Network Working Group Internet Draft

Intended Status: Standards Track

Expires: August 08, 2013

D. McGrew Cisco Systems, Inc. K. Igoe National Security Agency February 04, 2013

# AES-GCM and AES-CCM Authenticated Encryption in Secure RTP (SRTP) draft-ietf-avtcore-srtp-aes-gcm-04

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of  $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$  78 and  $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$  79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 08, 2013.

#### Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2013 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to <a href="BCP-78">BCP 78</a> and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<a href="http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info">http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</a>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

# Abstract

This document defines how AES-GCM and AES-CCM Authenticated Encryption with Associated Data algorithms can be used to provide confidentiality and data authentication in the SRTP protocol.

# Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	<u>Introduction</u>
<u>2</u> .	<del>-</del>
<u>3</u> .	Overview of the SRTP/SRTCP Security Architecture4
<u>4</u> .	Terminology
<u>5</u> .	Generic AEAD Processing <u>5</u>
	<u>5.1</u> . Types of Input Data <u>5</u>
	<u>5.2</u> . AEAD Invocation Inputs and Outputs <u>5</u>
	<u>5.2.1</u> . Encrypt Mode <u>5</u>
	<u>5.2.2</u> . Decrypt Mode <u>6</u>
	<u>5.3</u> . Handling of AEAD Authentication <u>6</u>
	Counter Mode Encryption <u>7</u>
	AEAD_AES_128_CCM_12 and AEAD_AES_256_CCM_128
<u>8</u> .	Unneeded SRTP/SRTCP Fields8
	<u>8.1</u> . SRTP/SRTCP Authentication Field8
	<u>8.2</u> . RTP Padding <u>9</u>
<u>9</u> .	AES-GCM/CCM processing for SRTP9
	9.1. SRTP IV formation for AES-GCM and AES-CCM9
	9.2. Data Types in SRTP Packets9
	$\underline{9.3}$ . Prevention of SRTP IV Reuse
<u>10</u>	. AES-GCM/CCM Processing of SRTCP Compound Packets <u>11</u>
	10.1. SRTCP IV formation for AES-GCM and AES-CCM11
	10.2. Data Types in Encrypted SRTCP Compound Packets12
	10.3. Data Types in Unencrypted SRTCP Compound Packets
	<u>10.4</u> . Prevention of SRTCP IV Reuse <u>14</u>
<u>11</u>	. Constraints on AEAD for SRTP and SRTCP <u>14</u>
	11.1. Generic AEAD Parameter Constraints
	<u>11.2</u> . AES-GCM for SRTP/SRTCP <u>15</u>
	<u>11.3</u> . AES-CCM for SRTP/SRTCP
	. Key Derivation Functions <u>16</u>
<u>13</u>	. Security Considerations <u>17</u>
	13.1. Handling of Security Critical Parameters
	13.2. Size of the Authentication Tag17
<u>14</u>	. IANA Considerations <u>18</u>
	<u>14.1</u> . SDES <u>18</u>
	<u>14.2</u> . DTLS <u>19</u>
	<u>14.3</u> . MIKEY
	<u>14.4</u> . AEAD registry <u>22</u>
	. Parameters for use with MIKEY
<u>16</u>	. Acknowledgements

<u>17</u>	<u>17.1</u> .	ences Normative R Informative	eference	s			 	 			<u>24</u>
	<u> </u>	1111 01 1110 1210	Kereren				 	 			<u>20</u>
Igoe	and McG	Grew		Standard	ls Trac	:k			[	Pag	e 2]

#### 1. Introduction

The Secure Real-time Transport Protocol (SRTP) [RFC3711] is a profile of the Real-time Transport Protocol (RTP) [RFC3550], which can provide confidentiality, message authentication, and replay protection to the RTP traffic and to the control traffic for RTP, the Real-time Transport Control Protocol (RTCP). It is important to note that the outgoing SRTP packets from a single endpoint may be originating from several independent data sources.

Authenticated encryption [BN00] is a form of encryption that, in addition to providing confidentiality for the plaintext that is encrypted, provides a way to check its integrity and authenticity. Authenticated Encryption with Associated Data, or AEAD [R02], adds the ability to check the integrity and authenticity of some Associated Data (AD), also called "additional authenticated data", that is not encrypted. This specification makes use of the interface to a generic AEAD algorithm as defined in [RFC5116].

The Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) is a block cipher that provides a high level of security, and can accept different key sizes. Two families of AEAD algorithm families, AES Galois/Counter Mode (AES-GCM) [GCM] and AES Counter with Cipher Block Chaining-Message Authentication Code (AES-CCM) [RFC3610], are based upon AES. This specification makes use of the AES versions that use 128-bit and 256-bit keys, which we call AES-128 and AES-256, respectively.

The Galois/Counter Mode of operation (GCM) and the Counter with Cipher Block Chaining-Message Authentication Code mode of operation (CCM) are both AEAD modes of operation for block ciphers. Both use counter mode to encrypt the data, an operation that can be efficiently pipelined. Further, GCM authentication uses operations that are particularly well suited to efficient implementation in hardware, making it especially appealing for high-speed implementations, or for implementations in an efficient and compact circuit. CCM is well suited for use in compact software implementations. This specification uses GCM and CCM with both AES-128 and AES-256.

In summary, this document defines how to use AEAD algorithms, particularly AES-GCM and AES-CCM, to provide confidentiality and message authentication within SRTP and SRTCP packets.

