INTERNET-DRAFT draft-ietf-avt-rtcp-bw-01.txt

SDP Bandwidth Modifiers for RTCP Bandwidth

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of Section 10 of RFC2026.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet- Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

Abstract

This document defines an extension to the Session Description Protocol (SDP) to specify two additional modifiers for the bandwidth attribute. These modifiers may be used to specify the bandwidth allowed for RTCP packets in a Real-Time Transport Protocol (RTP) session.

1. Introduction

The Real-Time Transport Protocol (RTP), <u>RFC 1889</u> (under revision as <u>draft-ietf-avt-rtp-new</u> [1]), includes a control protocol RTCP which provides synchronization information from data senders and feedback information from data receivers.

Normally, the amount of bandwidth allocated to RTCP in an RTP session is 5% of the session bandwidth. For some applications, it may be appropriate to specify the RTCP bandwidth independently of the session bandwidth. Using a separate parameter allows rate-adaptive applications to set an RTCP bandwidth consistent with a "typical" data bandwidth that is lower than the maximum bandwidth specified by the session bandwidth parameter. That allows the RTCP bandwidth to be kept under 5% of the data bandwidth when the rate has been adapted downward.

The RTP specification allows a profile to specify that the RTCP bandwidth may be divided into two separate session parameters for those participants which are active data senders and those which are not. Using two parameters allows RTCP reception reports to be turned off entirely for a particular session by setting the RTCP bandwidth for non-data-senders to zero while keeping the RTCP bandwidth for data senders non-zero so that sender reports can still be sent for intermedia synchronization. This may be appropriate for systems operating on unidirectional links or for sessions that don't require feedback on the quality of reception.

This memo defines an extension to the Session Description Protocol (SDP), <u>RFC 2327</u> [2], to specify RTCP bandwidth for senders and nonsenders (receivers).

2. SDP Extensions

The Session Description Protocol includes an optional bandwidth attribute with the following syntax:

b=<modifier>:<bandwidth-value>

where <modifier> is a single alphanumeric word giving the meaning of the bandwidth figure, and <bandwidth-value> is in kilobits per second. This attribute specifies the proposed bandwidth to be used by the session or media.

A typical use is with the modifier "AS" (for Application Specific Maximum) which may be used to specify the total bandwidth for a single media stream from one site (source).

This memo defines two additional bandwidth modifiers:

[Page 2]

b=RS:<bandwidth-value>

b=RR:<bandwidth-value>

where "RS" indicates the RTCP bandwidth allocated to active data senders (as defined by the RTP spec) and "RR" indicates the RTCP bandwidth allocated to other participants in the RTP session (i.e., receivers).

The <bandwidth-value> for these modifiers is in units of bits per second with an integer value.

NOTE!!! This specification is in conflict with the SDP spec which prescribes that the <bandwidth-value> for all bandwidth modifiers should be an integer number of kilobits per second. This discrepancy is forced by the fact that the desired RTCP bandwidth setting may be less than 1 kb/s.

At the 44th IETF meeting in Minneapolis, two solutions were considered: allow fractional values, or specify that the units for these particular modifiers would be in bits per second. The second choice was preferred so that the syntax would not be changed. The SDP spec is to be modified to allow this change in semantics when it advances to Draft Standard.

3. Default values

If either or both of the RS and RR bandwidth specifiers are omitted, the default values for these parameters are as specified in the RTP profile in use for the session in question. For the Audio/Video Profile, RFC **1890** (under revision as <u>draft-ietf-avt-profile-new</u> [3]), the defaults follow the recommendations of the RTP spec:

- o The total RTCP bandwidth is 5% of the session bandwidth. If one of these RTCP bandwidth specifiers is omitted, its value is 5% minus the value of the other one. If both are omitted, the sender and receiver RTCP bandwidths are 1.25% and 3.75% of the session bandwidth, respectively.
- o At least 1/4 of of the RTCP bandwidth is dedicated to active data senders. When the proportion of senders is greater than 1/4 of the participants, the senders get their proportion of the sum of these parameters.

