

Internet-Draft

GSS-API Authentication for SOCKS V5

Expires: 25SEP95

24MAR95

<[draft-ietf-aft-gssapi-00.txt](#)>

P V McMahon, ICL

GSS-API Authentication Method for SOCKS Version 5

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft. Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft document valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress".

To learn the current status of any Internet-Draft, please check the "l1d-abstracts.txt" listing contained in the Internet-Drafts Shadow Directories on ds.internic.net (US East Coast), nic.nordu.net (Europe), ftp.isi.edu (US West Coast), or munnari.oz.au (Pacific Rim).

Comments on this document are welcome and should be sent to aft@unify.com, the mailing list of the Authenticated Firewall Traversal Working Group of the IETF.

Contents List

1. Purpose
2. Introduction
3. GSS-API Call Specification for SOCKS V5
4. References
5. Acknowledgments
6. Security Considerations
7. Author's Address

1. Purpose

The protocol specification for SOCKS Version 5 specifies a generalized framework for the use of arbitrary authentication protocols in the initial SOCKS connection setup.

This document provides the specification for the SOCKS V5 GSS-API authentication protocol, and defines an GSS-API authentication method

encapsulation that provides integrity, authentication and optional confidentiality.

2. Introduction

GSS-API provides an abstract interface which provides security services for use in distributed applications, but isolates callers from specific security mechanisms and implementations.

GSS-API peers achieve interoperability by establishing a common security mechanism for security context establishment - either through administrative action, or through negotiation. GSS-API is specified in [\[RFC 1508\]](#), and [\[RFC 1509\]](#).

The approach for use of GSS-API in SOCKS V5 is to authenticate the client and server by successfully establishing a GSS-API security context - such that the GSS-API encapsulates any negotiation protocol for mechanism selection, and the agreement of security service options. The GSS-API `gss_init_sec_context()` interface enables the context initiator to know what security services the target supports for the chosen mechanism.

The GSS-API per-message protection calls are used to encapsulate any further TCP traffic between client and server, and, for integrity protection of UDP datagrams.

3. GSS-API Call Specification for SOCKS V5

3.1 Preparation

Prior to use of GSS-API primitives, the client and server should be locally authenticated, and have established GSS-API credentials.

The client should call `gss_import_name` to obtain an internal representation of the server name. For maximal portability the default `name_type` `GSS_C_NULL_OID` should be used to specify the default name space, and the input `name_string` should be treated by the client as an opaque name-space specific input. For example, when using Kerberos V5 naming, the imported name is of the form "SERVICE:socks@socks_server_hostname" where "socks_server_hostname" is the fully qualified host name of the server with all letters in lower case.

3.2 Client Context Establishment

The client should then call `gss_init_sec_context`, typically passing `GSS_C_NO_CREDENTIAL` into `cred_han` to specify the default credential (for initiator usage), `GSS_C_NULL_OID` into `mech_type` to

specify the default mechanism, GSS_C_NO_CONTEXT into context_handle to

McMahon

[Page 2]

specify a NULL context (initially), and the previously imported server name into `targ_name`.

The client must also specify its requirements for replay protection, delegation, and sequence protection via the `gss_init_sec_context` `req_flags` parameter. It is required by this specification that the client always requests these service options (i.e. passes `GSS_C_MUTUAL_FLAG | GSS_C_REPLAY_FLAG | GSS_C_DELEG_FLAG | GSS_C_SEQUENCE_FLAG` into `req_flags`). However, `GSS_C_SEQUENCE_FLAG` should only be passed in for TCP-based clients, not for UDP-based clients.

3.3 Client Context Establishment Major Status codes

The `gss_init_sec_context` returned status code can take two different success values:

- If `gss_init_sec_context` returns `GSS_S_CONTINUE_NEEDED`, then the client should expect the server to issue a token in the subsequent subnegotiation response. The client must pass the token to another call to `gss_init_sec_context`, and repeat this procedure until continue operations are complete.
- If `gss_init_sec_context` returns `GSS_S_COMPLETE`, then the client should respond to the server with any resulting `output_token`. If there is no `output_token`, the client should proceed to sending the protected request details.

3.4 Client initial token

The client's GSS-API implementation then typically responds with the resulting `output_token` which the client sends in a message to the server.

```
+-----+-----+-----+.....+
+ ver  | mtyp | len  |      token      |
+-----+-----+-----+.....+
+ 0x01 | 0x01 | 0x02 | up to 2^16 - 1 octets |
+-----+-----+-----+.....+
```

If, however, the client's GSS-API implementation failed during `gss_init_sec_context`, the the client must close its connection to the server.

3.5 Server Context Establishment

For the case where a client successfully sends a token emitted by `gss_init_sec_context()` to the server, the server must pass the

client-supplied token to gss_accept_sec_context as input_token.

McMahon

[Page 3]

For portability, `verifier_cred_handle` is set to `GSS_C_NO_CREDENTIAL` (for acceptor usage), `context_handle` initially set to `GSS_C_NO_CONTEXT`.

If `gss_accept_sec_context` returns `GSS_CONTINUE_NEEDED`, the server should return the generated `output_token` to the client, and subsequently pass the resulting client supplied token to another call to `gss_accept_sec_context`.

If `gss_accept_sec_context` returns `GSS_S_COMPLETE`, then if an `output_token` is returned, the server should return it to the client. If no token is returned, a zero length token should be sent by the server to signal to the client that it is ready to receive the client's request.

3.6 Server Reply

In all continue/confirmation cases, the server uses the same message type as for the client -> server interaction.

```
+-----+-----+-----+.....+
+ ver  | mtyp | len  |      token      |
+-----+-----+-----+.....+
+ 0x01 | 0x01 | 0x02 | up to 2^16 - 1 octets |
+-----+-----+-----+.....+
```

3.7 Security Context Failure

If the server refuses the client's connection for any reason (GSS-API authentication failure or otherwise), it will return:

```
+-----+-----+
+ ver  | mtyp |
+-----+-----+
+ 0x01 | 0xff |
+-----+-----+
```

3.8 UDP Protection

When using GSS-API, the authentication key material identified in [SOCKS V5] for computation of the value for the XCOOKIE digest within the UDP MAC field is encapsulated by the authentication mechanism.

Therefore, for UDP-based clients, the XCOOKIE digest value for UDP is derived by invoking `gss_get_mic()` for the COOKIE from the UDP ASSOCIATE request.

4. References

- [RFC 1508] Generic Security Service API, J Linn,
September 1993
- [RFC 1509] Generic Security Service API : C-bindings, J Wray,
September 1993
- [SOCKS V5] SOCKS Protocol V5, [draft-ietf-aft-socks-proto-v5-01.txt](#)
M Leech, March 1995

5. Acknowledgment

This document builds from a previous draft produced by Marcus Leech (BNR) - whose comments are gratefully acknowledged.

6. Security Considerations

The security services provided through the GSS-API are entirely dependent on the effectiveness of the underlying security mechanisms, and the correctness of the implementation of the underlying algorithms and protocols.

The user of a GSS-API service must ensure that the quality of protection provided by the mechanism implementation is consistent with their security policy.

In addition, where negotiation is supported under the GSS-API, constraints on acceptable mechanisms may be imposed to ensure suitability for application to authenticated firewall traversal.

7. Author's Address

P V McMahon
post: ICL Enterprises, Kings House, 33 Kings Road, Reading, RG1 3PX, UK
email: p.v.mcmahon@rea0803.wins.icl.co.uk
phone: +44 734 634882
fax: +44 734 855106