#### 2. Conventions Used In This Document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT",

"SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

Igoe and McGrew Standards Track [Page 3]

### 3. Overview of the SRTP/SRTCP Security Architecture

SRTP/SRTCP security is based upon the following principles:

- a) Both privacy and authentication are based upon the use of symmetric algorithms. An AEAD algorithm such as AES-CCM or AES-GCM combines privacy and authentication into a single process.
- b) A secret master key is shared by all participating endpoints, both those originating SRTP/SRTCP packets and those receiving these packets. Any given master key MAY be used simultaneously by several endpoints to originate SRTP/SRTCP packets (as well one or more endpoints using this master key to process inbound data).
- c) A Key Derivation Function is applied to the shared master key value to form separate encryption keys, authentication keys and salting keys for SRTP and for SRTCP (a total of six keys). This process is described in sections 4.3.1 and 4.3.3 of [RFC3711]. Since AEAD algorithms such as AES-CCM and AES-GCM combine encryption and authentication into a single process, AEAD algorithms do not make use of the authentication keys. The master key MUST be at least as large as the encryption key derived from it.
- d) Each time an instantiation of AES-GCM or AES-CCM is invoked to encrypt and authenticate an SRTP or SRTCP data packet a new IV is used. SRTP combines the 4-octet synchronization source (SSRC) identifier, the 4-octet rollover counter (ROC), and the 2-octet sequence number(SEQ) with the 12-octet encryption salt to form a 12-octet IV (see <a href="section 9.1">section 9.1</a>). SRTCP combines the SSRC and 31-bit SRTCP index with the encryption salt to form a 12-octet IV (see <a href="section 10.1">section 10.1</a>).

# 4. Terminology

The following terms have very specific meanings in the context of this RFC:

Crypto Context: For the purposes of this document, a crypto context is the outcome of any process which results in authentication of each endpoint in the SRTP session and possession by each endpoint of a shared secret master key. Various encryption keys, authentication keys and salts are derived from the master key. Aside from making

modifications to IANA registries to allow AES-GCM and AES-CCM to work with SDES, DTLS and MIKEY, the details of how the master key is established

Igoe and McGrew Standards Track [Page 4]

are outside the scope of this document.

Similarly any mechanism for rekeying an existing

Cipher Context is outside the scope of the

document.

Instantiation: In AEAD, an instantiation is an (Encryption\_key,

salt) pair together with all of the data

structures (for example, counters) needed for it

to function properly. In SRTP/SRTCP, each

endpoint will need two instantiations of the AEAD algorithm for each master key in its possession,

one instantiation for SRTP traffic and one

instantiation for SRTCP traffic.

Invocation: SRTP/SRTCP data streams are broken into packets.

Each packet is processed by a single invocation of the appropriate instantiation of the AEAD

algorithm.

In many applications, each endpoint will have one master key for processing outbound data but may have one or more separate master keys for processing inbound data.

# 5. Generic AEAD Processing

# **5.1**. Types of Input Data

Associated Data: This is data that is to be authenticated

but not encrypted.

Plaintext: Data that is to be both encrypted and

authenticated.

Raw Data: Data that is to be neither encrypted nor

authenticated.

Which portions of SRTP/SRTCP packets that are to be treated as associated data, which are to be treated as plaintext, and which are to be treated as raw data are covered in sections 9.2, 10.2 and 10.3.

# 5.2. AEAD Invocation Inputs and Outputs

# 5.2.1. Encrypt Mode

Inputs:

Encryption\_key Octet string, either 16 or 32

Igoe and McGrew Standards Track [Page 5]

octets long

Initialization\_Vector Octet string, 12 octets long
Associated\_Data Bit string of variable length
Plaintext Bit string of variable length

Tag\_Size\_Flag (CCM only\*) One Octet

**Outputs** 

Ciphertext Bit string, length =

length(Plaintext)+tag\_length

(\*) For GCM, the algorithm choice determines the tag size.

AES-CCM uses a Tag\_Size\_Flag that has the hex value 5A if an 8-octet authentication tag is used, 6A if a 12-octet authentication tag is used, and 7A if a 16-octet authentication tag is used. This flag refers to the size of the intrinsic authentication tag provided by the AEAD algorithm.

# 5.2.2. Decrypt Mode

Inputs:

Encryption\_key Octet string, either 16 or 32

Octets long

Initialization\_Vector Octet string, 12 octets long
Associated\_Data Octet string of variable length
Ciphertext Octet string of variable length

Tag\_Size\_Flag (CCM only\*) One octet

**Outputs** 

Plaintext Bit string, length =

length(Ciphertext)-tag\_length

Validity\_Flag Boolean, TRUE if valid,

FALSE otherwise

(\*) For GCM, the algorithm choice determines the tag size.

The Tag\_Size\_Flag, used in AES-CCM authentication, has the hex value 5A if an 8-octet authentication tag is used, 6A if a 12-octet authentication tag is used, and 7A if a 16-octet authentication tag is used. This flag refers to the size of the intrinsic authentication tag provided by the AEAD algorithm.

# 5.3. Handling of AEAD Authentication

AEAD requires that all incoming packets MUST pass AEAD authentication before any other action takes place. Plaintext and associated data

MUST NOT be released until the AEAD authentication tag has been validated. Further, when GCM is being used, the ciphertext MUST NOT be decrypted until the AEAD tag has been validated.

Igoe and McGrew

Standards Track

[Page 6]

Should the AEAD tag prove to be invalid, the packet in question is to be discarded and a Validation Error flag raised. Local policy determines how this flag is to be handled and is outside the scope of this document.

# 6. Counter Mode Encryption

In both GCM and CCM, each outbound packet uses a 12-octet IV and an encryption key to form two outputs, a 16-octet first\_key\_block which is used in forming the authentication tag and a keystream of octets which is XORed to the plaintext to form cipher.

