Network Working Group	E. Hammer-Lahav
Internet-Draft	Yahoo!
Intended status: Informational	May 24, 2010
Expires: November 25, 2010	

TOC

host-meta: Web Host Metadata draft-hammer-hostmeta-09

### Abstract

This memo describes a method for locating host metadata for Web-based protocols.

### Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on November 25, 2010.

# Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

1. Introduction
 1.1. Example

```
1.2. Notational Conventions

2. The host-meta Document Format
2.1. The 'Link' Element
2.1.1. Template Syntax

3. Obtaining host-meta Documents

4. Security Considerations
5. IANA Considerations
5.1. The host-meta Well-Known URI

Appendix A. Acknowledgments

Appendix B. Document History

6. Normative References

§ Author's Address
```

1. Introduction TOC

Web-based protocols often require the discovery of host policy or metadata, where host is not a single resource but the entity controlling the collection of resources identified by Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI) with a common URI host as defined by [RFC3986] (Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., and L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax," January 2005.). While these protocols have a wide range of metadata needs, they often define metadata that is concise, has simple syntax requirements, and can benefit from storing its metadata in a common location used by other related protocols.

Because there is no URI or resource available to describe a host, many of the methods used for associating per-resource metadata (such as HTTP headers) are not available. This often leads to the overloading of the root HTTP resource (e.g. 'http://example.com/') with host metadata that is not specific to the root resource, and often has nothing to do it. This memo registers the "well-known" URI suffix host-meta in the Well-Known URI Registry established by [RFC5785] (Nottingham, M. and E. Hammer-Lahav, "Defining Well-Known Uniform Resource Identifiers (URIS)," April 2010.), and specifies a simple, general-purpose metadata document for hosts, to be used by multiple Web-based protocols. [[ Please discuss this draft on the apps-discuss@ietf.org mailing list. ]]

1.1. Example TOC

The following is a simple host-meta document with a link providing host-wide copyright information and a link template providing a URI for

obtaining resource-specific author information for each resource within the host-meta document scope:

### 1.2. Notational Conventions

TOC

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119] (Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels," March 1997.).

This document uses the Augmented Backus-Naur Form (ABNF) notation of <a href="Maintenanger: RFC5234">[RFC5234]</a> (Crocker, D. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax <a href="Specifications: ABNF," January 2008.">Specifications: ABNF," January 2008.</a>). Additionally, the following rules are included from <a href="RFC3986">[RFC3986]</a> (Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., and <a href="L. Masinter">L. Masinter</a>, "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax," <a href="January 2005.">January 2005.</a>): reserved, unreserved, and pct-encoded.

## 2. The host-meta Document Format

TOC

The host-meta document uses the XRD 1.0 document format as defined by [OASIS.XRD-1.0] (Hammer-Lahav, E. and W. Norris, "Extensible Resource Descriptor (XRD) Version 1.0 (work in progress),".), which provides a simple and extensible XML-based schema for describing resources. This memo defines additional processing rules needed to describe hosts. Documents MAY include any XRD element not explicitly excluded. The host-meta document root MUST be an XRD element. The document SHOULD NOT include a Subject element, as at this time no URI is available to identify hosts. The use of the Alias element in host-meta is undefined and NOT RECOMMENDED.

The subject (or "context resource" as defined by <a href="[I-D.nottingham-http-link-header">[I-D.nottingham-http-link-header</a>] (Nottingham, M., "Web Linking," <a href="May 2010">May 2010</a>.)) of the XRD Property and Link elements is the host described by the host-meta document. However, the subject of Link elements with a template attribute is the individual resource whose URI is applied to the link template as described in <a href="Section 2.1">Section 2.1</a> (The 'Link' Element).

### 2.1. The 'Link' Element

TOC

The XRD Link element, when used with the href attribute, conveys a link relation between the host described by the document and a common target URI.

