

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Obsoletes: [2050](#) (if approved)
Intended status: Informational
Expires: October 08, 2013

R. Housley
Vigil Security, LLC
J. Curran
ARIN
G. Huston
APNIC
D. Conrad
Virtualized, LLC
April 8, 2013

The Internet Numbers Registry System
draft-housley-rfc2050bis-01.txt

Abstract

This document provides information about the current Internet Numbers Registry System used in the distribution of globally unique Internet Protocol (IP) address space and autonomous system (AS) numbers.

This document also provides information about the processes for further evolution of the Internet Numbers Registry System.

This document replaces [RFC 2050](#).

This document does not propose any changes to the Internet Numbers Registry System.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on October 08, 2013.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2013 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Goals	2
3.	Internet Numbers Registry System Structure	3
4.	Internet Numbers Registry Technical Considerations	4
5.	Internet Numbers Registry Evolution	5
6.	Summary of Changes Since RFC 2050	5
7.	Security Considerations	6
8.	IANA Considerations	6
9.	Acknowledgements	6
10.	References	6
10.1.	Normative References	6
10.2.	Informative References	7
	Authors' Addresses	7

[1.](#) Introduction

The administrative structures of the Internet Numbers Registry System described in this document are largely the result of the interaction of operational practices, existing routing technology, number resource assignments that have occurred over time, and network architectural history. Further discussion and analysis of these interactions are outside the scope of this document.

This document does not propose any changes to the Internet Numbers Registry System, but it does provide information about the current Internet Numbers Registry System used in the distribution of globally unique Internet Protocol (IP) address space and autonomous system (AS) numbers, while also providing for further evolution of the Internet Numbers Registry System.

This document replaces [RFC 2050](#). Since the publication of [RFC 2050](#), the Internet Numbers Registry System has changed significantly. This document describes the present Internet Numbers Registry System.

[2.](#) Goals

Internet number resources are currently distributed according to the following (non-exclusive) goals:

Housley, Curran, Huston Expires October 08, 2013

[Page 2]

- 1) Allocation Pool Management: Due to the fixed lengths of IP addresses and AS numbers, the pools from which these resources are allocated are finite. As such, allocations must be made in accordance with the operational needs of those running the networks that make use of these number resources and by taking into consideration pool limitations at the time of allocation.
- 2) Hierarchical Allocation: Given current routing technology, the distribution of IP addresses in a hierarchical manner increases the likelihood of continued scaling of the Internet's routing system. As such, it is a goal to allocate IP addresses in such a way that permits these addresses aggregated into a minimum number of routing announcements. However, whether IP addresses are actually announced to the Internet, and the manner of their advertisement into the Internet's routing system is an operational consideration outside of the scope of the Internet Numbers Registry System.
- 3) Registration Accuracy: A core requirement of the Internet Numbers Registry System is to maintain a registry of allocations to ensure uniqueness and to provide accurate registration information of those allocations in order to meet a variety of operational requirements.

These goals may sometimes conflict with each other or be in conflict with the interests of individual end-users, Internet service providers, or other number resource consumers. Careful analysis, judgment, and cooperation among registry system providers and consumers at all levels via community-developed policies is necessary to find appropriate compromises to facilitate Internet operations.

3. Internet Numbers Registry System Structure

The Internet Registry (IR) hierarchy was established to provide for the allocation of IP addresses and AS numbers with consideration to the above goals. This hierarchy is rooted in the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) address allocation function, which serves a set of "Regional Internet Registries" (RIRs); the RIRs then serve a set of "Local Internet Registries" (LIRs) and other customers. LIRs in turn serve their respective number resource consumers (which may be themselves, their customers, "sub-LIRs", etc.)

