

Network Working Group
INTERNET-DRAFT
Expires May 1997

Martin Hamilton
Loughborough University
Renato Iannella
DSTC Pty Ltd
Jon Knight
Loughborough University
November 1996

Representing the Dublin Core within X.500, LDAP and CLDAP

Filename: [draft-hamilton-dcxl-00.txt](#)

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft. Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as ``work in progress.''

To learn the current status of any Internet-Draft, please check the ``lid-abstracts.txt' listing contained in the Internet-Drafts Shadow Directories on ftp.is.co.za (Africa), nic.nordu.net (Europe), munnari.oz.au (Pacific Rim), ds.internic.net (US East Coast), or ftp.isi.edu (US West Coast).

Distribution of this document is unlimited.

Abstract

The Dublin Core is a simple resource description format which arose out of a loose grouping of "librarians, archivists, humanities scholars and geographers, as well as standards makers in the Internet, Z39.50 and Standard Generalized Markup Language (SGML) communities" [1].

This document describes a mapping from the abstract model of the Dublin Core to the X.500 [2], LDAP [3], and CLDAP [4] directory service protocols.

INTERNET-DRAFT

November 1996

1. The Dublin Core in X.500, LDAP and CLDAP

We propose that each of the thirteen elements of the Dublin Core be made into an X.500/[C]LDAP attribute, and that these attributes be gathered together in an object class:

Name: dcObject
Description: object containing the Dublin Core attributes
OID: lutObjectClass.1 (1.3.6.1.4.1.1828.2.1)
SubclassOf: top
MustContain:
MayContain: dcSubject, dcTitle, dcAuthor, dcPublisher,
dcOtherAgent, dcDate, dcObjectType,
dcForm, dcIdentifier, dcRelation, dcSource,
dcLanguage, dcCoverage

Attribute definitions for the individual Dublin Core elements:

Name: dcSubject
Description: The topic addressed by the work
OID: lutAttributeType.1 (1.3.6.1.4.1.1828.1.1)
Syntax: DirectoryString
SizeRestriction: None
SingleValued: False

Name: dcTitle
Description: The name of the object
OID: lutAttributeType.2 (1.3.6.1.4.1.1828.1.2)
Syntax: DirectoryString
SizeRestriction: None
SingleValued: False

Name: dcAuthor
Description: The person(s) primarily responsible for the
intellectual content of the object
OID: lutAttributeType.3 (1.3.6.1.4.1.1828.1.3)
Syntax: DirectoryString
SizeRestriction: None
SingleValued: False

Name: dcPublisher
Description: The agent or agency responsible for making the
object available

OID: lutAttributeType.4 (1.3.6.1.4.1.1828.1.4)
Syntax: DirectoryString
SizeRestriction: None
SingleValued: False

[Page 2]

INTERNET-DRAFT

November 1996

Name: dcOtherAgent
Description: The person(s), such as editors and transcribers, who have made other significant intellectual contributions to the work

OID: lutAttributeType.5 (1.3.6.1.4.1.1828.1.5)
Syntax: DirectoryString
SizeRestriction: None
SingleValued: False

Name: dcDate
Description: The date of publication
OID: lutAttributeType.6 (1.3.6.1.4.1.1828.1.6)
Syntax: DirectoryString
SizeRestriction: None
SingleValued: False

Name: dcObjectType
Description: The genre of the object, such as novel, poem, or dictionary
OID: lutAttributeType.7 (1.3.6.1.4.1.1828.1.7)
Syntax: DirectoryString
SizeRestriction: None
SingleValued: False

Name: dcForm
Description: The physical manifestation of the object, such as Postscript file or Windows executable file
OID: lutAttributeType.8 (1.3.6.1.4.1.1828.1.8)
Syntax: DirectoryString
SizeRestriction: None
SingleValued: False

Name: dcIdentifier
Description: String or number used to uniquely identify the object
OID: lutAttributeType.9 (1.3.6.1.4.1.1828.1.9)
Syntax: DirectoryString

SizeRestriction: None
SingleValued: False

Name: dcRelation
Description: Relationship to other objects
OID: lutAttributeType.10 (1.3.6.1.4.1.1828.1.10)
Syntax: DirectoryString
SizeRestriction: None
SingleValued: False

Name: dcSource
Description: Objects, either print or electronic, from which this

[Page 3]

INTERNET-DRAFT

November 1996

object is derived, if applicable
OID: lutAttributeType.11 (1.3.6.1.4.1.1828.1.11)
Syntax: DirectoryString
SizeRestriction: None
SingleValued: False

Name: dcLanguage
Description: Language of the intellectual content
OID: lutAttributeType.12 (1.3.6.1.4.1.1828.1.12)
Syntax: DirectoryString
SizeRestriction: None
SingleValued: False

Name: dcCoverage
Description: The spatial locations and temporal durations
characteristic of the object
OID: lutAttributeType.13 (1.3.6.1.4.1.1828.1.13)
Syntax: DirectoryString
SizeRestriction: None
SingleValued: False

2. Examples and implementation considerations

For example, using Quipu [\[5\]](#) EDB notation, a Dublin Core "Title" element which had the value "Cities of The Red Night" would be represented as the attribute/value pair:

dcTitle= Cities of The Red Night

One aspect of the Dublin Core does not translate directly to X.500 and LDAP - each element may have additional qualifying "scheme" and "type" information attached to it. This gives the creator of the record a way of indicating additional semantics, e.g. the classification scheme being used in the "Subject" element.

