

BIER Workgroup
Internet Draft
Intended status: Standard Track

H. Bidgoli, Ed.
A. Dolganow
J. Kotalwar
Nokia
Fengman Xu
Verizon
IJ. Wijnand
Cisco Systems, Inc.
Mankamana Mishra
Cisco System, Inc.

Expires: August 11, 2018

February 7, 2018

PIM Signaling Through BIER Core
draft-hfa-bier-pim-signaling-01

Abstract

Bit Index Explicit Replication (BIER) is an architecture that provides multicast forwarding through a "BIER domain" without requiring intermediate routers to maintain multicast related per-flow state. Neither does BIER require an explicit tree-building protocol for its operation. A multicast data packet enters a BIER domain at a "Bit-Forwarding Ingress Router" (BFIR), and leaves the BIER domain at one or more "Bit-Forwarding Egress Routers" (BFERs). The BFIR router adds a BIER header to the packet. Such header contains a bit-string in which each bit represents exactly one BFER to forward the packet to. The set of BFERs to which the multicast packet needs to be forwarded is expressed by the according set of bits switched on in BIER packet header.

This document describes the procedure needed for PIM Joins and Prunes to be signaled through a BIER core. Allowing PIM routers to run traditional PIM multicast services through a BIER core.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Draft

PIM Stitching Through BIER Core

February 7, 2018

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress." The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at

<http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt>

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at

<http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>

This Internet-Draft will expire on October 8, 2017.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2018 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	3
2.	Conventions used in this document	3
2.1.	Definitions	4
3.	PIM Signaling Through BIER domain	5
3.1.	Ingress BBR procedure	6
3.1.1.	BIER packet construction at IBBR	7
3.2.	Signaling PIM through the BIER domain procedure	8
3.3.	Procedure to determine EBBR on IBBR	8
3.3.1.	EBBR identification via next-hop	8
3.3.1.1.	Static Route	8
3.3.1.2.	Interior Border Gateway Protocol (iBGP)	8
3.3.2.	Route summarization at EBBR	9
3.3.4.	Constrain shortest path first	9

3.4. EBBR procedure	9
4. Datapath Forwarding	10
4.1. BFIR tracking of (S,G)	10
4.2. Datapath traffic flow	10
5. PIM-ASM behavior	10

6. IANA Considerations	10
7. Security Considerations	11
8. References	11
8.1. Normative References	11
8.2. Informative References	11
7. Acknowledgments	11
Authors' Addresses	11

1. Introduction

Greenfield deployment of BIER might not be possible for some large network. These networks deploy traditional PIM multicast services in GRT or in mvpn's such as multicast vpns [rfc 6037](#). Typically, each portion of these large networks have their own mandates and requirements.

Consider the case of converged core, where single core is used for fixed, business and wireless services. In this case there is a desire for next generation "lean" core. Where a single IGP protocol or SDN controller could enable unicast and multicast services. BIER is a natural fit for this core. That said because of cost and operational complexity the migration to BIER might fall into below categories:

1. Gradual migration of the network to BIER, starting with the "lean" core and eventually to access networks.
2. Migrating only the core to BIER and keeping traditional pim services in access. As an example, in wireless networks where there are thousands of cell site routers. Each cell site router is a leaf as such for scaling and cost it might be desired to keep traditional PIM multicast services in the access network.

This draft explains the procedure to signal PIM joins and prunes through a BIER core, as such enable provisioning of traditional pim

services through a BIER core.

It should be noted that this "lean" core is usually a single IGP area. As such the procedures in this draft is concentrating on a single BIER IGP area.

2. Conventions used in this document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [[RFC2119](#)].

2.1. Definitions

Some of the terminology specified in [I-D. [rfc8279](#)] is replicated here and extended by necessary definitions:

BIER:

Bit Index Explicit Replication (The overall architecture of forwarding multicast using a Bit Position).

BFR:

Bit Forwarding Router (A router that participates in Bit Index Multipoint Forwarding). A BFR is identified by a unique BFR-prefix in a BIER domain.

