

ACME Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: April 3, 2020

R. Shoemaker
ISRG
October 01, 2019

ACME IP Identifier Validation Extension
draft-ietf-acme-ip-08

Abstract

This document specifies identifiers and challenges required to enable the Automated Certificate Management Environment (ACME) to issue certificates for IP addresses.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 3, 2020.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Terminology	2
3.	IP Identifier	2
4.	Identifier Validation Challenges	3
5.	HTTP Challenge	3
6.	TLS with Application Level Protocol Negotiation (TLS ALPN) Challenge	3
7.	DNS Challenge	3
8.	IANA Considerations	3
8.1.	Identifier Types	3
8.2.	Challenge Types	4
9.	Security Considerations	4
9.1.	CA Policy Considerations	4
10.	Acknowledgments	4
11.	Normative References	4
	Author's Address	5

[1.](#) Introduction

The Automatic Certificate Management Environment (ACME) [[RFC8555](#)] only defines challenges for validating control of DNS host name identifiers, which limits its use to being used for issuing certificates for DNS identifiers. In order to allow validation of IPv4 and IPv6 identifiers for inclusion in X.509 certificates, this document specifies how challenges defined in the original ACME specification and the TLS-ALPN extension specification [[I-D.ietf-acme-tls-alpn](#)] can be used to validate IP identifiers.

[2.](#) Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [BCP 14](#) [[RFC2119](#)] [[RFC8174](#)] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

[3.](#) IP Identifier

[[RFC8555](#)] only defines the identifier type "dns", which is used to refer to fully qualified domain names. If an ACME server wishes to request proof that a user controls a IPv4 or IPv6 address, it MUST create an authorization with the identifier type "ip". The value field of the identifier MUST contain the textual form of the address as defined in [[RFC1123](#)] [Section 2.1](#) for IPv4 and in [[RFC5952](#)] [Section 4](#) for IPv6.

8.2. Challenge Types

Adds two new entries to the "ACME Validation Methods" registry defined in [Section 9.7.8 of \[RFC8555\]](#). These entries are defined below:

Label	Identifier Type	ACME	Reference
http-01	ip	Y	I-D.ietf-acme-ip
tls-alpn-01	ip	Y	I-D.ietf-acme-ip

9. Security Considerations

The extensions to ACME described in this document do not deviate from the broader threat model described in [\[RFC8555\] Section 10.1](#).

9.1. CA Policy Considerations

This document only specifies how a ACME server may validate that a certificate applicant controls a IP identifier at the time of validation. The CA may wish to perform additional checks not specified in this document. For example, if the CA believes an IP identifier belongs to a ISP or cloud service provider with short delegation periods, they may wish to impose additional restrictions on certificates requested for that identifier.

10. Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank those who contributed to this document and offered editorial and technical input, especially Jacob Hoffman-Andrews and Daniel McCarney.

11. Normative References

- [I-D.ietf-acme-tls-alpn]
 Shoemaker, R., "ACME TLS ALPN Challenge Extension", [draft-ietf-acme-tls-alpn-06](#) (work in progress), September 2019.
- [RFC1034] Mockapetris, P., "Domain names - concepts and facilities", STD 13, [RFC 1034](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC1034, November 1987, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc1034>>.

- [RFC1123] Braden, R., Ed., "Requirements for Internet Hosts - Application and Support", STD 3, [RFC 1123](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC1123, October 1989, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc1123>>.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC3596] Thomson, S., Huitema, C., Ksinant, V., and M. Souissi, "DNS Extensions to Support IP Version 6", STD 88, [RFC 3596](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3596, October 2003, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3596>>.
- [RFC5952] Kawamura, S. and M. Kawashima, "A Recommendation for IPv6 Address Text Representation", [RFC 5952](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5952, August 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5952>>.
- [RFC6066] Eastlake 3rd, D., "Transport Layer Security (TLS) Extensions: Extension Definitions", [RFC 6066](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6066, January 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6066>>.
- [RFC7230] Fielding, R., Ed. and J. Reschke, Ed., "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Message Syntax and Routing", [RFC 7230](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7230, June 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7230>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in [RFC 2119](#) Key Words", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 8174](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.
- [RFC8555] Barnes, R., Hoffman-Andrews, J., McCarney, D., and J. Kasten, "Automatic Certificate Management Environment (ACME)", [RFC 8555](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8555, March 2019, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8555>>.

Author's Address

Roland Bracewell Shoemaker
Internet Security Research Group

Email: roland@letsencrypt.org

