Automated Certificate Management Environment (ACME) Renewal Information (ARI) Extension

Abstract

This document specifies how an ACME server may provide suggestions to ACME clients as to when they should attempt to renew their certificates. This allows servers to mitigate load spikes, and ensures clients do not make false assumptions about appropriate certificate renewal periods.
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1. Introduction

Most ACME [RFC8555] clients today choose when to attempt to renew a certificate in one of three ways. They may be configured to renew at a specific interval (e.g. via cron); they may parse the issued certificate to determine its expiration date and renew a specific amount of time before then; or they may parse the issued certificate and renew when some percentage of its validity period has passed. The first two techniques create significant barriers against the issuing CA changing certificate lifetimes. All three techniques lead to load clustering for the issuing CA.

Being able to indicate to the client a period in which the issuing CA suggests renewal would allow for dynamic smearing of load, enabling a CA to respond to exceptional circumstances. For example, a CA could suggest that clients renew prior to a mass-revocation event to mitigate the impact of the revocation, or a CA could
suggest that clients renew earlier than they normally would to reduce the size of an upcoming mass-renewal spike.

This document specifies a mechanism by which ACME servers may provide suggested renewal windows to ACME clients.

2. Conventions and Definitions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119][RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

3. Extensions to the ACME Protocol: The "directory" Resource

An ACME server which wishes to provide renewal information MUST include a new field, renewalInfo, in its directory object.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>URL in Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>renewalInfo</td>
<td>Renewal info</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1

HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Content-Type: application/json

```json
{
  "newNonce": "https://example.com/acme/new-nonce",
  "newAccount": "https://example.com/acme/new-account",
  "newOrder": "https://example.com/acme/new-order",
  "newAuthz": "https://example.com/acme/new-authz",
  "revokeCert": "https://example.com/acme/revoke-cert",
  "keyChange": "https://example.com/acme/key-change",
  "renewalInfo": "https://example.com/acme/renewal-info",
  "meta": {
    "termsOfService": "https://example.com/acme/terms/2021-10-05",
    "website": "https://www.example.com/",
    "caaIdentities": ["example.com"],
    "externalAccountRequired": false
  }
}
```

4. Extensions to the ACME Protocol: The "renewalInfo" Resource

The "renewalInfo" resource is a new resource type introduced to ACME protocol. This new resource both allows clients to query the server for suggestions on when they should renew certificates, and allows
clients to inform the server when they have completed renewal (or otherwise replaced the certificate to their satisfaction).

4.1. Getting Renewal Information

To request the suggested renewal information for a certificate, the client sends a GET request to a path under the server's renewalInfo URL.

The full request URL is computed by concatenating the renewalInfo URL from the server's directory with a forward slash and the base64url-encoded [RFC4648] bytes of a DER-encoded CertID ASN.1 sequence [RFC6960]. Trailing '=' characters MUST be stripped.

For example, to request renewal information for the end-entity certificate given in Appendix A.1, issued by the CA certificate given in Appendix A.2, using SHA256, the client would make the following request (the path has been split onto multiple lines for readability):

GET https://example.com/acme/renewal-info/
    MFswCwYJYIZIAWUDBAIBBCCeWLRusNLb--vmWOkxm34qDjTMwKc
    3utinhOMwKqbgQg2iiKWySzd-6c88HZ6vhIHZPamChLlzGH
    eZ7pTS8jYCC6jRWhlRB8c

The ACME Server MAY restrict the hash algorithms which it accepts (for example, only allowing SHA256 to limit the number of potential cache keys); if it receives a request whose embedded hashAlgorithm field contains an unacceptable OID, it SHOULD respond with HTTP status code 400 (Bad Request).

The structure of an ACME renewalInfo resource is as follows:

suggestedWindow (object, required): A JSON object with two keys, "start" and "end", whose values are timestamps, encoded in the format specified in [RFC3339], which bound the window of time in which the CA recommends renewing the certificate.

explanationURL (string, optional): A URL pointing to a page which may explain why the suggested renewal window is what it is. For example, it may be a page explaining the CA's dynamic load-balancing strategy, or a page documenting which certificates are affected by a mass revocation event. Conforming clients SHOULD provide this URL to their operator, if present.
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Content-Type: application/json
Retry-After: 21600

{
    "suggestedWindow": {
        "start": "2021-01-03T00:00:00Z",
        "end": "2021-01-07T00:00:00Z"
    },
    "explanationURL": "https://example.com/docs/example-mass-reissuance-event"
}

The server SHOULD include a Retry-After header indicating the polling interval that the ACME server recommends. Conforming clients SHOULD query the renewalInfo URL again after the Retry-After period has passed, as the server may provide a different suggestedWindow.

Conforming clients MUST attempt renewal at a time of their choosing based on the suggested renewal window. The following algorithm is RECOMMENDED for choosing a renewal time:

1. Select a uniform random time within the suggested window.
2. If the selected time is in the past, attempt renewal immediately.
3. Otherwise, if the client can schedule itself to attempt renewal at exactly the selected time, do so.
4. Otherwise, if the selected time is before the next time that the client would wake up normally, attempt renewal immediately.
5. Otherwise, sleep until the next normal wake time, re-check ARI, and return to Step 1.

