
to specify the desired service. While the initial Request-URI might
specify "SIP:411@provider-a.example.com", a downstream element might
invoke service logic and determine that this call should be sent to
OISP C's network for directory assistance with call completion, and
change the Request-URI to "SIP:da-with-call-completion@oisp-
c.example.com".

A similar approach is taken for identifying resources in [RFC4240].

[CSI], a work in progress, discusses explicit service identifiers
for using in IMS [IMS] based networks.

9.10. Charge Number

In the current PSTN in North America, a Charge Number is signaled
with call originations. The Charge Number identifies the customer or
account with which the caller is associated. In many cases it is the
same as the Calling Party Number, while in others it is different -
e.g. the main number for a business which has many individual
calling numbers. This might be needed for usage collection, but it

also could influence call processing, especially when a particular
type of service applies for any caller associated with a particular
charge number.

There is currently no IETF standardized mechanism to convey the
Charge Number in SIP. The need to convey equivalent information for
SIP based callers is also under investigation.

[PCI] proposes a "P-Charge-Info" SIP header for carrying charge
information for a call. It is intended to facilitate carrying
information equivalent to OLI for SIP originated calls. It is also
intended to support PSTN interworking by carrying the ISUP Charge
Number value.

For PSTN interworking, [T1679] does not specify a SIP mapping for
the Charge Number parameter. Thus, it is carried in an encapsulated
ISUP message in a MIME body. The P-Charge-Info header, if
standardized, would be useful in this role.

For SIP originated calls, there is no currently standardized way to
carry this information. The P-Charge-Info header, if standardized,
would be useful in this role.

9.11. Access Prefix

In the current PSTN in North America, operator services calls are
often originated by dialing a prefix such as "0". In ISUP signaling,
the "0" is not carried in the Called Party Number parameter. Rather,
it is stripped, and the ISUP Operator Services Information (OSI)
parameter carries an indication of the original access prefix.

For SIP originations, there are several options. First, the dialed
digits, including any prefix, can be included in the Request-URI.
Alternatively, an AS in the caller's home provider can retarget the
request based on the digits, such that new Request-URI identifies
the requested service. The original dialed digits can be carried in
the retargeted-from Request-URI in a History-Info header. For
example, a Request-URI containing a zero plus 10 digits might be
retargeted at an AS to sip:operator-assistance@provider-

b.example.com. Though not currently standardized, these options can also be used for PSTN interworking. I.e., the GW could choose to prepend a prefix to the digits in the Request-URI based on the received Operator Services Information parameter. Additionally, the GW could support building a Request-URI which specifies the requested service, based on analysis of the incoming ISUP signaling.

For PSTN originations, the Request-URI can be formed as described above for SIP originations. Additionally, this information is also conveyed via the Operator Services Information parameter in the encapsulated ISUP.

9.12. Signaling of Carrier Information

In North America, the handling of PSTN calls utilizing Interexchange Carrier (IXC) networks are subject to specific regulatory requirements, resulting in specific signaling requirements which may differ from those in other regions. Reflecting this is the definition of ANSI ISUP parameters not defined in the ITU-T as well as specific usage for certain ISUP parameters. Interworking between ISUP and SIP signaling for such scenarios is documented in several specifications, but there are issues with these specifications. This section identifies the ISUP parameters involved in IXC signaling in North America, and provides an overview of some of the issues with current interworking specifications. Subsequent sections will specifically address each parameter. The relevant ANSI ISUP parameters include the Transit Network Selection (TNS) parameter, the Carrier Identification Parameter (CIP), and the Carrier Selection Information (CSI) parameter.

The ISUP Transit Network Selection (TNS) parameter is used to route calls to a specific carrier. In North American networks, it is used on several different interfaces to request that a call be routed to a particular carrier. TNS is also used in ITU-T ISUP.

Specialized switches called Access Tandems (ATs) provide IXC networks with access to Local Exchange Carrier (LEC) switches. When

Haluska, et al.   Expires February 15, 2012   [Page 39]

a LEC switch originates an IXC call through an AT, it uses the TNS to inform the AT of the IXC to which the call is to be routed.

Based on business arrangements, IXCs may also provide access to
other IXCs. Thus a LEC switch may need to route a call using IXC B,
but might have connectivity to only IXC A. The LEC switch could,
depending on arrangements, send the call to IXC A, with the TNS
parameter specifying IXC B. This requests IXC A to hand the call to
IXC B.

Also in North America, carrier selection procedures allow a caller
to presubscribe to a particular IXC, and further to casually dial on
a per call basis yet a different IXC to be used. Based on business
arrangements, the carrier which will actually carry the call may be
different from the presubscribed or dialed carrier. In ANSI ISUP,
the Carrier Identification Parameter (CIP) is used to convey the
dialed or presubscribed carrier, and accordingly the value of the
CIP parameter may differ from that of any included TNS parameter.
The definition of a separate parameter for this in ANSI ISUP
underscores the need to separately identify the dialed or
presubscribed carrier from the carrier which actually routes the
call.

Both [RFC3398] and [T1679] discuss interworking between the "cic"
tel URI parameter and the ISUP TNS and/or CIP parameters. This
document points out that there are issues with both these
specifications, but does not attempt to resolve those issues here.

[RFC3398] provides guidance on mapping between the "cic" tel URI
parameter and the corresponding ISUP parameter. It essentially
states that "cic" maps to TNS except for North American networks,
where ANSI ISUP is used, where it maps to CIP, also allowing for
application of local policy.

Some information not discussed in [RFC3398] includes the fact that
for North American networks it is not an either/or choice between
inclusion of TNS and CIP, that both ISUP parameters may be present,
that their values may differ, the nature of the relationship between
these parameters, or what to do in the ISUP to SIP direction when
both TNS and CIP are present. Also, for a given "cic" parameter
received by the gateway, and depending on the outgoing PSTN
interface type, a TNS value may also need to be determined and
populated in the outgoing IAM, in addition to the CIP parameter.

[T1679] specifies a mapping between the ISUP TNS parameter and the
"cic" parameter in the Request-URI for North American networks. In
doing so, it precludes the use of the "cic" parameter to convey the

identity of the dialed or presubscribed carrier for PSTN
interworking scenarios, as is suggested in [RFC4694], [DAI], and
[RFC3398] for North American networks. Also, when the "cic"
parameter is used to convey TNS for PSTN interworking scenarios,
then if the "cic" parameter were also to be used to convey the
dialed or presubscribed carrier for SIP originated calls, there is a
potential for ambiguity regarding the meaning of a received "cic"
parameter.

The Carrier Selection Information (CSI) ISUP parameter indicates how
the IXC identified in the CIP parameter was selected. For example,
it may be the caller's presubscribed carrier, or may have been
casually dialed, etc. The "dai" tel URI parameter described in [DAI]
is intended to convey this information in SIP.

The signaling of carrier selection information for non interworked,
all-SIP calls in North American networks is for further study.

9.13. Transit Network Selection

As indicated above, the TNS identifies the IXC to which a call is to
be routed. Note that it does not identify the network in which the
call will actually terminate. The TNS is used in cases where it is
necessary to specify the specific IXC through which the call should
be routed. One example is when a call is handed off via an AT which
provides access to multiple IXCs, in this case it is necessary to
identify the desired IXC to the AT. Another example is when business
arrangements dictate that the call be handed off to one IXC, which
hands the call off to yet another specified IXC. For example, a call
may be handed to IXC A with the TNS identifying IXC B; in this case
the TNS instructs IXC A to hand off the call to Carrier B.

The domain of a SIP URI in the Request-URI of a SIP INVITE can be
seen to fill a role analogous to that of the TNS. If one provider
needs to route a call to a specific provider, it would populate the
domain in the Request-URI with the domain of that specific provider.
When the call reaches that specific provider, it is typically
(though not always) sent to a different provider to terminate the
call. The analog to the example in the previous paragraph would be
for a SIP provider to hand an INVITE to SIP Provider A with the
domain in the Request-URI identifying SIP Provider B. As in the
previous example, the signaling would reflect business arrangements.

One potential mechanism for interworking for North America between
the ISUP TNS and SIP is to map between TNS and a SIP domain

representing the provider identified in the TNS. Mappings between
TNS values and corresponding SIP domains would need to be pre-
established and maintained at gateways implementing this mechanism.
When such a gateway receives an ISUP IAM containing a TNS parameter,
it would populate the domain of the Request-URI of the corresponding
SIP INVITE with the appropriate domain mapped from the received TNS
value. Conversely, when a gateway implementing this mechanism
receives a SIP INVITE, the domain of the SIP URI would be consulted
by the gateway and potentially mapped to any included TNS value.
Note that the inclusion of a TNS value is dependent upon local
policy, which may be determined from several factors including the
provisioned characteristics of the trunk group via which the call is
routed.

Note that this mechanism precludes the use of tel URIs in the
Request-URI for calls involving IXCs; such URIs, including their
parameters, would need to be converted to SIP URIs as described in
[RFC3966].

For SIP originated calls, the domain of the Request-URI is already
used to identify the provider to which the request should be routed,
thus there is no need to for additional SIP signaling to express
such information.

9.14. Carrier Identification

In the current PSTN in North America, callers can specify the IXC
they want to use for a particular long distance call. Otherwise,
their presubscribed IXC is used. In either case the carrier
identification code (CIC) of the chosen carrier is signaled. In ANSI
ISUP this is signaled in the Carrier Information Parameter (CIP).
Per [RFC3398], for interworking from ANSI ISUP to SIP, the CIP is
mapped to the "cic" tel URI parameter, and vice versa. Note that in
North America, the CIP, which identifies the selected carrier, may
have a different value than the TNS, and is not used for routing
purposes.

For SIP originated calls, the "cic" parameter can also be used to
identify the selected carrier, as described in [RFC4694]. Note
however that [RFC4694] describes a usage of "cic" where it is used
for routing, which is more consistent with ITU-T ISUP than with ANSI

ISUP, where it is not used for routing. As a result, some of the
procedures in that document would require modification to be
applicable to North American deployments.

9.15. Carrier Selection Information

   The ISUP Carrier Selection Information (CSI) parameter describes how
   the selected IXC was chosen; e.g. presubscribed, dialed, etc. One
   example of the utility of this information comes from Operator
   services calls that include call completion, whereby a call is
   initiated on behalf of the caller. In order to know which IXC to
   use, and how that IXC was chosen, the operator services provider
   needs to receive the CIP and Carrier Selection Information. In ANSI
   ISUP this describes the carrier identified in the CIP; while in ITU-
   T ISUP it describes the carrier identified in the TNS. Thus in both
   cases it describes the selection of the carrier identified in the
   "cic" tel URI parameter of the Request-URI.

   When interworking from ISUP to SIP, this information is included in
   the encapsulated ISUP. The "dai" parameter proposed in [DAI] can
   also be used to be carry this information. The "dai" parameter can
   also be used for SIP originated calls. Thus, the dai information
   would be associated with the carrier identified in the ANSI CIP or
   the ITU-T TNS. That is, in the ANSI model, it is associated with the
   carrier information that is delivered to the interested
   application(s) rather than the information that is used for routing.

9.16. Passing Whisper

   During front end automation, the OIS-MS will record and may time
   compress the caller's perhaps meandering speech into what is known
   as the "whisper". This is intended to be played into a human
   operator's ear, should the call be referred to an operator, to avoid
   the operator from having to prompt the caller again. The whisper is
   obtained during the front end automation, and saved to an audio
   file.

   If the call needs to be transferred to a human operator, the whisper

will need to be played to the operator at the same time or slightly
prior to connecting the caller to the operator. Thus, the operator
workstation needs to be able to access the whisper file.

When the OIS-MS performs front end automation, it generates the
whisper and saves it as an audio file. The location, storage type,
and format are out of the scope of this document. What is needed is
a way for the OIS-MS to convey the whisper information to the OIS-

AS, so it could potentially be used for later processing, such as
passing to a human operator.

Due to size constraints, it may not be feasible or desirable to pass
the actual audio file containing the whisper. This document will
discuss the most general case of passing a pointer, in the form of a
URI, to the audio content. What follows is a description of one
possible way to implement this. The work of the recently formed IETF
MEDIACTRL working group may provide alternatives.

Since the whisper is an output of the front end automation process,
it makes sense to return this upon completion of that process. The
most reasonable time to do this is when the OIS-MS sends the BYE.

Any SIP request, including BYE, can contain a message body.
[RFC4483] defines an extension to the URL MIME External-Body Access-
Type to satisfy the content indirection requirements for SIP. These
extensions are aimed at allowing any MIME part in a SIP message to
be referred to indirectly via a URI.

This is illustrated in the following figure. Note that the proxy has
been omitted for clarity, as have some messages not crucial to
illustrating the use of this mechanism. All SIP signaling traverses
the proxy.

```
        AS              MS            Operator
         |               |               |
         |               |               |
         |               |               |
         |(1) INVITE     |               |
         |-------------->|               |
         |               |               |
         |(2) 200 OK     |               |
         |<--------------|               |
         |(3) ACK        |               |
         |-------------->|               |
         |               |               |
         |MS prompts user, collects whisper
         |               |               |
         |               |               |
         |(4) BYE, body w. status, whisper URI
         |<--------------|               |
         |               |               |
         |(5) 200 OK     |               |
         |-------------->|               |
         |               |               |
         |(6) INVITE w. whisper URI      |
         |------------------------------>|
         |               |               |
         |(7) 200 OK SDP|                |
         |<------------------------------|
         |(8) ACK        |               |
         |------------------------------>|
```

```
          |                |                |
          |                |                |
```

              Figure 7 Call flow illustrating passing of whisper

    1. INVITE AS->MS
    INVITE sip:da@ms-1.oisp-c.example.com SIP/2.0
    [remainder of message omitted]

    2. 200 OK MS->AS
    SIP/2.0 200 OK
    [remainder of message omitted]

    4. BYE MS->AS
    BYE sip:as-1@as-1.oisp-c.example.com SIP/2.0
    [non relevant portions of message omitted]
    Content-Type: message/external-body; access-type="URL";
        URL="http://ms1.oisp-c.example.com/whisper/20070206092700-
    0001.wav"
        expiration="Tues, 06 Feb 2007 09:30:00 GMT";
    <CRLF>
    Content-Type: audio/x-wav
    Content-Disposition: render
    <CRLF>

    5. 200 OK AS->MS
    SIP/2.0 200 OK
    [remainder of message omitted]

    6. INVITE AS->Operator Workstation
    INVITE sip:operator@operator-123.oisp-c.example.com SIP/2.0
    [non relevant portions of message omitted]
    Content-Type: message/external-body; access-type="URL";

```
     URL="http://ms1.oisp-c.example.com/whisper/20070206092700-
0001.wav"
     expiration="Tues, 06 Feb 2007 09:30:00 GMT";
<CRLF>
Content-Type: audio/x-wav
Content-Disposition: render
<CRLF>


7. 200 OK Operator->AS
SIP/2.0 200 OK
[remainder of message omitted]
```

   Note that this same mechanism also supports the case where front end
   automation is performed by one provider, and another provider
   provides the operator assistance. In this type of scenario,
   provisions need to made such that the second provider can access the
   resources referenced by the URI.

