

Internet Engineering Task Force  
Internet-Draft  
Updates: [RFC5931](#) (if approved)  
Intended status: Informational  
Expires: August 22, 2016

D. Harkins  
HP Enterprise  
February 19, 2016

**Adding Support for Salted Password Databases to EAP-pwd  
draft-harkins-salted-eap-pwd-03**

Abstract

EAP-pwd is an EAP method that uses a shared password for authentication using a technique that is resistant to dictionary attack. It included support for raw keys and [RFC2751](#)-style double hashing of a password but did not include support for salted passwords. There are many existing databases of salted passwords and it is desirable to allow their use with EAP-pwd.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 22, 2016.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2016 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in [Section 4](#).e of

the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	<a href="#">Introduction</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">1.1.</a>	<a href="#">Background</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">1.2.</a>	<a href="#">Keyword Definition</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	<a href="#">Salted Passwords in EAP-pwd</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">2.1.</a>	<a href="#">Password Pre-Processing</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">2.2.</a>	<a href="#">The Salting of a Password</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">2.3.</a>	<a href="#">Using UNIX crypt</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">2.4.</a>	<a href="#">Protocol Modifications</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">2.5.</a>	<a href="#">Payload Modifications</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	<a href="#">Acknowledgements</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	<a href="#">IANA Considerations</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	<a href="#">Security Considerations</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	<a href="#">References</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">6.1.</a>	<a href="#">Normative References</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">6.2.</a>	<a href="#">Informative References</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
	<a href="#">Author's Address</a>	<a href="#">7</a>

## [1.](#) Introduction

### [1.1.](#) Background

Databases of stored passwords present an attractive target for attack-- get access to the database, learn the passwords. To confound such attacks a random "salt" is hashed with the password and the resulting digest is stored, along with the salt, instead of the raw password. This has the effect of randomizing the password so if two distinct users have chosen the same password the stored, and salted, password will be different. It also requires an adversary who has compromised the security of the stored database to launch a dictionary attack per entry to recover passwords.

The popularity of password salting means there are a large number of such databases deployed and EAP-pwd needs to be able to support them. EAP-pwd imposes an additional security requirement on a database of salted passwords that otherwise would not exist, see [Section 5](#).

### [1.2.](#) Keyword Definition

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [[RFC2119](#)].



## **2. Salted Passwords in EAP-pwd**

### **2.1. Password Pre-Processing**

EAP-pwd is based on the "dragonfly" password-authenticated key exchange (PAKE)-- see [[RFC7664](#)]. This is a balanced PAKE and requires that each party to the protocol obtain an identical representation of a processed password (see [Section 5](#)). Salting of a password is therefore treated as additional password pre-processing techniques of EAP-pwd. The salt and digest to use is conveyed to the peer by the server and the password is processed prior to fixing the password element (see [Section 2.8.3 of \[RFC5931\]](#)).

This memo defines eight (8) new password pre-processing techniques for EAP-pwd:

- o TBD1: a random salt with SHA-1 ([[SHS](#)])
- o TBD2: a random salt with SHA-256 ([[SHS](#)])
- o TBD3: a random salt with SHA-512 ([[SHS](#)])
- o TBD4: UNIX crypt() ([[CRY](#)])
- o TBD5: OpaqueString and a random salt with SHA-1 ([[SHS](#)])
- o TBD6: OpaqueString and a random salt with SHA-256 ([[SHS](#)])
- o TBD7: OpaqueString and a random salt with SHA-512 ([[SHS](#)])
- o TBD8: OpaqueString and a UNIX crypt() ([[CRY](#)])

When passing salt, the size of the salt SHOULD be at least as long as the message digest of the hash algorithm used. There is no guarantee that deployed salted databases have followed this rule, and in the interest of interoperability, an EAP peer SHOULD NOT abort an EAP-pwd exchange if the salt conveyed during the exchange is less than the message digest of the indicated hash algorithm.

When performing one of TBD5-TBD8 the password SHALL be a UTF-8 string and SHALL be pre-processed by applying the Preparation and Enforcement steps of the OpaqueString profile in [[RFC7613](#)] to the password. The output of OpaqueString, also a UTF-8 string, becomes the EAP-pwd password and SHALL be hashed with the indicated algorithm.



