J. Heitz IDR

Internet-Draft Cisco

Intended status: Standards Track K. Patel Expires: March 10, 2017 Arrcus

J. Snijders

NTT

I. Bagdonas Equinix

A. Simpson

Nokia

September 6, 2016

Large BGP Community draft-heitz-idr-large-community-04

Abstract

A new type of BGP community attribute that contains communities that each hold a 4-octet AS number and a 8-octet opaque field is defined.

Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on March 10, 2017.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2016 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP-78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Introduction	<u>2</u>
<u>2</u> .	Large BGP Community Attribute	3
<u>3</u> .	Textual Representation	3
<u>4</u> .	Error Handling	3
<u>5</u> .	Security Considerations	4
6.	Implementation status - RFC EDITOR: REMOVE BEFORE PUBLICATION	4
<u>7</u> .	IANA Considerations	4
<u>8</u> .	Acknowledgements	4
<u>9</u> .	References	4
	<u>.1</u> . Normative References	
	<u>.2</u> . Informative References	
9	<u>.3</u> . URIs	<u>5</u>
Auth	hors' Addresses	<u>5</u>

1. Introduction

A Large Community attribute is defined that encodes 12 bytes communities, suitable for 4-Octet Autonomous System Numbers that require 8 octets of locally significant opaque data.

The Large Community is specifically designed to accomodate routing policy related to 4-byte ASNs, as it allows operators to specify two 4-byte ASNs and still have room for 4 bytes for an action. For example, to make a request to AS65551 to add 3 prepends when sending a route to AS65536, one might add the Large Community 65551:303:65536. AS65551 would publish a list of large communities and their associated actions. The Large Community is opaque.

To ensure rapid and smooth adoption of the new community attribute, it must be as similar to the $\left[\frac{RFC1997}{2}\right]$ community as possible, only bigger.

Heitz, et al. Expires March 10, 2017 [Page 2]

2. Large BGP Community Attribute

The Large Community Attribute is a transitive optional BGP attribute, with the Type Code (suggested 41) to be assigned by IANA. The attribute consists of a set of Large Communities. All routes with the Large Community attribute belong to the communities listed in the attribute.

Each Large Community is encoded as a 12-octet quantity, as follows:

(9	1												2												3						
(1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	
+	+	+	 	 	+	 	+ - +	- -	- - +	+	 	+	+	+	+ - ·	+	 	 	- - +	- -	+	-	⊢	+	+	⊢ – +	-	 	- -	 	⊢ – +	
	Autonomous System number																															
+	+-															⊢ – +																
	Local Data Part 1																															
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	 		- - +	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	- - +		+	-	⊦	+	+	⊢ – +	-	+	⊦	⊦ – +	⊢ – +	
												ı	Loc	ca.	l I	Dat	ta	Pá	art	- 2	2											
+ -	+	+	+	+	+	 	 	- -	H – H	+	 	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	H – H	- -	+	-	-	+	+	⊢ – ⊣	-	+	- -	-	⊦ – ⊣	

Autonomous System Number: This field indicates the Autonomous System in which the Large Community has a meaning.

Local Data part 1: data set by network operator

Local Data part 2: data set by network operator

3. Textual Representation

The textual representation of the Large Community is A:B:C, where A is the Autonomous System number, B is the Local Data part 1 and C is the Local Data part 2. A ranges from 0 to 4294967295. B ranges from 0 to 4294967295. C ranges from 0 to 4294967295. A, B and C are plain decimal non-negative integers without leading zeroes. Each number must appear, even if it is 0. For example, "0:1:2" cannot be written as ":1:2". The string is expected to match the following regular expression: $^{0.9}+:[0.9]+:[0.9]+$

4. Error Handling

The error handling of Large Community is as follows:

- o The Large Community attribute SHALL be considered malformed if its length is not a non-zero multiple of 12 bytes.
- o An UPDATE message with a malformed Large Community attribute SHALL be handled using the approach of "treat-as-withdraw" as described in <u>section 2 [RFC7606]</u>.

Heitz, et al. Expires March 10, 2017 [Page 3]

5. Security Considerations

TBD

6. Implementation status - RFC EDITOR: REMOVE BEFORE PUBLICATION

This section records the status of known implementations of the protocol defined by this specification at the time of posting of this Internet-Draft, and is based on a proposal described in [RFC7942]. The description of implementations in this section is intended to assist the IETF in its decision processes in progressing drafts to RFCs. Please note that the listing of any individual implementation here does not imply endorsement by the IETF. Furthermore, no effort has been spent to verify the information presented here that was supplied by IETF contributors. This is not intended as, and must not be construed to be, a catalog of available implementations or their features. Readers are advised to note that other implementations may exist.

As of today these vendors have produced an implementation of Large BGP Community:

- o Cisco IOS XR
- o ExaBGP

The latest implementation news is tracked at http://largebgpcommunities.net/ [1].

7. IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to assign a BGP path attribute value for the Large Community attribute (suggested 41).

Acknowledgements

Thanks to Ruediger Volk, Russ White, Acee Lindem, Shyam Sethuram, Jared Mauch, Joel M. Halpern and Nick Hilliard for insightful review and comments.

9. References

9.1. Normative References

[RFC1997] Chandra, R., Traina, P., and T. Li, "BGP Communities
 Attribute", RFC 1997, DOI 10.17487/RFC1997, August 1996,
 http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc1997.

Heitz, et al. Expires March 10, 2017 [Page 4]

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate
Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119,
DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997,
http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119.

9.2. Informative References

[RFC7942] Sheffer, Y. and A. Farrel, "Improving Awareness of Running Code: The Implementation Status Section", <u>BCP 205</u>, <u>RFC 7942</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC7942, July 2016, http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7942.

9.3. URIs

[1] https://largebgpcommunities.net

Authors' Addresses

Jakob Heitz Cisco 170 West Tasman Drive San Jose, CA 95054 USA

Email: jheitz@cisco.com

Keyur Patel Arrcus, Inc

Email: keyur@arrcus.com

Job Snijders NTT Communications Theodorus Majofskistraat 100 Amsterdam 1065 SZ NL

Email: job@ntt.net

Ignas Bagdonas Equinix London UK

Email: ibagdona.ietf@gmail.com

Adam Simpson Nokia 600 March Road Ottawa Ontario K2K 2E6 Canada

Email: adam.1.simpson@nokia.com