

Network Working Group  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: April 26, 2007

G. Montenegro  
Microsoft Corporation  
N. Kushalnagar  
Intel Corp  
October 23, 2006

**Transmission of IPv6 Packets over IEEE 802.15.4 Networks**  
**draft-ietf-6lowpan-format-05**

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with [Section 6 of BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 26, 2007.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2006).

Abstract

This document describes the frame format for transmission of IPv6 packets and the method of forming IPv6 link-local addresses and statelessly autoconfigured addresses on IEEE 802.15.4 networks. Additional specifications include a simple header compression scheme using shared context and provisions for packet delivery in IEEE 802.15.4 meshes.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	Introduction . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">1.1.</a>	Requirements notation . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">1.2.</a>	Terms used . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	IEEE 802.15.4 mode for IP . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	Addressing Modes . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	Maximum Transmission Unit . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	LoWPAN Adaptation Layer and Frame Format . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">5.1.</a>	Link Fragmentation . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">5.2.</a>	Reassembly . . . . .	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	Stateless Address Autoconfiguration . . . . .	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	IPv6 Link Local Address . . . . .	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">8.</a>	Unicast Address Mapping . . . . .	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">9.</a>	Multicast Address Mapping . . . . .	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">10.</a>	Header Compression . . . . .	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">10.1.</a>	Encoding of IPv6 Header Fields . . . . .	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">10.2.</a>	Encoding of UDP Header Fields . . . . .	<a href="#">16</a>
<a href="#">10.3.</a>	Non-Compressed Fields . . . . .	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">10.3.1.</a>	Non-Compressed IPv6 Fields . . . . .	<a href="#">17</a>
10.3.2.	Non-Compressed and partially compressed UDP fields .	18
<a href="#">11.</a>	Frame Delivery in a Link-Layer Mesh . . . . .	<a href="#">18</a>
<a href="#">12.</a>	IANA Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">21</a>
<a href="#">13.</a>	Security Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">22</a>
<a href="#">14.</a>	Acknowledgements . . . . .	<a href="#">23</a>
<a href="#">15.</a>	References . . . . .	<a href="#">23</a>
<a href="#">15.1.</a>	Normative References . . . . .	<a href="#">23</a>
<a href="#">15.2.</a>	Informative References . . . . .	<a href="#">24</a>
<a href="#">Appendix A.</a>	Alternatives for Delivery of Frames in a Mesh . . . .	<a href="#">25</a>
<a href="#">Appendix B.</a>	Changes . . . . .	<a href="#">26</a>
	Authors' Addresses . . . . .	<a href="#">28</a>
	Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements . . . .	<a href="#">29</a>



## **1. Introduction**

The IEEE 802.15.4 standard [[ieee802.15.4](#)] targets low power personal area networks. This document defines the frame format for transmission of IPv6 [[RFC2460](#)] packets as well as the formation of IPv6 link-local addresses and statelessly autoconfigured addresses on top of IEEE 802.15.4 networks. Since IPv6 requires support of packet sizes much larger than the largest IEEE 802.15.4 frame size, an adaptation layer is defined. This document also defines mechanisms for header compression required to make IPv6 practical on IEEE 802.15.4 networks, and the provisions required for packet delivery in IEEE 802.15.4 meshes. However, a full specification of mesh routing (the specific protocol used, the interactions with neighbor discovery, etc) is out of scope of this document.

### **1.1. Requirements notation**

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

### **1.2. Terms used**

AES: Advanced Encryption Scheme  
CSMA/CA: Carrier Sense Multiple Access / Collision Avoidance  
FFD: Full Function Device  
GTS: Guaranteed Time Service  
MTU: Maximum Transmission Unit  
MAC: Media Access Control  
PAN: Personal Area Network  
RFD: Reduced Function Device

## **2. IEEE 802.15.4 mode for IP**

IEEE 802.15.4 defines four types of frames: beacon frames, MAC command frames, acknowledgement frames and data frames. IPv6 packets MUST be carried on data frames. Data frames may optionally request that they be acknowledged. In keeping with [[RFC3819](#)] it is recommended that IPv6 packets be carried in frames for which acknowledgements are requested so as to aid link-layer recovery. IEEE 802.15.4 networks can either be nonbeacon-enabled or beacon-enabled [[ieee802.15.4](#)]. The latter is an optional mode in which devices are synchronized by a so-called coordinator's beacons. This allows the use of superframes within which a contention-free Guaranteed Time Service (GTS) is possible. This document does not require that IEEE networks run in beacon-enabled mode. In nonbeacon-



enabled networks, data frames (including those carrying IPv6 packets) are sent via the contention-based channel access method of unslotted CSMA/CA.

In nonbeacon-enabled networks, beacons are not used for synchronization. However, they are still useful for link-layer device discovery to aid in association and disassociation events. This document recommends that beacons be configured so as to aid these functions. A further recommendation is for these events to be available at the IPv6 layer to aid in detecting network attachment, a problem being worked on at the IETF at the time of this writing.