When GCM is used, the concatenation of a 12-octet IV (see sections 9.1 and 10.1)with a 4-octet block counter forms the input to AES. This is used to build a key\_stream as follows:

In AES-CCM counter mode encryption, the AES data input consists of the concatenation of a 1-octet flag,, a 12-octet IV, and a 3-octet block counter. Note that in this application the flag octet will always have the value 0x02 (see <a href="mailto:section 2.3 of [RFC3610]">section 2.3 of [RFC3610]</a>). A (first\_key\_block, keystream) pair is formed as follows:

Igoe and McGrew

Standards Track

[Page 7]

```
key_stream = key_stream || key_block
key_stream = truncate( key_stream, len(Plaintext) )
return (first_key_block, key_stream )
```

These keystream generation processes allows for a keystream of length of up to  $(2^24)$ -16 octets for AES-CCM and up to  $(2^36)$ -32 octets for AES-GCM.

With any counter mode, if the same (IV, Encryption\_key) pair is used twice, precisely the same keystream is formed. As explained in <a href="mailto:section\_9.1">section\_9.1</a> of RFC 3711, this is a cryptographic disaster. For AES-GCM, the consequences of such a reuse are even worse than explained in <a href="mailto:RFC\_3711">RFC\_3711</a> because it would completely compromise the AES-GCM authentication mechanism.

#### 7. AEAD\_AES\_128\_CCM\_12 and AEAD\_AES\_256\_CCM\_12

AEAD\_AES\_128\_CCM and AEAD\_AES\_256\_CCM are defined in [RFC5116] with an authentication tag length of 16-octets. AEAD\_AES\_128\_CCM\_8 and AEAD\_AES\_256\_CCM\_8 are defined in [RFC6655] with an authentication tag length of 8-octets. We require two new variants, AEAD\_AES\_128\_CCM\_12 and AEAD\_AES\_256\_CCM\_12, with 12-octet authentication tags. In each case the authentication tag is formed by taking the 12 most significant octets (in network order) of the AEAD\_AES\_128/256\_CCM authentication tag:

+:		+=	====		+=	====	=====	====	+
	Name		Key	Size		tag	size	(t)	
+:	=======================================	+=	====		+=	====	=====	-===	+
	AEAD_AES_256_CCM_12		256	bits		12	octets	3	
	AEAD_AES_128_CCM_12		128	bits		12	octets	3	
+:		+=	====		+=	====	=====	====	+

# 8. Unneeded SRTP/SRTCP Fields

AEAD counter mode encryption removes the need for certain existing SRTP/SRTCP mechanisms.

# 8.1. SRTP/SRTCP Authentication Field

The AEAD message authentication mechanism MUST be the primary message authentication mechanism for AEAD SRTP/SRTCP. Additional SRTP/SRTCP authentication mechanisms SHOULD NOT be used with any AEAD algorithm and the optional SRTP/SRTCP Authentication Tags are NOT RECOMMENDED and SHOULD NOT be present. Note that this contradicts <a href="section 3.4 of RFC3711">section 3.4 of RFC3711</a>] which makes the use of the SRTCP Authentication field

mandatory, but the presence of the AEAD authentication renders the older authentication methods redundant.

Igoe and McGrew Standards Track [Page 8]

Rationale. Some applications use the SRTP/SRTCP Authentication Tag as a means of conveying additional information, notably [RFC4771]. This document retains the Authentication Tag field primarily to preserve compatibility with these applications.

#### **8.2**. RTP Padding

Neither AES-GCM not AES-CCM requires that the data be padded out to a specific block size, reducing the need to use the padding mechanism provided by RTP. It is RECOMMENDED that the RTP padding mechanism not be used unless it is necessary to disguise the length of the underlying plaintext.

#### 9. AES-GCM/CCM processing for SRTP

#### 9.1. SRTP IV formation for AES-GCM and AES-CCM

The 12 octet initialization vector used by both AES-GCM and AES-CCM SRTP is formed by first concatenating 2-octets of zeroes, the 4-octet SSRC, the 4-octer Rollover Counter (ROC) and the two octet sequence number SEQ. The resulting 12-octet value is then XORed to the 12-octet salt to form the 12-octet IV.




Figure 1: AES-GCM and AES-CCM SRTP Initialization Vector formation.

#### 9.2. Data Types in SRTP Packets

All SRTP packets MUST be both authenticated and encrypted. The data fields within the SRTP packets are broken into Associated Data, Plaintext and Raw Data as follows (see figure 2):

Associated Data: The version (2 bits), padding flag (1 bit), extension flag (1 bit), CSRC count (4 bits),

Igoe and McGrew Standards Track [Page 9]

Plaintext:

sequence number (16 bits), timestamp (32 bits), SSRC (32 bits), optional contributing source identifiers (CSRCs, 32 bits each), and optional RTP extension (variable length). The RTP payload (variable length), RTP padding

(if used, variable length), and RTP pad count ( if used, 1 octet).

Raw Data: The optional 32-bit SRTP MKI and the 32-bit SRTP authentication tag (whose use is NOT RECOMMENDED).

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 A |V=2|P|X| CC |M| Packet Type | sequence number timestamp synchronization source (SSRC) identifier contributing source (CSRC) identifiers (optional) RTP extension (OPTIONAL) A | P | payload ... Р Р | RTP padding | RTP pad count | SRTP MKI (optional) authentication tag (NOT RECOMMENDED) 

> P = Plaintext (to be encrypted and authenticated) A = Associated Data (to be authenticated only) R = neither encrypted nor authenticated

Note: The RTP padding and RTP padding count fields are optional and are not recommended

Figure 2: AEAD inputs from an SRTP packet

Since the AEAD cipher is larger than the plaintext by exactly the length of the AEAD authentication tag, the corresponding SRTP encrypted packet replaces the plaintext field by a slightly larger field containing the cipher. Even if the plaintext field is empty, AEAD encryption must still be performed, with the resulting cipher consisting solely of the authentication tag. This tag is to be

Igoe and McGrew

Standards Track

[Page 10]

placed immediately before the optional SRTP MKI and SRTP authentication tag fields.