9.17. Calling Equipment Capabilities and Characteristics

   It may be necessary for the OIS provider to learn the capabilities
   and characteristics of the caller's equipment. This would be useful
   when the OIS provider wishes to provide content to the caller other
   than that which was used on the call to the OISP. For example, the
   OIS provider might wish to send listing information via text
   message, or play a video clip about a particular venue about which
   he has requested information.

   [RFC3840] Indicating User Agent Capabilities in the Session
   Initiation Protocol (SIP), defines mechanisms by which a UA can
   convey its capabilities and characteristics to other user agents and
   to the registrar for its domain. This information is conveyed as
   parameters of the Contact header field.

   This information might be included in the incoming INVITE to the
   OISP, if the caller's UA supports this mechanism and is configured

to do so. Otherwise, the OISP could query the caller's UA by sending
a SIP OPTIONS request, and the UA, if it supports this mechanism,
would include its capability feature tags in the response to the
OISP.

The following is an example of an INVITE containing capability
feature tags, as it arrives at the OISP. In this case, the UA
supports audio, video, and text. Other included tags provide
additional information.

```
INVITE sip:da@provider-c.example.com SIP/2.0
Via: SIP/2.0/UDP proxy-b.provider-b.example.com:5060
 ;branch=y9hG4bK74bf9
Via: SIP/2.0/UDP proxy-a.provider-a.example.com:5060
 ;branch=x9hG4bK74bf9
Via: SIP/2.0/UDP client.provider-a.example.com:5060
 ;branch=z9hG4bK74bf9
From: <sip:7327581234@provider-a.example.com>;tag=1234567
To: sip:411@provider-a.example.com
Contact: <sip:7327581234@provider-a.example.com>;audio;video;text
     ;actor="principle";automata;mobility="fixed"
     ;methods="INVITE,BYE,OPTIONS,ACK,CANCEL"
P-Asserted-Identity: "7327581234" <sip:73237581234@provider-
```

```
a.example.com>
P-Asserted-Identity: tel:+7327581234
Content-Type: application/sdp
Content-Length: ...
[SDP not shown]
```

If the OISP wishes to query the UA, it can send an OPTIONS request
to the UA, and the UA, if it supports this mechanism, would include
the feature capability tags in the Contact header, as show above, in
the 200 OK response.

9.18. Media Server Returning Data to the Application Server

The OIS-AS needs to know the outcome of the operations performed by
the OIS-MS, e.g. success/failure of front end automation, etc. Some
mechanism is needed to convey this information. This could be
conveyed using non SIP mechanisms.

Any SIP message, including BYE, can carry message bodies. The
simplest way for a OIS-MS to return data to an OIS-AS is to
encapsulate the data in a MIME body. This requires agreement between
both sides on the format and semantics of these bodies.

Another approach is to use the content indirection mechanism to
point to the data, however this may be rather cumbersome if only a
small amount of data is to be returned.

   Some OIS service may make use of VoiceXML, whereby the OIS-AS
   invokes VoiceXML scripts on the OIS-MS, and the OIS-MS returns
   data to the OIS-AS. [RFC5552] describes a SIP interface to
   VoiceXML media services, which is commonly employed between

---

   application servers and media servers offering VoiceXML processing
   capabilities. This may be found useful for OIS services.

The topic of application server control of media services is
currently under study, and is the subject of the IETF MEDIACTRL
working group's efforts.

This information can also be conveyed using non SIP mechanisms.

Describing such mechanisms is out of the scope of this document.

9.19. Control of Cut Through Direction for PSTN Interworking

For PSTN interworking scenarios, it may be desirable to explicitly
control the "duplex" of the PSTN circuit; whether it be a two way
connection or one way in the forward direction. The rules about SDP
offer/answer indicate that as soon as an entity sends an SDP offer,
it should be prepared to receive media for that session.

However, in practice some deployments may require that a 18x
response containing SDP be sent in the backward direction before
"blocking gates" are opened to allow media in the reverse direction.

SDP provides a "mode" attribute with values such as "a=sendonly",
"a=recvonly", "a=sendrecv" for explicit control of the
directionality. This mode attribute can be included in the SDP sent
toward the PSTN GW in order to signal what duplex and directionality
is desired. If it's desired to have a talk path only in the backward
direction, such that audio is sent toward the caller but not in the
opposite direction, then SDP with "a=sendonly" can be sent to the
GW. When it's desired to have both-way cut through, an updated SDP
can be sent with "a=sendrecv". This should affect not only the
duplex of the voice path but also the related PSTN signaling sent by
the GW towards the PSTN switch. For example, with ISUP, the GW
should send an ACM with the User Network Interaction bit set in the
Optional Backward Call Indication. Existing standards on PSTN
interworking do not address this aspect of gateway behaviour.

Further, some service provider networks may implement media
authorization policies that require the use of the P-Early-Media SIP
header field as defined in [RFC5009]. In such networks, or when
interoperating with such networks, the response sent toward the PSTN
GW as described above should also include the P-Early-Media header
field with the "em-param" value set to "sendrecv".

9.20. With Holding of Final Responses

Currently in the PSTN, for operator services, signaling of Answer, whether this be an ISUP ANM or MF Answer Supervision, is often with held, leaving the call in an alerting state while the caller interacts with the operator services system. The motivation for this is that in the PSTN, billing normally starts when answer is signaled. For some calls answer may never be signaled; in others it may be signaled for instance when a call completion call is answered.

The equivalent of answer indication in SIP is the 200 OK final response. It is not an intrinsic property of SIP based systems that billing must start upon 200 OK. In cases where it's desired to emulate the PSTN behaviour, the 200 OK can be with held. When this is done, normal SIP procedures need to be followed to prevent the session from timing out. For example, the UAS can periodically retransmit non-100 provisional responses as described in Section 13.3.1.1 of [RFC3261].

10. Example Call Flow - Directory Assistance

10.1. Basic Flow

The following call flow provides examples of how a DA service could be implemented using the mechanisms described in this document. It is intended to illustrate the intended use of the proposed signaling mechanism. Some messages not crucial to this may be omitted for clarity.

```
Caller     Proxy A    Proxy B    Proxy C    OIS-AS     OIS-MS1
  |          |          |          |          |          |
  |          |          |          |          |          |
  |          |          |          |          |          |
  |(1) INVITE sip:411@prov-a.net|          |          |
  |-------->|          |          |          |          |
  |          |          |          |          |          |
  |          |(2) INVITE sip:da@prov-b.net |          |
  |          |-------->|          |          |          |
  |          |          |          |          |          |
  |          |          |(3) INVITE sip:da@prov-c.net |
  |          |          |-------->|          |          |
  |          |          |          |          |          |
  |          |          |          |(4) INVITE sip:da-cc@prov-c.net
  |          |          |          |-------->|          |
  |          |          |          |          |          |
  |          |          |          |          |(5) INVITE prompt &
collect
  |          |          |          |          |-------->|
  |          |          |          |          |          |
  |          |          |          |          |(6) 200 OK w.SDP
  |          |          |          |          |<--------|
  |          |          |          |(7) 200 OK w.SDP   |
  |          |          |          |<--------|          |
  |          |          |(8) 200 OK w.sdp   |          |
  |          |          |<--------|          |          |
  |          |(9) 200 OK w.sdp   |          |          |
  |          |<--------|          |          |          |
  |(10) 200 OK w.sdp   |          |          |          |
  |<--------|          |          |          |          |
  |(11) Ack |          |          |          |          |
  |-------->|          |          |          |          |
  |          |(12) Ack |          |          |          |
  |          |-------->|          |          |          |
  |          |          |(13) Ack |          |          |
  |          |          |-------->|          |          |
  |          |          |          |(14) Ack |          |
  |          |          |          |-------->|          |
  |          |          |          |          |(15) Ack |
  |          |          |          |          |-------->|
  |          |          |          |          |          |
```

Figure 8 DA Call flow, part 1

For brevity, only relevant SIP headers will be shown. The following
test refers to Figure 8.

The user, homed in provider A, initiates a request for an OIS
service, for instance by dialing "411". The user's UA sends a SIP
INVITE. It might contain a "tel" URI.

1. INVITE UE -> Home Proxy

```
INVITE sip: 411@provider-a.example.com SIP/2.0
Via: SIP/2.0/UDP client.provider-a.example.com:5060
;branch=z9hG4bK74bf9
From: <sip:7327581234@provider-a.example.com>;tag=1234567
To: sip:411@provider-a.example.com
Contact: <sip:7327581234@provider-a.example.com>
Content-Type: application/sdp
Content-Length: ...
```

The home provider knows nothing of OISP services, for instance it
might be a rather small scale provider. It is essentially set up to
forward all calls of this type to Provider B. It translates the
Request-URI to a SIP URI and sends the call on to provider B.
Because of this retargeting, it adds a History-Info header to
capture the dialed digits.

The caller's identity is verified in a manner consistent with this
provider's policies, and the proxy adds two P-Asserted-Identity
headers: one with a SIP URI, and another with a "tel" URI.

2. INVITE proxy-a -> proxy-b

```
INVITE sip:411@provider-b.example.com SIP/2.0
Via: SIP/2.0/UDP proxy-a.provider-a.example.com:5060
;branch=x9hG4bK74bf9
Via: SIP/2.0/UDP client.provider-a.example.com:5060
;branch=z9hG4bK74bf9
From: <sip:7327581234@provider-a.example.com>;tag=1234567
To: sip:411@provider-a.example.com
Contact: <sip:7327581234@provider-a.example.com>
P-Asserted-Identity: "7327581234" <sip:73237581234@provider-
a.example.com>
P-Asserted-Identity: tel:+7327581234
History-Info: sip:411@provider-a.example.com; index=1
Content-Type: application/sdp
Content-Length: ...
```

Proxy-b in provider-b's network receives the request. This is a
larger network, and it has business relationships with several OIS
providers, as well as with several providers which serve
subscribers. This provider has logic which requires it to query the
Home Provider's network to find some information related to the
caller. This is not likely to be a SIP related function, and is thus
out of scope for this document. The logic executes, taking the
result of this query into account. It is determined that the call is
for directory assistance, and that the call should be routed to
provider C for handling.

So, proxy-b retargets the Request-URI to reflect this, and routes
the call to provider C (the OISP). It adds another entry to the
History-Info header to capture this retargeting.

3. INVITE proxy-b -> proxy-c

```
INVITE sip:da@provider-c.example.com SIP/2.0
Via: SIP/2.0/UDP proxy-b.provider-b.example.com:5060
;branch=y9hG4bK74bf9
Via: SIP/2.0/UDP proxy-a.provider-a.example.com:5060
;branch=x9hG4bK74bf9
Via: SIP/2.0/UDP client.provider-a.example.com:5060
;branch=z9hG4bK74bf9
From: <sip:7327581234@provider-a.example.com>;tag=1234567
To: sip:411@provider-a.example.com
Contact: <sip:7327581234@provider-a.example.com>
P-Asserted-Identity: "732758123" <sip:73237581234@provider-
a.example.com>
P-Asserted-Identity: tel:+7327581234
History-Info: sip:411@provider-a.example.com; index=1,
<sip:da@provider-a.example.com>; index=1.1
Content-Type: application/sdp
Content-Length: ...
```

Proxy-c in provider C's network receives the request. The source of
the request is authenticated via mechanisms not described here. It
needs to know how to bill this call, and thus needs to know which
provider it came from. It looks at the topmost Via header, and sees
that the call came from provider B.

It examines the History-Info header, and is able to identify the
dialed digits. It can also determine from the SIP URI which domains
have been traversed, as long as each has retargeted and appended an
entry in the header.

The proxy determines that the call needs to go the OIS-AS for

handling, so it retargets if necessary and forwards the INVITE.

The OIS-AS performs 3PCC. It determines that the call needs a branded announcement based on the identity of the home provider, which it derives from the P-Asserted-Identity header. It initiates a new call leg toward OIS-MS1 for front end automation. Per [RFC4240], the "dialog" portion of the Request-URI indicates the "prompt & collect" service. The URI identifies the VoiceXML script to be executed. The SDP is the caller's SDP.

5. INVITE OIS-AS -> MS1

```
INVITE sip:dialog@ois-as.prov-c.example.com; \
      voicexml=http://vxmlserver.example.net/cgi-bin/script.vxml \
SIP/2.0
Via: SIP/2.0/UDP ois-as.prov-c.example.com:5060
;branch=z9hG4bK74bf9
From: <sip:ois-as@ois-as.prov-c.com>;tag=1234567
To: sip:dialog@ois-as.prov-c.example.com; \
      voicexml=http://vxmlserver.example.net/cgi-bin/script.vxml
Contact: <sip:ois-as@ois-as.prov-c.example.com>
Content-Type: application/sdp
Content-Length: ...
```

The OIS-MS responds with a 200 OK, with its own SDP. The OIS-AS now sends a 200 OK response back toward the caller, with the MS's SDP. Note that the OIS-AS could first have sent non final response back toward the user.

```
     Caller    OIS-AS    OIS-MS1     ACD        OWS
       |         |         |          |          |
       |(16) RTP session   |          |          |
       |..................|          |          |
       |         |         |          |          |
       |         |(17) INFO w.URI, body          |
       |         |<--------|          |          |
       |         |         |          |          |
       |         |(18) INVITE         |          |
       |         |----------------->|           |
       |         |         |          |          |
       |         |(19) 182 Queued    |          |
       |         |<-----------------|           |
       |         |         |          |          |
       |         |(20) 3xx Redirection           |
       |         |<-----------------|           |
       |         |         |          |          |
       |         |(21) INVITE         |          |
       |         |--------------------------->|  |
       |         |         |          |          |
       |         |(22) 200 OK         |          |
       |         |<---------------------------|  |
```

```
         |         |(23) ACK |         |         |
         |         |--------------------------->|
         |         |         |         |         |
         |         |(24) BYE |         |         |
         |         |-------->|         |         |
         |         |(25) 200 OK        |         |
         |         |<--------|         |         |
         |         |         |         |         |
         |(26) re INVITE     |         |         |
         |<--------|         |         |         |
         |         |         |         |         |
         |(27) 200 OK        |         |         |
         |-------->|         |         |         |
         |(28) ACK |         |         |         |
         |<--------|         |         |         |
         |         |         |         |         |
         |(29) RTP session   |         |         |
         |...........................................|
         |         |         |         |         |
         |         |(30) BYE |         |         |
         |         |<---------------------------|
         |         |(31) 200 OK        |         |
         |         |--------------------------->|
```

Figure 9 DA Call flow, part 2

The following text refers to Figure 9.

