

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: December 8, 2017

P. Hoffman
ICANN
P. McManus
Mozilla
June 06, 2017

DNS Queries over HTTPS
draft-hoffman-dns-over-https-01

Abstract

DNS queries sometimes experience problems with end to end connectivity at times and places where HTTPS flows freely.

HTTPS provides the most practical mechanism for reliable end to end communication. Its use of TLS provides integrity and confidentiality guarantees and its use of HTTP allows it to interoperate with proxies, firewalls, and authentication systems where required for transit.

This document describes how to run DNS service over HTTP using https:// URIs.

[This paragraph is to be removed when this document is published as an RFC] Comments on this draft can be sent to the DNS over HTTP mailing list at <https://www.ietf.org/mailman/listinfo/dnsverhttp> .

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on December 8, 2017.

Internet-Draft

DNS Queries over HTTPS

June 2017

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2017 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Terminology	3
3.	Use Cases	3
4.	Protocol Requirements	4
4.1.	Non-requirements	4
5.	The HTTP Request	4
5.1.	DNS Wire Format	5
5.2.	Examples	6
6.	The HTTP Response	6
6.1.	Example	7
7.	HTTP Integration	7
8.	IANA Considerations	8
8.1.	Registration of Well-Known URI	8
8.2.	Registration of application/dns-udpwireformat Media Type	8
9.	Security Considerations	10
10.	Acknowledgments	10
11.	References	10
11.1.	Normative References	10
11.2.	Informative References	11
Appendix A.	Previous Work on DNS over HTTP or in Other Formats .	12
	Authors' Addresses	12

[1.](#) Introduction

The Internet does not always provide end to end reachability for native DNS. On-path network devices may spoof DNS responses, block

DNS requests, or just redirect DNS queries to different DNS servers that give less-than-honest answers.

Over time, there have been many proposals for using HTTP and HTTPS as a substrate for DNS queries and responses. To date, none of those

proposals have made it beyond early discussion, partially due to disagreement about what the appropriate formatting should be and partially because they did not follow HTTP best practices.

This document defines a specific protocol for sending DNS [[RFC1035](#)] queries and getting DNS responses over modern versions of HTTP [[RFC7540](#)] using https:// (and therefore TLS [[RFC5246](#)] security for integrity and confidentiality).

The described approach is more than a tunnel over HTTP. It establishes default media formatting types for requests and responses but uses normal HTTP content negotiation mechanisms for selecting alternatives that endpoints may prefer in anticipation of serving new use cases. In addition to this media type negotiation, it aligns itself with HTTP features such as caching, proxying, and compression.

The integration with HTTP provides a transport suitable for both traditional DNS clients and native web applications seeking access to the DNS.

[2.](#) Terminology

A server that supports this protocol is called a "DNS API server" to differentiate it from a "DNS server" (one that uses the regular DNS protocol). Similarly, a client that supports this protocol is called a "DNS API client".

In this document, the key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" are to be interpreted as described in [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#) [[RFC2119](#)].

[3.](#) Use Cases

There are two primary use cases for this protocol.

The primary one is to prevent on-path network devices from interfering with native DNS operations. This interference includes, but is not limited to, spoofing DNS responses, blocking DNS requests, and tracking. HTTP authentication and proxy friendliness are expected to make this protocol function in some environments where DNS directly on TLS ([\[RFC7858\]](#)) would not.

A secondary use case is web applications that want to access DNS information. Standardizing an HTTPS mechanism allows this to be done in a way consistent with the cross-origin resource sharing [\[CORS\]](#) security model of the web and also integrate the caching mechanisms

of DNS with those of HTTP. These applications may be interested in using a different media type than traditional clients.

[This paragraph is to be removed when this document is published as an RFC] Note that these use cases are different than those in a similar protocol described at [\[I-D.ietf-dnsop-dns-wireformat-http\]](#). The use case for that protocol is proxying DNS queries over HTTP instead of over DNS itself. The use cases in this document all involve query origination instead of proxying.

