

AVT  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: May 17, 2012

J. Lennox, Ed.  
Vidyo  
E. Iovov  
Jitsi  
E. Marocco  
Telecom Italia  
November 14, 2011

A Real-Time Transport Protocol (RTP) Header Extension for Client-to-  
Mixer Audio Level Indication  
draft-ietf-avtext-client-to-mixer-audio-level-06

## Abstract

This document defines a mechanism by which packets of Real-Time Transport Protocol (RTP) audio streams can indicate, in an RTP header extension, the audio level of the audio sample carried in the RTP packet. In large conferences, this can reduce the load on an audio mixer or other middlebox which wants to forward only a few of the loudest audio streams, without requiring it to decode and measure every stream that is received.

## Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on May 17, 2012.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2011 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of

---

Internet-Draft    Client-to-Mixer Audio Level Indication    November 2011

publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	Introduction . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	Terminology . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	Audio Levels . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	Signaling (Setup) Information . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	Considerations on Use . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	Security Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	IANA Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">8.</a>	References . . . . .	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">8.1.</a>	Normative References . . . . .	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">8.2.</a>	Informative References . . . . .	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">Appendix A.</a>	Changes From Earlier Versions . . . . .	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">A.1.</a>	Changes From Draft -05 . . . . .	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">A.2.</a>	Changes From Draft -04 . . . . .	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">A.3.</a>	Changes From Draft -03 . . . . .	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">A.4.</a>	Changes From Draft -02 . . . . .	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">A.5.</a>	Changes From Draft -01 . . . . .	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">A.6.</a>	Changes From Individual Submission Draft -01 . . . . .	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">A.7.</a>	Changes From Individual Submission Draft -00 . . . . .	<a href="#">10</a>
	Authors' Addresses . . . . .	<a href="#">11</a>

---

Internet-Draft    Client-to-Mixer Audio Level Indication    November 2011

## 1. Introduction

In a centralized Real-Time Transport Protocol (RTP) [[RFC3550](#)] audio conference, an audio mixer or forwarder receives audio streams from many or all of the conference participants. It then selectively forwards some of them to other participants in the conference. In large conferences, it is possible that such a server might be receiving a large number of streams, of which only a few are intended to be forwarded to the other conference participants.

In such a scenario, in order to pick the audio streams to forward, a centralized server needs to decode, measure audio levels, and possibly perform voice activity detection on audio data from a large number of streams. The need for such processing limits the size or number of conferences such a server can support.

As an alternative, this document defines an RTP header extension [[RFC5285](#)] through which senders of audio packets can indicate the audio level of the packets' payload, reducing the processing load for a server.

The header extension in this draft is different than, but complementary with, the one defined in [[I-D.ietf-avtext-mixer-to-client-audio-level](#)], which defines a mechanism by which audio mixers can indicate to clients the levels of the contributing sources that made up the mixed audio.


## 2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [[RFC2119](#)] and indicate requirement levels for compliant implementations.

### 3. Audio Levels


The audio level header extension carries the level of the audio in the RTP [[RFC3550](#)] payload of the packet it is associated with. This information is carried in an RTP header extension element as defined by the "General Mechanism for RTP Header Extensions" [[RFC5285](#)].

The payload of the audio level header extension element can be encoded using the one-byte or the two-byte header defined in [[RFC5285](#)]. Figure 1 and Figure 2 show sample audio level encodings with each of them.



Sample audio level encoding using the one-byte header format

Figure 1



Sample audio level encoding using the two-byte header format

Figure 2

Note that, as indicated in [[RFC5285](#)] length field in the one-byte header format takes the value 0 to indicate that 1 byte follows. In the two-byte header format on the other hand it takes the value of 1.

The magnitude of the audio level itself is packed into the seven

least significant bits of the single byte of the header extension, shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2. The least significant bit of the audio level magnitude is packed into the least significant bit of the byte. The most significant bit of the byte is used as a separate flag bit "V", defined below.