This memo does not impose limits on the values that may be specified with the RR and RS modifiers, other than that they must be non-negative. However, the RTP specification and the appropriate RTP profile may specify limits.

[Page 3]

4. Precedence

An SDP description consists of a session-level description (details that apply to the whole session and all media streams) and zero or more media-level descriptions (details that apply only to a single media stream). Bandwidth specifiers may be present either at the session level to specify the total bandwidth shared by all media, or in the media sections to specify the bandwidth allocated to each medium, or both. This is true for the two RTCP bandwidth modifiers defined here as well.

Since the bandwidth allocated to RTCP is a fraction of the session bandwidth when not specified explicitly using the modifiers defined here, there is an interaction between the session bandwidth and RTCP bandwidth specifiers at the session and media levels of the SDP description. The precedence of these specifiers is as follows:

1) Explicit RR or RS specifier at media level

- 2) Explicit RR or RS specifier at session level
- 3) Default based on session bandwidth specifier at media level

4) Default based on session bandwidth specifier at session level

In particular, the relationship of (2) and (3) means that if the RR bandwidth is specified as zero at the session level, that turns off RTCP transmission for non-data-senders in all media.

5. Example

An example SDP description is:

v=0 o=mhandley 2890844526 2890842807 IN IP4 126.16.64.4 s=SDP Seminar i=A Seminar on the session description protocol c=IN IP4 224.2.17.12/127 t=2873397496 2873404696 m=audio 49170 RTP/AVP 0 b=AS:64 b=RR:800 b=RS:2400 m=video 51372 RTP/AVP 31 b=AS:256 b=RR:800 b=RS:2400

[Page 4]

In this example the explicit RTCP bandwidths for the audio medium are equal to the defaults and so could be omitted. However, for the video medium the RTCP bandwidths have been set according to a data bandwidth of 64 kb/s even though the maximum data bandwidth is specified as 256 kb/s. This is based on the assumption that the video data bandwidth will automatically adapt to a lower value based on network conditions.

<u>6</u>. Registration with IANA

<u>RFC 2327</u> requires that new bandwidth modifiers be registered with IANA by reference to a standards-track RFC specifying the semantics of the bandwidth modifier precisely, indicating when it should be used, and why the existing registered bandwidth specifiers do not suffice.

This memo is intended to satisfy those requirements.

7. Security Considerations

This memo defines bandwidth modifier keywords as an extension to SDP, so the security considerations listed in the SDP specification apply to session descriptions containing these modifiers as with any other.

The bandwidth value supplied with one of these modifiers could be unreasonably large and cause the application to send RTCP packets at an excessive rate, resulting in a denial of service. This is similar to the risk that an unreasonable bandwidth could be specified for the media data, though encoders generally have a limited bandwidth range. Applications should apply validity checks to all parameters received in an SDP description, particular one which is not authenticated. This memo cannot specify limits because they are dependent on the RTP profile and application.

8. References

- [1] H. Schulzrinne, S. Casner, R. Frederick, and V. Jacobson, "RTP: A Transport Protocol for real-time applications," <u>RFC 1889</u>, January 1996, updated by <u>draft-ietf-avt-rtp-new</u> (Work in Progress).
- [2] M. Handley and V. Jacobson, "SDP: Session Description Protocol", <u>RFC 2327</u>, April 1998.
- [3] H. Schulzrinne, S. Casner, "RTP profile for audio and video conferences with minimal control", <u>RFC 1890</u>, January 1996, updated by <u>draft-ietf-avt-profile-new</u> (Work in Progress).

[Page 5]

9. Author's Address

Stephen L. Casner Cisco Systems, Inc. 170 West Tasman Drive San Jose, CA 95134-1706 Phone: +1 408 525 4782 Email: casner@cisco.com