The user is now connected (16) to the MS, which plays a branded
announcement, and prompts for the listing information. When the user
speaks his request, the MS processes the audio to obtain a "whisper"
file, or condensed version of the request. In this example, the MS
is unable to successfully perform the query, so it sends an
indication of this to the AS. In this example, the indication is
sent using an as yet unspecified protocol message carried in a
message body in a SIP INFO message, which also carries a URI which
points to the whisper file. Other mechanisms, including non SIP
mechanisms, could also be used to this end (this is the subject of
further study). The AS allows the caller to remain connected to the
MS while it sets up a call to an operator workstation (OWS),

allowing for the possibility to play custom announcements to the
caller.

The OIS-AS decides based on the failure indication that it needs to
route the call to a human operator. It sends an INVITE (18) to the
ACD server. This INVITE carries information about the required
characteristics, such as language and skill set, of the operator
which should be selected for this call. The means by which this
information is carried has yet to be defined. One possible way an
ACD could be implemented is as a presence server, such that it keeps
track of the availability of all the operators. The Media Resource
Broker being discussed in the IETF MEDIACTRL working group also
represents an approach to ACD.

If the call needs to be queued due to lack of an immediately
available resource, the ACD may send a 812 Queued response (19). In
this example, the ACD server is implemented as a redirect server –
it sends a 3XX response (20) which identifies the operator the OIS-
AS should contact. Alternately, the ACD server could have proxied
the request to the operator.

The OIS-AS now sends an INVITE (21) containing the URI to the
whisper, as well as the caller's SDP, to the indicated operator
workstation. The operator workstation sends a 200 OK (22) with its
SDP, which the OIS-AS sends toward the caller in a re-INVITE (26).
Only when the workstation has sent a final response to the INVITE,
the AS sends a BYE (24) to the MS.

The caller is now connected to the operator (29), and the operator
helps the caller with the listing. The operator workstation launches
a query, and a response is received. The operator signals a BYE (30)
toward the OIS-AS, which may contain the listing information in a
message body, a pointer (URI) to the listing information, or it may
pass this information to the OIS-AS using some other, non SIP
mechanism.

```
Caller    OIS-AS    OIS-MS2
   |         |         |
   |         |         |
   |         |         |
```

```
          |          |(32) INVITE
          |          |------->|
          |          |        |
          |          |(33) 200 OK
          |          |<-------|
          |          |(34) ACK |
          |          |------->|
          |          |        |
          |(35) re INVITE      |
          |<-------|          |
          |          |        |
          |(36) 200 OK         |
          |------->|          |
          |(37) ACK  |         |
          |<-------|          |
          |          |        |
          |(38) RTP session    |
          |...................|
          |          |        |
          |          |(39) BYE w.URI, body
          |          |<-------|
          |          |        |
          |          |(40) 200 OK
          |          |------->|
          |          |        |
          |(41) REFER          |
          |<-------|          |
          |          |        |
          |          |        |
```

                Figure 10 DA Call flow, part 3

    The following text refers to Figure 10.

    The OIS-AS sends an INVITE (32) to another OIS-MS, MS2, for back end
    automation. (Note that though MS2 is shown as a separate element,
    the functionality it provides may or may not require a separate
    element.) When it receives MS2's SDP in the 200 OK (33), it sends a

re-INVITE (35) toward the user to update the SDP. At this point an
audio session is in place between the caller and the back end
automation MS (38). The MS plays the listing information, and offers
call completion service. The caller accepts, so OIS-MS2 sends a BYE
(39) with a message body containing the result of the call
completion offer. Since call completion was requested, the OIS-AS
sends a REFER (41) to the caller, to cause it to place a call to the
listed party. The OIS-AS may or may not care about subsequent
NOTIFYs from the caller, and drops out of the call.

. OISP Drops Out at Call Completion Setup

The OISP may want to support different call flow options with
respect to call completion. Reasons for this may include the desire
to free up resources quickly, provide additional functionality, etc.
When the OISP wants to provide the listing information and free
resources as soon as possible, a simple flow based on REFER can be
used, as illustrated below.

In this flow, the caller is already connected to an OISP resource
such as an MS, and requests call completion. In (2), the OISP sends
a REFER to initiate the call completion call. The caller's UA
indicates acceptance of the REFER by sending a 202 Accepted (3). It
then sends a NOTIFY indicating that it is attempting to contact the
indicated resource, by sending an INVITE (10). When the AS receives
this notification, it understands that the caller is attempting the
call completion call, so it drops the call by sending BYE in (6)and
(8). The various notifications sent by the caller to the OISP can be
used to monitor progress of the call, or may simply be ignored from
an application standpoints (from a protocol standpoint they must be
acknowledged).

```
      Caller            AS              MS        Called Party
        |                |               |               |
```

```
      |               |                  |              |
      |(1) Caller connected to e.g., MS  |              |
      |..............................|                  |
      |               |                  |              |
      |(2) REFER (Called)                |              |
      |<------------|                    |              |
      |(3) 202 Accepted                  |              |
      |------------>|                    |              |
      |               |                  |              |
      |(4) NOTIFY (Trying)               |              |
      |------------>|                    |              |
      |(5) 200 OK   |                    |              |
      |<------------|                    |              |
      |               |                  |              |
      |               |(6) BYE           |              |
      |               |------------>|     |              |
      |               |(7) 200 OK        |              |
      |               |<------------|     |              |
      |               |                  |              |
      |(8) BYE        |                  |              |
      |<------------|                    |              |
      |(9) 200 OK   |                    |              |
      |------------>|                    |              |
      |               |                  |              |
      |(10) INVITE  |                    |              |
      |------------------------------------------->|    |
      |               |                  |              |
      |(11) 200 OK  |                    |              |
      |<-------------------------------------------|    |
      |(12) Ack       |                  |              |
      |------------------------------------------->|    |
      |               |                  |              |
      |(13) NOTIFY (200 OK)              |              |
      |------------>|                    |              |
      |(14) 200 OK  |                    |              |
      |<------------|                    |              |
      |               |                  |              |
         Figure 11 OISP Drops Out at Call Completion Setup
```

10.3. OISP Drops Out After Call Completion Call is Answered

   The OISP may need to remain in the signaling path until the call
   completion call is answered. One way to implement this is to use the
   REFER method with the Replaces header, as described in [RFC3891]. In
   this case, once the call completion call is answered (5), the OISP's
   AS sends a REFER (6) toward the caller with a Replaces header
   identifying the current dialog between the AS and called party, and
   Referred-by header identifying the AS. This causes an INVITE (10) to
   be sent toward the called party, also with Replaces and Referred-by
   headers. As described in [RFC3891], this causes a new session to be
   set up with the called party, replacing the existing sessions. As
   part of this, the original session is torn down (16). Thus, the
   OISP's resources are removed from the call.

```
       Caller            AS              MS          Called Party
          |               |               |               |
          |               |               |               |
          |               |               |               |
          |(1) Caller listening to announcements, etc     |
          |...............................|               |
          |               |               |               |
          |               |(2) INVITE     |               |
          |               |------------------------------>|
          |               |               |               |
          |               |(3) 183 Ringing                |
          |               |<------------------------------|
          |               |               |               |
          |               |(4) 200 OK     |               |
          |               |<------------------------------|
          |               |               |               |
          |               |(5) ACK        |               |
          |               |------------------------------>|
          |               |               |               |
          |[Called party has answered]    |               |
          |               |               |               |
          |(6) REFER (Called Party, Replaces:AS, Referred-by:AS)
          |<------------|  |               |               |
          |               |               |               |
          |(7) 202 Accepted               |               |
          |------------>|  |               |               |
          |               |               |               |
          |(8) NOTIFY (100 Trying)        |               |
          |------------>|  |               |               |
          |               |               |               |
          |(9) 200 OK   |  |               |               |
          |<------------|  |               |               |
          |               |               |               |
          |(10) INVITE (Replaces:AS, Referred-by:AS)      |
          |---------------------------------------------->|
          |               |               |               |
          |(11) 200 OK  |  |               |               |
          |<----------------------------------------------|
          |               |               |               |
          |(12) ACK     |  |               |               |
          |---------------------------------------------->|
          |               |               |               |
```

```
|[Called Party replaces existing dialog from Step 2 with new one]
|               |               |               |
|               |               |               |
|(13) RTP [Called and Calling Party are now connected ]
```

```
   |.........................................|
   |               |               |         |
   |               |               |         |
   |[ Remainder of steps cleanup dialogs between Caller-AS and AS-
   Called ]
   |               |               |         |
   |(14) NOTIFY (200 OK)           |         |
   |------------>|                 |         |
   |               |               |         |
   |(15) 200 OK    |               |         |
   |<------------|                 |         |
   |               |               |         |
   |               |(16) BYE (as a result of receiving Replaces)
   |               |<----------------------------|
   |               |               |         |
   |               |(17) 200 OK    |         |
   |               |---------------------------->|
   |               |               |         |
   |(18) BYE       |               |         |
   |<------------|                 |         |
   |               |               |         |
   |(19) 200 OK    |               |         |
   |------------>|                 |         |
   |               |               |         |
        Figure 12 OISP Drops After Call Completion is Answered
```

10.4. OISP Drops Out After Interaction with Called Party

   In this scenario, the OISP needs to interact with the called party,
   then desired to remove its resources from the call. Collect calls
   are one example where this might be used. This also uses REFER with
   Replaces. The OISP places a call to the called party, and
   interactions between OISP resources (automated or human) occur. The
   OISP then sends a REFER with Replaces and Referred-by to the calling
   party, which then sends an INVITE as described for the previous
   scenario.

In this scenario, the OISP has one media session (1) with the caller
and another (2) with the called party. After interactions have been
completed, the OISP initiates the transfer by sending a REFER (3)
with Replaces and Referred-by headers. This causes the caller's UA
to send a corresponding INVITE (7) containing those headers. As with
the previous scenario, this causes initiation of a new session
replacing the existing session, as well as teardown (10) of the
existing session.

```
   Caller                AS                MS              Called
     |                   |                 |                 |
     |                   |                 |                 |
     |                   |                 |                 |
     |[ Calling party has some RTP session with MS ]        |
     |                   |                 |                 |
     |                   |                 |                 |
     |(1) RTP            |                 |                 |
     |................................................|     |
     |                   |                 |                 |
     |[ Called party has different RTP session with MS ]    |
     |                   |                 |                 |
     |                   |                 |                 |
     |                   |                 |(2) RTP          |
     |                   |                 |.................|
     |                   |                 |                 |
     |[ AS initiates transfer with REFER ]  |                |
     |                   |                 |                 |
     |                   |                 |                 |
     |(3) REFER (to called, Replaces:AS, Referred-by:AS)    |
     |<------------------|                 |                 |
     |                   |                 |                 |
     |                   |                 |                 |
     |(4) 202 Accepted   |                 |                 |
     |------------------>|                 |                 |
     |                   |                 |                 |
     |(5) NOTIFY (trying)|                 |                 |
     |------------------>|                 |                 |
     |                   |                 |                 |
     |(6) 200 OK         |                 |                 |
     |<------------------|                 |                 |
     |                   |                 |                 |
```

```
|[ Replaces header causes Called to replace old call with new ]
|              |              |              |
|              |              |              |
|(7) INVITE (Replaces:AS, Referred-by:AS)    |
|------------------------------------------------------------->|
|              |              |              |
|              |              |              |
|(8) 200 OK (SDP-called)      |              |
|<-------------------------------------------------------------|
|              |              |              |
|(9) ACK       |              |              |
|------------------------------------------------------------->|
|              |              |              |
|[ Calling and Called are now talking directly ]    |
```

```
   |              |              |              |
   |              |              |              |
   |              |              |              |
 |[As a result of Replaces operation, called ends session with MS]
   |              |              |              |
   |              |(10) BYE (as a result of processing Replaces)
   |              |<-------------------------------------|
   |              |              |              |
   |              |(11) 200 OK   |              |
   |              |-------------------------------------->|
   |              |              |              |
   |[AS ends session with Calling]              |
   |              |              |              |
   |(12) BYE      |              |              |
   |<-----------------|          |              |
   |(13) 200 OK   |              |              |
   |----------------->|          |              |
   |              |              |              |
   |(14) NOTIFY (200 OK)         |              |
   |----------------->|          |              |
   |(15) 200 OK   |              |              |
   |<-----------------|          |              |
   |              |              |              |
      Figure 13 OISP Drops Out After Interaction With Called Party
```

10.5. OISP Remains in Path

In some cases, the OISP desires to maintain its elements in the
signaling path and possibly in the media path as well for the
duration of the call completion call. One possible reason for doing
this is so that the caller can request to be returned to the OISP
for additional services after the call has completed.

