Network Working Group INTERNET-DRAFT Expires December 1997 Martin Hamilton
Loughborough University
Renato Iannella
DSTC Pty Ltd
Jon Knight
Loughborough University
June 1997

# Representing the Dublin Core within X.500, LDAP and CLDAP

Filename: <u>draft-hamilton-dcxl-01.txt</u>

### Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft. Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as ``work in progress.''

To learn the current status of any Internet-Draft, please check the ``lid-abstracts.txt'' listing contained in the Internet-Drafts Shadow Directories on ftp.is.co.za (Africa), nic.nordu.net (Europe), munnari.oz.au (Pacific Rim), ds.internic.net (US East Coast), or ftp.isi.edu (US West Coast).

Distribution of this document is unlimited.

### Abstract

The Dublin Core is a simple resource description format which arose out of a loose grouping of "librarians, archivists, humanities scholars and geographers, as well as standards makers in the Internet, Z39.50 and Standard Generalized Markup Language (SGML) communities" [1].

This document describes a mapping from the abstract model of the Dublin Core to the X.500 [2], LDAP [3], and CLDAP [4] directory service protocols.

### 1. The Dublin Core in X.500, LDAP and CLDAP

We propose that each of the thirteen elements of the Dublin Core be made into an X.500/[C]LDAP attribute, and that these attributes be gathered together in an object class:

Name: dcObject

Description: object containing the Dublin Core attributes

OID: lutObjectClass.1 (1.3.6.1.4.1.1828.2.1)

SubclassOf: top

MustContain:

MayContain: dcSubject, dcDescription, dcTitle, dcAuthor,

dcPublisher, dcContributor, dcDate,

dcResourceType, dcForm, dcResourceIdentifer,
dcRelation, dcSource, dcLanguage, dcCoverage,

dcRightsManagement

Attribute definitions for the individual Dublin Core elements:

Name: dcSubject

Description: The topic addressed by the resource, or a

set of appropriate keywords

OID: lutAttributeType.1 (1.3.6.1.4.1.1828.1.1)

Syntax: DirectoryString

SizeRestriction: None SingleValued: False

Name: dcDescription

Description: A plain text description or abstract about

the resource.

OID: lutAttributeType.2 (1.3.6.1.4.1.1828.1.2)

Syntax: DirectoryString

SizeRestriction: None SingleValued: False

Name: dcTitle

Description: The name of the resource

OID: lutAttributeType.3 (1.3.6.1.4.1.1828.1.3)

Syntax: DirectoryString

SizeRestriction: None SingleValued: False

Name: dcAuthor

Description: The person(s) primarily responsible for the

intellectual content of the resource

OID: lutAttributeType.4 (1.3.6.1.4.1.1828.1.4)

Syntax: DirectoryString

SizeRestriction: None

SingleValued: False

Name: dcPublisher

Description: The agent or agency responsible for making the

resource available

OID: lutAttributeType.5 (1.3.6.1.4.1.1828.1.5)

Syntax: DirectoryString

SizeRestriction: None SingleValued: False

Name: dcContributor

Description: The person(s), such as editors and transcribers, who

have made other significant intellectual

contributions to the work

OID: lutAttributeType.6 (1.3.6.1.4.1.1828.1.6)

Syntax: DirectoryString

SizeRestriction: None SingleValued: False

Name: dcDate

Description: The date of publication

OID: lutAttributeType.7 (1.3.6.1.4.1.1828.1.7)

Syntax: DirectoryString

SizeRestriction: None SingleValued: False

Name: dcResourceType

Description: The genre of the resource, such as novel, poem, or

dictionary

OID: lutAttributeType.8 (1.3.6.1.4.1.1828.1.8)

Syntax: DirectoryString

SizeRestriction: None SingleValued: False

Name: dcForm

Description: The physical manifestation of the resource, such as

Postscript file or Windows executable file

OID: lutAttributeType.9 (1.3.6.1.4.1.1828.1.9)

Syntax: DirectoryString

SizeRestriction: None SingleValued: False

Name: dcResourceIdentifier

Description: String or number used to uniquely identify the

resource

OID: lutAttributeType.10 (1.3.6.1.4.1.1828.1.10)

Syntax: DirectoryString

SizeRestriction: None

SingleValued: False

Name: dcRelation

Description: Relationship to other resources

OID: lutAttributeType.11 (1.3.6.1.4.1.1828.1.11)

Syntax: DirectoryString

SizeRestriction: None SingleValued: False

Name: dcSource

Description: Resources, either print or electronic, from which

this resource is derived, if applicable

OID: lutAttributeType.12 (1.3.6.1.4.1.1828.1.12)

Syntax: DirectoryString

SizeRestriction: None SingleValued: False

Name: dcLanguage

Description: Language of the intellectual content

OID: lutAttributeType.13 (1.3.6.1.4.1.1828.1.13)