[4.](#) Protocol Requirements

The protocol described here bases its design on the following protocol requirements:

- o The protocol must use normal HTTP semantics.
- o The queries and responses must be able to be flexible enough to express every normal DNS query.
- o The protocol must allow implementations to use HTTP's content negotiation mechanism.
- o The protocol must ensure interoperable media formats through a mandatory to implement format wherein a query must be able to contain one or more EDNS extensions, including those not yet defined.
- o The protocol must use a secure transport that meets the

requirements for modern https://.

4.1. Non-requirements

- o Supporting network-specific DNS64 [[RFC6147](#)]
- o Supporting other network-specific inferences from plaintext DNS queries
- o Supporting insecure HTTP
- o Supporting legacy HTTP versions

5. The HTTP Request

The URI scheme MUST be https.

The path SHOULD be `"/.well-known/dns-query"` but a different path can be used if the DNS API Client has prior knowledge about a DNS API

service on a different path at the origin being used. (See [Section 8](#) for the registration of this in the well-known URI registry.) Using the well-known path allows automated discovery of a DNS API Service, and also helps contextualize DNS Query requests pushed over an active HTTP/2 connection.

A DNS API Client encodes the DNS query into the HTTP request using either the HTTP GET or POST methods.

When using the POST method, the DNS query is included as the message body of the HTTP request and the Content-Type request header indicates the media type of the message. POST-ed requests are smaller than their GET equivalents.

When using the GET method, the URI path MUST contain a query parameter of the form `content-type=TTT` and another of the form `body=BBBB`, where "TTT" is the media type of the format used for the body parameter, and "BBBB" is the content of the body encoded with base64url [[RFC4648](#)]. Using the GET method is friendlier to many HTTP cache implementations.

The DNS API Client SHOULD include an HTTP "Accept:" request header to

say what type of content can be understood in response. The client MUST be prepared to process "application/dns-udpwireformat" {{dnswire}} responses but MAY process any other type it receives.

In order to maximize cache friendliness, DNS API clients using media formats that include DNS ID, such as application/dns-udpwireformat, should use a DNS ID of 0 in every DNS request. HTTP semantics correlate the request and response, thus eliminating the need for the ID in a media type such as application/dns-udpwireformat.

DNS API clients can use HTTP/2 padding and compression in the same way that other HTTP/2 clients use (or don't use) them.

[5.1.](#) DNS Wire Format

The media type is "application/dns-udpwireformat". The body is the DNS on-the-wire format is defined in [\[RFC1035\]](#). The body MUST be encoded with base64url [\[RFC4648\]](#). Padding characters for base64url MUST NOT be included.

DNS API clients using the DNS wire format MAY have one or more EDNS(0) extensions [\[RFC6891\]](#) in the request.

[5.2.](#) Examples

For example, assume a DNS API server is following this specification on origin `https://dnsserver.example.net/` and the well-known path. The DNS API client chooses to send its requests in application/dns-udpwireformat but indicates it can parse replies in that format or as a JSON-based content type.

The examples uses HTTP/2 formatting from [\[RFC7540\]](#).

A query for the IN A records for "www.example.com" with recursion turned on using the GET method and a wireformat request would be:

```
:method = GET
:scheme = https
```

```
:authority = dnsserver.example.net
:path = /.well-known/dns-query? (no CR)
      content-type=application/dns-udpwireformat& (no CR)
      body=q80BAAABAAAAAAA3d3dwdleGFtcGxla2NvbQAAAQAB
accept = application/dns-udpwireformat, application/simpledns+json
```

The same DNS query, using the POST method would be:

```
:method = POST
:scheme = https
:authority = dnsserver.example.net
:path = /.well-known/dns-query
accept = application/dns-udpwireformat, application/simpledns+json
content-type = application/dns-udpwireformat
content-length = 33
```

<33 bytes represented by the following hex encoding>

```
abcd 0100 0001 0000 0000 0000 0377 7777
0765 7861 6d70 6c65 0363 6f6d 0000 0100
01
```

[6.](#) The HTTP Response

Different response media types will provide more or less information from a DNS response. For example, one response type might include the information from the DNS header bytes while another might omit it. The amount and type of information that a media type gives is solely up to the format, and not defined in this protocol.