The figure below begins with the caller already connected to OISP
resources. The AS initiates call completion in steps 2 through 5 by
sending an INVITE toward the called party. The AS then sends a re-
INVITE toward the caller to update the SDP, and step 9 shows the
media session established between the caller and the called party,
and in step 10 clears the previous session with the MS. When the
called party hangs up in step 12, the AS responds. In step 14, the
AS has the opportunity to redirect the caller to a MS or other
resource to offer additional services, but in this case simply
clears the dialog with the caller.

```
    Caller              AS              MS           Called Party
      |                 |               |               |
      |                 |               |               |
      |                 |               |               |
      |(1) Caller has media session with MS            |
      |.........................|                       |
      |                 |               |               |
      |AS initiates call completion    |               |
      |                 |               |               |
      |                 |               |               |
      |                 |(2) INVITE     |               |
      |                 |------------------------------>|
      |                 |               |               |
      |                 |(3) 180 Ringing                |
      |                 |<------------------------------|
      |                 |               |               |
      |                 |(4) 200 OK     |               |
      |                 |<------------------------------|
      |                 |               |               |
      |                 |(5) ACK        |               |
      |                 |------------------------------>|
      |                 |               |               |
      |Called party has answered       |               |
```

```
|                |                |                |
|                |                |                |
|(6) re-INVITE   |                |                |
|<------------|  |                |                |
|                |                |                |
|(7) 200 OK      |                |                |
|------------>|  |                |                |
|                |                |                |
|(8) ACK         |                |                |
|<------------|  |                |                |
|                |                |                |
|(9) Media Session                |                |
|...............................................|
|                |                |                |
|                |(10) BYE        |                |
|                |------------>|  |                |
|                |                |                |
|                |(11) 200 OK     |                |
|                |<------------|  |                |
|                |                |                |
|Called party hangs up            |                |
|                |                |                |
|                |                |                |
|                |(12) BYE        |                |
```

```
|                |<---------------------------|   |
|                |                |               |
|                |(13) 200 OK     |               |
|                |--------------------------->|   |
|                |                |               |
|AS clears call back to Caller|   |               |
|                |                |               |
|                |                |               |
|(14) BYE        |                |               |
|<------------|  |                |               |
|                |                |               |
|(15) 200 OK     |                |               |
|------------>|  |                |               |
|                |                |               |
|                |                |               |
              Figure 14 OISP Remains in Signaling Path
```

. Return of Call to OISP

   In some cases, it is desirable that the caller be able to request,
   typically via keypad stimulus such as the octothorpe or pound sign,
   to be returned to the OISP operator (human or automated). One way
   this can be accomplished is for the OISP to use KPML [RFC4730] to
   subscribe to the desired keypress. The flow presented here assumes
   that the calling UA, or an intermediary acting on its behalf,
   supports this event package, and is able to detect the desired
   keypress. Examples of such intermediaries include back to back user
   agents (B2BUAs) and Session Border Controllers (SBCs). Another
   option is for the OISP to insert some element such as a MS into the
   media stream, which is capable of detecting and notifying the
   desired keypress.

   In (1) the caller has already been connected to called party via the
   AS. In (2), the AS subscribes to KPML events from the caller's UA.
   Note that in some environments, this could be intercepted and acted
   upon by intermediaries such as B2BUAs or SBCs. As long as this does
   not interfere with notification, this is transparent to the OISP.
   When the caller presses the specified keypress to request return to
   the OISP, a NOTIFY (6) is sent to the AS. At this time, the OISP can
   perform whatever actions are necessary, such as perhaps sending a
   re-INVITE or UPDATE to move the media session to an OISP resource.

```
   Caller           AS              MS          Called Party
      |             |               |               |
      |(1) Caller connected to called via 3PCC      |
      |.............................................|
      |             |               |               |
      |(2) SUBSCRIBE (KPML body specifying "#")      |
      |<------------|               |               |
      |(3) 200 OK   |               |               |
      |------------>|               |               |
      |             |               |               |
      |(4) NOTIFY (result of subscription)          |
      |------------>|               |               |
      |(5) 200 OK   |               |               |
```

```
|<------------|                |                 |
|             |                |                 |
|[ Some time passes ]          |                 |
|             |                |                 |
|[ Caller wants back to OISP, hits "#" ]         |
|             |                |                 |
|             |                |                 |
|(6) NOTIFY (KPML body specifying "#")           |
|------------>|                |                 |
|(7) 200 OK   |                |                 |
|<------------|                |                 |
              Figure 15 Return of Call to OISP
```

10.7. PSTN Origination

The following example shows a call from a PSTN caller. In this case,
the incoming IAM is translated at the PSTN gateway to a SIP INIVTE.
Though not specifically shown, the INVITE contains the IAM
encapsulated in a MIME body, and any ISUP parameters are mapped to
SIP headers and/or parameters as described in [T1679]; additionally
the mechanisms described in this document are also applied, such as
encoding of the trunk group information in the Contact header per
[RFC4904].

Note that the 183 Session Progress in step (6) contains the SDP of
the media server. As described in Section 9.19 "Control of Cut
Through Direction for PSTN Interworking", this may be required in
some deployments before media in the reverse direction is allowed by
blocking gates.

```
    EO          GW          AS          MS1         MS2      Called Party
    |           |           |           |           |           |
    |           |           |           |           |           |
```

```
|              |             |             |             |             |
|Incoming ISUP Call          |             |             |             |
|              |             |             |             |             |
|(1) IAM       |             |             |             |             |
|--------->|   |             |             |             |             |
|              |(2) INVITE (GW SDP)        |             |             |
|              |--------->|  |             |             |             |
|              |             |(3) INVITE (GW SDP)        |             |
|              |             |--------->|   |             |             |
|              |             |(4) 200 OK (MS1 SDP)       |             |
|              |             |<---------|   |             |             |
|              |             |(5) ACK   |   |             |             |
|              |             |--------->|   |             |             |
|              |(6) 183 Session Progress (MS1 SDP)       |             |
|              |<---------|  |             |             |             |
|(7) ACM       |             |             |             |             |
|<---------|   |             |             |             |             |
|              |(8) PRACK |  |             |             |             |
|              |--------->|  |             |             |             |
|              |(9) 200 OK|  |             |             |             |
|              |<---------|  |             |             |             |
|              |(10) RTP Session           |             |             |
|              |....................|      |             |             |
|E.g. Front End Announcements   |             |             |           |
|              |             |             |             |             |
|              |             |(11) BYE  |   |             |             |
|              |             |<---------|   |             |             |
|              |             |(12) 200 OK|  |             |             |
|              |             |--------->|   |             |             |
|              |             |             |             |             |
|              |             |(13) INVITE (GW SDP)   |   |             |
|              |             |--------------------->|   |             |
|              |             |(14) 200 OK (MS2 SDP)  |   |             |
|              |             |<---------------------|   |             |
|              |             |(15) ACK  |   |             |             |
|              |             |--------------------->|   |             |
|              |(16) UPDATE (MS2 SDP)   |   |             |             |
|              |<---------|  |             |             |             |
|              |(17) 200 OK| |             |             |             |
|              |--------->|  |             |             |             |
|              |(18) RTP Session           |             |             |
|              |...................................|     |             |
|              |             |(19) INVITE| |             |             |
|              |             |------------------------------->|
```

```
|                    |              |(20) 183 Session Progress           |
|                    |              |<-----------------------------------|
|                    |              |(21) PRACK |           |            |
|                    |              |----------------------------------->|
|                    |              |(22) 200 OK|           |            |
|                    |              |<-----------------------------------|
|                    |(23) UPDATE (Called SDP)          |            |
|                    |<----------|              |           |            |
|                    |(24) 200 OK|              |           |            |
|                    |---------->|              |           |            |
|                    |              |(25) BYE  |           |            |
|                    |              |<--------------------|            |
|                    |              |(26) 200 OK|           |            |
|                    |              |-------------------->|            |
|                    |(27) RTP Session           |           |            |
|                    |..............................................|
|                    |              |(28) 200 OK|           |            |
|                    |              |<-----------------------------------|
|                    |              |(29) Ack  |           |            |
|                    |              |----------------------------------->|
|                    |(30) 200 OK|              |           |            |
|                    |<----------|              |           |            |
|                    |(31) ACK  |              |           |            |
|                    |---------->|              |           |            |
|(32) ANM    |              |           |            |            |
|<----------|              |           |            |            |
|                    |              |           |            |            |
                    Figure 16 PSTN Origination
```

10.8. PSTN Termination

The following example shows a call which results in call completion
to a destination on the PSTN. In Step 17 the AS sends an INVITE
toward the PSTN gateway which results in an IAM being sent which
initiates the PSTN call leg.

```
Calling Party      AS              MS1           MS2          GW           EO
        |           |               |             |            |            |
        |           |               |             |            |            |
        |           |               |             |            |            |
        |Incoming SIP call          |             |            |            |
        |           |               |             |            |            |
        |(1) INVITE (Caller SDP)|   |             |            |            |
        |--------->|               |             |            |            |
        |           |(2) INVITE (Caller SDP)|     |            |            |
        |           |--------->|   |             |            |            |
        |           |(3) 200 OK (MS1 SDP)   |     |            |            |
        |           |<---------|   |             |            |            |
        |           |(4) ACK    |   |             |            |            |
        |           |--------->|   |             |            |            |
        |(5) 183 Session Progress (MS1 SDP) |     |            |            |
        |<---------|               |             |            |            |
        |(6) PRACK  |               |             |            |            |
        |--------->|               |             |            |            |
        |(7) 200 OK |               |             |            |            |
        |<---------|               |             |            |            |
        |(8) RTP Session            |             |            |            |
        |......................|   |             |            |            |
        |E.g. Front End Announcements |           |            |            |
        |           |               |             |            |            |
        |           |(9) BYE    |   |             |            |            |
        |           |<---------|   |             |            |            |
        |           |(10) 200 OK|   |             |            |            |
        |           |--------->|   |             |            |            |
        |           |               |             |            |            |
        |           |(11) INVITE (Caller SDP)     |            |            |
        |           |--------------------->|      |            |            |
        |           |(12) 200 OK (MS2 SDP)  |      |            |            |
        |           |<---------------------|      |            |            |
        |           |(13) ACK   |   |             |            |            |
        |           |--------------------->|      |            |            |
        |(14) UPDATE (MS2 SDP)  |   |             |            |            |
        |<---------|               |             |            |            |
        |(15) 200 OK|               |             |            |            |
        |--------->|               |             |            |            |
        |(16) RTP Session           |             |            |            |
        |..................................|      |            |            |
        |           |(17) INVITE|   |             |            |            |
        |           |-------------------------------------->|            |
```

```
|           |           |           |           |(18) IAM   |
|           |           |           |           |---------->|
|           |           |           |           |(19) ACM   |
|           |           |           |           |<----------|
```

```
|           |(20) 183 Session Progress        |           |
|           |<--------------------------------|           |
|           |(21) PRACK |           |           |           |
|           |-------------------------------->|           |
|           |(22) 200 OK|           |           |           |
|           |<--------------------------------|           |
|(23) UPDATE (GW SDP)   |           |           |           |
|<----------|           |           |           |           |
|(24) 200 OK|           |           |           |           |
|---------->|           |           |           |           |
|           |           |           |           |(25) ANM   |
|           |           |           |           |<----------|
|           |(26) 200 OK|           |           |           |
|           |<--------------------------------|           |
|           |(27) Ack   |           |           |           |
|           |-------------------------------->|           |
|(28) 200 OK(GW SDP)    |           |           |           |
|<----------|           |           |           |           |
|(29) ACK   |           |           |           |           |
|---------->|           |           |           |           |
|(30) RTP Session       |           |           |           |
|...................................................|       |
|           |           |           |           |(31) REL   |
|           |           |           |           |<----------|
|           |           |           |           |(32) RLC   |
|           |           |           |           |---------->|
|           |(33) BYE   |           |           |           |
|           |<--------------------------------|           |
|           |(34) 200 OK|           |           |           |
|           |-------------------------------->|           |
|(35) BYE   |           |           |           |           |
|<----------|           |           |           |           |
|(36) 200 OK|           |           |           |           |
|---------->|           |           |           |           |
|           |           |           |           |           |
                Figure 17 PSTN Termination
```

   This example shows how when a call is received from the PSTN, call
   completion can be achieved by releasing the call back to the PSTN to
   have a PSTN switch initiate the call completion call. This allows
   call completion to occur in the PSTN, and completely drops the call
   from the OISP. The PSTN feature which supports this is called
   Release To Pivot; other implementations may also exist. The

   gateway's connection to the PSTN needs to be specifically
   provisioned to support this feature. There is currently no standard
   describing the invocation of this feature using SIP; nor does this
   document intend to do this. Rather, it intends to illustrate one way
   in which it might be done. This flow appears as the equivalent of a
   blind transfer with the PSTN gateway as the originator; the key
   thing is that the PSTN gateway needs to understand this as a request
   for Release to Pivot or equivalent PSTN feature.

```
    EO              GW              AS              MS1             EO-2
    |               |               |               |               |
    |               |               |               |               |
    |               |               |               |               |
    |Incoming ISUP Call             |               |               |
    |               |               |               |               |
    |(1) IAM        |               |               |               |
    |-------------->|               |               |               |
    |               |(2) INVITE (GW SDP)            |               |
    |               |-------------->|               |               |
    |               |               |(3) INVITE (GW SDP)            |
    |               |               |-------------->|               |
    |               |               |(4) 200 OK (MS1 SDP)           |
    |               |               |<--------------|               |
    |               |               |(5) ACK        |               |
    |               |               |-------------->|               |
    |               |(6) 183 Session Progress (MS1 SDP)             |
    |               |<--------------|               |               |
    |(7) ACM        |               |               |               |
    |<--------------|               |               |               |
    |               |(8) PRACK      |               |               |
    |               |-------------->|               |               |
    |               |(9) 200 OK     |               |               |
    |               |<--------------|               |               |
    |               |(10) RTP Session               |               |
    |               |...............................|               |
    |E.g. Front End Announcements   |               |               |
    |               |               |               |               |
```

```
|                  |                    |(11) BYE     |               |
|                  |                    |<------------|               |
|                  |                    |(12) 200 OK  |               |
|                  |                    |------------>|               |
|                  |(13) REFER (call completion number)               |
|                  |<------------|                   |               |
|                  |(14) 202 Accepted  |                   |               |
|                  |------------>|                   |               |
|                  |(15) NOTIFY(trying) |                   |               |
|                  |------------>|                   |               |
|                  |(16) 200 OK  |                   |               |
|                  |<------------|                   |               |
|(17) REL          |             |                   |               |
|<------------|    |             |                   |               |
|(18) RLC          |             |                   |               |
|------------>|    |             |                   |               |
|                  |(19) NOTIFY(200 OK) |                   |               |
|                  |------------>|                   |               |
|                  |(20) 200 OK  |                   |               |
```

```
|                  |<------------|                   |               |
|(21) IAM, etc.|   |             |                   |               |
|-------------------------------------------------------------->|
|                  |             |                   |               |
|                  |             |                   |               |
        Figure 18 Call Completion By Releasing Call Back to PSTN
```

11. Operator Services Example Call Flows

   The following call flows provide examples of how specific operator
   services could be implemented using the mechanisms described in this
   document. The purpose is to illustrate one way to implement these
   services using the proposed signaling mechanisms.

11.1. Network Controlled Coin Calls

   This flow depicts a SIP based OISP handling calls from a network
   controlled coin station. The OISP needs to determine the coinage
   deposited by the station. Note that "smart" coin stations do not
   require interaction with the OISP. This discussion only addresses
   control of TDM based network controlled coin stations.

The configuration is as follows. Network controlled coin stations
are connected to TDM based end offices (EOs) using special access
lines, the characteristics of which are not important to this
discussion. The EO exchanges signaling with the station over this
access line, but does not perform the coin control. Operator
Services switches historically provide the control for these types
of calls, and the EO connects to the Operator Switch via special
coin control trunks. The EO translates between coin access and coin
trunk signaling.