The specification allows for frames in which either the source or destination addresses (or both) are elided. The mechanisms defined in this document require that both source and destination addresses be included in the IEEE 802.15.4 frame header. The source or destination PAN ID fields may also be included.

### **3. Addressing Modes**

IEEE 802.15.4 defines several addressing modes: it allows the use of either IEEE 64-bit extended addresses or (after an association event) 16-bit addresses unique within the PAN [[ieee802.15.4](#)]. This document supports both 64-bit extended addresses, and 16-bit short addresses. For use within 6LoWPANs, this document imposes additional constraints (beyond those imposed by IEEE 802.15.4) on the format of the 16-bit short addresses, as specified in [Section 12](#). Short addresses being transient in nature, a word of caution is in order: since they are doled out by the PAN coordinator function during an association event, their validity and uniqueness is limited by the lifetime of that association. This can be cut short by expiration of the association or simply by any mishap occurred to the PAN coordinator. Because of the scalability issues posed by such a centralized allocation and single point of failure at the PAN coordinator, deployers should carefully weigh the tradeoffs (and implement the necessary mechanisms) of growing such networks based on short addresses. Of course, IEEE 64-bit extended addresses may not suffer from these drawbacks, but still share the remaining scalability issues concerning routing, discovery, configuration, etc.

This document assumes that a PAN maps to a specific IPv6 link, hence it implies a unique prefix. Knowledge of the 16-bit PAN ID (e.g., by including it in the IEEE 802.15.4 headers) would enable automatically mapping it to the corresponding IPv6 prefix. One possible method is to concatenate the 16 bits of PAN ID to a /48 in order to obtain the 64-bit link prefix. Whichever method is used, the assumption in this document is that a given PAN ID maps to a unique IPv6 prefix. This



complies with the recommendation that shared networks support link-layer subnet [[RFC3819](#)] broadcast. Strictly speaking, it is multicast not broadcast that exists in IPv6. However, multicast is not supported natively in IEEE 802.15.4. Hence, IPv6 level multicast packets MUST be carried as link-layer broadcast frames in IEEE 802.15.4 networks. This MUST be done such that the broadcast frames are only heeded by devices within the specific PAN of the link in question. As per section 7.5.6.2 in [[ieee802.15.4](#)], this is accomplished as follows:

1. A destination PAN identifier is included in the frame, and it MUST match the PAN ID of the link in question.
2. A short destination address is included in the frame, and it MUST match the broadcast address (0xffff).

Additionally, support for mapping of IPv6 multicast addresses MAY be provided as per [Section 9](#). A full specification of such functionality is out of scope of this document.

As usual, hosts learn IPv6 prefixes via router advertisements as per [[I-D.ietf-ipv6-2461bis](#)]. The working group may pursue additional mechanisms as well.

#### **4. Maximum Transmission Unit**

The MTU size for IPv6 packets over IEEE 802.15.4 is 1280 octets. However, a full IPv6 packet does not fit in an IEEE 802.15.4 frame. 802.15.4 protocol data units have different sizes depending on how much overhead is present [[ieee802.15.4](#)]. Starting from a maximum physical layer packet size of 127 octets (`aMaxPHYPacketSize`) and a maximum frame overhead of 25 (`aMaxFrameOverhead`), the resultant maximum frame size at the media access control layer is 102 octets. Link-layer security imposes further overhead, which in the maximum case (21 octets of overhead in the AES-CCM-128 case, versus 9 and 13 for AES-CCM-32 and AES-CCM-64, respectively) leaves only 81 octets available. This is obviously far below the minimum IPv6 packet size of 1280 octets, and in keeping with [section 5](#) of the IPv6 specification [[RFC2460](#)], a fragmentation and reassembly adaptation layer must be provided at the layer below IP. Such a layer is defined below in [Section 5](#).

Furthermore, since the IPv6 header is 40 octets long, this leaves only 41 octets for upper-layer protocols, like UDP. The latter uses 8 octets in the header which leaves only 33 octets for application data. Additionally, as pointed out above, there is a need for a fragmentation and reassembly layer, which will use even more octets.





The above considerations lead to the following two observations:

1. The adaptation layer must be provided to comply with IPv6 requirements of minimum MTU. However, it is expected that (a) most applications of IEEE 802.15.4 will not use such large packets, and (b) small application payloads in conjunction with proper header compression will produce packets that fit within a single IEEE 802.15.4 frame. The justification for this adaptation layer is not just for IPv6 compliance, as it is quite likely that the packet sizes produced by certain application exchanges (e.g., configuration or provisioning) may require a small number of fragments.
2. Even though the above space calculation shows the worst case scenario, it does point out the fact that header compression is compelling to the point of almost being unavoidable. Since we expect that most (if not all) applications of IP over IEEE 802.15.4 will make use of header compression, it is defined below in [Section 10](#).