For example, the following link declares a common author for the entire scope:

<Link rel='author' href='http://example.com/author' />

However, a Link element with a template attribute conveys a relation whose context is an individual resource within the host-meta document scope, and whose target is constructed by applying the context resource URI to the template. The template string MAY contain a URI string without any variables to represent a resource-level relation that is identical for every individual resource.

For example, a blog with multiple authors can provide information about each article's author by providing an endpoint with a parameter set to the URI of each article. Each article has a unique author, but all share the same pattern of where that information is located:

<Link rel='author'
 template='http://example.com/author?article={uri}' />

# 2.1.1. Template Syntax

TOC

This memo defines a simple template syntax for URI transformation. A template is a string containing brace-enclosed ("{}") variable names marking the parts of the string that are to be substituted by the corresponding variable values.

Before substituting template variables, any value character other than unreserved (as defined by [RFC3986] (Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., and L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax,"

January 2005.)) MUST be percent-encoded per [RFC3986] (Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., and L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax," January 2005.).

This memo defines a single variable - uri - as the entire context resource URI. Protocols MAY define additional relation-specific variables and syntax rules, but SHOULD only do so for protocol-specific relation types, and MUST NOT change the meaning of the uri variable. If a client is unable to successfully process a template (e.g. unknown variable names, unknown or incompatible syntax) the parent Link element SHOULD be ignored.

The template syntax ABNF:

```
URI-Template = *( uri-char / variable )
variable = "{" var-name "}"
uri-char = ( reserved / unreserved / pct-encoded )
var-name = %x75.72.69 / ( 1*var-char ) ; "uri" or other names
var-char = ALPHA / DIGIT / "." / "_"
```

For example:

Input: http://example.com/r?f=1
Template: http://example.org/?q={uri}

Output: http://example.org/?q=http%3A%2F%2Fexample.com%2Fr%3Ff%3D1

## 3. Obtaining host-meta Documents

TOC

Clients obtain the host-meta document for a given host by making an HTTPS [RFC2818] (Rescorla, E., "HTTP Over TLS," May 2000.) GET request to the host's port 443 for the /.well-known/host-meta path. If the request fails to produce a valid host-meta document, clients make an HTTP [RFC2616] (Fielding, R., Gettys, J., Mogul, J., Frystyk, H., Masinter, L., Leach, P., and T. Berners-Lee, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol -- HTTP/1.1," June 1999.) GET request to the host's port 80 for the /.well-known/host-meta path.

Servers MUST support at least one but SHOULD support both ports. If both ports are supported, they MUST serve the same document. Clients MAY attempt to obtain the host-meta document from either port, SHOULD attempt using port 443 first, and SHOULD attempt the other port if the first fails.

For example, the following request is used to obtain the host-meta document for the 'example.com' host:

```
GET /.well-known/host-meta HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
```

If a representation is successfully obtained, but is not in the format described above, clients should infer that the path is being used for other purposes, and not process the response as a host-meta document. To aid in this process, authorities using this mechanism SHOULD

correctly label host-meta responses with the application/xrd+xml internet media type.

If the server response indicates that the host-meta resource is located elsewhere (a 301, 302, or 307 response status code), the client MUST try to obtain the resource from the location provided in the response. This means that the host-meta document for one host MAY be retrieved from a another host. Likewise, if the resource is not available or does not exist (e.g. a 404 or 410 response status codes) at both ports, the client should infer that metadata is not available via this mechanism.

## 4. Security Considerations

TOC

The metadata returned by the host-meta resource is presumed to be under the control of the appropriate authority and representative of all the resources described by it. If this resource is compromised or otherwise under the control of another party, it may represent a risk to the security of the server and data served by it, depending on what protocols use it.

Protocols using host-meta templates SHOULD evaluate the construction of their templates as well as any protocol-specific variables or syntax to ensure that the templates cannot be abused by an attacker. For example, a client can be tricked into following a malicious link due to a poorly constructed template which produces unexpected results when its variable values contain unexpected characters.

Protocols MAY restrict document retrieval to HTTPS based on their security needs. Protocols utilizing host-meta documents obtained via other methods not described in this memo SHOULD consider the security and authority risks associated with such methods.