IANA

Housley, Curran, Huston Expires October 08, 2013

[Page 3]

The Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) is a role, not an organization. The IANA role manages the top of the IP address and AS number allocation hierarchies. The Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers (ICANN) currently fulfills the IANA role in accordance with the IETF-ICANN "Memorandum of Understanding Concerning Technical Work of the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority", which was signed and ratified in March 2000 [[RFC2860](#)]. In addition, ICANN performs the IANA services related to the IP address space and AS numbers according to global number resource policies that have been developed by the community and formalized under a Memorandum of Understanding between ICANN and the Regional Internet Registries [[ASOMOU](#)] and documented in [[ICANNv4](#)], [[ICANNv6](#)], and [[ICANNASN](#)].

Regional IRs

In order to promote distribution of the Internet number resource registration function, [RFC 1366](#) proposed delegating responsibility to regional bodies. These bodies became known as the Regional Internet Registries (RIRs). The RIRs operate in continent-sized geopolitical regions. Currently there are five RIRs: AfriNIC serving Africa, APNIC serving parts of Asia and the Pacific region, ARIN serving North America and parts of the Caribbean, LACNIC serving Latin America and parts of the Caribbean, and RIPE NCC serving Europe, parts of Asia and the Middle East. The RIRs were established in a bottom-up fashion via a global policy process that has been documented as the ICANN "Internet Consensus Policy 2" [[ICP-2](#)], which details the principles and criteria for establishment of Regional Internet Registries. The RIRs also conduct regional number policy development used in the administration of their number resources for which they are responsible.

Local IRs

Local Internet Registries (LIRs) are established through a relationship with the body from which they received their addresses, typically the RIR that serves the region in which they operate, a parent LIR, or other numbers allocating entity. In cases where LIRs span multiple regions those LIRs have established relationships with multiple RIRs. LIRs perform IP address allocation services for their Customers, typically ISPs, end users, or "child" or "sub-" LIRs.

4. Internet Numbers Registry Technical Considerations

As a result of the system of technical standards and guidelines established by the IETF as well as historical and operational constraints, there have been technical considerations regarding the

services provided by the Internet Numbers Registry System as it evolved. These technical considerations have included:

- 1) Reverse DNS: In situations where reverse DNS was used, the policies and practices of the Internet Numbers Registry System have included consideration of the technical and operational requirements posed by reverse DNS zone delegation [[RFC5855](#)].
- 2) Public WHOIS: The policies and practices of the Internet Numbers Registry System have included consideration of the technical and operational requirements for supporting WHOIS services [[RFC3013](#)][RFC3912].

As the Internet and the Internet Numbers Registry System continue to evolve, it may be necessary for the Internet community to examine these and related technical and operational considerations and how best to meet them. This evolution is discussed in the next section.

5. Internet Numbers Registry Evolution

Over the years, the Internet Numbers Registry System has developed mechanisms by which the structures, policies, and processes of the Internet Numbers Registry System itself can evolve to meet the changing demands of the global Internet community. Further evolution of the Internet Numbers Registry System is expected to occur in an open, transparent, and broad multi-stakeholder manner.

Per the delineation of responsibility for Internet address policy issues specified in the IETF/IAB/ICANN MOU [[RFC2860](#)], discussions regarding the evolution of the Internet Numbers Registry System structure, policy, and processes are to take place within the ICANN framework and will respect ICANN's core values [[ICANNBL](#)]. These core values encourage broad, informed participation reflecting the functional, geographic, and cultural diversity of the Internet at all levels of policy development and decision-making, as well as the delegation of coordination functions and recognition of the policy roles of other responsible entities that reflect the interests of affected parties. The discussions regarding Internet Numbers Registry evolution must also continue to consider the overall Internet address architecture and technical goals referenced in this document.

The foregoing does not alter the IETF's continued responsibility for the non-policy aspects of Internet addressing such as the architectural definition of IP address and AS number spaces and specification of associated technical goals and constraints in their application, assignment of specialized address blocks, and experimental technical assignments as documented in [RFC 2860](#). In addition, in the cases where the IETF sets technical recommendations for protocols, practices, or services which are directly related to IP address space or AS numbers, such recommendations must be taken

into consideration in Internet Numbers Registry System policy discussions regardless of venue.