BFIR:

Bit Forwarding Ingress Router (The ingress border router that inserts the BM into the packet). Each BFIR must have a valid BFR-id assigned. In this draft BIER will be used for forwarding and tunneling of control plain packet (i.e. PIM) and forwarding dataplane packets. BFIR is term used for dataplane packet forwarding.

BFER:

Bit Forwarding Egress Router. A router that participates in Bit Index Forwarding as leaf. Each BFER must be a BFR. Each

BFER must have a valid BFR-id assigned. In this draft BIER will be used for forwarding and tunneling of control plain packet (i.e. PIM) and forwarding dataplain packets. BFIR is term used for dataplain packet forwarding.

BBR:

BIER Boundary router. The router between the PIM domain and BIER domain. Maintains PIM adjacency for all routers attached to it on the PIM domain and terminates the PIM adjacency toward the BIER domain.

IBBR:

Ingress BIER Boundary Router. The ingress router from signaling point of view. It maintains PIM adjacency toward the PIM domain and determines if PIM joins and prunes arriving from PIM domain need to be signaled across the BIER domain. If so it terminates the PIM adjacency toward the BIER domain and

signals the PIM joins/prunes through the BIER core.

EBBR:

Egress BIER Boundary Router. The egress router in BIER domain from signaling point of view. It terminates the BIER packet and forwards the signaled joins and prunes into PIM Domain.

BFT:

Bit Forwarding Tree used to reach all BFERs in a domain.

BIFT:

Bit Index Forwarding Table.

BIER sub-domain:

A further distinction within a BIER domain identified by its unique sub-domain identifier. A BIER sub-domain can support multiple BitString Lengths.

BFR-id:

An optional, unique identifier for a BFR within a BIER sub-domain.

3. PIM Signaling Through BIER domain




Figure 1: bier boundary router

As per figure 1, the procedures of PIM signaling is done at the BIER

boundary router. The BIER boundary router (BBR) are connected to PIM capable routers toward the pim domain and BIER routers toward the bier domain. PIM routers in pim domain continue to send PIM state messages to the BBR. The BBR will create pim adjacency between all the PIM routers attach to it on the pim domain. That said the BBR does not propagate all PIM packets natively into the BIER domain. Instead when it determines that the PIM join or prune messages needs to be signaled through the BIER domain it will tunnel the PIM packet through BIER network. This tunneling is only done for signaling purposes and not for creating a PIM adjacency between the two disjoint pim domains through the bier domain.

The terminology ingress BBR (ibbr) and egress BBR (ebbr) are relative from signaling point of view.

The ingress BBR will determine if an arriving pim join or prune needs to be signaled across the bier domain. While the egress BBR will determine if the bier packet is a signaling packet and propagate the packet to its attach pim domain.

The BFER and BFIR are BBR from datapath point of view. It should be noted the new procedures in this draft are only applicable to signaling and there are no changes from datapath point of view.

3.1. Ingress BBR procedure

IBBR will create pim adjacency to all pim routers attach to it toward the pim domain.

When a PIM join or prune for certain (S,G) arrives, the IBBR first determines weather the join or prune is meant for a source that is reachable through the bier domain. As an example, this source is located on a disjoint PIM domain that is reachable through the BIER domain. If so the ibbr will try to resolve the source via an ebbbr closest to the source.

The procedure to find the ebbbr (BFIR from datapath point of view) can be via many mechanisms explained in more detail in upcoming sections. It should be noted that in most cases the BIER domain is a single IGP area. The PIM domains are part of the same IGP area as BIER domain(single area) or are stitched to the BIER domain via an ABR or ASBR. in either case the BBRs are all located in the same area as bier domain. Below are two examples of resolving ebbbrs:

1.The ebbbr can be an ABR or ASBR router in the same IGP area as bier

domain. In this case the ebbbr summarizes the route to the source, and as such the ebbbr is the IGP source of this route. The IGP source information can be used for identifying the ebbbr and resolving it.