## **[5](#). LowPAN Adaptation Layer and Frame Format**

### **[5.1](#). Link Fragmentation**

All IP datagrams transported over IEEE 802.15.4 are prefixed by an encapsulation header with one of the formats illustrated below. The encapsulation header size is either 2 octets (in the common 'unfragmented' case) or 4 octets (in the 'fragmented' case). The encapsulation formats defined in this section, (subsequently referred to as the "LowPAN encapsulation") are the payload in the IEEE 802.15.4 MAC protocol data unit (PDU). The LowPAN payload (e.g., an IPv6 packet) follows this encapsulation header. Alternatively, if the 'M' bit is on, a "Mesh Delivery" field will be present ([Section 11](#)) before the LowPAN payload.

If an entire payload (e.g., IPv6) datagram fits within a single 802.15.4 frame, it is unfragmented and the LowPAN encapsulation SHALL conform to the format illustrated below.

NOTE: The field marked "rsv" SHALL be set to zero upon transmission, and ignored upon reception.






Figure 1: LoWPAN unfragmented encapsulation header format

Field definitions are as follows:

LF: This 2 bit field SHALL be zero.

prot\_type: This 8 bit field SHALL indicate the nature of the datagram that follows. In particular, the prot\_type for IPv6 is 1 hexadecimal. The value 2 hexadecimal is defined below for header compression ([Section 10](#)). Other protocols may use this encapsulation format, but such use is outside the scope of this document. Subsequent assignments are to be handled by IANA ([Section 12](#)).

M: This bit is used to signal whether there is a "Mesh Delivery" field as used for mesh routing. If set to 1, a "Mesh Delivery" field precedes the payload (e.g., IPv6) packet ([Section 11](#)).

B: This bit is only used if the 'M' bit is set to 1, otherwise, it SHALL BE ignored. If the 'M' bit is set to 1, the 'B' bit is interpreted as follows: if it is set to 1, the "Mesh Delivery" field follows the "Unicast Mesh Delivery Field" format, otherwise, it follows the "Broadcast or Multicast Mesh Delivery Field" format ([Section 11](#)).

If the datagram does not fit within a single IEEE 802.15.4 frame, it SHALL be broken into link fragments. The first link fragment SHALL conform to the format shown below.




Figure 2: LoWPAN first fragment encapsulation header format

The second and subsequent link fragments (up to and including the last) SHALL conform to the format shown below.






Figure 3: LOWPAN subsequent fragment(s) encapsulation header format

Field definitions are as follows:

LF: This 2 bit field SHALL specify the relative position of the link fragment within the payload datagram, as encoded by the following table.

LF	Position
00	Unfragmented (Figure 1)
01	First Fragment (Figure 2)
10	Last Fragment (Figure 3)
11	Interior Fragment (Figure 3)

Figure 4: Link Fragment Bit Pattern

**datagram\_size:** This 11 bit field encodes the size of the entire IP payload datagram. The value of `datagram_size` SHALL be the same for all link fragments of an IP payload datagram. For IPv6, this SHALL be 40 octets (the size of the IPv6 header) more than the value of Payload Length in the IPv6 header [RFC2460]. Typically, this field needs to encode a maximum length of 1280 (IEEE 802.15.4 link MTU as defined in this document), and as much as 1500 (the default maximum IPv6 packet size if IPv6 fragmentation is in use). Therefore, this field is 11 bits long, which works in either case.

**NOTE:** This field does not need to be in every packet, as one could send it with the first fragment and elide it subsequently. However, including it in every link fragment eases the task of reassembly in the event that a second (or subsequent) link fragment arrives before the first. In this case, the guarantee of learning the `datagram_size` as soon as any of the fragments arrives tells the receiver how much buffer space to set aside as it waits for the rest of the fragments. The format above trades off simplicity for efficiency.



prot\_type: This 8 bit field is present only in the first link fragment. For possible values, see [Section 12](#).

M: This bit is set to allow delivery of a link fragment in a mesh. If set to 1, a "Mesh Delivery" field is present as per [Section 11](#).

B: For the definition of this bit, see Figure 1.

datagram\_offset: This field is present only in the second and subsequent link fragments and SHALL specify the offset, in increments of 8 octets, of the fragment from the beginning of the payload datagram. The first octet of the datagram (e.g., the start of the IPv6 header) has an offset of zero; the implicit value of datagram\_offset in the first link fragment is zero. This field is 8 bits long.

datagram\_tag: The value of datagram\_tag (datagram tag) SHALL be the same for all link fragments of a payload (e.g., IPv6) datagram. The sender SHALL increment datagram\_tag for successive, fragmented datagrams. The incremented value of datagram\_tag SHALL wrap from 1023 back to zero. This field is 10 bits long, and its initial value is not defined.

pad: The bits in this field SHALL be set to zero for transmission and ignored upon reception.

All protocol datagrams (e.g., IPv6, Compressed IPv6 headers, etc) SHALL be preceded by one of the LoWPAN encapsulation headers described above. This permits uniform software treatment of datagrams without regard to the mode of their transmission.

## **[5.2.](#) Reassembly**

The recipient of a payload datagram transmitted via more than one 802.15.4 packet SHALL use (1) the sender's 802.15.4 source address (or the Originator Address if a Mesh Delivery field is present), (2) the destination's 802.15.4 address (or the Final Destination address if a Mesh Delivery field is present), (3) datagram\_size and (4) datagram\_tag to identify all the link fragments that belong to a given datagram.

