Network Working Group Internet-Draft

Intended status: Standards Track

Expires: September 13, 2012

G. Halwasia S. Bhandari W. Dec Cisco Systems March 12, 2012

Client Link-layer Address Option in DHCPv6 draft-halwasia-dhc-dhcpv6-hardware-addr-opt-01

Abstract

This document specifies the format and mechanism that is to be used for encoding client link-layer address in DHCPv6 messages by defining a new DHCPv6 Client Link-layer Address option.

Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of \underline{BCP} 78 and \underline{BCP} 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 13, 2012.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2012 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents

carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

| <u>1</u> . | Introduction | <u>3</u> |
|-------------|--|----------|
| <u>2</u> . | Problem Background and Scenario | 3 |
| <u>3</u> . | DHCPv6 Client Link-layer Address Option | <u>4</u> |
| <u>4</u> . | DHCPv6 Client Behavior | 4 |
| <u>5</u> . | DHCPv6 Relay Agent Behavior | 4 |
| <u>6</u> . | DHCPv6 Server Behavior | <u>5</u> |
| <u>7</u> . | IANA Considerations | <u>5</u> |
| <u>8</u> . | Security Considerations | <u>5</u> |
| <u>9</u> . | Acknowledgements | <u>5</u> |
| 10. | Change History (to be removed prior to publication as an | |
| | RFC) | 5 |
| <u>11</u> . | Normative References | 6 |
| Auth | hors' Addresses | 6 |

Halwasia, et al. Expires September 13, 2012 [Page 2]

1. Introduction

This specification defines an optional mechanism and the related DHCPv6 option to allow DHCPv6 client or first hop DHCPv6 relay agent directly connected to the client to populate client link-layer address in the DHCPv6 messages being sent towards the server.

2. Problem Background and Scenario

DHCPv4 protocol specification [RFC2131] provides a way to specify the client hardware address in the DHCPv4 message header. DHCPv4 message header has 'htype' and 'chaddr' fields to specify client hardware address type and hardware address respectively. The client hardware address thus learnt can be used by DHCPv4 server and relay in different ways. In some of the deployments DHCPv4 servers use 'chaddr' as a customer identifier and a key for lookup in the client lease database.

With the incremental deployment of IPv6 to existing IPv4 networks, effectievly an enablement of dual-stack, there will be devices that act as both DHCPv4 and DHCPv6 clients. In service provider deployments, a typical DHCPv4 implemention will use the client hardware address as one of the keys to build DHCP client lease database. In dual stack scenarios it is desirable for the operator to associate DHCPv4 and DHCPv6 messages as belonging to the same client interface based on an identifier that is already used by that operator such as the client hardware address.

Currently, the DHCPv6 protocol specification [RFC3315] does not define a way for DHCP clients to specify client link-layer address in the DHCPv6 message sent towards DHCPv6 Server. Similarly DHCPv6 Relay or Server cannot glean client link-layer address from the contents of DHCPv6 message received. DHCPv6 protocol specification mandates all clients to prepare and send DUID as the client identifier option in all the DHCPv6 message exchange. However none of these methods provide a simple way to extract client's link-layer address. This presents a problem to an operator who is using an existing DHCPv4 system with the client hardware address as the customer identifier, and desires to correlate DHCPv6 assignments using the same identifier. Modifying the system to use DUID based correlation across DHCPv4 and DHCPv6 is possible, but it requires a modification of the DHCPv4 system and associated back-ends.

Providing an option in DHCPv6 messages to carry client link-layer address explicitly will help above mentioned scenarios. For e.g. it can be used along with other identifiers to associate DHCPv4 and DHCPv6 messages from a dual stack client. Further, having client

link-layer address in DHCPv6 will help in proving additional information in event debugging and logging related to the client at relay and server. The proposed option may be used in wide range of networks, two notable deployment models are service provider and enterprise network environments.

