

avtcore  
Internet-Draft  
Updates: [3551](#) (if approved)  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: September 13, 2013

T.B. Terriberry  
Mozilla Corporation  
March 12, 2013

**Update to Recommended Codecs for the RTP Profile for Audio and Video  
Conferences with Minimal Control (RTP/AVP)  
draft-ietf-avtcore-avp-codecs-01**

Abstract

[RFC3551] defines the AVP RTP profile, which is the basis for many other profiles, such as SAVP [[RFC3711](#)], AVPF [[RFC4585](#)], and SAVPF [[RFC5124](#)]. This document updates [[RFC3551](#)] (and by extension, the profiles that build upon it) to reflect changes in audio codec usage since the document was originally published.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 13, 2013.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2013 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in [Section 4](#).e of

the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	Introduction . . . . .	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	Terminology . . . . .	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	Updates to <a href="#">RFC 3551</a> . . . . .	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">3.1.</a>	Updates to <a href="#">Section 6</a> . . . . .	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	Security Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	IANA Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	Acknowledgments . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	References . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">7.1.</a>	Normative References . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">7.2.</a>	Informative References . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
	Author's Address . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>

## [1.](#) Introduction

[RFC3551] says that audio applications operating under the AVP profile SHOULD be able to send and receive PCMU and DVI4. However, in practice, many RTP deployments do not support DVI4, and its utility is limited in the presence of much more modern codecs. This document updates the recommended audio codec selection for the AVP profile to remove the SHOULD for DVI4.

## [2.](#) Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

## [3.](#) Updates to [RFC 3551](#)

The text of [[RFC3551](#)] is hereby updated as set forth below.

### [3.1.](#) Updates to [Section 6](#)

In the final paragraph of [Section 6](#), replace, "payload types 0 (PCMU) and 5 (DVI4)," with "payload type 0 (PCMU)." Also, add a final sentence to this paragraph that states, "Some environments MAY make support for PCMU mandatory."

## [4.](#) Security Considerations

This document does not introduce any new security considerations for [[RFC3551](#)].

Terriberry

Expires September 13, 2013

[Page 2]

## **5. IANA Considerations**

This document has no actions for IANA.

## **6. Acknowledgments**

Thanks to Colin Perkins for suggesting this update.

## **7. References**

### **7.1. Normative References**

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC3551] Schulzrinne, H. and S. Casner, "RTP Profile for Audio and Video Conferences with Minimal Control", STD 65, [RFC 3551](#), July 2003.

### **7.2. Informative References**

- [RFC3711] Baugher, M., McGrew, D., Naslund, M., Carrara, E., and K. Norrman, "The Secure Real-time Transport Protocol (SRTP)", [RFC 3711](#), March 2004.
- [RFC4585] Ott, J., Wenger, S., Sato, N., Burmeister, C., and J. Rey, "Extended RTP Profile for Real-time Transport Control Protocol (RTCP)-Based Feedback (RTP/AVPF)", [RFC 4585](#), July 2006.
- [RFC5124] Ott, J. and E. Carrara, "Extended Secure RTP Profile for Real-time Transport Control Protocol (RTCP)-Based Feedback (RTP/SAVPF)", [RFC 5124](#), February 2008.

#### Author's Address

Timothy B. Terriberry  
Mozilla Corporation  
650 Castro Street  
Mountain View, CA 94041  
USA

Phone: +1 650 903-0800  
Email: tterriberry@xiph.org

