Network Working Group

Internet-Draft

Intended status: Informational
Expires: February 13, 2017

C. Holmberg
J. Axell
Ericsson
August 12, 2016

IANA Registration of New Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) Resource-Priority Namespace for Mission Critical Push To Talk service draft-holmberg-dispatch-mcptt-rp-namespace-02

Abstract

This document creates an additional Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) Resource-Priority namespace to meet the requirements of the 3GPP defined Mission Critical Push To Talk, and places this namespace in the IANA registry.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$ 78 and $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$ 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on February 13, 2017.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2016 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}\ 78}$ and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents

(http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Introduction	2
<u>2</u> .	Applicability	<u>3</u>
<u>3</u> .	New SIP Resource-Priority Namespaces Created	<u>3</u>
3.	<u>1</u> . Introduction	3
3.	<u>2</u> . The MCPTT namespaces	3
<u>4</u> .	Security Considerations	4
<u>5</u> .	IANA Considerations	4
<u>6</u> .	Acknowledgments	4
<u>7</u> .	Change Log	<u>5</u>
<u>8</u> .	Normative References	<u>5</u>
Auth	nors' Addresses	5

1. Introduction

The third generation partnership project (3GPP) has defined a Mission Critical Push To Talk (MCPTT) over LTE service [TS.3GPP.22.179]. The MCPTT service supports an enhanced PTT service, suitable for mission critical scenarios, based upon 3GPP Evolved Packet System (EPS) services. The requirements for the MCPTT service defined within 3GPP can also form the basis for a non-mission critical Push To Talk (PTT) service.

The MCPTT service is intended to support communication between several users (a group call), where each user has the ability to gain access to the permission to talk in an arbitrated manner. However, the MCPTT service also supports private calls between pairs of users.

MCPTT is primarily targeting to provide a professional Push To Talk service to e.g., public safety, transport companies, utilities or industrial and nuclear plants. In addition to this a commercial PTT service for non-professional use (e.g., groups of people on holiday) may be delivered through an MCPTT system. Based on their operational model, the performance and MCPTT features in use vary per user organization, where functionality which is more mission critical specific (e.g., Imminent Peril Call) might not be available to commercial customers.

The MCPTT service provides its users with different priorities for the access to network resources in order to provide means to prioritize between calls when resources are scarce. These priorities take into account among other things the priority and role of the caller, the priority and type of the group, and the situation in which the call is made. According to [TS.3GPP.22.179] at least 8 and up to 30 priority levels are required.

High priority calls when there is danger of life for either the public safety worker or any other human need to be set up immediately and thus require preemption. Other calls may be less sensitive in call set-up time but have a high priority once established. For these calls a queueing mechanism is more appropriate.

This document creates additional Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) Resource-Priority namespaces to meet the requirements of the 3GPP defined Mission Critical Push To Talk, and places these namespaces in the IANA registry.

2. Applicability

This document defines namespaces applicable for MCPTT services defined by 3GPP that use the network services of a 3GPP defined LTE network.

3. New SIP Resource-Priority Namespaces Created

3.1. Introduction

This document introduces the MCPTT namespaces mcpttp and mcpttq, the name coming from the 3GPP defined Mission Critical Push To Talk service.

3.2. The MCPTT namespaces

The mcpttp namespace uses the priority levels listed below from lowest to highest priority.

```
mcpttp.0 (lowest priority)
mcpttp.1
mcpttp.2
mcpttp.3
mcpttp.4
mcpttp.5
mcpttp.6
mcpttp.7
mcpttp.8
mcpttp.9
mcpttp.10
mcpttp.11
mcpttp.12
mcpttp.13
mcpttp.14
mcpttp.15 (highest priority)
```

Intended algorithm for mcpttp is preemption.

```
New Warning code: No.
New SIP response code: No.
The mcpttq namespace uses the priority levels listed below from
lowest to highest priority.
   mcpttq.0 (lowest priority)
   mcpttq.1
   mcpttq.2
   mcpttq.3
   mcpttq.4
   mcpttq.5
   mcpttq.6
   mcpttq.7
   mcpttq.8
   mcpttq.9
   mcpttq.10
   mcpttq.11
   mcpttq.12
   mcpttq.13
   mcpttq.14
   mcpttq.15 (highest priority)
Intended algorithm for mcpttq is queuing.
New Warning code: No.
New SIP response code: No.
```

4. Security Considerations

This document has the same Security Considerations as [RFC4412].

5. IANA Considerations

Abiding by the rules established within $[\underbrace{RFC4412}]$ and $[\underbrace{RFC7134}]$, this is an Informative RFC registering two new namespaces, their associated priority-values, and intended algorithms.

6. Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Bob Fredericks, Baruh Hason, Mary Barnes and Keith Drage for comments and discussions.

7. Change Log

[RFC EDITOR NOTE: Please remove this section when publishing]

Changes from draft-holmberg-dispatch-mcptt-rp-namespace-01.

- o Removal of Conventions section.
- o Editorial changes.

Changes from <u>draft-holmberg-dispatch-mcptt-rp-namespace-00</u>.

- o The two namespaces have been spelt out explicitly.
- o The numbering of priority levels is changed from 1-16 to 0-15.
- o Address of one author has changed.

8. Normative References

- [RFC4412] Schulzrinne, H. and J. Polk, "Communications Resource Priority for the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)", RFC 4412, DOI 10.17487/RFC4412, February 2006, http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4412.
- [RFC7134] Rosen, B., "The Management Policy of the Resource Priority Header (RPH) Registry Changed to "IETF Review"", RFC 7134, DOI 10.17487/RFC7134, March 2014, http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7134.

[TS.3GPP.22.179]

3GPP, "3rd Generation Partnership Project; Technical Specification Group Services and System Aspects; Mission Critical Push To Talk (MCPTT) over LTE; Stage 1", 3GPP TS 22.179 13.2.0, June 2015.

Authors' Addresses

Christer Holmberg Ericsson Hirsalantie 11 Jorvas 02420 Finland

Email: christer.holmberg@ericsson.com

Ji?1/2rgen Axell Ericsson Gri?1/2nlandsgatan 31 Stockholm 16480 Sweden

Email: jorgen.axell@ericsson.com