Upon receipt of a link fragment, the recipient may place the data payload (except the encapsulation header) within a payload datagram reassembly buffer at the location specified by datagram\_offset. The size of the reassembly buffer SHALL be determined from datagram\_size.

If a link fragment is received that overlaps another fragment as





identified above, the fragment(s) already accumulated in the reassembly buffer SHALL be discarded. A fresh reassembly may be commenced with the most recently received link fragment. Fragment overlap is determined by the combination of datagram\_offset from the encapsulation header and "Frame Length" from the 802.15.4 PDU packet header.

Upon detection of a IEEE 802.15.4 Disassociation event, fragment recipients SHOULD discard all link fragments of all partially reassembled payload datagrams, and fragment senders SHOULD discard all not yet transmitted link fragments of all partially transmitted payload (e.g., IPv6) datagrams. Similarly, when a node first receives a fragment with a given datagram\_tag, it starts a reassembly timer. If after 15 seconds the entire packet has not been reassembled, the existing fragments SHOULD be discarded and the reassembly state SHOULD be flushed.

## 6. Stateless Address Autoconfiguration

This section defines how to obtain an IPv6 interface identifier.

The Interface Identifier [[RFC3513](#)] for an IEEE 802.15.4 interface may be based on the EUI-64 identifier [[EUI64](#)] assigned to the IEEE 802.15.4 device. In this case, the Interface Identifier is formed from the EUI-64 according to the "IPv6 over Ethernet" specification [[RFC2464](#)].

All 802.15.4 devices have an IEEE EUI-64 address, but 16-bit short addresses ([Section 3](#) and [Section 12](#)) are also possible. In these cases, a "pseudo 48-bit address" is formed as follows. First, the left-most 32 bits are formed by concatenating 16 zero bits to the 16-bit PAN ID (alternatively, if no PAN ID is known, 32 zero bits may be used). Then, these 32 bits are concatenated with the 16-bit short address. This produces a 48-bit address as follows:

32\_bits\_as\_specified\_previously:16-bit\_short\_address. The interface identifier is formed from this 48-bit address as per the "IPv6 over Ethernet" specification. However, in the resultant interface identifier, the "Universal/Local" (U/L) bit SHALL be set to 0 in keeping with the fact that this is not a globally unique value. For either address format, all zero addresses MUST NOT be used.

A different MAC address set manually or by software MAY be used to derive the Interface Identifier. If such a MAC address is used, its global uniqueness property should be reflected in the value of the U/L bit.

An IPv6 address prefix used for stateless autoconfiguration



[I-D.ietf-ipv6-rfc2462bis] of an IEEE 802.15.4 interface MUST have a length of 64 bits.

## 7. IPv6 Link Local Address

The IPv6 link-local address [[RFC3513](#)] for an IEEE 802.15.4 interface is formed by appending the Interface Identifier, as defined above, to the prefix FE80::/64.




Figure 5

## 8. Unicast Address Mapping

The address resolution procedure for mapping IPv6 non-multicast addresses into IEEE 802.15.4 link-layer addresses follows the general description in section 7.2 of [[I-D.ietf-ipv6-2461bis](#)], unless otherwise specified.

The Source/Target Link-layer Address option has the following forms when the link layer is IEEE 802.15.4 and the addresses are EUI-64 or 16-bit short addresses, respectively.






Figure 6

Option fields:

Type:

- 1: for Source Link-layer address.
- 2: for Target Link-layer address.

Length: This is the length of this option (including the type and length fields) in units of 8 octets. The value of this field is 2 if using EUI-64 addresses, or 1 if using 16-bit short addresses.



IEEE 802.15.4 Address: The 64-bit IEEE 802.15.4 address, or the 16-bit short address (as per the format in [Section 9](#)), in canonical bit order. This is the address the interface currently responds to. This address may be different from the built-in address used to derive the Interface Identifier, because of privacy or security (e.g., of neighbor discovery) considerations.

## 9. Multicast Address Mapping

An IPv6 packet with a multicast destination address DST, consisting of the sixteen octets DST[1] through DST[16], is transmitted to the following 802.15.4 16-bit multicast address:

```

      0                               1
    0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5
+-+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+
|1 0 0|DST[15]* |  DST[16]      |
+-+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+

```

Figure 7

Here, DST[15]\* refers to the last 5 bits in octet DST[15], that is, bits 3-7 within DST[15]. The initial 3-bit pattern of "100" follows the 16-bit address format for multicast addresses ([Section 12](#)).

This allows for multicast support within 6LoWPAN networks, but the full specification of such support is out of scope of this document. Example mechanisms are: flooding, controlled flooding, unicasting to the PAN coordinator, etc. It is expected that this would be specified by the different mesh routing mechanisms.

## 10. Header Compression

There is much published and in-progress standardization work on header compression. Nevertheless, header compression for IPv6 over IEEE 802.15.4 has differing constraints summarized as follows:

Existing work assumes that there are many flows between any two devices. Here, we assume that most of the time there will be only one flow, and this allows a very simple and low context flavor of header compression.