At the time this is published, the response types are works in progress. The only known response type is "application/dns-udpwireformat", but it is likely that at least one JSON-based response format will be defined in the future.

The DNS response for "application/dns-udpwireformat" in [Section 5.1](#) MAY have one or more EDNS(0) extensions, depending on the extension definition of the extensions given in the DNS request.

Native HTTP methods are used to correlate requests and responses. Responses may be returned in a different temporal order than requests were made using the protocols native multi-streaming functionality.

In the HTTP responses, the HTTP cache headers SHOULD be set to expire at the same time as the shortest DNS TTL in the response. Because DNS provides only caching but not revalidation semantics, DNS over HTTP responses should not carry revalidation response headers (such as Last-Modified: or Etag:) or return 304 responses.

A DNS API Server MUST be able to process application/dns-udpwireformat request messages.

A DNS API Server SHOULD respond with HTTP status code 415 upon receiving a media type it is unable to process.

This document does not change the definition of any HTTP response codes or otherwise proscribe their use.

[6.1.](#) Example

This is an example response for a query for the IN A records for "www.example.com" with recursion turned on. The response bears one record with an address of 93.184.216.34 and a TTL of 128 seconds.

```
:status = 200
content-type = application/dns-udpwireformat
content-length = 64
cache-control = max-age=128
```

<64 bytes represented by the following hex encoding>

```
abcd 8180 0001 0001 0000 0000 0377 7777
0765 7861 6d70 6c65 0363 6f6d 0000 0100

0103 7777 7707 6578 616d 706c 6503 636f
6d00 0001 0001 0000 0080 0004 5db8 d822
```

[7.](#) HTTP Integration

In order to satisfy the security requirements of DNS over HTTPS, this protocol MUST use HTTP/2 [[RFC7540](#)] or its successors. HTTP/2 enforces a modern TLS profile necessary for achieving the security requirements of this protocol.

The messages in classic UDP based DNS [[RFC1035](#)] are inherently unordered and have low overhead. A competitive HTTP transport needs to support reordering, priority, parallelism, and header compression. For this additional reason, this protocol MUST use HTTP/2 [[RFC7540](#)] or its successors.

[8.](#) IANA Considerations

[8.1.](#) Registration of Well-Known URI

This specification registers a Well-Known URI [[RFC5785](#)]:

- o URI Suffix: dns-query
- o Change Controller: IETF
- o Specification Document(s): [this specification]

[8.2.](#) Registration of application/dns-udpwireformat Media Type

To: ietf-types@iana.org
Subject: Registration of MIME media type
application/dns-udpwireformat

MIME media type name: application

MIME subtype name: dns-udpwireformat

Required parameters: n/a

Optional parameters: n/a

Encoding considerations: This is a binary format. The contents are a DNS message as defined in [RFC 1035](#). The format used here is for DNS over UDP, which is the format defined in the diagrams in [RFC 1035](#).

Security considerations: The security considerations for carrying this data are the same for carrying DNS without encryption.

Interoperability considerations: None.

Published specification: This document.

Applications that use this media type:
Systems that want to exchange full DNS messages.

Additional information:

Magic number(s): n/a

File extension(s): n/a

Macintosh file type code(s): n/a

Person & email address to contact for further information:
Paul Hoffman, paul.hoffman@icann.org

Intended usage: COMMON

Restrictions on usage: n/a

Author: Paul Hoffman, paul.hoffman@icann.org

Change controller: IESG

9. Security Considerations

Running DNS over `https://` relies on the security of the underlying HTTP connection. By requiring at least [[RFC7540](#)] levels of support for TLS this protocol expects to use current best practices for secure transport.

Session level encryption has well known weaknesses with respect to traffic analysis which might be particularly acute when dealing with DNS queries. Sections [10.6](#) (Compression) and [10.7](#) (Padding) of [[RFC7540](#)] provide some further advice on mitigations within an HTTP/2 context.