# 5. IANA Considerations

TOC

## 5.1. The host-meta Well-Known URI

TOC

This memo registers the 'host-meta' well-known URI in the Well-Known URI Registry as defined by <a href="Maintenanger: RFC5785">[RFC5785]</a> (Nottingham, M. and E. Hammer-Lahav, "Defining Well-Known Uniform Resource Identifiers (URIs)," April 2010.).

URI suffix: host-meta

Change controller:

**IETF** 

**Specification document(s):** [[ this document ]]

Related information: None

# Appendix A. Acknowledgments

TOC

The author would like to acknowledge the contributions of everyone who provided feedback and use cases for this memo; in particular, Dirk Balfanz, DeWitt Clinton, Blaine Cook, Eve Maler, Breno de Medeiros, Brad Fitzpatrick, James Manger, Will Norris, Mark Nottingham, John Panzer, Drummond Reed, and Peter Saint-Andre.

## Appendix B. Document History

TOC

[[ to be removed by the RFC editor before publication as an RFC ]] -09

- \*Removed the <hm:Host> element due to lack of use cases (protocols with signature requirements can define their own way of declaring the document's subject for this purpose).
- \*Minor editorial changes.
- \*Changed following redirections to MUST.
- \*Updated references.

-08

\*Fixed typo.

-07

- \*Minor editorial clarifications.
- \*Added XML schema for host-meta extension.
- \*Updated XRD reference to the latest draft (no normative changes).

```
*Updated well-known reference to RFC 5785.
     *Minor editorial changes.
     *Made HTTPS a higher priority (SHOULD) over HTTP.
-05
     *Adjusted syntax to the latest XRD schema.
     *Added note about using a link template without variables.
-04
     *Corrected the <hm:Host> example.
-03
     *Changed scope to an entire host (per RFC 3986).
     *Simplified template syntax to always percent-encode values and
      vocabulary to a single 'uri' variable.
     *Changed document retrieval to always use HTTP(S).
     *Added security consideration about the use of templates.
     *Explicitly defined the root element to be 'XRD'.
-02
     *Changed Scope element syntax from attributes to URI-like string
      value.
-01
     *Editorial rewrite.
     *Redefined scope as a scheme-authority pair.
     *Added document structure section.
-00
     *Initial draft.
```

# 6. Normative References

- ^	_
()	10

[I-D.nottingham-http-link-header]	Nottingham, M., " <u>Web Linking</u> ," draft-nottingham- http-link-header-10 (work in progress), May 2010 ( <u>TXT</u> ).
[OASIS.XRD-1.0]	Hammer-Lahav, E. and W. Norris, " <u>Extensible</u> <u>Resource Descriptor (XRD) Version 1.0 (work in progress)</u> " ( <u>HTML</u> ).
[RFC2119]	Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels," BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997 (TXT, HTML, XML).
[RFC2616]	Fielding, R., Gettys, J., Mogul, J., Frystyk, H., Masinter, L., Leach, P., and T. Berners-Lee, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol HTTP/1.1," RFC 2616, June 1999 (TXT, PS, PDF, HTML, XML).
[RFC2818]	Rescorla, E., " <u>HTTP Over TLS</u> ," RFC 2818, May 2000 ( <u>TXT</u> ).
[RFC3986]	Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., and L. Masinter,  "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic  Syntax," STD 66, RFC 3986, January 2005 (TXT,  HTML, XML).
[RFC5234]	Crocker, D. and P. Overell, " <u>Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF</u> ," STD 68, RFC 5234, January 2008 ( <u>TXT</u> ).
[RFC5785]	Nottingham, M. and E. Hammer-Lahav, " <u>Defining</u> <u>Well-Known Uniform Resource Identifiers (URIs)</u> ," RFC 5785, April 2010 ( <u>TXT</u> ).

# Author's Address

TOC

	100
	Eran Hammer-Lahav
	Yahoo!
Email:	eran@hueniverse.com
URI:	http://hueniverse.com