2.If source and ebbbr are within a single IGP area, the ebbbr can be resolved via SPF calculation. As an example, the closest ebbbr to the source. The ebbbr BFR-ID is signaled via IGP to all BFRs, as

BIERHeader.BitString= Bit corresponding to the BFR-ID of the EBBR

BIERHeader.BFIR-id = BFR-Id of the BER originating the encapsulated PIM packet, i.e. the IBBR.

Rest of the values in the BIER header are determined based on the network (MPLS/non-MPLS), capabilities (BSL), and network configuration.

[3.2.](#) Signaling PIM through the BIER domain procedure

Throughout the BIER domain the BIER forwarding procedure is in par with [RFC 8279](#). No BIER router will examine the BIER packet encapsulating the PIM signaling packet. As such there is no multicast state build in the BIER domain.

The packet will be forwarded through the BIER domain until it reaches the BER with matching BFR-ID as in the BIERHeader.Bitstring. This BER (EBBR) will remove the BIER header and examine the PIM IPv4 or IPv6 signaling packet farther.

[3.3](#) Procedure to determine EBBR on IBBR

As it was explained in previous section, IBBR needs to determine the EBBR closest to the source. This is needed to encode the BIER header BitString field for forwarding of the signaling packet. There can be many mechanism to determine the EBBR. This section explain some routing methods that can be used to achieve this.

[3.3.1](#) EBBR identification via next-hop

Assuming on the IBBR the source is resolved via EBBR bier prefix-id as its next-hop, the next-hop can be used to lookup the EBBR bit-index via the BIFT. In most cases the bier prefix-id is a loopback address. The next-hop of the source on IBBR can be set to EBBR via multiple methods, including Static Route and BGP.

[3.3.1.1](#) Static Route

On IBBR there can be a static route configured for the source, with source next-hop set as EBBR BIER prefix id.

[3.3.1.2](#) Interior Border Gateway Protocol (iBGP)

Consider the following topology:




Figure 2

Suppose BGP is enable between EBBR (B) and IBBR (D) and the PIM Domain routes are redistributed to the BIER domain via BGP. This would include the Multicast Source IP address (S), which resides in the PIM Domain. In such case BGP should use the same loopback interface as its next-hop as the BBR prefix-id. This will ensure that all PIM domain routes, including the Multicast Source IP address (S) are resolve via BBR's bier prefix id as thier next-hop. When the host (h) triggers a PIM join message to IBBR (D), IBBR tries to resolve (S). It resolves (S) via BGP installed route and realizes its next-hop is EBBR (B). IBBR will use this next-hop (B) to do a lookup in the BIFT and find its corresponding BIER bit index in the BIFT. Next IBBR will build the BIER header with corresponding EBBR bit index and tunnel the PIM signaling message toward EBBR. This procedure is inline with [RFC6826](#) mLDP in-band signaling [section 2](#).

3.3.2 Route summarization at EBBR

The BIER domain can be an IGP area, in this case the EBBRs and IBBRs would act as an area boundary router (ABR). ABR could summarize routes and/or generate new routes with advertising router field set to EBBR bier prefix-id. When IBBR resolves the Source it can use the advertising router field to generate the BIER BitString Header for the EBBR closest to the source.

3.3.4 Constrain shortest path first

In the BIER domain the edge BIER routers use IGP or BGP to advertise BIER extension TLVs. As such the routing protocols have a view of the EBBR closest to the source in PIM domain. To find the EBBR, IBBR can do a lookup for the source and ask for the closest EBBR on the path to the source. This look up can be a CSPF lookup. The IGP should return the EBBR closest to the source as part of this lookup.

3.4. EBBR procedure

After receiving the BIER packet and determining this packet is a signaling packet. As such the EBBR will remove the BIER header from

PIM packet and does a route lookup for the source of the pim packet, if the source is on a local attach pim domain, it forwards the PIM

packet toward the source.

With same token the EBBR creates a multicast state with incoming interface as same interface that PIM join packet was forwarded and outgoing interfaces of BIER tunnel with BIER-Header.BFIR-id as one of the BFER of the tunnel.