#### 9.3. Prevention of SRTP IV Reuse

In order to prevent IV reuse, we must ensure that the (ROC, SEQ, SSRC) triple is never used twice with the same master key. There are two phases to this issue.

Counter Management: A rekey MUST be performed to establish a new

master key before the (ROC, SEQ) pair cycles

back to its original value.

SSRC Management:

For a given master key, the set of all SSRC values used with that master key must be partitioned into disjoint pools, one pool for each endpoint using that master key to originate outbound data. Each such originating endpoint MUST only issue SSRC values from the pool it has been assigned. Further, each originating endpoint MUST maintain a history of outbound SSRC identifiers that it has issued within the lifetime of the current master key, and when a new synchronization source requests an SSRC identifier it MUST NOT be given an identifier that has been previously issued. rekey MUST be performed before any of the originating endpoints using that master key exhausts its pool of SSRC values.

#### 10. AES-GCM/CCM Processing of SRTCP Compound Packets

All SRTCP compound packets MUST be authenticated, but unlike SRTP, SRTCP packet encryption is optional. A sender can select which packets to encrypt, and indicates this choice with a 1-bit encryption flag (located just before the 31-bit SRTCP index)

# 10.1. SRTCP IV formation for AES-GCM and AES-CCM

The 12 octet initialization vector used by both AES-GCM and AES-CCM SRTCP is formed by first concatenating 2-octets of zeroes, the 4-octet Synchronization Source identifier (SSRC), 2-octets of zeroes, a single zero bit, and the 31-bit SRTCP Index. The resulting 12-octet value is then XORed to the 12-octet salt to form the 12-octet IV.

Igoe and McGrew Standards Track [Page 11]




Figure 3: SRTCP Initialization Vector formation

#### <u>10.2</u>. Data Types in Encrypted SRTCP Compound Packets

When the encryption flag is set to 1, the SRTCP packet is broken into plaintext, associated data, and raw (untouched) data as listed below (see figure 4):

Associated Data: The packet version (2 bits), padding flag (1

bit), reception report count (5 bits), packet type (8 bits), length (2 octets), SSRC (4

octets), encryption flag (1 bit) and SRTCP index

(31 bits).

Raw Data: The 32-bit optional SRTCP MKI index and 32-bit

SRTCP authentication tag (whose use is NOT

RECOMMENDED).

Plaintext: All other data.

Note that the plaintext comes in one contiguous field. Since the AEAD cipher is larger than the plaintext by exactly the length of the AEAD authentication tag, the corresponding SRTCP encrypted packet replaces the plaintext field with a slightly larger field containing the cipher. Even if the plaintext field is empty, AEAD encryption must still be performed, with the resulting cipher consisting solely of the authentication tag. This tag is to be placed immediately before the encryption flag and SRTCP index.

Igoe and McGrew Standards Track [Page 12]




Figure 4: AEAD SRTCP inputs when encryption flag = 1.

# 10.3. Data Types in Unencrypted SRTCP Compound Packets

When the encryption flag is set to 0, the SRTCP compound packet is broken into plaintext, associated data, and raw (untouched) data as follows (see figure 5):

Plaintext: None.

The 32-bit optional SRTCP MKI index and 32-bit Raw Data:

SRTCP authentication tag (whose use is NOT

RECOMMENDED).

Associated Data: All other data.

Even though there is no plaintext in this RTCP packet, AEAD encryption returns a cipher field which is precisely the length of

Igoe and McGrew Standards Track [Page 13]

the AEAD authentication tag. This cipher is to be placed before the Encryption flag and the SRTCP index in the authenticated SRTCP packet.




Figure 5: AEAD SRTCP inputs when encryption flag = 0

#### 10.4. Prevention of SRTCP IV Reuse

A new master key MUST be established before the 31-bit SRTCP index cycles back to its original value. Ideally, a rekey performed should be performed and a new master key put in place well before the SRTCP index overflows.

The comments on SSRC management in <u>section 9.3</u> also apply.

# $\underline{\textbf{11}}$ . Constraints on AEAD for SRTP and SRTCP

In general, any AEAD algorithm can accept inputs with varying

Igoe and McGrew Standards Track [Page 14]

lengths, but each algorithm can accept only a limited range of lengths for a specific parameter. In this section, we describe the constraints on the parameter lengths that any AEAD algorithm must support to be used in AEAD-SRTP. Additionally, we specify a complete parameter set for two specific AEAD algorithms, namely AES-GCM and AES-CCM.

#### 11.1. Generic AEAD Parameter Constraints

All AEAD algorithms used with SRTP/SRTCP MUST satisfy the three constraints listed below:

PARAMETER	Meaning	Value
A_MAX	maximum additional authenticated data length	MUST be at least 12 octets.
N_MIN	minimum nonce (IV) length	MUST be 12 octets.
N_MAX	maximum nonce (IV) length	MUST be 12 octets.
C_MAX	maximum ciphertext	GCM: MUST be at most 2^36-16 octets.
	length per invocation	CCM: MUST be at most 2^24+16 octets

The values for C\_MAX are based on purely cryptographic considerations.

For sake of clarity we specify two additional parameters:

AEAD Authentication Tag Length	MUST be either 8, 12, or 16
	octets
Maximum number of invocations	MUST be at most 2^48 for SRTP
for a given instantiation	MUST be at most 2^31 for SRTCP
Block Counter size	MUST be 24 bits for CCM,
	MUST be 32 bits for GCM

The reader is reminded that the ciphertext is longer than the plaintext by exactly the length of the AEAD authentication tag.