In all cases, renewal attempts are subject to the client's existing error backoff and retry intervals.

In particular, cron-based clients may find they need to increase their run frequency to check ARI more frequently. Those clients will need to store information about failures so that increasing their run frequency doesn't lead to retrying failures without proper backoff. Typical information stored should include: number of failures for a given order (defined by the set of names on the order), and time of the most recent failure.

If the client receives no response or a malformed response (e.g. an end timestamp which precedes the start timestamp), it SHOULD make
its own determination of when to renew the certificate, and MAY retry the renewalInfo request with appropriate exponential backoff behavior.

4.2. Updating Renewal Information

To update the renewal status of a certificate, the client sends a POST request to the server's renewalInfo URL.

The body of the POST is a JWS object which is authenticated to an account as defined in [RFC8555], Section 6.2, and whose JSON payload has the following structure:

- `certID` (required, string): The CertID of the certificate whose renewal information should be updated, in the base64url-encoded version of the DER format with trailing "=" stripped. Note: this is identical to the final path component constructed for GET requests above.
- `replaced` (required, boolean): Whether or not the client considers the certificate to have been replaced. A certificate is considered replaced when its revocation would not disrupt any ongoing services, for instance because it has been renewed and the new certificate is in use, or because it is no longer in use. Clients SHOULD NOT send a request where this value is false.

```plaintext
POST /acme/renewal-info HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Content-Type: application/jose+json

{
  "protected": base64url({
    "alg": "ES256",
    "kid": "https://example.com/acme/acct/evOfKhNU60wg",
    "nonce": "JHb54aT_KTXBWQOzGYkt9A",
    "url": "https://example.com/acme/renewal-info"
  }),
  "payload": base64url({
    "certID": "MFswCwYJ...RWhlRB8c",
    "replaced": true
  }),
  "signature": "Q1bURgJoEs1bD1c5...3pYdSMlio57mQNN4"
}
```

The server MUST verify that the request is signed by the account key of the Subscriber to which the certificate was originally issued. If the server accepts the request and the update succeeds, it responds with HTTP status code 200 (OK). If the update is rejected or fails,
for example because the certificate has already been marked as replaced, the server returns an error.

The server might use this renewal update to inform a number of processes, such as: not sending renewal reminder notifications for certificates that have been marked as replaced; sending empty or error responses to subsequent requests for the certificate's renewal information; or confidently revoking certificates subject to a mass revocation without fear of disrupting the Subscriber's operations.

5. Security Considerations

The extensions to the ACME protocol described in this document build upon the Security Considerations and threat model defined in [RFC8555], Section 10.1.

This document specifies that renewalInfo resources MUST be exposed and accessed via unauthenticated GET requests, a departure from RFC8555's requirement that clients must send POST-as-GET requests to fetch resources from the server. This is because the information contained in renewalInfo resources is not considered confidential, and because allowing renewalInfo to be easily cached is advantageous to shed load from clients which do not respect the Retry-After header.

6. IANA Considerations

Draft note: The following changes to IANA registries have not yet been made.

6.1. New Registries

Within the "Automated Certificate Management Environment (ACME) Protocol" registry, IANA has created the new "ACME Renewal Info Object Fields" registry (Section 6.4).

6.2. ACME Resource Type

Within the "Automated Certificate Management Environment (ACME) Protocol" registry, the following entry has been added to the "ACME Resource Types" registry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field Name</th>
<th>Resource Type</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>renewalInfo</td>
<td>Renewal Info object</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
6.3. ACME Renewal Info Object Fields

The "ACME Renewal Info Object Fields" registry lists field names that are defined for use in ACME renewal info objects.

Template:

*Field name: The string to be used as a field name in the JSON object

*Field type: The type of value to be provided, e.g., string, boolean, array of string

*Reference: Where this field is defined

Initial contents:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field Name</th>
<th>Field type</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>suggestedWindow</td>
<td>object</td>
<td>This document</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3
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Appendix A. Example Certificates

A.1. Example End-Entity Certificate

-----BEGIN CERTIFICATE-----
MIIDMDCCAhigAwIBAgIIPqNFaGVEHxwwDQYJKoZIhvcNAQELBQAwIDeEeMBwGA1UE
AxMVbwluaWNhIHJvb3QgY2EgM2ExMzU2MB4XDTIyMDMxNzE3NTEwOVoXDTI0MDQx
NjE3NTEwOVoWjEUdBIGA1UEAxMLZXhhbXBsZS5jb20wggEiMA0GCSqGSIb3DQEBAQUAA4IBDwAwggEKAoIBAQCgm9K/c+il2PF0f8qhqxn9SKqXq88cOm9ov9AVrBPA
OWAewqX2yUAwI4LZBGExGzGTATkiXfoJ3cN3k39cH6tBbb3iSPeEn70ZpIK9D+eQ9/h+N/jlWkaTB/FNA+7aE5IWhmcYi1Xa109vR+RcvACJt0gsipBZVJ4jvjF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-----END CERTIFICATE-----
Example CA Certificate

-----BEGIN CERTIFICATE-----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-----END CERTIFICATE-----
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