Given the very limited packet sizes, it is highly desirable to integrate layer 2 with layer 3 compression, something traditionally not done (although now changing due to the ROHC





working group.

It is expected that IEEE 802.15.4 devices will be deployed in multi-hop networks. However, header compression in a mesh departs from the usual point-to-point link scenario in which the compressor and decompressor are in direct and exclusive communication with each other. In an IEEE 802.15.4 network, it is highly desirable for a device to be able to send header compressed packets via any of its neighbors, with as little preliminary context-building as possible.

Preliminary context is often required. If so, it is highly desirable to allow building it by not relying exclusively on the in-line negotiation phase. For example, if we assume there is some manual configuration phase that precedes deployment (perhaps with human involvement), then one should be able to leverage this phase to set up context such that the first packet sent will already be compressed.

Any new packets formats required by header compression reuse the basic packet formats defined in [Section 5](#) by using different values (defined below) for the `prot_type`.

Header compression may result in alignment not falling on an octet boundary. Since hardware typically cannot transmit data in units less than an octet, padding must be used. Padding is done as follows: First, the entire series of contiguous compressed headers is laid out (this document only defines IPv6 and UDP header compression schemes, but others may be defined elsewhere). Then, zero bits SHOULD BE added as appropriate to align to an octet boundary. This counteracts any potential misalignment caused by header compression, so subsequent fields (e.g., non-compressed headers or data payloads) start on an octet boundary and follow as usual.

### **[10.1.](#) Encoding of IPv6 Header Fields**

By virtue of having joined the same 6lowpan network, devices share some state. This makes it possible to compress headers even in the absence of the customary context-building phase. Thus, the following common IPv6 header values may be compressed from the onset: Version is IPv6, both IPv6 source and destination are link local, the IPv6 bottom 64 bits can be inferred from the layer two source and destination, the packet length can be inferred either from layer two ("Frame Length" in the IEEE 802.15.4 PPDU) or from the "datagram\_size" field in the fragment header (if present), both the Traffic Class and the Flow Label are zero, and the Next Header is UDP, ICMP or TCP. The only field in the IPv6 header that always needs to be carried in full is the Hop Limit (8 bits). Depending on












Figure 9: HC\_UDP (UDP common compressed header encoding)

The "HC\_UDP encoding" for UDP is shown below (starting with bit 0 and ending at bit 7):

UDP source port (bit 0):

- 0: Not compressed, carried "in-line" ([Section 10.3.2](#))
- 1: Compressed to 4 bits. The actual 16-bit source port is obtained by calculating:  $P + \text{short\_port}$  value.  $P$  is a predetermined port number with value TBD. The short\_port is expressed as a 4-bit value which is carried "in-line" ([Section 10.3.2](#))

UDP destination port (bit 1):

- 0: Not compressed, carried "in-line" ([Section 10.3.2](#))
- 1: Compressed to 4 bits. The actual 16-bit destination port is obtained by calculating:  $P + \text{short\_port}$  value.  $P$  is a predetermined port number with value TBD. The short\_port is expressed as a 4-bit value which is carried "in-line" ([Section 10.3.2](#))

Length (bit 2):

- 0: not compressed, carried "in-line" ([Section 10.3.2](#))
- 1: compressed, length computed from IPv6 header length information (similar to how the length of the header is calculated in TCP)

Reserved (bit 3 through 7)

Note: TCP, ICMP HC2 formats TBD.

### **[10.3.](#) Non-Compressed Fields**

#### **[10.3.1.](#) Non-Compressed IPv6 Fields**

This scheme allows the IPv6 header to be compressed to different degrees. Hence, instead of the entire (standard) IPv6 header, only non-compressed fields need to be sent. The subsequent header (as specified by the Next Header field in the original IPv6 header) immediately follows the IPv6 non-compressed fields.

The non-compressed IPv6 field that MUST be always present is the Hop Limit (8 bits). This field MUST always follow the encoding fields (e.g., "HC1 encoding" as shown in Figure 8), perhaps including other future encoding fields). Other non-compressed fields MUST follow the Hop Limit as implied by the "HC1 encoding" in the exact same order as shown above ([Section 10.1](#)): source address prefix (64 bits) and/or interface identifier (64 bits), destination address prefix (64 bits)





and/or interface identifier (64 bits), Traffic Class (8 bits), Flow Label (20 bits) and Next Header (8 bits). The actual next header (e.g., UDP, TCP, ICMP, etc) follows the non-compressed fields.

### **10.3.2. Non-Compressed and partially compressed UDP fields**

This scheme allows the UDP header to be compressed to different degrees. Hence, instead of the entire (standard) UDP header, only non-compressed or partially compressed fields need to be sent.

The non-compressed or partially compressed fields in the UDP header MUST always follow the IPv6 header and any of its associated in-line fields. Any UDP header in-line fields present MUST appear in the same order as the corresponding fields appear in a normal UDP header [[RFC0768](#)], e.g., source port, destination port, length and checksum. If either the source or destination ports are in "short\_port" notation (as indicated in the compressed UDP header), then instead of taking 16 bits, the inline port numbers take 4 bits.