## **2.2. The Salting of a Password**

For both parties to derive the same salted password there needs to be a canonical method of salting a password. When using EAP-pwd, a password SHALL be salted by hashing the password followed by the salt using the designated hash function:

```
salted-password = Hash(password | salt)
```

The server stores the salted-password, and the salt, in its database and the client derives the salted-password on-the-fly.

## **2.3. Using UNIX crypt**

Different algorithms are supported with the UNIX crypt() function. The particular algorithm used is indicated by prepending an encoding of "setting" to the passed salt. The specific algorithm used is opaque to EAP-pwd as the entire salt, including the encoded "setting", is passed as an opaque string for interpretation by crypt(). The salted password used for EAP-pwd SHALL be the output of crypt():

```
salted-password = crypt(password, salt)
```

The server stores the salted-password, and the encoded algorithm plus salt, in its database and the client derives the salted-password on-the-fly.

## **2.4. Protocol Modifications**

Like all EAP methods, EAP-pwd is server initiated. The server is required to indicate its intentions, including the password pre-processing it wishes to use, before it knows the identity of the client. Because of this the server is forced to select the format of the database, including how it has been salted, through the routable portion of the client identity in the EAP-Identity/response. This limits the ability of the server to support multiple salt digests in a single password database. To support multiple salt digests, or to transition from one to another, it is necessary to maintain multiple password databases and use the routable portion of the client identity to select one when initiating EAP-pwd.

The server uses the EAP-pwd-ID/Request to indicate the password pre-processing technique. The client indicates its acceptance of the password pre-processing technique and identifies itself in the EAP-pwd-ID/Response. Upon receipt of the EAP-pwd-ID/Response, the server knows the identity of the client and can look up the client's salted password and the salt from the database. The server adds the length









### **3. Acknowledgements**

Thanks to the Stefan Winter and the eduroam project for its continued interest in using EAP-pwd.

### **4. IANA Considerations**

IANA is instructed to allocate eight (8) values from the "password preprocessing method registry" established by [RFC5931] and replace TBD1, TBD2, TBD3, TBD4, TBD5, TBD6, TBD7, and TBD8 above with the values assigned.

### **5. Security Considerations**

EAP-pwd requires each side to produce an identical representation of the (processed) password before the password element can be fixed. This symmetry undercuts one of the benefits to salting a password database because the salted password from a compromised database can be used directly to impersonate the EAP-pwd client-- there is no dictionary attack needed to recover the plaintext password. While the immediate effect of such a compromise would be the compromised server, the per-user salt would still prevent the adversary from recovering the password, barring a successful dictionary attack, to use for other purposes.

Salted password databases used with EAP-pwd MUST be afforded the same level of protection as databases of plaintext passwords.

### **6. References**

#### **6.1. Normative References**

- [CRY] "crypt(3) man page",  
<<http://man7.org/linux/man-pages/man3/crypt.3.html>>.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC5931] Harkins, D. and G. Zorn, "Extensible Authentication Protocol (EAP) Authentication Using Only a Password", [RFC 5931](#), August 2010.
- [RFC7613] Saint-Andre, P. and A. Melnikov, "Preparation, Enforcement, and Comparison of Internationalized Strings Representing Usernames and Passwords", [RFC 7613](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7613, August 2015,  
<<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7613>>.



[SHS] National Institute of Standards and Technology, , "Federal Information Processing Standard Publication 180-4: Secure Hash Standard (SHS)", March 2012, <<http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/fips/fips180-4/fips-180-4.pdf>>.

## **6.2. Informative References**

[RFC7664] Harkins, D., Ed., "Dragonfly Key Exchange", [RFC 7664](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7664, November 2015, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7664>>.

### Author's Address

Dan Harkins  
HP Enterprise  
1322 Crossman Avenue  
Sunnyvale, CA 94089-1113  
United States of America

Email: [dharkins@arubanetworks.com](mailto:dharkins@arubanetworks.com)