3. DHCPv6 Client Link-layer Address Option

The format of the DHCPv6 Client Link-layer Address option is shown below.

option-code: OPTION_CLIENT_LINKLAYER_ADDR (TBD)
option-length: 2 + length of link-layer address

hardware type: Client Link-layer address type. The hardware type MUST

be a

valid hardware type assigned by the IANA, as described

in [RFC0826]

link-layer address: Client Link-layer address.

4. DHCPv6 Client Behavior

All hosts or clients MAY include DHCPv6 Client link-layer address option in all the upstream DHCPv6 messages.

5. DHCPv6 Relay Agent Behavior

DHCPv6 Relay agents which are directly connected to clients/hosts MAY look for Client Link-layer Address option in the incoming DHCPv6 client message. Irrespective of the presence of client link-layer option in incomming DHCPv6 client messages, DHCPv6 Relay agents MAY include client link-layer address option in relayed DHCPv6 (RELAY-FORW) message. The DHCPv6 Relay agent behaviour can depend on configuration that decides whether Client Link-layer Address option

needs to be processed and included.

Halwasia, et al. Expires September 13, 2012 [Page 4]

In Relay chaining scenarios, any other relay agent other than first hop DHCPv6 Relay agent or DHCPv6 LDRA [RFC6221] MUST not add this option.

6. DHCPv6 Server Behavior

If DHCPv6 Server is configured to store or use client link-layer address, it SHOULD first look for the client link-layer address option in the RELAY-FORW DHCP message of the DHCPv6 Relay agent closest to the client. In case it is not found, Server SHOULD look for client link-layer address option in the client DHCP message. Further, this behavior w.r.t the precedence of DHCPv6 server to look for Client link-layer address option can be overridden based upon the local policies.

There is no requirement that a server return this option and its data in a downstream DHCP message.

7. IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to assign an option code to OPTION_CLIENT_LINKLAYER_ADDR from the "DHCPv6 and DHCPv6 options" registry (http://www.iana.org/assignments/dhcpv6-parameters.xml).

8. Security Considerations

Security issues related DHCPv6 are described in <u>section 23 of [RFC3315]</u>.

9. Acknowledgements

Many thanks to Bernie Volz, Hemant Singh, Simon Hobson, Tina TSOU, Andre Kostur, Chuck Anderson, Steinar Haug, Niall O'Reilly, Jarrod Johnson and Vincent Zimmer for their input and review.

10. Change History (to be removed prior to publication as an RFC)

Changes from -00 to -01

 a. "hardware address" has been renamed to "Link-layer address" to be consistent with DHCPv6 terminology

- b. 1 byte chtype in DHCPv6 Client Link-layer Address option is replaced with 2 byte hardware type
- c. chaddr in DHCPv6 Client Link-layer Address option is renamed as link-layer address

11. Normative References

- [RFC0826] Plummer, D., "Ethernet Address Resolution Protocol: Or converting network protocol addresses to 48.bit Ethernet address for transmission on Ethernet hardware", STD 37, RFC 826, November 1982.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.
- [RFC2131] Droms, R., "Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol", RFC 2131, March 1997.
- [RFC6221] Miles, D., Ooghe, S., Dec, W., Krishnan, S., and A. Kavanagh, "Lightweight DHCPv6 Relay Agent", RFC 6221, May 2011.

Authors' Addresses

Gaurav Halwasia Cisco Systems Cessna Business Park, Sarjapura Marathalli Outer Ring Road Bangalore, KARNATAKA 560 087 India

Phone: +91 80 4426 1321 Email: ghalwasi@cisco.com Shwetha Bhandari Cisco Systems Cessna Business Park, Sarjapura Marathalli Outer Ring Road Bangalore, KARNATAKA 560 087 India

Phone: +91 80 4426 0474 Email: shwethab@cisco.com

Wojciech Dec Cisco Systems Haarlerbergweg 13-19 1101 CH Amsterdam, Amsterdam 560 087 The Netherlands

Email: wdec@cisco.com