The EBBR will also build a BIER reverse path forwarding table, using the BIERHeader.BFIR-id and the arriving PIM packet (S,G). This is explained in [section 4.1](#).

It should be noted EBBR will maintain PIM adjacency toward the PIM domain and all PIM routers which are connected to it.

At this point the end-to-end multicast traffic flow setup is complete.

[4](#). Datapath Forwarding

[4.1](#). BFIR tracking of (S,G)

For a specific Source and Group, BFIR (EBBR) should track all the interested BFERs via arriving PIM signaling from BIER Domain. BFIR should build its multicast tree with incoming interface (IIF) as PIM interface (in PIM domain) and out going interfaces OIFs set as the <SD, BFR-ID> of the interested BFERs (in BIER Domain).

[4.2](#). Datapath traffic flow

When the multicast data traffic arrives on the BFIR (EBBR) the router will find all the interested BFERs for that specific (S,G). The router then constructs the BIERHeader.BitString with all the BFER interested in the group and will forward the packet to the BIER domain. The BFER(s) will accept the packets and remove the BIER header and forward the multicast packet as per pre-build multicast state for (G) and its outgoing interfaces.

[5](#). PIM-ASM behavior

In case of PIM ASM the procedure for LEAFs joining RP is same as above. The unicast (source registration) traffic from source to RP will be flooded throughout the BIER domain as regular unicast traffic without BIER involvement.

6. IANA Considerations

This document contains no actions for IANA.

Bidgoli, Xu et al.

Expires August 11, 2018

[Page 10]

Internet-Draft

PIM Stitching Through BIER Core

February 7, 2018

7. Security Considerations

TBD

8. References

8.1. Normative References

[BIER_ARCH] Wijnands, IJ., Rosen, E., Dolganow, A., Przygienda, T., and S. Aldrin, "Multicast using Bit Index Explicit Replication", [rfc 8279](#), October 2016.

8.2. Informative References

[BIER_MVPN] Rosen, E., Ed., Sivakumar, M., Wijnands, IJ., Aldrin, S., Dolganow, A., and T. Przygienda, "Multicast VPN Using Bier", internet-draft [draft-ietf-bier-mvpn-08](#), January 2017.

[ISIS_BIER_EXTENSIONS] Ginsberg, L., Przygienda, T., Aldrin, S., and Z. Zhang, "BIER Support via ISIS", internet-draft [draft-ietf-bier-isis-extensions-06.txt](#), March 2017.

[OSPF_BIER_EXTENSIONS] Psenak, P., Kumar, N., Wijnands, IJ., Dolganow, A., Przygienda, T., Zhang, Z., and S. Aldrin, "OSPF Extensions for Bit Index Explicit Replication", internet-draft [draft-ietf-ospf-bier-extensions-09.txt](#), March 2017.

7. Acknowledgments <Add any acknowledgements>

Authors' Addresses

Hooman Bidgoli (editor)

Nokia
600 March Rd.
Ottawa, Ontario K2K 2E6
Canada

Email: hooman.bidgoli@nokia.com

Fengman Xu
Verizon
400 International PKWY
Richardson, Tx 75081
US

Email: fengman.xu@verizon.com

Jayant Kotalwar

Bidgoli, Xu et al.

Expires August 11, 2018

[Page 11]

Internet-Draft

PIM Stitching Through BIER Core

February 7, 2018

Nokia
380 N Bernardo Ave,
Mountain View, CA 94043
US

Email: jayant.kotalwar@nokia.com

Andrew Dolganow
Nokia
750D Chai Chee Rd
06-06, Viva Business Park
Singapore 469004

Email: Andrew.dolganow@nokia.com

IJsbrand Wijnands
Cisco Systems, Inc.
De Kleetlaan 6a
Diegem 1831
Belgium

Email: ice@cisco.com

Mankamana Mishra
mankamis@cisco.com

821 alder drive,
Milpitas California
USA

Email: mankamis@cisco.com