The signaling includes coin station control and coin deposit
indications. The OISP sends coin station control signaling to the
coin station to instruct it to collect coins, return coins, etc. The
coin deposit signaling is sent by the coin station toward the OISP,
and indicates the coinage inserted by the user.

Coin station control signaling includes signals such as coin
collect, coin return, operator ringback, etc. The way in which these
signals are conveyed depends on the type of coin trunk being used.
For SS7 ISUP coin trunks, these are conveyed using the Service
Activation (SAP) ISUP parameter. For MF trunks using multiwink coin
signaling, these signals are conveyed using a series MF hook state
transition events known as "winks". For MF trunks using Expanded

Inband Signaling (EIS), these signals are conveyed as tone bursts in
conjunction with a wink. The relevant MF signaling is described in
[GR506].

When the AS communicates with the GW using encapsulated ISUP, such
as for SS7 ISUP trunks and cases where the gateway internally
converts between MF and encapsulated ISUP, then the AS can convey
coin control signaling to the GW using encapsulated ISUP that
includes the appropriate Service Activation Parameter (SAP) value.
This encapsulated ISUP is carried within the SIP signaling sent to
the GW.

For multiwink signaling, the AS could connect the GW to an MS and
instruct the MS to play the appropriate signals using an existing
mechanism such as netann, VXML, etc. As mentioned above, the
multiwink signals are MF hook transition events. [RFC5244] defines a
mechanism for signaling the ABCD states used to represent MF hook
states within an RTP stream. The MS would generate the appropriate

"telephone-event" RTP payload format packets defined in RFC 5244 in
response to requests from the AS. In order to be able to render
these events on the TDM side, the GW would need to implement
reception of RFC 5244 packets.

For EIS signaling, an MS could be used as above, generating the
appropriate tones and hook transitions in response to requests from
the AS. The hook transition events as above could be accomplished
using RFC 5244. The audio tones could be transmitted using an audio
codec such as G.711. Alternatively, RFC 4733 "tone" RTP payload
format packets as described in Section 4 of that document could be
used. Finally, new RFC 4733 codepoints have been registered with
IANA for these tones, so the can be conveyed using the "telephone-
event" RTP payload format.

Coin deposit signaling is sent as tone bursts on the trunk from the
coin station towards the OISP, regardless of whether ISUP or MF
signaling is used on the trunk. The tones could be detected by the
GW, or they could be detected by a MS that has been connected by the
AS. In either case, some mechanism is needed in order for the AS to
request detection and for the MS to report detection of these tones.
KPML [RFC4730] and VXML both natively support the reporting of DTMF
tones, but there is currently no standardized way to represent the
tone bursts representing the deposit of coins. The possibility
arises to represent the coin deposit tones in KPML or VXML by
mapping them to some set of service provider specified DTMF digits.

The following examples illustrates the use of encapsulated ISUP to
convey the coin control signaling, and detection of coin deposit
signals at the GW, with the GW reporting coin deposits using KPML.
As identified above, other alternatives are possible.

In the following flow, the EO is the end office service the coin
station, the PSTN GW is the GW terminating the voice trunks and
signaling from the EO, the AS is the OIS AS, the MS is a media
server in the OIS provider, and the called party is self
explanatory.

In step 1, the coin station (not shown) has signaled a call request
to the EO, which in turn selects a coin trunk toward the OISP PSTN
GW, and initiates the corresponding signaling. In steps 2 through 4,
the PSTN GW sends an INVITE to the AS, which accepts the call.

In steps 5 through 7, the AS sends a SIP INFO message containing
encapsulated ISUP, which contains an ISUP SAP parameter with the
Feature Code Indicator (FCI) indicating "Network Service Attached",
which is an instruction to the coin station that an Operator
Services System has been connected. The GW sends the corresponding
PSTN signaling back toward the coin station.

In steps 8 through 10, the AS using the same procedures sends
encapsulated ISUP with a SAP parameter with the FCI indicating "Coin
Collect" which instructs the coin station to collect and report on
coin deposits.

The coin deposits will be signaled as tones over the trunk, so the
AS in steps 11 through 14 subscribes to these events at the GW. This
example assumes an extension to KPML to support these tones, but the
mechanism is the same even if a new event package were defined.

In 15, the user deposits coins into the station, and these events
are signaled by the EO to the GW. In step 16, the GW sends SIP
NOTIFY messages to the AS for each such event.

When the AS determines that adequate payment has been collected, it
routes the call, as depicted in steps 18 through 24.

In this example, the caller exceeds his credit, and hangs up the
phone. This is represented by steps 25 through 27.

Using similar signaling, the OISP sends an Operator Hold and
Ringback request toward the station, to "keep the line open" and to
ring the station so that the user can be prompted to pay the
exceeded credit. This is represented in steps 28 through 36. In this
case, the honest user inserts the required coins, and this is
signaled to the OISP in steps 39 through 41. From steps 42 on, the
line is "released".

```
          EO         GW         AS         MS   Called Party
          |          |          |          |          |
          |          |          |          |          |
```

```
           |          |          |         |        |
           |(1) Call Request Indication  |        |
           |-------->|          |         |        |
           |          |          |         |        |
           |          |(2) INVITE          |        |
           |          |-------->|         |        |
           |          |          |         |        |
           |          |(3) 200 OK          |        |
           |          |<-------|         |        |
           |          |          |         |        |
           |          |(4) ACK  |         |        |
           |          |-------->|         |        |
           |          |          |         |        |
           |          |(5) INFO (ISUP),SAP FCI=Network Service Attach)
           |          |<-------|         |        |
           |          |          |         |        |
           |          |(6) 200 OK          |        |
           |          |-------->|         |        |
           |          |          |         |        |
           |(7) corresponding MF or ISUP signaling |
           |<-------|          |         |        |
           |          |          |         |        |
           |          |(8) INFO (ISUP,SAP FCI=Coin Collect)
           |          |<-------|         |        |
           |          |          |         |        |
           |          |(9) 200 OK          |        |
           |          |-------->|         |        |
           |          |          |         |        |
           |(10) corresponding MF or ISUP signaling|
           |<-------|          |         |        |
           |          |          |         |        |
           |(11) SUBSCRIBE (KPML body specifying coin deposit tones)
           |<----------------|         |        |
           |          |          |         |        |
           |(12) 200 OK          |         |        |
           |---------------->|         |        |
           |          |          |         |        |
           |(13) NOTIFY (result of subscription)   |
           |---------------->|         |        |
           |          |          |         |        |
           |(14) 200 OK          |         |        |
           |<----------------|         |        |
           |          |          |         |        |
           |[ User inserts coins ]     |        |
```

```
       |        |          |            |        |
       |        |          |            |        |
       |(15) Coin deposit signals       |        |
       |------->|          |            |        |
   |        |          |            |          |
       |                  |(16) NOTIFY (KPML body specifying coin deposit
  tones)
       |        |-------->|            |        |
       |        |          |            |        |
       |        |(17) 200 OK          |        |
       |        |<--------|            |        |
       |        |          |            |        |
       |[ AS determines adequate coinage, routes call ]
       |        |          |            |        |
       |        |          |            |        |
       |        |          |(18) INVITE |        |
       |        |          |----------------->|
       |        |          |            |        |
       |        |          |(19) 180 Ringing  |
       |        |          |<-----------------|
       |        |          |            |        |
       |        |          |(20) 200 OK |        |
       |        |          |<-----------------|
       |        |          |            |        |
       |        |          |(21) ACK |  |        |
       |        |          |----------------->|
       |        |          |            |        |
       |        |(22) re-INVITE (Called SDP)   |
       |        |<--------|            |        |
       |        |          |            |        |
       |        |(23) 200 OK          |        |
       |        |-------->|            |        |
       |        |          |            |        |
       |        |(24) RTP |            |        |
       |        |..........................|
       |        |          |            |        |
       |[ Conversation, exceeds credit ]        |
       |        |          |            |        |
       |        |          |            |        |
       |[ Caller hangs up, tries to run ]       |
       |        |          |            |        |
       |        |          |            |        |
       |(25) Disconnect Request       |        |
       |------->|          |            |        |
       |        |          |            |        |
       |        |(26) INFO (ISUP,SAP FCI=Disconnect Request)
       |        |-------->|            |        |
```

```
       |         |         |         |         |
       |         |(27) 200 OK        |         |
       |         |<--------|         |         |
       |         |         |         |         |
       |[ AS requests Connection Hold and Ringback ]
       |         |         |         |         |
       |         |         |         |         |
       |         |(28) INFO (ISUP,SAP FCI=Hold Request)
       |         |<--------|         |         |
       |         |         |         |         |
       |         |(29) 200 OK        |         |
       |         |-------->|         |         |
       |         |         |         |         |
       |(30) corresponding MF or ISUP signaling|
       |<--------|         |         |         |
       |         |         |         |         |
       |(31) Hold Acknowledge        |         |
       |-------->|         |         |         |
       |         |         |         |         |
       |         |(32) INFO (ISUP,SAP FCI=Hold Acknowledge)
       |         |-------->|         |         |
       |         |         |         |         |
       |         |(33) 200 OK        |         |
       |         |<--------|         |         |
       |         |         |         |         |
       |         |(34) INFO (ISUP,SAP FCI=Ringback Request)
       |         |<--------|         |         |
       |         |         |         |         |
       |         |(35) 200 OK        |         |
       |         |-------->|         |         |
       |         |         |         |         |
       |(36) corresponding MF or ISUP signaling|
       |<--------|         |         |         |
       |         |         |         |         |
       |[ User inserts coins ]       |         |
       |         |         |         |         |
       |         |         |         |         |
       |(37) NOTIFY (KPML body specifying coin deposit tones)
       |---------------->|         |         |
       |         |         |         |         |
       |(38) 200 OK        |         |         |
       |<----------------|         |         |
       |         |         |         |         |
```

```
        |[ Billing satisfied ]      |            |
        |          |            |            |            |
        |          |            |            |            |
        |          |(39) INFO (ISUP,SAP FCI=Hold Release Request)
```

```
        |          |<--------|            |            |
        |          |         |            |            |
        |          |(40) 200 OK           |            |
        |          |-------->|            |            |
        |          |         |            |            |
        |(41) corresponding MF or ISUP signaling|
        |<--------|          |            |            |
        |          |         |            |            |
        |[ User hangs up ]   |            |            |
        |          |         |            |            |
        |          |         |            |            |
        |(42) Disconnect Request          |            |
        |-------->|          |            |            |
        |          |         |            |            |
        |          |(43) INFO (ISUP,SAP FCI=Disconnect Request)
        |          |-------->|            |            |
        |          |         |            |            |
        |          |(44) 200 OK           |            |
        |          |<--------|            |            |
        |          |         |            |            |
        |          |(45) BYE |            |            |
        |          |<--------|            |            |
        |          |         |            |            |
        |          |(46) 200 OK           |            |
        |          |-------->|            |            |
        |          |         |            |            |
        |(47) corresponding MF or ISUP signaling|
        |<--------|          |            |            |
        |          |         |            |            |
        |          |         |(48) BYE |            |
        |          |         |----------------->|
        |          |         |            |            |
        |          |         |(49) 200 OK |            |
        |          |         |<-----------------|
        |          |         |            |            |
        |          |         |            |            |
        |          |         |            |            |
              Figure 19 Network Controlled Coin Call
```

11.2. Busy Line Verification and Interrupt

An existing PTSN service is Busy Line Verification and Interrupt. In the Busy Line Verification (BLV) Service, a customer obtains

operator assistance to determine if a called line is in use. In Operator Interrupt Service, the operator provides a BLV Service and, if requested by the caller, interrupts a conversation in progress and relays a message. If the interrupted party is willing to hang up, the call can be reoriginated by the caller to the called party. At the caller's request, the connection between the caller and the called party can be reinitiated and handled by the operator as a Call Completion Service.

11.2.1. PSTN Target

Currently, BLV/I is handled by the Operator Services System placing calls via special BLV/I trunk toward the target. Use of this type of trunk results in the Operator Services System being able to monitor a scrambled version of the target's conversation, and being able to barge in to speak to the target. In this document, the focus of BLV/I toward a PSTN target is on having the OIS components such as OWS and AS be able to communicate with the EO via BLV/I trunks. For IP targets, SIP capabilities are used.

The following figure depicts a BLV/I call to a PSTN target. In steps (1) through (8) the caller is routed to an AS which performs 3PCC to connect this caller to an operator workstation.

The operator determines the user's request, and initiates (9) a call toward the target via a BLV/I trunk. Ensuring that the call is routed via the correct type of trunk can be handled the same using SIP as in the PSTN; that is, by prepending specified routing digits to the target number. The operator is bridged by the EO onto the target's line, during which time no voice is sent toward the caller. A one way connection can be explicitly signaled, or the operator workstation can simply not send RTP at this time. The operator workstation or GW implements a scrambler so that only the presence

or absence of speech can be determined, and the operator then
reports to the caller on the status. If there is speech, then the
operator reports that the line is busy, and may offer to interrupt
the caller.

If this is desired, the operator removes the scrambler, and
indicates to the target of the caller's desire to call them, and
drops off. The operator informs the caller of the result, and drops
the caller, who may then re attempt the call. The option where the
OISP offers the call as a call completion service is not shown here,
but this poses no unique requirements with respect to call
completion.

```
         Caller       AS        WS        GW
            |[ Caller dials 0+ or 0- ]     |
            |(1) INVITE       |         |
            |-------->|       |         |
            |(2) 180 Ringing   |         |
            |<--------|       |         |
            |         |(3) INVITE        |
            |         |-------->|        |
            |         |(4) 200 OK        |
            |         |<--------|        |
            |         |(5) ACK  |        |
            |         |-------->|        |
            |(6) 200 OK       |         |
            |<--------|       |         |
            |(7) ACK  |       |         |
            |-------->|       |         |
            |(8) RTP  |       |         |
            |..................|         |
            |[ Caller is now connected to operator ]
            |         |         |(9) INVITE
            |         |         |-------->|
            |         |         |(10) 200 OK
            |         |         |<--------|
            |         |         |(11) ACK |
            |         |         |-------->|
            |         |         |(12) RTP |
            |         |         |.........|
```

```
         |[ Operator is now connected to BLV trunk ]
         |         |              |(13) BYE |
         |         |              |-------->|
         |         |              |(14) 200 OK
         |         |              |<--------|
         |[ Operator drops caller ]
         |(15) BYE |              |         |
         |<-----------------|              |
         |(16) 200 OK       |              |
         |----------------->|              |
         |[ Caller may or may not place call, OISP uninvolved ]
```

                    Figure 20 BLV/I to PSTN Target

11.2.2. SIP Target

   The following depicts a BLV/I call to a SIP target. Note that this
   is included mainly for completeness. The characteristics of POTS
   based subscribers support such a service, but many of those
   characteristics may not be applicable to SIP based endpoints. POTS
   access can carry only a single call at a time; as a packet switched
   technology SIP does not share this inherent restriction. There is
   typically a strong association between a physical POTS line and the
   address (phone number) used to reach it, while a SIP address of
   record is a logical address which can be registered with various
   endpoints, even simultaneously. Also, attempts towards such a set of
   devices can be tried in sequence or in parallel; thus the same
   concept of "busy" does not carry directly from POTS access to SIP.
   The ambiguity of "busy" may also have impacts on the "interrupt"
   aspect of this service.