## **11. Frame Delivery in a Link-Layer Mesh**

Even though 802.15.4 networks are expected to commonly use mesh routing, the IEEE 802.15.4-2003 specification [[ieee802.15.4](#)] does not define such capability. In such cases, Full Function Devices (FFDs) run an ad hoc or mesh routing protocol to populate their routing tables (outside the scope of this document). In such mesh scenarios, two devices do not require direct reachability in order to communicate. Of these devices, the sender is known as the "Originator", and the receiver is known as the "Final Destination". An originator device may use other intermediate devices as forwarders towards the final destination. In order to achieve such frame delivery using unicast, it is necessary to include the link-layer addresses of the originator and final destinations, in addition to the hop-by-hop source and destination.

This section defines how to effect delivery of layer 2 frames in a mesh, given a target "Final Destination" link-layer address.

Mesh delivery is enabled by setting the 'M' bit present in all variations of the LowPAN encapsulation ([Section 5](#)). If the 'M' bit is set, there is a "Mesh Delivery" field included in the frame immediately following the LowPAN header. More precisely, in all variations of the LowPAN encapsulation (unfragmented and fragmented), the "Mesh Delivery" field immediately precedes the LowPAN payload (e.g., a full-blown IPv6 header, a compressed IPv6 header as per [Section 10](#), or any others defined elsewhere).







Field definitions are as follows:



Sequence Number: This 8-bit field SHALL be incremented by the originator whenever it sends a new mesh broadcast or multicast packet. Full specification of how to handle this field is out of scope of this document.

Further implications of such mesh-layer broadcast, e.g., whether it maps to a controlled flooding mechanism or its role in, say, topology discovery, is out of scope of this document.

## 12. IANA Considerations

This document creates two new IANA registries, as discussed below. Future assignments in these registries are to be coordinated via IANA under the policy of "Specification Required" [RFC2434]. It is expected that this policy will allow for other (non-IETF) organizations to more easily obtain assignments.

This document creates a new IANA registry for the prot\_type (Protocol Type) field shown in the packet formats in [Section 5](#). This document defines the values 1 and 2 hexadecimal for IPv6 and the LOWPAN\_HC1 header compression format, respectively. This document defines this field to be 8 bits long. The value 0 being reserved and not used, this allows for a total of 254 different values, which should be more than enough.

This document creates a new IANA registry for the 16-bit short address fields as used in 6LoWPAN packets.




Figure 12

This registry MUST include the addresses 0xffff (16-bit broadcast address accepted by all devices currently listening to the channel) and 0xfffe as defined in [ieee802.15.4]. Additionally, within 6LoWPAN networks, 16-bit short addresses MUST follow this format (referring to bit fields in the order from 0 to 7), where "x" is a place holder for an unspecified bit value:





Range 1, 0xxxxxxxxxxxxx: The first bit (bit 0) SHALL be zero if the 16-bit address is a unicast address. This leaves 15 bits for the actual address.

Range 2, 100xxxxxxxxxxxxx: Bits 0,1 and 2 SHALL follow this pattern if the 16-bit address is a multicast address (see [Section 9](#)). This leaves 13 bits for the actual multicast address.

Range 3, 101xxxxxxxxxxxxx: This pattern for bits 0,1 and 2 is reserved. Any future assignment shall follow the policy mentioned above.

Range 4, 110xxxxxxxxxxxxx: This pattern for bits 0,1 and 2 is reserved. Any future assignment shall follow the policy mentioned above.

Range 5, 111xxxxxxxxxxxxx: This pattern for bits 0,1 and 2 is reserved. Any future assignment shall follow the policy mentioned above.

This document requests an IANA assignment of a port number P, for use with UDP header compression ([Section 10.2](#)). This port number P is used as the base to which the "short\_port" 4-bit values are added in order to obtain the actual UDP port used.

### **13. Security Considerations**

The method of derivation of Interface Identifiers from EUI-64 MAC addresses is intended to preserve global uniqueness when possible. However, there is no protection from duplication through accident or forgery.

Neighbor Discovery in IEEE 802.15.4 links may be susceptible to threats as detailed in [[RFC3756](#)]. Mesh routing is expected to be common in IEEE 802.15.4 networks. This implies additional threats due to ad hoc routing as per [[KW03](#)]. IEEE 802.15.4 provides some capability for link-layer security. Users are urged to make use of such provisions if at all possible and practical. Doing so will alleviate the threats referred to above.

A sizeable portion of IEEE 802.15.4 devices is expected to always communicate within their PAN (i.e., within their link, in IPv6 terms). In response to cost and power consumption considerations, and in keeping with the IEEE 802.15.4 model of "Reduced Function Devices" (RFDs), these devices will typically implement the minimum set of features necessary. Accordingly, security for such devices



may rely quite strongly on the mechanisms defined at the link-layer by IEEE 802.15.4. The latter, however, only defines the AES modes for authentication or encryption of IEEE 802.15.4 frames, and does not, in particular, specify key management (presumably group oriented). Other issues to address in real deployments relate to secure configuration and management. Whereas such a complete picture is out of scope of this document, it is imperative that IEEE 802.15.4 networks be deployed with such considerations in mind. Of course, it is also expected that some IEEE 802.15.4 devices (the so-called "Full Function Devices", or "FFDs") will implement coordination or integration functions. These may communicate regularly with off-link IPv6 peers (in addition to the more common on-link exchanges). Such IPv6 devices are expected to secure their end-to-end communications with the usual mechanisms (e.g., IPsec, TLS, etc).