Syntax: DirectoryString

SizeRestriction: None SingleValued: False

Name: dcCoverage

Description: The spatial locations and temporal durations

characteristic of the resource

OID: lutAttributeType.14 (1.3.6.1.4.1.1828.1.14)

Syntax: DirectoryString

SizeRestriction: None SingleValued: False

Name: dcRightsManagement

Description: Information concerning the intellectual property

rights that are being exercised over the

resource (including access terms)

OID: lutAttributeType.15 (1.3.6.1.4.1.1828.1.15)

Syntax: DirectoryString

SizeRestriction: None SingleValued: False

# 2. Examples and implementation considerations

For example, using Quipu [5] EDB notation, a Dublin Core "Title" element which had the value "Cities of The Red Night" would be represented as the attribute/value pair:

dcTitle= Cities of The Red Night

One aspect of the Dublin Core does not translate directly to X.500 and LDAP - each element may have additional qualifying information attached to it. This gives the creator of the record a way of indicating additional semantics, e.g. the classification scheme being used in the "Subject" element.

Since X.500 and LDAP are, like most Internet based search and retrieval protocols, attribute/value oriented, it is necessary to find a place to put this extra information. We propose that, given the difficulty of incorporating this model within the X.500/LDAP paradigm, a simple but sub-optimal approach be taken - with any qualifying information being placed at the beginning of the value part of the attribute/value pair, delimited using round brackets, and with any additional qualifiers following using comma separation.

For example, if the subject classification for the above book were 813 in the Dewey Decimal system, the resulting Dublin Core record expressed as an X.500 EDB entry would look like this:

dcSubject= (scheme=DDC) 813

### 3. Extensibility

It is important to note that the Dublin Core element set is intended for use in describing document-like objects, and not as a means of describing arbitrary objects. Furthermore, the number of elements is strictly limited in the interests of interoperability.

Work is ongoing on the Warwick Framework [6], which attempts to provide a mechanism for packaging together collections of descriptive information. It is envisaged that this would be used in cases where the Dublin Core element set did not provide enough descriptive capability. This is a subject for further study.

## 4. Security considerations

This proposal does not introduce any new security related issues.

One of the main uses to which the Dublin Core is expected to be put is in the generation of author supplied cataloguing information for on-line resources. Implementations which manipulate externally produced data should treat it with caution - for example, to avoid buffer overrun problems and unexpected evaluation of metacharacters.

### 5. Conclusions

This document has shown how the X.500 protocol, and the related LDAP and CLDAP protocols, may be used as carriers for the abstract

resource descriptions of the Dublin Core proposal.

It should be apparent that a little care is necessary when delivering this information via these protocols, but that this does not imply any great additional implementation complexity.

### 6. Acknowledgements

Thanks to Hoylen Sue, CiTR Pty Ltd (Australia), Rachel Heery and Lorcan Dempsey for their comments on draft versions of this document.

This work was supported by UK Electronic Libraries Programme (eLib) grant 12/39/01, the European Commission's Telematics for Research Programme, grant RE 1004, and the Cooperative Research Centres Program, through the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet of Australia.

#### 7. References

- [1] S. Weibel. "Metadata: The Foundations of Resource Description", D-Lib Magazine, July 1995.

  <URL:http://www.ukoln.ac.uk/dlib/dlib/July95/07weibel.html>

  <URL:http://www.dlib.org/dlib/July95/07weibel.html>
- [3] W. Yeong, T. Howes & S. Kille. "Lightweight Directory
  Access Protocol", RFC 1777. March 1995.

  <URL:ftp://ftp.internic.net/rfc/rfc1777.txt>
- [4] A. Young. "Connection-less Lightweight Directory Access Protocol", <u>RFC 1798</u>. June 1995. <URL:ftp://ftp.internic.net/rfc/rfc1798.txt>
- [5] S.E. Kille. "Implementing X.400 and X.500: the PP and QUIPU systems", Artech House, 1991.
- [6] L. Dempsey, S. Weibel. "The Warwick Metadata Workshop:
  A Framework for the Deployment of Resource

Description", D-Lib Magazine, July/August 1996.

<URL:http://www.ukoln.ac.uk/dlib/dlib/july96/07weibel.html>

<URL:http://www.dlib.org/dlib/july96/07weibel.html>

## 8. Authors' addresses

Martin Hamilton Department of Computer Studies Loughborough University of Technology Leics. LE11 3TU, UK

Email: m.t.hamilton@lut.ac.uk

Renato Iannella Research Data Network CRC DSTC Pty Ltd Gehrmann Laboratories University of Queensland Australia

Email: renato@dstc.edu.au

Jon Knight Department of Computer Studies Loughborough University of Technology Leics. LE11 3TU, UK

Email: j.p.knight@lut.ac.uk