A server that is acting both as a normal web server and a DNS API server is in a position to choose which DNS names it forces a client to resolve (through its web service) and also be the one to answer those queries (through its DNS API service). An untrusted DNS API server can thus easily cause damage by poisoning a client's cache with names that the DNS API server chooses to poison. A client **MUST NOT** trust a DNS API server simply because it was discovered, or because the client was told to trust the DNS API server by an untrusted party. Instead, a client **MUST** only trust DNS API server that is configured as trustworthy.

10. Acknowledgments

Joe Hildebrand contributed lots of material for a different iteration of this document. Helpful early comments were given by Ben Schwartz and Mark Nottingham.

11. References

11.1. Normative References

- [RFC1035] Mockapetris, P., "Domain names - implementation and specification", STD 13, [RFC 1035](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC1035, November 1987, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc1035>>.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate

Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#),
DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997,
<<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.

- [RFC4648] Josefsson, S., "The Base16, Base32, and Base64 Data Encodings", [RFC 4648](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4648, October 2006, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4648>>.

Hoffman & McManus Expires December 8, 2017 [Page 10]

Internet-Draft DNS Queries over HTTPS June 2017

- [RFC5246] Dierks, T. and E. Rescorla, "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.2", [RFC 5246](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5246, August 2008, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5246>>.
- [RFC5785] Nottingham, M. and E. Hammer-Lahav, "Defining Well-Known Uniform Resource Identifiers (URIs)", [RFC 5785](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5785, April 2010, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5785>>.
- [RFC7230] Fielding, R., Ed. and J. Reschke, Ed., "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Message Syntax and Routing", [RFC 7230](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7230, June 2014, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7230>>.
- [RFC7540] Belshe, M., Peon, R., and M. Thomson, Ed., "Hypertext Transfer Protocol Version 2 (HTTP/2)", [RFC 7540](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7540, May 2015, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7540>>.
- [RFC7858] Hu, Z., Zhu, L., Heidemann, J., Mankin, A., Wessels, D., and P. Hoffman, "Specification for DNS over Transport Layer Security (TLS)", [RFC 7858](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7858, May 2016, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7858>>.

[11.2.](#) Informative References

- [CORS] W3C, "Cross-Origin Resource Sharing", 2014, <<https://www.w3.org/TR/cors/>>.
- [I-D.ietf-dnsop-dns-wireformat-http] Song, L., Vixie, P., Kerr, S., and R. Wan, "DNS wire-

format over HTTP", [draft-ietf-dnsop-dns-wireformat-http-01](#) (work in progress), March 2017.

- [RFC6147] Bagnulo, M., Sullivan, A., Matthews, P., and I. van Beijnum, "DNS64: DNS Extensions for Network Address Translation from IPv6 Clients to IPv4 Servers", [RFC 6147](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6147, April 2011, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6147>>.
- [RFC6891] Damas, J., Graff, M., and P. Vixie, "Extension Mechanisms for DNS (EDNS(0))", STD 75, [RFC 6891](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6891, April 2013, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6891>>.

Hoffman & McManus

Expires December 8, 2017

[Page 11]

Internet-Draft

DNS Queries over HTTPS

June 2017

[Appendix A](#). Previous Work on DNS over HTTP or in Other Formats

The following is an incomplete list of earlier work that related to DNS over HTTP/1 or representing DNS data in other formats.

The list includes links to the tools.ietf.org site (because these documents are all expired) and web sites of software.

- o <https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-mohan-dns-query-xml>
- o <https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-daley-dnsxml>
- o <https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-dulaunoy-dnsop-passive-dns-cof>
- o <https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-bortzmeyer-dns-json>
- o <https://www.nlnetlabs.nl/projects/dnssec-trigger/>

Authors' Addresses

Paul Hoffman
ICANN

Email: paul.hoffman@icann.org

Patrick McManus
Mozilla

Email: pmcmanus@mozilla.com