# 11.2. AES-GCM for SRTP/SRTCP

AES-GCM is a family of AEAD algorithms built around the AES block cipher algorithm. AES-GCM uses AES counter mode for encryption and Galois Message Authentication Code (GMAC) for authentication. A detailed description of the AES-GCM family can be found in [RFC5116]. The following members of the AES-GCM family may be used with SRTP/SRTCP:

Table 1: AES-GCM algorithms for SRTP/SRTCP
Name Key Size AEAD Tag Size Reference

Igoe and McGrew Standards Track [Page 15]

AEAD_AES_128_GCM	16 octets	16 octets	[ <u>RFC5116</u> ]
AEAD_AES_256_GCM	32 octets	16 octets	[ <u>RFC5116</u> ]
AEAD_AES_128_GCM_8	16 octets	8 octets	[ <u>RFC5282</u> ]
AEAD_AES_256_GCM_8	32 octets	8 octets	[ <u>RFC5282</u> ]
AEAD_AES_128_GCM_12	16 octets	12 octets	[ <u>RFC5282</u> ]
AEAD_AES_256_GCM_12	32 octets	12 octets	[ <u>RFC5282</u> ]

Any implementation of AES-GCM SRTP SHOULD support both AEAD\_AES\_128\_GCM\_8 and AEAD\_AES\_256\_GCM\_8, and it MAY support the four other variants shown in table 1.

#### 11.3. AES-CCM for SRTP/SRTCP

AES-CCM is another family of AEAD algorithms built around the AES block cipher algorithm. AES-CCM uses AES counter mode for encryption and AES Cipher Block Chaining Message Authentication Code (CBC MAC) for authentication. A detailed description of the AES-CCM family can be found in [RFC5116]. Four of the six CCM algorithms used in this document are defined in previous RFCs, while two, AEAD\_AES\_128\_CCM\_12 and AEAD\_AES\_256\_CCM\_12, are defined in section 7 of this document.

Table 2: AES-CCM algorithms for SRTP/SRTCP

Name	Key Size	AEAD Tag Size	Reference
=======================================	========		
AEAD_AES_128_CCM	128 bits	16 octets	[RFC5116]
AEAD_AES_256_CCM	256 bits	16 octets	[RFC5116]
AEAD_AES_128_CCM_12	128 bits	12 octets	see <u>section 7</u>
AEAD_AES_256_CCM_12	256 bits	12 octets	see <u>section 7</u>
AEAD_AES_128_CCM_8	128 bits	8 octets	[RFC6655]
AEAD_AES_256_CCM_8	256 bits	8 octets	[RFC6655]

Any implementation of AES-CCM SRTP/SRTCP SHOULD support both AEAD\_AES\_128\_CCM and AEAD\_AES\_256\_CCM.

In addition to the flag octet used in counter mode encryption, AES-CCM authentications also uses a flag octet that conveys information about the length of the authentication tag, length of the block counter, and presence of additional authenticated data (see section 2.2 of [RFC 3610]). For AES-CCM in SRTP/SRTCP, the flag octet has the hex value 5A if an 8-octet AEAD authentication tag is used, 6A if a 12-octet AEAD authentication tag is used, and 7A if a 16-octet AEAD authentication tag is used. The flag octet is one of the inputs to AES during the counter mode encryption of the plaintext.

#### 12. Key Derivation Functions

A Key Derivation Function (KDF) is used to derive all of the required encryption and authentication keys from a secret value shared by the

Igoe and McGrew

Standards Track

[Page 16]

endpoints. Both the AEAD\_AES\_128\_GCM algorithms and the AEAD\_AES\_128\_CCM algorithms MUST use the (128-bit) AES\_CM\_PRF Key Derivation Function described in [RFC3711]. Both the AEAD\_AES\_256\_GCM algorithms and the AEAD\_AES\_256\_CCM algorithms MUST use the AES\_256\_CM\_PRF Key Derivation Function described in [RFC 6188].

#### 13. Security Considerations

#### 13.1. Handling of Security Critical Parameters

As with any security process, the implementer must take care to ensure cryptographically sensitive parameters are properly handled. Many of these recommendations hold for all SRTP cryptographic algorithms, but we include them here to emphasize their importance.

- If the master salt is to be kept secret, it MUST be properly erased when no longer needed.
- The secret master key and all keys derived from it MUST be kept secret. All keys MUST be properly erased when no longer needed.
- At the start of each packet, the block counter MUST be reset (to 0 for CCM, to 1 for GCM). The block counter is incremented after each block key has been produced, but it MUST NOT be allowed to exceed 2^32 for GCM and 2^24 for CCM.
- Each time a rekey occurs, the initial values of the SRTCP index and the values of all the SEQ counters MUST be saved.
- Processing MUST cease if the 48-bit Packet Counter or the 31-bit SRTCP index cycles back to its initial value. Processing MUST NOT resume until a new SRTP/SRTCP session has been established using a new SRTP master key. Ideally, a rekey should be done well before either of these counters cycle.

#### 13.2. Size of the Authentication Tag

We require that the AEAD authentication tag must be at least 8 octets, significantly reducing the probability of an adversary successfully introducing fraudulent data. The goal of an authentication tag is to minimize the probability of a successful forgery occurring anywhere in the network we are attempting to defend. There are three relevant factors: how low we wish the probability of successful forgery to be (prob\_success), how many attempts the adversary can make (N\_tries) and the size of the authentication tag in bits (N\_tag\_bits). Then

# = N\_tries \* 2^-N\_tag\_bits.

Suppose an adversary wishes to introduce a forged or altered packet into a target network by randomly selecting an authentication value

Igoe and McGrew

Standards Track

[Page 17]

until by chance they hit a valid authentication tag. The table below summarizes the relationship between the number of forged packets the adversary has tried, the size of the authentication tag, and the probability of a compromise occurring (i.e. at least one of the attempted forgeries having a valid authentication tag). The reader is reminded that the forgery attempts can be made over the entire network, not just a single link, and that frequently changing the key does not decrease the probability of a compromise occurring.