## **14. Acknowledgements**

Thanks to the authors of [RFC 2464](#) and [RFC 2734](#), as parts of this document are patterned after theirs. Thanks to Geoff Mulligan for useful discussions which helped shape this document. Erik Nordmark's suggestions were instrumental for the header compression section. Also thanks to Shoichi Sakane, Samita Chakrabarti, Vipul Gupta, Carsten Bormann, Ki-Hyung Kim, Mario Mao and David Culler.

## **15. References**

### **15.1. Normative References**

- [EUI64] "GUIDELINES FOR 64-BIT GLOBAL IDENTIFIER (EUI-64) REGISTRATION AUTHORITY", IEEE <http://standards.ieee.org/regauth/oui/tutorials/EUI64.html>.
- [I-D.ietf-ipv6-2461bis] Narten, T., "Neighbor Discovery for IP version 6 (IPv6)", [draft-ietf-ipv6-2461bis-08](#) (work in progress), September 2006.
- [I-D.ietf-ipv6-rfc2462bis] Thomson, S., "IPv6 Stateless Address Autoconfiguration", [draft-ietf-ipv6-rfc2462bis-08](#) (work in progress), May 2005.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC2434] Narten, T. and H. Alvestrand, "Guidelines for Writing an



IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", [BCP 26](#), [RFC 2434](#), October 1998.

[RFC2460] Deering, S. and R. Hinden, "Internet Protocol, Version 6 (IPv6) Specification", [RFC 2460](#), December 1998.

[RFC2464] Crawford, M., "Transmission of IPv6 Packets over Ethernet Networks", [RFC 2464](#), December 1998.

[RFC3513] Hinden, R. and S. Deering, "Internet Protocol Version 6 (IPv6) Addressing Architecture", [RFC 3513](#), April 2003.

[ieee802.15.4]  
IEEE Computer Society, "IEEE Std. 802.15.4-2003", October 2003.

## **[15.2. Informative References](#)**

[I-D.ietf-ipngwg-icmp-v3]  
Conta, A., "Internet Control Message Protocol (ICMPv6) for the Internet Protocol Version 6 (IPv6) Specification", [draft-ietf-ipngwg-icmp-v3-07](#) (work in progress), July 2005.

[I-D.ietf-ipv6-node-requirements]  
Loughney, J., "IPv6 Node Requirements", [draft-ietf-ipv6-node-requirements-11](#) (work in progress), August 2004.

[KW03] Karlof, Chris and Wagner, David, "Secure Routing in Sensor Networks: Attacks and Countermeasures", Elsevier's AdHoc Networks Journal, Special Issue on Sensor Network Applications and Protocols vol 1, issues 2-3, September 2003.

[RFC0768] Postel, J., "User Datagram Protocol", STD 6, [RFC 768](#), August 1980.

[RFC1042] Postel, J. and J. Reynolds, "Standard for the transmission of IP datagrams over IEEE 802 networks", STD 43, [RFC 1042](#), February 1988.

[RFC3439] Bush, R. and D. Meyer, "Some Internet Architectural Guidelines and Philosophy", [RFC 3439](#), December 2002.

[RFC3756] Nikander, P., Kempf, J., and E. Nordmark, "IPv6 Neighbor Discovery (ND) Trust Models and Threats", [RFC 3756](#), May 2004.



[RFC3819] Karn, P., Bormann, C., Fairhurst, G., Grossman, D., Ludwig, R., Mahdavi, J., Montenegro, G., Touch, J., and L. Wood, "Advice for Internet Subnetwork Designers", [BCP 89](#), [RFC 3819](#), July 2004.

## **[Appendix A](#). Alternatives for Delivery of Frames in a Mesh**

Before settling on the mechanism finally adopted for delivery in a mesh ([Section 11](#)), several alternatives were considered. In addition to the hop-by-hop source and destination link-layer addresses, delivering a packet in a LoWPAN mesh requires the end-to-end originator and destination addresses. These could be expressed either as layer 2 or as layer 3 (i.e., IP ) addresses. In the latter case, there would be no need to provide any additional header support in this document (i.e., within the LoWPAN header itself). The link-layer destination address would point to the next hop destination address while the IP header destination address would point to the final destination (IP) address (possibly multiple hops away from the source), and similarly for the source addresses. Thus, while forwarding data, the single-hop source and destination addresses would change at each hop (always pointing to the node doing the forwarding and the "best" next link-layer hop, respectively), while the source and destination IP addresses would remain unchanged. Notice that if an IP packet is fragmented, the individual fragments may arrive at any node out of order. If the initial fragment (which contains the IP header) is delayed for some reason, a node that receives a subsequent fragment would lack the required information. It would be forced to wait until it receives the IP header (within the first fragment) before being able to forward the fragment any further. This imposes some additional buffering requirements on intermediate nodes. Additionally, such a specification would only work for one type of LoWPAN payload: IPv6. In general, it would have to be adapted for any other payload, and would require that payload to provide its own end-to-end addressing information.

