

AVT
Even
Internet-Draft
Polycom
Expires: November 12, 2006
2006

R.

May 11,

**RTP Payload Format for H.263 using [RFC2190](#) to Historic status
draft-ietf-avt-rfc2190-to-historic-06.txt**

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with [Section 6 of BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on November 12, 2006.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2006).

Abstract

The first RFC that describes RTP payload format for H.263 is [RFC2190](#).

This specification discusses why to move this RFC to historic status.

Even
1]

Expires November 12, 2006

[Page

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	
3		
2.	Terminology	
4		
3.	Recommendation	
5		
4.	IANA Considerations	
6		
5.	Security Considerations	
7		
6.	Informative References	
7		
	Author's Address	
8		
9	Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements	

Even
2]

Expires November 12, 2006

[Page

1. Introduction

The ITU-T recommendation H.263 [[H263](#)] specifies the encoding used by ITU-T compliant video-conference codecs. The first version (version 1) was approved in 1996 by the ITU and a payload format for encapsulating this H.263 bitstream in the Real-Time Transport Protocol (RTP) is in [RFC-2190](#) [[RFC2190](#)]. In 1998 the ITU approved a new version of H.263 [[H263P](#)] that is also known as H.263 plus. This version added optional features and a new payload format is now in [RFC-2429](#) [[RFC2429](#)]. [RFC-2429](#) is capable of carrying encoded video bit streams that are using only the basic H.263 version 1 options.

[RFC-2429](#) [[RFC2429](#)] states that it does not replace [RFC-2190](#), which continues to be used by existing implementations, and may be required

for backward compatibility in new implementations. Implementations using the new features of the 1998 version of H.263 and later versions, shall use the format described in [RFC-2429](#).

[RFC-2429](#) is now being revised and will now include a language that will make it clear that all new implementations MUST use [RFC2429-bis](#) [[rfc2429bis](#)] for encoding of any version of H.263.

Even
3]

Expires November 12, 2006

[Page

2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119](#) [[RFC2119](#)] and indicate requirement levels for compliant RTP implementations.

Even
4]

Expires November 12, 2006

[Page

3. Recommendation

[RFC-2429](#) and the [rfc2429-bis](#) draft [[rfc2429bis](#)] can be used to carry new H.263 payload even if they are using only the features defined in the 1996 version. All the H.263 features that are part of the 1996 version are also part of the 1998 version and later versions.

It is recommended that [RFC-2190](#) will be moved to historic status and that as stated in draft [rfc2429-bis](#) [[rfc2429bis](#)] new implementations will use the revised draft [rfc2429bis](#) and the H263-1998 and H263-2000 MIME subtypes.

Even
5]

Expires November 12, 2006

[Page

4. IANA Considerations

There are no IANA considerations with this specification.

Even
6]

Expires November 12, 2006

[Page

5. Security Considerations

Security consideration for H263 video RTP payload can be found in the draft [rfc2429-bis](#) [[rfc2429bis](#)]. Using the payload specification in draft [rfc2429-bis](#) instead of [RFC2190](#) does not affect the security consideration since both of them refer to [RFC3550](#) [[RFC3550](#)] and [RFC3551](#) [[RFC3551](#)] for security considerations.

6. Informative References

- [H263] International Telecommunications Union, "Video coding for low bit rate communication", ITU Recommendation H.263, March 1996.
- [H263P] International Telecommunications Union, "Video coding for low bit rate communication", ITU Recommendation H.263, January 2005.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC2190] Zhu, C., "RTP Payload Format for H.263 Video Streams", [RFC 2190](#), September 1997.
- [RFC2429] Bormann, C., Cline, L., Deisher, G., Gardos, T., Maciocco, C., Newell, D., Ott, J., Sullivan, G., Wenger, S., and C. Zhu, "RTP Payload Format for the 1998 Version of ITU-T Rec. H.263 Video (H.263+)", [RFC 2429](#), October 1998.
- [RFC3550] Schulzrinne, H., Casner, S., Frederick, R., and V. Jacobson, "RTP: A Transport Protocol for Real-Time Applications", STD 64, [RFC 3550](#), July 2003.
- [RFC3551] Schulzrinne, H. and S. Casner, "RTP Profile for Audio and Video Conferences with Minimal Control", STD 65, [RFC 3551](#), July 2003.
- [rfc2429bis] Ott, J., Sullivan, G., Wenger, S., and R. Even, "[draft-ietf-avt-rfc2429-bis-07.txt](#)", December 2004.

Even
7]

Expires November 12, 2006

[Page

Internet-Draft
2006

[RFC2190](#) to Historic

May

Author's Address

Roni Even
Polycom
94 Derech Em Hamoshavot
Petach Tikva 49130
Israel

Email: roni.even@polycom.co.il

Even
8]

Expires November 12, 2006

[Page

Intellectual Property Statement

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights.

Information

on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

Disclaimer of Validity

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an

"AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS

OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2006). This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in [BCP 78](#), and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the

Internet Society.

Even
9]

Expires November 12, 2006

[Page