+===========	:+============+
Authentication	Probability of a Compromise Occurring
Tag	for a given number of forgery attempts
Size	
(octets)	prob=2^-30   prob=2^-20   prob=2^-10
======================================	-+
4	2^2 tries   2^12 tries   2^22 tries
=====================================	=+========+===========================
0 	2/34 tiles
12	2^66 tries   2^76 tries   2^86 tries
======================================	-+========+============================
16	2^98 tries   2^108 tries   2^118 tries
+======================================	+=======+=====+

Table 3: Probability of a compromise occurring for a given number of forgery attempts and tag size.

#### 14. IANA Considerations

#### **14.1**. SDES

Security description [RFC 4568] defines SRTP "crypto suites"; a crypto suite corresponds to a particular AEAD algorithm in SRTP. In order to allow SDP to signal the use of the algorithms defined in this document, IANA will register the following crypto suites into the subregistry for SRTP crypto suites under the SRTP transport of the SDP Security Descriptions:

Igoe and McGrew

Standards Track

[Page 18]

## 14.2. DTLS

DTLS-SRTP [RFC5764] defines a DTLS-SRTP "SRTP Protection Profile"; it also corresponds to the use of an AEAD algorithm in SRTP. In order to allow the use of the algorithms defined in this document in DTLS-SRTP, we request IANA register the following SRTP Protection Profiles:

## AEAD\_AES\_128\_CCM

cipher: AES\_128\_CCM
cipher\_key\_length: 128 bits
cipher\_salt\_length: 96 bits
aead\_auth\_tag\_length: 16 octets
auth\_function: NULL
auth\_key\_length: N/A

maximum lifetime: at most 2^31 SRTCP packets and at most 2^48 SRTP packets

N/A

## AEAD\_AES\_256\_CCM

auth\_tag\_length:

cipher: AES\_256\_CCM
cipher\_key\_length: 256 bits
cipher\_salt\_length: 96 bits
aead\_auth\_tag\_length: 16 octets
auth\_function: NULL
auth\_key\_length: N/A
auth\_tag\_length: N/A

maximum lifetime: at most 2^31 SRTCP packets and at most 2^48 SRTP packets

# AEAD\_AES\_128\_CCM\_8

cipher: AES\_128\_CCM
cipher\_key\_length: 128 bits
cipher\_salt\_length: 96 bits
aead\_auth\_tag\_length: 8 octets
auth\_function: NULL
auth\_key\_length: N/A
auth\_tag\_length: N/A

maximum lifetime: at most 2^31 SRTCP packets and at most 2^48 SRTP packets

#### AEAD\_AES\_256\_CCM\_8

cipher: AES\_256\_CCM
cipher\_key\_length: 256 bits
cipher\_salt\_length: 96 bits
aead\_auth\_tag\_length: 8 octets
auth\_function: NULL
auth\_key\_length: N/A

auth\_tag\_length: N/A
maximum lifetime: at most 2^31 SRTCP packets and
at most 2^48 SRTP packets

at most 2^48 SRTP packets

Igoe and McGrew Standards Track [Page 19] AEAD\_AES\_128\_CCM\_12

cipher: AES\_128\_CCM
cipher\_key\_length: 128 bits
cipher\_salt\_length: 96 bits
aead\_auth\_tag\_length: 12 octets
auth\_function: NULL
auth\_key\_length: N/A
auth\_tag\_length: N/A

maximum lifetime: at most 2^31 SRTCP packets and at most 2^48 SRTP packets

AEAD\_AES\_256\_CCM\_12

cipher: AES\_256\_CCM
cipher\_key\_length: 256 bits
cipher\_salt\_length: 96 bits
aead\_auth\_tag\_length: 12 octets
auth\_function: NULL
auth\_key\_length: N/A
auth\_tag\_length: N/A

maximum lifetime: at most 2^31 SRTCP packets and at most 2^48 SRTP packets

AEAD\_AES\_128\_GCM

cipher: AES\_128\_GCM
cipher\_key\_length: 128 bits
cipher\_salt\_length: 96 bits
aead\_auth\_tag\_length: 16 octets
auth\_function: NULL
auth\_key\_length: N/A
auth\_tag\_length: N/A

maximum lifetime: at most 2^31 SRTCP packets and at most 2^48 SRTP packets

AEAD\_AES\_256\_GCM

cipher: AES\_256\_GCM
cipher\_key\_length: 256 bits
cipher\_salt\_length: 96 bits
aead\_auth\_tag\_length: 16 octets
auth\_function: NULL
auth\_key\_length: N/A

maximum lifetime: at most 2^31 SRTCP packets and at most 2^48 SRTP packets

N/A

AEAD\_AES\_128\_GCM\_8

auth\_tag\_length:

cipher: AES\_128\_GCM
cipher\_key\_length: 128 bits
cipher\_salt\_length: 96 bits

aead\_auth\_tag\_length: 8 octets
auth\_function: NULL
auth\_key\_length: N/A
auth\_tag\_length: N/A