On the other hand, the approach finally followed ([Section 11](#)) creates a mesh at the LoWPAN layer (below layer 3). Accordingly, link-layer originator and final destination address are included within the LoWPAN header. This enables mesh delivery for any protocol or application layered on the LoWPAN adaptation layer ([Section 5](#)). For IPv6 as supported in this document, another advantage of expressing the originator and final destinations as layer 2 addresses is that the IPv6 addresses can be compressed as per the header compression specified in [Section 10](#). Furthermore, the number of octets needed to maintain routing tables is reduced due to the smaller size of 802.15.4 addresses (either 64 bits or 16 bits) as compared to IPv6 addresses (128 bits). A disadvantage is that applications on top of





IP do not address packets to link-layer destination addresses, but to IP (layer 3) destination addresses. Thus, given an IP address, there is a need to resolve the corresponding link-layer address. Accordingly, a mesh routing specification needs to clarify the Neighbor Discovery implications, although in some special cases, it may be possible to derive a device's address at layer 2 from its address at layer 3 (and viceversa). Such complete specification is outside the scope of this document.

## **Appendix B. Changes**

Changes up to version [draft-ietf-6lowpan-format-05.txt](#) are as follows:

Added some padding bits to the first and subsequent fragment formats to align on an octet boundary.

Header compression may result in alignment not falling on an octet boundary. Since hardware typically cannot transmit units less than an octet, added text to the effect that one lays out the contiguous compressed headers and then zero bits SHOULD BE added as appropriate to align to an octet boundary.

Added how to distinguish between the multicast and the unicast formats for the mesh delivery field. We use one of the 5 reserved bits to signal if the bcast/mcast mesh delivery format is being used, and we called it the 'B' ("broadcast") bit. So no change to the mesh delivery fields is required. Since the reserved bits are common to all three lowpan header formats, the 'B' bit applies to all.

Changes from version [draft-ietf-6lowpan-format-02.txt](#) to version [draft-ietf-6lowpan-format-03.txt](#) are as follows:

Interface Identifier derivation using 16-bit short addresses now using the PAN ID as well.

Word of caution on the transient nature of 16 bit short addresses.

Reassembly now also keying on destination and datagram\_size.

Mesh delivery header now allowing mix of 16/64 bit addresses. This leaves 6 bits for hops\_left (64 hops is plenty).



Added optional Multicast Address mapping patterned after that of ethernet.

Clarified that all zero addresses must not be used (for either 16 or 64 bit formats).

Added address format section to IANA considerations to define unicast, multicast and reserved address formats.

Added Mesh Broadcast or Multicast Delivery Field.

Created a new section on Addressing Modes.

Sundry editorial changes.

Changes from version [draft-ietf-6lowpan-format-01.txt](#) to version [draft-ietf-6lowpan-format-02.txt](#) are as follows:

Further details on broadcast by using PAN-specific broadcast.

Sundry editorial changes.

Changes from version [draft-ietf-6lowpan-format-00.txt](#) to version [draft-ietf-6lowpan-format-01.txt](#) are as follows:

Added a reassembly timeout of 15 sec.

Added support for 16-bit "short" addresses.

datagram tag now at 10 bits protocol\_type and datagram offset both went from 11 to 8 bits (which is still enough for the format, and which implies counting offset in units of 8 octets for the latter).

Addition of the originator's link-layer source address to the "Mesh Delivery" header.

Changed name of "Final Destination" header to "Mesh Delivery" header.

Further clarification on mesh delivery.

Sundry editorial changes.

Changes from version [draft-montenegro-lowpan-ipv6-over-802.15.4-02.txt](#) to version [draft-ietf-6lowpan-format-00.txt](#) are as follows:



The LOWPAN encapsulation was modified to allow 11 bits of protocol type (prot\_type field). Because of this, the minimum overhead grew from 1 octet to 2 octets. This was done in order to allow more protocol types as the previous format started with a field only 5 bits wide. Whereas growing it to 7 bits was possible in the future, this would always entail 2 octets of overhead for the longer protocol types to be used.

The 'M' bit had been left out of the 3rd packet format (for subsequent fragments). Corrected this oversight. This means that the fragment tag lost one bit.

Sundry editorial changes.

#### Authors' Addresses

Gabriel Montenegro  
Microsoft Corporation

Email: gabriel\_montenegro\_2000@yahoo.com

Nandakishore Kushalnagar  
Intel Corp

Email: nandakishore.kushalnagar@intel.com



## Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2006).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in [BCP 78](#), and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

## Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at [ietf-ipr@ietf.org](mailto:ietf-ipr@ietf.org).

## Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is provided by the IETF Administrative Support Activity (IASA).