The audio level is expressed in -dBov, with values from 0 to 127 representing 0 to -127 dBov. dBov is the level, in decibels, relative to the overload point of the system, i.e. the highest-intensity signal encodable by the payload format. (Note: Representation relative to the overload point of a system is particularly useful for digital implementations, since one does not need to know the relative calibration of the analog circuitry.) For example, in the case of u-law (audio/pcmu) audio [[ITU.G711.1988](#)], the 0 dBov reference would be a square wave with values +/- 8031. (This translates to 6.18 dBm0, relative to u-law's dBm0 definition in Table 6 of G.711.)

The audio level for digital silence, for example for a muted audio source, MUST be represented as 127 (-127 dBov), regardless of the

dynamic range of the encoded audio format.

The audio level header extension only carries the level of the audio in the RTP payload of the packet it is associated with, with no long-term averaging or smoothing applied. For payload formats that contain extra error-correction bits or loss-concealment information, the level corresponds only to the data that would result from the payload's normal decoding process, not what it would produce under error or packet loss concealment. The level is measured as a root mean square of all the samples in the audio encoded by the packet.

To simplify implementation of the encoding procedures described here, the reference implementation section in [[I-D.ietf-avtext-mixer-to-client-audio-level](#)] provides a sample Java implementation of an audio level calculator that helps obtain such values from raw linear PCM audio samples.

In addition, a flag bit (labeled V) optionally indicates whether the encoder believes the audio packet contains voice activity. If the V bit is in use, the value 1 indicates that the encoder believes the audio packet contains voice activity, and the value 0 indicates that the encoder believes it does not. (The voice activity detection

algorithm is unspecified and left implementation-specific.) If the V bit is not in use, its value is unspecified and MUST be ignored by receivers. The use of the V bit is signaled using the extension attribute "vad", discussed in [Section 4](#).

When this header extension is used with RTP data sent using the RTP Payload for Redundant Audio Data [[RFC2198](#)], the header's data describes the contents of the primary encoding.

Note: This audio level is defined in the same manner as is audio noise level in the RTP Payload Comfort Noise specification [[RFC3389](#)]. In the comfort noise specification, the overall magnitude of the noise level in comfort noise is encoded into the first byte of the payload, with spectral information about the noise in subsequent bytes. This specification's audio level parameter is defined so as to be identical to the comfort noise payload's noise-level byte.

#### 4. Signaling (Setup) Information

The URI for declaring this header extension in an extmap attribute is "urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdext:ssrc-audio-level".

It has a single extension attribute, named "vad". It takes the form "vad=on" or "vad=off". If the header extension element is signaled with "vad=on", the "V" bit described in [Section 3](#) is in use, and MUST

be set by senders. If the header extension element is signaled with "vad=off", the "V" bit is not in use, and its value MUST be ignored by receivers. If the "vad" extension attribute is not specified, the default is "vad=on".

An example attribute line in the SDP, for a conference might hence be:

```
a=extmap:6 urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdext:ssrc-audio-level vad=on
```

The "vad" extension attribute only controls the semantics of this header extension attribute, and does not make any statement about whether the sender is using any other voice activity detection features such as discontinuous transmission, comfort noise, or silence suppression.

Using the mechanisms of [RFC5285], an endpoint MAY signal multiple instances of the header extension element, with different values of the vad attribute, so long as these instances use different values for the extension identifier. However, again following the rules of [RFC5285], the semantics chosen for a header extension element (including its vad setting) for a particular extension identifier value MUST NOT be changed within an RTP session.

## 5. Considerations on Use

Mixers and forwarders generally ought not base audio forwarding decisions directly on packet-by-packet audio level information, but rather ought to apply some analysis of the audio levels and trends. This general rule applies whether audio levels are provided by endpoints (as defined in this document), or are calculated at a server, as would be done in the absence of this information. This section discusses several issues that mixers and forwarders may wish to take into account. (Note that this section provides design guidance only, and is not normative.)