   The approach detailed here is based on that described in the
   PacketCable Residential SIP Telephony Feature Specification, [RST].
   The main aspects of this approach include using the Event dialog
   package [RFC4235] to determine whether the device has an active
   call, and using the Join header in order to bridge onto the current
   conversation for monitoring and interrupting the user. Additional

aspects include the operator workstation performing the scrambling
function, and the use of a preconfigured network asserted
workstation identity from which the user device must accept and
process the BLV/I related requests.

Steps 1 through 8 represent an incoming call to the OISP being
connected to an operator workstation. The operator interacts with
the caller and determines the BLV/I request.

In steps 9 through 12, the operator workstation subscribes to the
Dialog event package at the target party's UA, and receives a NOTIFY
identifying any active dialogs.

In 13 through 16, the workstation sends an INVITE with a Join header
[RFC3911] to bridge onto the active call. The INVITE includes a P-
Asserted-Identity value corresponding to the value prearranged
between the OISP and the target's home provider. The user devices
are configured to accept SUBSCRIBEs for Dialog event package and
INVITEs with the Join header when the P-Asserted-Identity contains
this value. Thus, the user device accepts the Join header.
Initially, the workstation acts in a receive only mode, and further,
implements an audio scrambler such that speech is distinguishable as
such, but is non intelligible. Thus the operator can determine
whether the person at the target device is in active conversation.

During this time, the workstation does not exchange media with the
caller, who may be put on hold (not show here).

The operator can then report the status to the caller, and offer the
Interrupt service. If accepted, the scrambling function is removed
from the voice path between operator and target, and the operator
"barges in" on the conversation, informs the target party of the
caller's request, and asks whether the target would like to accept
the call. The operator can then drop the session with the target and
inform the caller about the target's response. There is of course no
guarantee of the target's or caller's subsequent actions.

```
        Caller       AS        WS        Target
          |[ Caller dials 0+ or 0- ]      |
          |(1) INVITE        |            |
          |-------->|        |            |
          |(2) 180 Ringing   |            |
          |<--------|        |            |
          |[ Simplified flow for brevity - straight to WS ]
          |            |(3) INVITE        |
          |            |-------->|        |
          |            |(4) 200 OK        |
          |            |<--------|        |
          |            |(5) ACK  |        |
```

```
        |          |-------->|            |
        |(6) 200 OK |         |            |
        |<--------|           |            |
        |(7) ACK  |           |            |
        |-------->|           |            |
        |(8) RTP  |           |            |
        |..................|              |
        |[ Caller is now connected to operator ]
        |         |          |(9) SUBSCRIBE (Dialog)
        |         |          |-------->|
        |         |          |(10) 200 OK
        |         |          |<--------|
        |         |          |(11) NOTIFY (Dialog state)
        |         |          |<--------|
        |         |          |(12) 200 OK
        |         |          |-------->|
        |         |          |(13) INVITE (Join, dialog id)
        |         |          |-------->|
        |         |          |(14) 200 OK
        |         |          |<--------|
        |         |          |(15) ACK |
        |         |          |-------->|
        |         |          |(16) RTP |
        |         |          |.........|
        |         |          |(17) BYE |
        |         |          |-------->|
        |         |          |(18) 200 OK
        |         |          |<--------|
        |[ Operator informs caller of target's disposition ]
        |(19) BYE |          |            |
        |<-----------------|              |
        |(20) 200 OK        |            |
        |----------------->|              |
              Figure 21 BLV/I to SIP Target
```

11.3. Inward Calls

   Typically, operator services are provided by the OISP serving a
   user's originating provider. In some cases, another OISP must be

involved. One example is BLV/I, where an OISP can only invoke BLV/I
for targets served by providers that the OISP serves. In the case of
a caller desiring to invoke BLV/I to a target served by a different
provider, the caller's request would be routed to the same OISP as
usual. That OISP would identify the OISP serving the target, and
initiate an "inward" call to an operator in that OISP, and request
that operator to perform the BLV/I. For this feature, the initiating
OISP acts as the caller to the OISP serving the target. Currently,
Inward calls are originated by operators at operator workstations,
and terminated to operators at operator workstations.

Inward calls need to be distinguishable from calls from subscribers
that are routed to operators. Further, inward calls should be
accepted only from other OISPs, never from subscribers, and only
from those OISPs with appropriate business relationships.

The request should be screened based on the identity of originator.
Since the From header can easily be spoofed, a network-asserted
identity should be used for this. Within trust domains that use the
P-Asserted-Identity [RFC3325] header as a network asserted identity,
this header should be used for this purpose. Alternatively, the SIP
Identity mechanism [RFC4474] can be used in domains where this is
used for network asserted identity. Rather than maintain lists of
every possible URI for which Inward requests are allowed, the
decision could be based on the domain in the SIP URI. Requests from
domains corresponding only to OISPs which are authorized to make
Inward requests would be accepted.

In the current North American PSTN, the digits dialed by the
operator placing Inward call can be used to identify the type of
service being requested, so that the destination OISP can properly
handle the request. These digits are known as Operator Special
Dialed Code (OSDC) digits. Thus, the Request-URI should include the
OSDC digits, and the AS should populate the Request-URI as a SIP URI
which includes the SIP domain of the destination OISP as well as the
OSDC code.

For Inward calls to PSTN based OISPs, the call should be placed via
a PSTN gateway, and should appear to the destination OISP the same
as any other Inward call.

. Intercept

   Intercept service provides the capability for a customer to be
   informed that a working number is no longer in service or why a
   working number is no longer in service. Basically, it provides
   announcements to the caller, which may be fixed or dynamic.
   Currently in the North American PSTN, Intercept may be handled by
   individual end offices, or may be sent to Operator Services Systems,
   which have specialized resources for handling such requests. When a
   call reaches a PSTN switch for a number which requires Intercept
   treatment, that switch, known as the "intercepted switch", initiates
   an Intercept request for that "intercepted number". The request to
   an OISP specifies the intercepted number, and an "intercept type",
   which provides an indication of the general reason for intercept.
   Often, the OISP needs to consult an "intercept database" to
   determine specific processing for a particular intercepted number.

   Currently, with MF, dedicated Intercept trunk groups are typically
   used, so the call is implicitly identified as such. The ANI digits
   identify the intercepted number, and the II digits identify the
   intercept type. For ISUP, dedicated trunk groups may or may not be
   used, but the SAP parameter identifies the intercept type, and the
   Called Party Number parameter identifies the intercepted number. In
   both cases, the key information conveyed include identification of
   the request as intercept, intercept type, and intercepted number.

11.4.1. Intercept Request Via SIP

   Intercept requests to a SIP based OISP need to convey the same
   information currently conveyed. Such requests can be treated as a
   call forwarded to an Intercept service. Thus, the Request-URI should
   identify the request as Intercept, as well as conveying the
   intercept type. The currently defined values for intercept type
   include regular, blank, and trouble. Prepending these with
   "intercept-" in the left hand side of the Request-URI unambiguously
   identifies the request as intercept and conveys the intercept type.
   Treating this as a redirection, the SIP History-Info header can be
   used to convey the intercepted number. An example of such an INVITE
   (relevant fields only) follows:

```
INVITE sip:intercept-trouble@oisp-c.example.com SIP/2.0
From: <sip:7327581111@provider-a.example.com>;tag=1234567
To: <sip:7327582222@provider-b.example.com>
History-Info: <sip:7327582222@provider-b.example.com>; index=1
Content-Type: application/sdp
Content-Length: ...
```

Upon receiving such a request, the AS would typically perform any
required processing, including database lookups, and generate a
request to a MS to play the specified announcement. The conventions
described in [RFC4240] can be used for this.

An example high level message flow follows:

```
       Intercepted domain      AS              MS
                |               |               |
                |               |               |
                |               |               |
   [ Receives a call requiring Intercept service ]
                |               |               |
                |               |               |
                |(1) INVITE ( r-URI->intercept type, Hist-
                |                   Info=intercepted number )
                |-------------->|               |
                |               |               |
                |               |(2) INVITE (r-URI->RFC 4240 annc)
                |               |-------------->|
                |               |               |
                |               |(3) 200 OK     |
                |               |<--------------|
                |               |               |
                |               |(4) ACK        |
                |               |-------------->|
                |               |               |
                |(5) 183 Session Progress       |
                |<--------------|               |
                |               |               |
                |(6) RTP (announcements)        |
                |...............................|
                |               |               |
                |Caller hangs up                |
                |               |               |
                |               |               |
                |(7) BYE        |               |
                |-------------->|               |
                |               |               |
                |(8) 200 OK     |               |
                |<--------------|               |
                |               |               |
                |               |(9) BYE        |
                |               |-------------->|
                |               |               |
                |               |(10) 200 OK    |
                |               |<--------------|
                |               |               |
                |               |               |
                |               |               |
              Figure 22 Intercept Request Via SIP
```

11.4.2. Intercept Request Via PSTN

   When intercept requests are received from PSTN interfaces, the PSTN
   gateway needs to translate the incoming signaling to SIP. The
   preferred approach is to have the PSTN gateway construct an INVITE
   request of the form described above for requests received via SIP.
   This method requires additional functionality on the part of the
   gateway, but the AS only needs to recognize one type of INVITE
   request for Intercept.

   Alternatively, the gateway could construct an INVITE containing
   encapsulated ISUP, in which the Called Party Number and SAP fields
   are most significant. Also, the Request-URI should contain the
   Called Party Number. With this method, the PSTN gateway treats the
   INVITE the same as other INVITEs, but requires the AS to recognize
   this as an Intercept request by examining the encapsulated ISUP body
   contents.

```
     Intercepted
      Switch            PSTN GW           AS               MS
        |                 |                |                |
        |                 |                |                |
        |                 |                |                |
        |[ Receives a call requiring Intercept service ]
        |                 |                |                |
        |                 |                |                |
        |(1) IAM ( CdPN digits=intcptd number, CdPN NOA=op req,
        |             SAP=intcpt type )
        |------------>|                    |                |
        |                 |                |                |
        |                 |(2) INVITE (see above)
        |                 |------------>|                   |
        |                 |                |                |
        |                 |                |(3) INVITE (r-URI->RFC 4240 annc)
        |                 |                |------------>|
        |                 |                |                |
        |                 |                |(4) 200 OK      |
        |                 |                |<------------|
        |                 |                |                |
        |                 |                |(5) ACK         |
        |                 |                |------------>|
        |                 |                |                |
        |                 |(6) 183 Session Progress        |
        |                 |<------------|                   |
        |                 |                |                |
        |(7) ACM          |                |                |
        |<------------|                    |                |
        |                 |                |                |
        |                 |(8) RTP (announcements)          |
        |                 |................................|
        |                 |                |                |
```

```
|(9) TDM (announcements)      |                    |
|.............|               |                    |
|             |               |                    |
|Caller hangs up              |                    |
|             |               |                    |
|             |               |                    |
|(10) REL     |               |                    |
|------------>|               |                    |
|             |               |                    |
|             |(11) BYE       |                    |
|             |-------------->|                    |
|             |               |                    |
|             |(12) 200 OK    |                    |
|             |<--------------|                    |
```

Haluska, et al.   Expires February 15, 2012   [Page 94]

```
|             |               |                    |
|(13) RLC     |               |                    |
|<------------|               |                    |
|             |               |                    |
|             |               |(14) BYE            |
|             |               |------------------->|
|             |               |                    |
|             |               |(15) 200 OK         |
|             |               |<-------------------|
|             |               |                    |
```
                Figure 23 Intercept Request Via PSTN

