

Individual submission  
Internet-Draft  
Updates: [7001](#) (if approved)  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: April 3, 2015

M. Kucherawy  
September 30, 2014

A Property Types Registry for the Authentication-Results Header Field  
draft-ietf-appsawg-authres-ptype-registry-04

## Abstract

This document updates [RFC7001](#) by creating a registry for property types in the Authentication-Results header field, used in email authentication work, rather than limiting participants to using the original, small set of fixed values.

## Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 3, 2015.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Internet-Draft      Authentication-Results Property Types      September 2014

Table of Contents

- [1. Introduction . . . . .](#) [3](#)
- [2. Updated 'ptype' Definition . . . . .](#) [3](#)
- [3. IANA Considerations . . . . .](#) [4](#)
- [4. Security Considerations . . . . .](#) [5](#)
- [5. Normative References . . . . .](#) [5](#)
- [Appendix A. Acknowledgements . . . . .](#) [5](#)

---

Internet-Draft      Authentication-Results Property Types      September 2014

## 1. Introduction

[RFC7001] defines the email Authentication-Results header field that presents the results of an authentication effort in a machine-readable format. The header field creates a place to collect the output from authentication processes that are disjoint from later processes that might use the output, such as analysis, filtering or sorting mechanisms.

The specification in that document enumerated a small set of types of properties that can be reported using this mechanism. There has emerged a desire to report types of properties about a message through this mechanism. Accordingly, this document updates the specification to allow for additional property types ("ptypes") beyond the original set, and creates a registry where new ones can be listed and their defining documents referenced.

## 2. Updated 'ptype' Definition

Advanced Backus Naur Form (ABNF) is defined in [[RFC5234](#)].

The ABNF in [Section 2.2 of \[RFC7001\]](#) is updated as follows:

```
ptype = Keyword
      ; indicates whether the property being evaluated was
      ; a parameter to an [SMTP] command, was a value taken
      ; from a message header field, was some property of
      ; the message body, or was some other property evaluated by
      ; the receiving Message Transfer Agent (MTA)
```

The ABNF token "Keyword" is defined in [Section 4.1.2 of \[RFC5321\]](#).

Legal values of "ptype" are as defined in the IANA "Email Authentication Property Types" registry (see [Section 3](#)). The initial values are as follows, matching those defined in [[RFC7001](#)]:

body: Indicates information that was extracted from the body of the message. This might be an arbitrary string of bytes, a hash of a string of bytes, a Uniform Resource Identifier, or some other content of interest.

header: Indicates information that was extracted from the header of the message. This might be the value of a header field or some portion of a header field.

policy: A local policy mechanism was applied that augments or overrides the result returned by the authentication mechanism. See [Section 2.3 of \[RFC7001\]](#).

smtp: Indicates information that was extracted from an SMTP command that was used to relay the message.

When a consumer of this header field encounters a ptype that it does not understand, it ignores the result reported with that ptype.

### 3. IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to create the Email Authentication Property Types sub-registry within the existing Email Authentication Parameters registry. Entries in this registry are subject to the Expert Review rules as described in [\[RFC5226\]](#). Each entry in the registry requires the following values:

- o The "ptype" token to be registered, which must fit within the ABNF described in [Section 2](#).
- o A brief description of what sort of information this "ptype" is meant to cover.
- o An optional reference to the defining document. This is recommended, but not required.

The initial entries in this table are as follows, taken from [\[RFC7001\]](#):

ptype	Definition	Description
body	<a href="#">RFC7001</a> <a href="#">Section 2.2</a>	The property being reported was found in the body of the message.
header	<a href="#">RFC7001</a> <a href="#">Section 2.2</a>	The property being reported was found in a header field of the message.
policy	<a href="#">RFC7001</a> <a href="#">Section 2.3</a>	The property being reported relates to a locally-defined policy.
smtp	<a href="#">RFC7001</a> <a href="#">Section 2.2</a>	The property being reported is a parameter to an SMTP command used to relay the message.

For new entries, the Designated Expert needs to assure that the

description provided for the new entry adequately describes the intended use. An example would be helpful to include in the entry's defining document, if any, although entries in the Email Authentication Methods registry or the Email Authentication Result Names registry might also serve as examples of intended use.

#### 4. Security Considerations

It is unknown how legacy code, which expects one of a fixed set of "ptype" tokens, will handle new tokens as they begin to appear. There are typically two options: prevent delivery of the message, or ignore those portions of the field that use unknown "ptype" tokens and allow processing of the message to continue.

The choice comes down to whether the consumer considers it a threat when there are unknown "ptypes" present. The semantics of the report are unknown; the report might be indicating the message is authentic, fraudulent, or that a test failed to complete. The report itself is not actionable because it cannot be understood, and only its presence is certain.

Generally, the advice in this situation is to ignore unknown

"ptypes". It is anticipated that a new property type evaluated by earlier handling agents would also result in the filtering of messages by those agents until consumers can be updated to interpret them.

## 5. Normative References

- [RFC5226] Narten, T. and H. Alvestrand, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", [BCP 26](#), [RFC 5226](#), May 2008.
- [RFC5234] Crocker, D. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", STD 68, [RFC 5234](#), January 2008.
- [RFC5321] Klensin, J., "Simple Mail Transfer Protocol", [RFC 5321](#), October 2008.
- [RFC7001] Kucherawy, M., "Message Header Field for Indicating Message Authentication Status", [RFC 7001](#), September 2013.

## Appendix A. Acknowledgements

The author wishes to acknowledge the following for their review and constructive criticism of this update: Dave Crocker, Tim Draegen, Scott Kitterman, Franck Martin.

### Author's Address

Murray S. Kucherawy  
270 Upland Drive  
San Francisco, CA 94127  
US

EMail: [superuser@gmail.com](mailto:superuser@gmail.com)