First of all, audio levels generally ought to be measured over longer intervals than that of a single audio packet. In order to avoid false-positives for short bursts of sound (such as a cough or a dropped microphone), it is often useful to require that a participant's audio level be maintained for some period of time before considering it to be "real", i.e. some type of low-pass filter ought to be applied to the audio levels. Note, though, that such filtering must be balanced with the need to avoid clipping of the beginning of a speaker's speech.

Additionally, different participants may have their audio input set

differently. It may be useful to apply some sort of automatic gain control to the audio levels. There are a number of possible approaches to achieving this, e.g. by measuring peak audio levels, by average audio levels during speech, or by measuring background audio levels (average audio level levels during non-speech).

## 6. Security Considerations

A malicious endpoint could choose to set the values in this header extension falsely, so as to falsely claim that audio or voice is or is not present. It is not clear what could be gained by falsely claiming that audio is not present, but an endpoint falsely claiming that audio is present could perform a denial-of-service attack on an audio conference, so as to send silence to suppress other conference members' audio, or could dominate a conference (by seizing its speaker-selection algorithm) without actually speaking. Thus, if a device relies on audio level data from untrusted endpoints, it SHOULD periodically audit the level information transmitted, taking appropriate corrective action against endpoints that appear to be sending incorrect data. (However, as it is valid for an endpoint to choose to measure audio levels prior to encoding, some degree of discrepancy could be present. This would not indicate that an endpoint is malicious.)

In the Secure Real-Time Transport Protocol (SRTP) [[RFC3711](#)], RTP header extensions are authenticated but not encrypted. When this header extension is used, audio levels are therefore visible on a packet-by-packet basis to an attacker passively observing the audio stream. As discussed in [[I-D.ietf-avtcore-srtp-vbr-audio](#)], such an attacker might be able to infer information about the conversation, possibly with phoneme-level resolution. In scenarios where this is a concern, additional mechanisms MUST be used to protect the confidentiality of the header extension. This mechanism could be header extension encryption [[I-D.ietf-avtcore-srtp-encrypted-header-ext](#)], or a lower-level security and authentication mechanism such as IPsec [[RFC4301](#)].

## 7. IANA Considerations

This document defines a new extension URI to the RTP Compact Header Extensions subregistry of the Real-Time Transport Protocol (RTP) Parameters registry, according to the following data:



Description: Audio Level  
Contact: jonathan@vidyo.com  
Reference: RFC XXXX

Note to RFC Editor: please replace "RFC XXXX" with the number of this RFC.

## 8. References

### 8.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC2198] Perkins, C., Kouvelas, I., Hodson, O., Hardman, V., Handley, M., Bolot, J., Vega-Garcia, A., and S. Fosse-Parisis, "RTP Payload for Redundant Audio Data", [RFC 2198](#), September 1997.
- [RFC3550] Schulzrinne, H., Casner, S., Frederick, R., and V. Jacobson, "RTP: A Transport Protocol for Real-Time Applications", STD 64, [RFC 3550](#), July 2003.
- [RFC4301] Kent, S. and K. Seo, "Security Architecture for the Internet Protocol", [RFC 4301](#), December 2005.
- [RFC5285] Singer, D. and H. Desineni, "A General Mechanism for RTP Header Extensions", [RFC 5285](#), July 2008.

### 8.2. Informative References

- [I-D.ietf-avtcore-srtp-encrypted-header-ext]  
Lennox, J., "Encryption of Header Extensions in the Secure Real-Time Transport Protocol (SRTP)",  
[draft-ietf-avtcore-srtp-encrypted-header-ext-01](#) (work in progress), October 2011.
- [I-D.ietf-avtcore-srtp-vbr-audio]  
Perkins, C. and J. Valin, "Guidelines for the use of Variable Bit Rate Audio with Secure RTP",  
[draft-ietf-avtcore-srtp-vbr-audio-03](#) (work in progress), July 2011.
- [I-D.ietf-avtext-mixer-to-client-audio-level]  
Ivov, E., Marocco, E., and J. Lennox, "A Real-Time Transport Protocol (RTP) Header Extension for Mixer-to-

Client Audio Level Indication",  
[draft-ietf-avtext-mixer-to-client-audio-level-05](#) (work in progress), September 2011.