11.5. Operator Assisted Collect Call

   The following call flow provides examples of how a specific operator
   service, Operator Assisted Collect Call, could be implemented using
   the mechanisms described in this document. The purpose is to
   illustrate one way to implement this service using the proposed
   signaling mechanisms. In practice, this particular service could be
   implemented in an automated fashion without human intervention.

```
   Caller     Proxy        AS        WS       Called      MS       ACD
      |          |          |         |          |         |         |
      |          |          |         |          |         |         |
      |          |          |         |          |         |         |
      |[ Caller dials 0+ or 0- ]      |          |         |         |
      |          |          |         |          |         |         |
      |          |          |         |          |         |         |
      |(1) INVITE           |         |          |         |         |
      |----------------->|  |         |          |         |         |
      |          |          |         |          |         |         |
      |(2) 100 Trying       |         |          |         |         |
      |<-----------------|  |         |          |         |         |
      |          |          |         |          |         |         |
      |[ AS determines operator is needed, performs 3PCC ]          |
      |          |          |         |          |         |         |
      |          |          |         |          |         |         |
      |          |          |(3) INVITE         |          |         |
      |          |          |---------------------------------------->|
      |          |          |         |          |         |         |
      |          |          |(4) 3xx redirect to WS where selected
   operator registered
      |          |          |<----------------------------------------|
```

```
|           |           |           |     |           |           |           |
|           |           |(5) INVITE |     |           |           |           |
|           |           |-------->|   |           |           |           |
|           |           |           |     |           |           |           |
|           |           |(6) 200 OK |     |           |           |           |
|           |           |<--------|   |           |           |           |
|           |           |           |     |           |           |           |
|           |           |(7) ACK |     |           |           |           |
|           |           |-------->|   |           |           |           |
|           |           |           |     |           |           |           |
|(8) 200 OK |           |           |     |           |           |           |
|<----------------|           |     |           |           |           |
|           |           |           |     |           |           |           |
|(9) ACK |           |           |     |           |           |           |
|---------------->|           |     |           |           |           |
|           |           |           |     |           |           |           |
|[ Caller is now connected to operator ]|           |           |           |
|           |           |           |     |           |           |           |
|           |           |           |     |           |           |           |
|(10) RTP |           |           |     |           |           |           |
|..............................|       |           |           |           |
|           |           |           |     |           |           |           |
|[ Operator determines calling's request and places on hold]|           |
|           |           |           |     |           |           |           |
```

```
     |           |           |     |           |           |           |
     |           |(11) re-INVITE (HOLD)     |           |           |
     |           |<--------|           |           |           |
     |           |           |     |           |           |           |
     |           |(12) 200 OK |           |           |           |
     |           |-------->|           |           |           |
     |           |           |     |           |           |           |
     |           |(13) ACK |           |           |           |
     |           |<--------|           |           |           |
     |           |           |     |           |           |           |
|[ AS logic determines announcements should be played instead ]
     |           |           |     |           |           |           |
     |           |           |     |           |           |           |
     |           |(14) INVITE (play announcements)     |
     |           |--------------------------->|     |
     |           |           |     |           |           |           |
     |           |(15) 200 OK (SDP-MS)     |           |
     |           |<---------------------------|     |
```

```
   |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
   |         |         |(16) ACK |         |         |         |         |
   |         |         |------------------------------->|         |         |
   |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
   |(17) reINVITE (SDP-MS)       |         |         |         |         |
   |<----------------|         |         |         |         |         |
   |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
   |(18) 200 OK (SDP-calling)    |         |         |         |         |
   |---------------->|         |         |         |         |         |
   |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
   |(19) ACK |         |         |         |         |         |         |
   |<----------------|         |         |         |         |         |
   |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
   |(20) RTP (MS plays announcements to calling)       |         |         |
   |........................................................|         |
   |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
   |[ Operator calls called party ]         |         |         |         |
   |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
   |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
   |         |         |         |(21) INVITE        |         |         |
   |         |         |         |-------->|         |         |         |
   |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
   |         |         |         |(22) 200 OK        |         |         |
   |         |         |         |<--------|         |         |         |
   |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
   |         |         |         |(23) ACK |         |         |         |
   |         |         |         |-------->|         |         |         |
   |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |
   |         |         |         |(24) RTP |         |         |         |
```

```
   |         |         |         |.........|         |         |
   |         |         |         |         |         |         |
   |[ Called agrees to accept charges ]    |         |         |
   |         |         |         |         |         |         |
   |         |         |         |         |         |         |
   |[ Operator takes Calling off hold ]    |         |         |
   |         |         |         |         |         |         |
   |         |         |         |         |         |         |
   |         |         |(25) re-INVITE (un-HOLD)      |         |
   |         |         |<--------|         |         |         |
   |         |         |         |         |         |         |
   |[ AS logic directs Calling from MS back to WS ]    |         |
   |         |         |         |         |         |         |
```

```
|            |            |            |            |            |            |
|(26) re-INVITE (SDP-ws)  |            |            |            |            |
|<----------------|       |            |            |            |            |
|            |            |            |            |            |            |
|(27) 200 OK |            |            |            |            |            |
|---------------->|       |            |            |            |            |
|            |            |            |            |            |            |
|            |            |(28) 200 OK |            |            |            |
|            |            |-------->|   |            |            |            |
|            |            |            |            |            |            |
|            |            |(29) ACK |  |            |            |            |
|            |            |<--------|   |            |            |            |
|            |            |            |            |            |            |
|(30) ACK |  |            |            |            |            |            |
|<----------------|       |            |            |            |            |
|            |            |            |            |            |            |
|(31) RTP |  |            |            |            |            |            |
|..............................|       |            |            |            |
|            |            |            |            |            |            |
|[ AS releases MS ] |     |            |            |            |            |
|            |            |            |            |            |            |
|            |            |            |            |            |            |
|            |            |(32) BYE |  |            |            |            |
|            |            |---------------------------->|       |            |
|            |            |            |            |            |            |
|            |            |(33) 200 OK |            |            |            |
|            |            |<----------------------------|       |            |
|            |            |            |            |            |            |
|[ Calling and Called both have RTP session with WS ] |            |          |
|            |            |            |            |            |            |
|            |            |            |            |            |            |
|[ WS bridges conversations together internally ] |   |            |          |
|            |            |            |            |            |            |
|            |            |            |            |            |            |
```

```
|[ After brief interlude WS transfers Calling directly to Called
  ]
|            |            |            |            |            |            |
|            |            |            |            |            |            |
|[ then drops out ] |     |            |            |            |            |
|            |            |            |            |            |            |
|            |            |            |            |            |            |
|            |            |(34) REFER (to called)     |            |            |
```

```
   |          |          |<--------|         |        |        |
   |          |          |         |         |        |        |
   |          |          |(35) 202 Accepted  |        |        |
   |          |          |-------->|         |        |        |
   |          |          |         |         |        |        |
   |          |          |(36) NOTIFY (trying)         |        |
   |          |          |-------->|         |        |        |
   |          |          |         |         |        |        |
   |          |          |(37) 200 OK        |        |        |
   |          |          |<--------|         |        |        |
   |          |          |         |         |        |        |
   |[ Replaces header causes Called to replace old call with new ]
   |          |          |         |         |        |        |
   |          |          |         |         |        |        |
   |          |          |(38) INVITE (replaces: WS )  |        |
   |          |          |----------------->|         |        |
   |          |          |         |         |        |        |
   |          |          |(39) 200 OK (SDP-called)     |        |
   |          |          |<-----------------|         |        |
   |          |          |         |         |        |        |
   |          |          |(40) ACK |         |        |        |
   |          |          |----------------->|         |        |
   |          |          |         |         |        |        |
   |          |          |         |(41) BYE |        |        |
   |          |          |         |<--------|        |        |
   |          |          |         |         |        |        |
   |          |          |         |(42) 200 OK       |        |
   |          |          |         |-------->|        |        |
   |          |          |         |         |        |        |
   |[ The following interactions synch up SDP - optimization
   possible ]
   |          |          |         |         |        |        |
   |          |          |         |         |        |        |
   |(43) re-INVITE (SDP-called)    |         |        |        |
   |<-----------------|   |         |        |        |        |
   |          |          |         |         |        |        |
   |(44) 200 OK (SDP-calling)      |         |        |        |
   |----------------->|   |         |        |        |        |
   |          |          |         |         |        |        |
```

```
   |(45) ACK |          |         |         |        |        |
   |<-----------------|   |         |        |        |        |
   |          |          |         |         |        |        |
```

```
|          |          |(46) re-INVITE (SDP-calling) |          |
|          |          |----------------->|          |          |
|          |          |          |          |          |          |
|          |          |(47) 200 OK |          |          |          |
|          |          |<----------------|          |          |          |
|          |          |          |          |          |          |
|          |          |(48) ACK |          |          |          |
|          |          |----------------->|          |          |
|          |          |          |          |          |          |
|(49) RTP |          |          |          |          |          |
|..................................................|          |          |
|          |          |          |          |          |          |
|[ Calling and Called are now talking directly ]   |          |          |
|          |          |          |          |          |          |
|          |          |          |          |          |          |
|          |          |(50) NOTIFY (Call completed) |          |          |
|          |          |-------->|          |          |          |
|          |          |          |          |          |          |
|          |          |(51) 200 OK |          |          |          |
|          |          |<--------|          |          |          |
|          |          |          |          |          |          |
|[ Operator now drops out ]   |          |          |          |
|          |          |          |          |          |          |
|          |          |          |          |          |          |
|          |          |          |(52) BYE |          |          |
|          |          |          |-------->|          |          |
|          |          |          |          |          |          |
|          |          |          |(53) 200 OK |          |          |
|          |          |          |<--------|          |          |
|          |          |          |          |          |          |
|[ AS remains in signaling path until call ends ]  |          |
|          |          |          |          |          |          |
|          |          |          |          |          |          |
|(54) BYE |          |          |          |          |          |
|----------------->|          |          |          |          |
|          |          |          |          |          |          |
|          |          |(55) BYE |          |          |          |
|          |          |----------------->|          |          |
|          |          |          |          |          |          |
|          |          |(56) 200 OK |          |          |          |
|          |          |<----------------|          |          |
|          |          |          |          |          |          |
|(57) 200 OK |          |          |          |          |          |
|<----------------|          |          |          |          |
```

```
    |          |          |          |          |          |          |
    |          |          |          |          |          |          |
    |          |          |          |          |          |          |
```

                Figure 24   Operator Assisted Collect Call

The caller initiates the call by dialing 0+ or 0-.

The call is routed to the AS. The AS examines the calling party
number and calling party's home provider, which are derived from the
P-Asserted-Identity header. The charge number is also needed, in
case the caller's service is determined by agreements with another
party, such as the caller's employer. The employer may have a large
number of calling identities representing its employees, which are
covered under its agreement with the OISP. Rather than provision
every possible calling number/identity with the OISP (and this may
be constantly changing), the ability to pass a charge number would
allow the OISP to determine whether this charge number has any
associated treatment on a per charge number basis.

In any case, in our example, the AS examines the request and
determines that the call is for an operator assisted collect call.
Typically a MS could be initially connected to prompt the user for
the type of call. This step is omitted in this example.

The AS performs third party call control (3PCC). It sends a 18x
response towards the caller. It needs to initiate a call leg to an
operator workstation. It populates the selection criteria in an
INVITE message (the exact mechanism for this is under study) which
it sends to the ACD server in (3). The ACD server identifies the
best match available operator and returns the contact information
for the workstation where that operator is currently registered in a
3xx redirection response.

In (5) the AS sets up a call to the workstation identified by the
ACD server, and using 3PCC connects the caller to the WS, resulting
in an RTP session in (10).

The operator determines the caller's requested number, and sends an
INVITE toward the AS to put the caller on hold. The logic in the AS
determines that instead the caller should be connected to custom
announcements, and in (14) through (20) creates a session between
the caller and a MS.

Meanwhile, in (21) the WS places a call to the called party, and asks whether the called party would accept the charges for a collect call. In this example, the called party agrees to the request.

In (25), the operator takes the caller off hold (recall that it believes it has placed the caller on hold). The AS, in (26) through (33), performs 3PCC, and removes the caller from the MS which is playing custom announcements, and reconnects the caller back to the WS. The WS uses its own internal bridging functionality to conference the operator, calling, and called parties.

After a brief interlude, the operator initiates a transfer of the calling and called parties directly together using a REFER in (34) through (37). The AS, performing 3PCC, utilizes the SIP Replaces mechanism beginning in (38) to complete the transfer. When the transfer is complete, the operator drops out completely. Note that the AS, performing 3PCC, remains in the signaling path until the call is torn down in (54) through (57).

11.6. Operator Assisted Third Party Billing

The Operator Assisted Third Party Billing service allows a caller to request billing of a call to a third party. In such a service, the caller calls the operator, who places a call to the billed party to obtain authorization for billing. If authorized, the OISP places the call to the called party, and bills it to the billed party. This document focuses on the call flow and SIP signaling, and does not discuss the billing mechanisms.

In 1 through 8 below, the caller is connected to the operator. In 9 through 14, the operator places the caller on hold, and in 15 through 18 the operator calls the billed party to ask for authorization. In 21, the operator un-holds the caller and informs of the authorization. In 18 the operator initiates a call to the called party via the AS by sending a REFER to the AS.

```
     Caller     CSCF        AS          WS       Billed     Called
        |         |          |          |          |          |
        |         |          |          |          |          |
        |         |          |          |          |          |
        |[ Caller dials 0+ or 0- ]      |          |          |
        |         |          |          |          |          |
        |         |          |          |          |          |
        |(1) INVITE          |          |          |          |
        |----------------->|          |          |          |
        |(2) 100 Trying      |          |          |          |
        |<-----------------|          |          |          |
        |         |          |          |          |          |
        |[ AS determines operator is needed, performs 3PCC ]
        |         |          |          |          |          |
        |         |          |          |          |          |
        |         |          |(3) INVITE |          |          |
        |         |          |-------->|          |          |
        |         |          |(4) 200 OK |          |          |
        |         |          |<--------|          |          |
        |         |          |(5) ACK   |          |          |
        |         |          |-------->|          |          |
        |         |          |          |          |          |
        |(6) 200 OK          |          |          |          |
        |<-----------------|          |          |          |
        |(7) ACK  |          |          |          |          |
        |----------------->|          |          |          |
        |         |          |          |          |          |
        |[ Caller is now connected to operator ]|          |
        |         |          |          |          |          |
        |         |          |          |          |          |
        |(8) RTP  |          |          |          |          |
        |...........................|          |          |
        |         |          |          |          |          |
        |[ Operator determines caller's request and places on hold]
        |         |          |          |          |          |
        |         |          |          |          |          |
        |         |          |(9) re-INVITE (HOLD)          |
```

```
|         |         |<--------|         |         |
|         |         |(10) 200 OK        |         |
|         |         |-------->|         |         |
|         |         |(11) ACK |         |         |
|         |         |<--------|         |         |
|         |         |         |         |         |
|[ AS could optionally send caller to advertisements vs hold ]
|         |         |         |         |         |
|         |         |         |         |         |
```

```
|(12) re-INVITE (HOLD)    |         |         |
|<----------------|       |         |         |
|(13) 200 OK      |       |         |         |
|---------------->|       |         |         |
|(14) ACK |       |       |         |         |
|<----------------|       |         |         |
|         |       |       |         |         |
|[ Operator calls billed party ]    |         |
|         |       |       |         |         |
|         |       |       |(15) INVITE        |
|         |       |       |-------->|         |
|         |       |       |(16) 200 OK        |
|         |       |       |<--------|         |
|         |       |       |(17) ACK |         |
|         |       |       |-------->|         |
|         |       |       |         |         |
|         |       |       |(18) RTP |         |
|         |       |       |.........|         |
|         |       |       |         |         |
|[ Charges OK, operator disconnects billed party ]|
|         |       |       |         |         |
|         |       |       |         |         |
|         |       |       |         |         |
|         |       |       |(19) BYE |         |
|         |       |       |-------->|         |
|         |       |       |(20) 200 OK        |
|         |       |       |<--------|         |
|         |       |       |         |         |
|[ Operator informs AS of result, un-HOLDs caller ]
|         |       |       |         |         |
|         |       |       |         |         |
|         |       |(21) re-INVITE(result, un-HOLD)
```

```
    |            |            |<--------|            |            |
    |            |            |         |            |            |
    |(22) re-INVITE(un-HOLD)  |         |            |            |
    |<----------------|       |         |            |            |
    |(23) 200 OK |            |          |            |            |
    |---------------->|       |         |            |            |
    |(24) ACK |    |          |          |            |            |
    |<----------------|       |         |            |            |
    |            |            |         |            |            |
    |            |            |(25) 200 OK            |            |
    |            |            |-------->|            |            |
    |            |            |(26) ACK |            |            |
    |            |            |<--------|            |            |
    |            |            |         |            |            |
```

```
    |(27) RTP |    |            |            |            |
    |..........................|            |            |
    |         |    |            |            |            |
    |[ Operator informs caller, transfers AS to initiate call ]
    |         |    |            |            |            |
    |         |    |            |            |            |
    |         |    |(28) REFER  |            |            |
    |         |    |<--------|  |            |            |
    |         |    |(29) 202 Accepted       |            |
    |         |    |-------->|  |            |            |
    |         |    |         |  |            |            |
    |         |    |(30) NOTIFY (trying)     |            |
    |         |    |-------->|  |            |            |
    |         |    |(31) 200 OK |            |            |
    |         |    |<--------|  |            |            |
    |         |    |         |  |            |            |
    |[ AS places call to called party, notifies WS on success ]
    |         |    |         |  |            |            |
    |         |    |         |  |            |            |
    |         |    |(32) INVITE |            |            |
    |         |    |-------------------------->|
    |         |    |(33) 180 |  |            |            |
    |         |    |<--------------------------|
    |         |    |(34) 200 OK |            |            |
    |         |    |<--------------------------|
    |         |    |(35) ACK |  |            |            |
    |         |    |-------------------------->|
    |         |    |         |  |            |            |
```

```
|(36) re-INVITE       |         |         |         |
|<-----------------|         |         |         |
|(37) 200 OK          |         |         |         |
|----------------->|         |         |         |
|(38) ACK |           |         |         |         |
|<-----------------|         |         |         |
|         |           |         |         |         |
|         |           |(39) NOTIFY (Call completed) |
|         |           |-------->|         |         |
|         |           |(40) 200 OK        |         |
|         |           |<--------|         |         |
|         |           |         |         |         |
|[ Operator drops]    |         |         |         |
|         |           |         |         |         |
|         |           |         |         |         |
|         |           |(41) BYE |         |         |
|         |           |<--------|         |         |
|         |           |(42) 200 OK        |         |
|         |           |-------->|         |         |
```

```
|         |         |         |         |         |
```