6. Summary of Changes Since [RFC 2050](#)

Housley, Curran, Huston Expires October 08, 2013

[Page 5]

Since [RFC 2050](#) was published, the Internet and the Internet Numbers Registry System have undergone significant change. This document describes the Internet Numbers Registry System as it presently exists and omits policy and operational procedures that have been superseded by ICANN and RIR policy since [RFC 2050](#) publication.

7. Security Considerations

It is generally recognized that accuracy and public availability of Internet registry data is often an essential component in researching and resolving security and operational issues on the Internet.

8. IANA Considerations

No updates to the registries are suggested by this document.

[RFC Editor: Please remove this section prior to publication.]

9. Acknowledgements

Several people have made comments on draft versions of this document. The authors would like to thank Randy Bush, Brian Carpenter, Daniel Karrenberg, Olaf Kolkman, Scott Bradner, Leslie Daigle, Adiel Akplogan, Mark Koster, Elise Gerich, and SM for their constructive feedback and comments. Additionally, we are indebted to the authors of [RFC 1466](#) and [RFC 2050](#) for their earlier contributions in this area.

10. References

10.1. Normative References

[ASOMOU] Published by ICANN, "ICANN Address Supporting Organization (ASO) MoU", October 2004.

<http://archive.icann.org/en/aso/aso-mou-29oct04.htm>

[ICANNASN]

Ratified by ICANN, "Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) Policy for Allocation of ASN Blocks to Regional Internet Registries", September 2010.

<http://www.icann.org/en/resources/policy/global-addressing/global-policy-asn-blocks-21sep10-en.htm>

[ICANNBL] ICANN, "Bylaws for Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers", December 2012.

<http://www.icann.org/en/about/governance/bylaws>

[ICANNv4] Ratified by ICANN, "Global Policy for Post Exhaustion IPv4 Allocation Mechanisms by the IANA", May 2012.

Housley, Curran, Huston Expires October 08, 2013

[Page 6]

<http://www.icann.org/en/resources/policy/global-addressing/allocation-ipv4-post-exhaustion>

- [ICANNv6] Ratified by ICANN, "Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) Policy For Allocation of IPv6 Blocks to Regional Internet Registries", September 2006.

<http://www.icann.org/en/resources/policy/global-addressing/allocation-ipv6-rirs>

- [ICP-2] Published by ICANN, "ICP-2: Criteria for Establishment of New Regional Internet Registries", July 2001.

<http://www.icann.org/icp/icp-2.htm>

- [RFC2860] Carpenter, B., Baker, F. and M. Roberts, "Memorandum of Understanding Concerning the Technical Work of the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority", [RFC 2860](#), June 2000.

- [RFC3013] Killalea, T., "Recommended Internet Service Provider Security Services and Procedures", [BCP 46](#), [RFC 3013](#), November 2000.

[10.2](#). Informative References

- [RFC3912] Daigle, L., "WHOIS Protocol Specification", [RFC 3912](#), September 2004.

- [RFC5855] Abley, J. and T. Manderson, "Nameservers for IPv4 and IPv6 Reverse Zones", [BCP 155](#), [RFC 5855](#), May 2010.

Authors' Addresses

Russ Housley
Vigil Security, LLC
918 Spring Knoll Drive
Herndon, VA 20170
USA

Phone: +1 703 435 1775
Email: housley@vigilsec.com

John Curran
American Registry for Internet Numbers (ARIN)
3635 Concorde Parkway
Chantilly, VA 20151-1125
USA

Phone: +1 703 227 9845
Email: jcurran@arin.net

Housley, Curran, Huston Expires October 08, 2013

[Page 7]

Geoff Huston
Asia Pacific Network Information Centre (APNIC)
6 Cordelia St
South Brisbane, QLD 4101
Australia

Phone: +61 7 3858 3100
Email: gih@apnic.net

David Conrad
Virtualized, LLC
2310 Homestead Road, C1#204
Los Altos, CA 94024
USA

Phone: +1 650 397 6102
Email: drc@virtualized.org