Igoe and McGrew Standards Track [Page 20]

maximum lifetime: at most 2^31 SRTCP packets and

at most 2^48 SRTP packets

AEAD\_AES\_256\_GCM\_8

cipher: AES\_256\_GCM
cipher\_key\_length: 256 bits
cipher\_salt\_length: 96 bits
aead\_auth\_tag\_length: 8 octets
auth\_function: NULL
auth\_key\_length: N/A
auth\_tag\_length: N/A

maximum lifetime: at most 2^31 SRTCP packets and

at most 2^48 SRTP packets

AEAD\_AES\_128\_GCM\_12

maximum lifetime: at most 2^31 SRTCP packets and

at most 2^48 SRTP packets

AEAD\_AES\_256\_GCM\_12

auth\_tag\_length:

cipher: AES\_256\_GCM
cipher\_key\_length: 256 bits
cipher\_salt\_length: 96 bits
aead\_auth\_tag\_length: 12 octets
auth\_function: NULL
auth\_key\_length: N/A

maximum lifetime: at most 2^31 SRTCP packets and

N/A

at most 2^48 SRTP packets

Note that these SRTP Protection Profiles do not specify an auth\_function, auth\_key\_length, or auth\_tag\_length because all of these profiles use AEAD algorithms, and thus do not use a separate auth\_function, auth\_key, or auth\_tag. The term aead\_auth\_tag\_length is used to emphasize that this refers to the authentication tag provided by the AEAD algorithm and that this tag is not located in the authentication tag field provided by SRTP/SRTCP.

#### **14.3**. MIKEY

In accordance with "MIKEY: Multimedia Internet KEYing" [RFC3830],

IANA maintains several Payload Name Spaces under Multimedia Internet KEYing (MIKEY). This document requires additions to two of the lists maintained under MIKEY Security Protocol Parameters.

Igoe and McGrew

Standards Track

[Page 21]

On the SRTP policy Type/Value list (derived from Table 6.10.1.a of [RFC3830]) we request the following addition:

```
| Possible values
Type | Meaning
TBD | AEAD authentication tag length | 8, 12, or 16 (in octets)
```

On the Encryption Algorithm List (derived from Table 6.10.1.b of [RFC3830]) we request the following additions:

SRTP encr	alg.	Value		Default	Session	Encr.	Key	Length	
AES-CCM		TBD			16 octets	S			
AES-GCM	- 1	TBD			16 octets	5			

The SRTP encryption algorithm, session encryption key length, and AEAD authentication tag values received from MIKEY fully determine the AEAD algorithm (e.g., AEAD\_AES\_256\_GCM\_8). The exact mapping is described in section 15.

## <u>14.4</u>. AEAD registry

We request that IANA make the following additions to the AEAD registry:

```
AEAD\_AES\_128\_CCM\_12 = TBD
AEAD_AES_256_CCM_12
                     = TBD
```

#### 15. Parameters for use with MIKEY

MIKEY specifies the algorithm family separately from the key length (which is specified by the Session Encryption key length ) and the authentication tag length (specified by AEAD Auth. tag length).

Igoe and McGrew Standards Track [Page 22]

	+	++	
	Algorithm	Key Length	AEAD Auth.     Tag Length   +======+
AEAD_AES_128_GCM	AES-GCM	16 octets	16 octets
AEAD_AES_128_CCM	AES-CCM	16 octets	16 octets
AEAD_AES_128_GCM_12	AES-GCM	16 octets	12 octets
AEAD_AES_128_CCM_12	AES-CCM	16 octets	12 octets
AEAD_AES_128_GCM_8	AES-GCM	16 octets	8 octets
AEAD_AES_128_CCM_8	AES-CCM	16 octets	8 octets   +
AEAD_AES_256_GCM	AES-GCM	32 octets	16 octets
AEAD_AES_256_CCM	AES-CCM	32 octets	16 octets
AEAD_AES_256_GCM_12	AES-GCM	32 octets	12 octets
AEAD_AES_256_CCM_12	AES-CCM	32 octets	12 octets
AEAD_AES_256_GCM_8	AES-GCM	32 octets	8 octets
AEAD_AES_256_CCM_8	AES-CCM	32 octets	8 octets   +=====+

Table 4: Mapping MIKEY parameters to AEAD algorithm

Section 12 in this document restricts the choice of Key Derivation Function for AEAD algorithms. To enforce this restriction in MIKEY, we require that the SRTP PRF has value AES-CM whenever an AEAD algorithm is used. Note that, according to <a>Section 6.10.1</a> in [RFC3830], the key length of the Key Derivation Function (i.e. the SRTP master key length) is always equal to the session encryption key length. This means, for example, that AEAD\_AES\_256\_GCM will use AES\_256\_CM\_PRF as the Key Derivation Function.

# **16**. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Michael Peck, Michael Torla, Qin Wu, Magnus Westerland, Oscar Ohllson and many other reviewers who provided valuable comments on earlier drafts of this document.

Igoe and McGrew Standards Track [Page 23]

#### 17. References

## 17.1. Normative References

- [CCM] Dworkin, M., "NIST Special Publication 800-38C: The CCM Mode for Authentication and Confidentiality", U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology <a href="http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-38C/SP800-38C.pdf">http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-38C/SP800-38C.pdf</a>.
- [GCM] Dworkin, M., "NIST Special Publication 800-38D:
  Recommendation for Block Cipher Modes of Operation:
  Galois/Counter Mode (GCM) and GMAC.", U.S. National
  Institute of Standards and Technology <a href="http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-38D/SP800-38D.pdf">http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-38D/SP800-38D.pdf</a>.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.
- [RFC3610] Whiting, D., Housley, R., and N. Ferguson, "Counter with CBC-MAC (CCM)", RFC 3610, March 2004.
- [RFC3711] Baugher, M., McGrew, D., Naslund, M., Carrara, E., and K. Norrman, "The Secure Real-time Transport Protocol (SRTP)", RFC 3711, September 2003.
- [RFC3830] Arkko, J., Carrara, E., Lindholm, F., Naslund, M., and Norrman, K, "MIKEY: Multimedia Internet KEYing", RFC 3830, August 2004.
- [RFC5116] McGrew, D., "An Interface and Algorithms for Authenticated Encryption with Associated Data", <u>RFC 5116</u>, January 2008.
- [RFC5282] McGrew, D. and D. Black, "Using Authenticated Encryption Algorithms with the Encrypted Payload of the Internet Key Exchange version 2 (IKEv2) Protocol", RFC 5282, August 2008.
- [RFC5764] McGrew, D. and E. Rescorla, "Datagram Transport Layer