Figure 25 Operator Assisted Third Party Billed Call

11.7. Offerless INVITE

   In some cases, notably including calls originating from enterprise
   systems, it may occur that the incoming SIP INVITE message does not
   contain an SDP offer. Such "offerless INVITEs" are the source of
   much discussion and are often characterized as troublesome. The
   intention of this section is to identify the possibility of
   receiving such an INVITE. An example flow illustrating one way of
   addressing this is shown; however any particular solution may need
   to take into account factors such as equipment capabilities,
   operator policies, etc.

   The most significant impact of this on a typical call is that when
   the application server receives such an INVITE and needs to perform

third party call control, it does not have an SDP offer to send to
the destination. In the example flow below, the media server
receives such an INVITE from the application server, and it will not
be able to formulate an SDP answer as usual, nor will it know which
codec to use, nor will it know where to send the RTP stream. It will
thus be delayed from sending media toward the caller until the SDP
offer/answer exchange has completed.

Instead of sending an SDP answer, the media server needs to
formulate an SDP offer of its own and include this in the next SIP
message send toward the user. It will require some basis for
selecting the appropriate media type (e.g., audio) and codec set.
This should be configurable via operator policy. One possibility is
to include all codecs supported by the media server in the SDP
offer. If the caller finds one of the codecs acceptable it will make
it selection and include in its SDP answer.

The flow below shows the media server returning a 183 Session
Progress message with its SDP offer, and the caller including the
SDP answer in the PRACK message sent in response to the 183. This is
only one example; other flows are possible. For example the 183
could be omitted, and the media server could simply send a 200 OK
message with the SDP offer. In that case the caller would include
the SDP answer in the ACK message.

For normative information on the SDP offer/answer procedures, please
refer to [RFC3264].

```
   Caller                     OIS-AS                     OIS-MS1
     |                          |                          |
     |                          |                          |
     |(1) INVITE (no SDP offer) |                          |
     |------------------------->|                          |
     |                          |(2) INVITE (no SDP offer) |
     |                          |------------------------->|
     |                          |                          |
     |                          |(3) 183 Session Progress (SDP offer)
     |                          |<-------------------------|
     |                          |                          |
     |(4) 183 Session Progress (SDP offer)                 |
```

```
        |<---------------------|                              |
        |                      |                              |
        |(5) PRACK (with SDP answer)                          |
        |--------------------->|                              |
        |                      |(6) PRACK (with SDP answer)   |
        |                      |----------------------------->|
        |                      |                              |
        |                      |(7) 200 OK for PRACK          |
        |                      |<-----------------------------|
        |(8) 200 OK for PRACK  |                              |
        |<---------------------|                              |
        |                      |(9) 200 OK for INVITE         |
        |                      |<-----------------------------|
        |(10) 200 OK for INVITE|                              |
        |<---------------------|                              |
        |                      |                              |
        |(11) Ack              |                              |
        |--------------------->|                              |
        |                      |(12) Ack                      |
        |                      |----------------------------->|
        |(13) RTP Session      |                              |
        |...................................................|
        |                      |                              |
```

Figure 26 Offerless INVITE

12. Summary and Conclusions

The intent of this document is to explain how Directory Assistance and
Operator Services work, and explore how they could be implemented with
SIP. This includes both SIP originated requests as well as interworking
with requests from the PSTN.

A basic architecture utilizing an application server as the primary
controller, performing third party call control to route incoming calls
among media servers, operator workstations, etc. is described.
Interface to the PSTN is described using PSTN gateways which interwork

between ISUP or MF signaling and SIP.

Operator services in the North American PSTN often utilize MF trunks.
As there is currently no specific specification for MF/SIP
interworking, we assume that the PSTN gateway performs an internal MF
to ISUP translation.

The use of existing SIP mechanisms is described where possible. Some of
the main mechanisms described include third party call control, the
REFER method with several extensions (e.g. Replaces), the Join header,
Netann, and some of the ongoing work in the MEDIACTRL working group.

Several protocol gaps and issues were identified. These include:

Charge Number

Coin Deposit Tones

Carrier Information: ISUP TNS, CIP, and CSI parameters, and "cic",
"dai" tel URI parameters/

For conveyance of coin deposit tones, the document suggests that
extensions to KPML are one potential option, and shows how KPML could
be used to this end. Definition of an operator services SIP event
package is mentioned as another alternative.

The desired next steps include soliciting feedback from the IETF
community on the choices and intended usages of the proposed
mechanisms.

13. Security Considerations

This document describes the use of existing and currently proposed
protocol mechanisms. Detailed security analysis of services provided
using these mechanisms should be performed, and needs to take into
account the security implications of the individual mechanisms, which
are documented in the defining documents for each mechanism. Security
analysis of service provider use of these mechanisms also needs to take

into account the interactions between individual mechanisms, as well as the overall context, including interactions with other providers, with which the provider may have differing levels of trust, in which these services are deployed.

Note that signaling for Operator and Information Services may convey information of a private nature, and may also convey information about deposit of coins by customers into coin phones. Thus, appropriate measures should be taken to ensure the confidentiality, integrity, and data origin authenticity of such signaling.

14. IANA Considerations

This document identifies how existing and currently proposed protocol mechanisms can be used, and does not request any action on the part of IANA.

15. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Martin Dolly, Gary Munson, Spencer Dawkins, and Cullen Jennings for their review, comments, and advice with this document.

16. References

16.1. Normative References

   [RFC3261] Rosenberg, et al, J., "SIP: Session Initiation Protocol",
             RFC 3261, June 2002.

   [RFC4474] Peterson, Jennings, "Enhancements for Authenticated
             Identity Management in the Session Initiation Protocol
             (SIP)", RFC 4474, August 2006.

   [RFC3325]   Jennings, et al, "Private Extensions to the Session
             Initiation Protocol (SIP) for Asserted Identity within
             Trusted Networks", RFC 3325, November 2002.

16.2. Informative References

   [CSI]   Loreto, Terril, "Input 3rd-Generation Partnership Project
             (3GPP) Communications Service Identifiers Requirements on
             the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)", draft-loreto-
             sipping-3gpp-ics-requirements-00.txt, June 2006. (work in
             progress)

   [RFC3324] Watson, "Short Term Requirements for Network Asserted
             Identity", RFC 3324, November 2004.

   [RFC3263] Rosenberg, Schulzrinne, "Session Initiation Protocol
             (SIP): Locating SIP Servers", RFC 3263, June 2002.

   [RFC4240] Burger, et al, "Basic Network Media Services with SIP",
             RFC 4240, December 2005.

   [RFC3725]   Rosenberg, et al, "Best Current Practices for Third
             Party Call Control (3pcc) in the Session Initiation
             Protocol (SIP)", RFC 3725, April 2004.

[IMS] 3GPP TS 23.228 V7.4.0 (2006-06) – 3rd Generation Partnership
        Project; Technical Specification Group Services and System
        Aspects; IP Multimedia Subsystem (IMS); Stage 2 (Release
        7)

[NSS] American National Standards Institute, Inc., "ANSI Extensions
        to the Narrowband Signaling Syntax (NSS) – Syntax
        Definition", ATIS-1000008.2006, January 2006.

[RFC4904] Gurbani, et al, "Representing Trunk Groups in tel/sip
        Uniform Resource Identifiers (URIs)", RFC 4904, June 2007.

[RFC4730] Burger, Dolly, "A Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) Event
        Package for Key Press Stimulus (KPML)", RFC 4730, November
        2006.

[RST]   PacketCable, " Residential SIP Telephony Feature
        Specification", PKT-SP-RSTF-I01-060927, September 2006.

[RFC4235] Rosenberg, et al, "An INVITE-Initiated Dialog Event
        Package for the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)", RFC
        4235, November 2005.

[RFC3911] Mahy, et al, "The Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) "Join"
        Header", RFC 3911, October 2004.

[RFC3398] Camarillo, et al, "Integrated Services Digital Network
        (ISDN) User Part (ISUP) to Session Initiation Protocol
        (SIP) Mapping", RFC 3398, December 2002.

[RFC3840] Rosenberg, et al, "Indicating User Agent Capabilities in
        the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)", RFC 3840, August
        2004.

[RFC4483] Burger, et al, "A Mechanism for Content Indirection in
        Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) Messages", RFC 4483, May
        2006.

[RFC2045] Freed, et al, "Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions
        (MIME) Part One: Format of Internet Message Bodies", RFC
        2045, November 1996.

[RFC3204] Zimmerer, et al, "MIME media types for ISUP and QSIG
        Objects", RFC 3204, November 2001.

   [RFC3325] Jennings, et al, "Private Extensions to the Session
             Initiation Protocol (SIP) for Asserted Identity within
             Trusted Networks", RFC 3325, November 2002.

   [RFC3966] Schulzrinne, H., "The tel URI for Telephone Numbers", RFC
             3966, December 2004.

   [RFC4244] Barnes, et al, "An Extension to the Session Initiation
             Protocol (SIP) for Request History Information", RFC 4244,
             November 2005.

   [RFC4694] Yu, J., "Number Portability Parameters for the "tel" URI",
             RFC 4694, October 2006.

   [RFC5552] Burke, D. and Scott, M., "SIP Interface to VoiceXML Media
             Services", RFC 5552, May 2009.

   [DAI] Yu, et al, "DAI Parameter for the tel URI", draft-yu-tel-dai-
             07, July 2009. (work in progress)

   [T1679] Alliance for Telecommunications Industry Solutions (ATIS)
             Committee T1, "American National Standard for
             Telecommunications – Interworking between Session
             Initiation Protocol (SIP) and Bearer Independent Call
             Control or ISDN User Part", ATIS T1.679-2004, June 2004.

   [PCI] York, et al, "P-Charge-Info: A Private Header (P-Header)
             Extension to the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)",
             draft-york-sipping-p-charge-info-07, August 2009. (work in
             progress)

   [RFC3323] Peterson, J., "A Privacy Mechanism for the Session
             Initiation Protocol (SIP)", RFC 3323, November 2002.

   [draft-mahy-iptel-cpc] Mahy, R., "The Calling Party's Category tel
             URI Parameter (SIP)", draft-mahy-iptel-cpc-06.txt, March
             2007.

   [RFC3891] Mahy, R. et al., "The Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)
             "Replaces" Header", RFC 3891, September 2004.

   [RFC5079] Rosenberg, J., "Rejecting Anonymous Requests in the
             Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)", RFC 5079, December

2007.

    [TS24229] xxx.

    [RFC5009] Ejzak, R., "Private Header (P-Header) Extension to the
              Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) for Authorization of
              Early Media", RFC 5079, September 2007.

    [GR506] GR-506-CORE, "LSSGR: Signaling for Analog Interfaces".
            Telcordia Technologies, Issue 2, December 2006.

    [RFC3264] Rosenberg, J., et al. "An Offer/Answer Model with the
              Session Description Protocol (SDP)", RFC 3264, June 2002.

Author's Addresses

        John Haluska
        Telcordia Technologies, Inc.
        331 Newman Springs Road
        Room 2Z-323
        Red Bank, NJ 07701-5699
        USA

        Phone: +1 732 758 5735
        Email: jhaluska@telcordia.com

        Renee Berkowitz
        Telcordia Technologies, Inc.
        One Telcordia Drive
        Piscataway, NJ 08854-4157
        USA

        Phone: +1 732 699 4784
        Email: rberkowi@telcordia.com

        Paul Roder
        Telcordia Technologies, Inc.
        One Telcordia Drive
        Room RRC-4A619
        Piscataway, NJ 08854-4157
        USA

        Phone: +1 732 699 6191
        Email: proder2@telcordia.com

      Wesley Downum
      Telcordia Technologies, Inc.
      One Telcordia Drive
      Piscataway, NJ 08854-4157
      USA

      Phone: +1 732 699 6201
      Email: wdownum@telcordia.com

      Richard Ahern
      AT&T Customer Information Services
      1876 Data Drive
      Room 314

      Hoover, AL 35244
      USA

      Email: Richard.Ahern@bellsouth.com

      Paul Lum Lung

      Marty Cruze
      CenturyLink
      Email: marty.cruze@centurylink

   Nicholas S. Costantino
   Soleo Communications, Inc.
   300 Willowbrook Drive
   Fairport, NY 14450

   Email: ncostantino@soleocommunications.com

   Chris Blackwell

D. E. Scott
VoltDelta
2401 N. Glassell St.
Orange, CA 92865-2705

Email: dscott@voltdelta.com