Since X.500 and LDAP are, like most Internet based search and retrieval protocols, attribute/value oriented, it is necessary to find a place to put this extra information. We propose that, given the difficulty of incorporating this model within the X.500/LDAP paradigm, a simple but sub-optimal approach be taken - with any qualifying information being placed at the beginning of the value part of the attribute/value pair, delimited using round brackets, and with any additional qualifiers following using comma separation.

For example, if the subject classification for the above book were 813 in the Dewey Decimal system, the resulting Dublin Core record expressed as an X.500 EDB entry would look like this:

[Page 4]

INTERNET-DRAFT

November 1996

dcSubject= (scheme=DDC) 813

and a sample "Relation" element, with a "type" qualifier, would look like this:

dcRelation= (scheme=URI, type=isParentOf) <http://www.w3.org/>

3. Extensibility

It is important to note that the Dublin Core element set is intended for use in describing document-like objects, and not as a means of describing arbitrary objects. Furthermore, the number of elements is strictly limited in the interests of interoperability.

Work is ongoing on the Warwick Framework [6], which attempts to provide a mechanism for packaging together collections of descriptive information. It is envisaged that this would be used in cases where the Dublin Core element set did not provide enough descriptive capability. This is a subject for further study.

4. Security considerations

This proposal does not introduce any new security related issues.

One of the main uses to which the Dublin Core is expected to be put is in the generation of author supplied cataloguing information for on-line resources. Implementations which manipulate externally produced data should treat it with caution - for example, to avoid buffer overrun problems and unexpected evaluation of metacharacters.

5. Conclusions

This document has shown how the X.500 protocol, and the related LDAP and CLDAP protocols, may be used as carriers for the abstract resource descriptions of the Dublin Core proposal.

It should be apparent that a little care is necessary when delivering this information via these protocols, but that this does not imply any great additional implementation complexity.

6. Acknowledgements

Thanks to Hoylen Sue, CiTR Pty Ltd (Australia), Rachel Heery and Lorcan Dempsey for their comments on draft versions of this document.

This work was supported by UK Electronic Libraries Programme (eLib) grant 12/39/01, the European Commission's Telematics for Research Programme, grant RE 1004, and the Cooperative Research Centres

[Page 5]

INTERNET-DRAFT

November 1996

Program, through the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet of Australia.

7. References

- [1] S. Weibel. "Metadata: The Foundations of Resource Description", D-Lib Magazine, July 1995.
<URL:http://www.ukoln.ac.uk/dlib/dlib/July95/07weibel.html>
<URL:http://www.dlib.org/dlib/July95/07weibel.html>
- [2] C. Weider, J. Reynolds, S. Heker. "Technical Overview of Directory Services Using the X.500 Protocol", [RFC 1309](#). March 1992.

<URL:ftp://ftp.internic.net/rfc/rfc1309.txt>

- [3] W. Yeong, T. Howes & S. Kille. "Lightweight Directory Access Protocol", [RFC 1777](#). March 1995.
<URL:ftp://ftp.internic.net/rfc/rfc1777.txt>

- [4] A. Young. "Connection-less Lightweight Directory Access Protocol", [RFC 1798](#). June 1995.
<URL:ftp://ftp.internic.net/rfc/rfc1798.txt>

- [5] S.E. Kille. "Implementing X.400 and X.500: the PP and QUIPU systems", Artech House, 1991.

- [6] L. Dempsey, S. Weibel. "The Warwick Metadata Workshop: A Framework for the Deployment of Resource Description", D-Lib Magazine, July/August 1996.
<URL:http://www.ukoln.ac.uk/dlib/dlib/july96/07weibel.html>
<URL:http://www.dlib.org/dlib/july96/07weibel.html>

8. Authors' addresses

Martin Hamilton
Department of Computer Studies
Loughborough University of Technology
Leics. LE11 3TU, UK

Email: m.t.hamilton@lut.ac.uk

[Page 6]

INTERNET-DRAFT

November 1996

Renato Iannella
Research Data Network CRC
DSTC Pty Ltd
Gehrmann Laboratories
University of Queensland
Australia

Email: renato@dstc.edu.au

Jon Knight
Department of Computer Studies
Loughborough University of Technology
Leics. LE11 3TU, UK

Email: j.p.knight@lut.ac.uk