Security (DTLS) Extension to Establish Keys for the Secure Real-time Transport Protocol (SRTP)", <u>RFC 5764</u>, May 2010.

Igoe and McGrew Standards Track [Page 24]

[RFC6188] McGrew,D., "The Use of AES-192 and AES-256 in Secure RTP" RFC 6188, March 2011

[RFC6655] McGrew, D. and D. Bailey, "AES-CCM Cipher Suites for Transport Layer Security (TLS)", July 2012

Igoe and McGrew Standards Track [Page 25]

#### 17.2. Informative References

- [BN00] Bellare, M. and C. Namprempre, "Authenticated encryption: Relations among notions and analysis of the generic composition paradigm", Proceedings of ASIACRYPT 2000, Springer-Verlag, LNCS 1976, pp. 531-545 <a href="http://www-cse.ucsd.edu/users/mihir/papers/oem.html">http://www-cse.ucsd.edu/users/mihir/papers/oem.html</a>.
- [BOYD] Boyd, C. and A. Mathuria, "Protocols for Authentication and Key Establishment", Springer, 2003.
- [EEM04] Bellare, M., Namprempre, C., and T. Kohno, "Breaking and provably repairing the SSH authenticated encryption scheme: A case study of the Encode-then-Encrypt-and-MAC paradigm", ACM Transactions on Information and System Security, <a href="http://www-cse.ucsd.edu/users/tkohno/papers/TISSEC04/">http://www-cse.ucsd.edu/users/tkohno/papers/TISSEC04/</a>.
- [GR05] Garfinkel, T. and M. Rosenblum, "When Virtual is Harder than Real: Security Challenges in Virtual Machine Based Computing Environments", Proceedings of the 10th Workshop on Hot Topics in Operating Systems <a href="http://www.stanford.edu/~talg/papers/HOTOS05/">http://www.stanford.edu/~talg/papers/HOTOS05/</a> virtual-harder-hotos05.pdf.
- [J02] Jonsson, J., "On the Security of CTR + CBC-MAC", Proceedings of the 9th Annual Workshop on Selected Areas on Cryptography, <a href="http://csrc.nist.gov/CryptoToolkit/modes/proposedmodes/ccm/ccm-ad1.pdf">http://csrc.nist.gov/CryptoToolkit/modes/proposedmodes/ccm/ccm-ad1.pdf</a>, 2002.
- [MODES] Dworkin, M., "NIST Special Publication 800-38:
  Recommendation for Block Cipher Modes of Operation", U.S.
  National Institute of Standards and Technology <a href="https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-38a/sp800-38a.pdf">http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-38a/sp800-38a.pdf</a>.
- [MV04] McGrew, D. and J. Viega, "The Security and Performance of the Galois/Counter Mode (GCM)", Proceedings of INDOCRYPT '04, http://eprint.iacr.org/2004/193, December 2004.
- [R02] Rogaway, P., "Authenticated encryption with Associated-Data", ACM Conference on Computer and Communication Security (CCS'02), pp. 98-107, ACM Press, 2002. http://www.cs.ucdavis.edu/~rogaway/papers/ad.html.

[RFC2104] Krawczyk, H., Bellare, M., and R. Canetti, "HMAC: Keyed-Hashing for Message Authentication", <a href="RFC 2104">RFC 2104</a>, February 1997.

Igoe and McGrew Standards Track

[Page 26]

- [RFC4086] Eastlake, D., Schiller, J., and S. Crocker, "Randomness Requirements for Security", <u>BCP 106</u>, <u>RFC 4086</u>, June 2005.
- [RFC4106] Viega, J. and D. McGrew, "The Use of Galois/Counter Mode (GCM) in IPsec Encapsulating Security Payload (ESP)", RFC 4106, June 2005.
- [RFC4107] Bellovin, S. and R. Housley, "Guidelines for Cryptographic Key Management", BCP 107, RFC 4107, June 2005.
- [RFC4303] Kent, S., "IP Encapsulating Security Payload (ESP)", RFC 4303, December 2005.
- [RFC4309] Housley, R., "Using Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) CCM Mode with IPsec Encapsulating Security Payload (ESP)", RFC 4309, December 2005.
- [RFC4771] Lehtovirta, V., Naslund, M., and K. Norrman, "Integrity Transform Carrying Roll-Over Counter for the Secure Realtime Transport Protocol (SRTP)", RFC 4771, January 2007.

Igoe and McGrew Standards Track [Page 27]

# Author's Address

David A. McGrew Cisco Systems, Inc. 510 McCarthy Blvd. Milpitas, CA 95035 US

Phone: (408) 525 8651 Email: mcgrew@cisco.com

URI: http://www.mindspring.com/~dmcgrew/dam.htm

Kevin M. Igoe NSA/CSS Commercial Solutions Center National Security Agency EMail: kmigoe@nsa.gov

## Acknowledgement

Funding for the RFC Editor function is provided by the IETF Administrative Support Activity (IASA).