[ITU.G711.1988]

International Telecommunications Union, "Pulse Code Modulation (PCM) of Voice Frequencies", ITU-T Recommendation G.711, November 1988.

[RFC3389] Zopf, R., "Real-time Transport Protocol (RTP) Payload for Comfort Noise (CN)", [RFC 3389](#), September 2002.

[RFC3711] Baugher, M., McGrew, D., Naslund, M., Carrara, E., and K. Norrman, "The Secure Real-time Transport Protocol (SRTP)", [RFC 3711](#), March 2004.

## [Appendix A](#). Changes From Earlier Versions

Note to the RFC-Editor: please remove this section prior to publication as an RFC.

### [A.1](#). Changes From Draft -05

- o Added an informative reference to [RFC 4301](#) (IPsec). (Brought up by Stephen Farrell)
- o Clarified the meaning of "overload point of the system". (Brought up by Robert Sparks).
- o Clarified that levels correspond only to the audio carried in the normal decoding process, not error or packet loss concealment. (Brought up by Robert Sparks).
- o Added security consideration that false audio levels could be used to seize a speaker-selection algorithm (Brought up by Robert Sparks and Stewart Bryant).
- o Updated reference to [[I-D.ietf-avtcore-srtp-vbr-audio](#)].

### [A.2](#). Changes From Draft -04

- o Adjusted IPR header.

### [A.3](#). Changes From Draft -03

- o Added vad extension attribute to negotiate use of the V bit.
- o Addressed editorial comments made on the mailing list.

---

Internet-Draft    Client-to-Mixer Audio Level Indication    November 2011

#### [A.4.](#) Changes From Draft -02

- o Changed encoding related text so that it would cover both the one-byte and the two-byte header formats.
- o Clarified use of root mean square for dBov calculation
- o Added references to the sample level calculator in [\[I-D.ietf-avtext-mixer-to-client-audio-level\]](#).
- o Changed affiliation for Emil Ivov.
- o Other minor editorial changes.

#### [A.5.](#) Changes From Draft -01

- o Changed the URI for declaring this header extension from "urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdext:audio-level" to "urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdext:ssrc-audio-level" for consistency with [\[I-D.ietf-avtext-mixer-to-client-audio-level\]](#).
- o Removed the "Limitations" section; it was discussing a potential extension that consensus indicated was out of scope of this document.
- o Closed the P.56 open issue. It was agreed on IETF 80 that P.56 is mostly about speech levels and the levels transported by the extension defined here should also be able to serve as an indication for noise.
- o Closed the open issue about transmitting noise floor information. Noise floor is (loosely) inferrable by observing the per-packet level information over a period of time, so the additional complexity seemed unnecessary.
- o Editorial changes for consistency with [\[I-D.ietf-avtext-mixer-to-client-audio-level\]](#).
- o Moved several descriptions of normative items that previously had only been described in informative sections of the text.
- o Other editorial clarifications.

#### [A.6.](#) Changes From Individual Submission Draft -01

- o This version is primarily a document refresh.
- o Emil Ivov and Enrico Marocco have been added as co-authors.
- o Additional open issues listed.

## [A.7.](#) Changes From Individual Submission Draft -00

- o The draft name has been changed to clarify that this document defines Client-To-Mixer Audio Levels, to more clearly distinguish it from [[I-D.ietf-avtext-mixer-to-client-audio-level](#)].
- o The header extension format has been changed from a two-byte to a one-byte payload, eliminating the 7 reserved bits and the one must-be-zero bit.

Lennox, et al.

Expires May 17, 2012

[Page 10]

---

Internet-Draft Client-to-Mixer Audio Level Indication November 2011

- o The sections Considerations on Use ([Section 5](#)) and Limitations have been added.
- o It has been noted that senders MAY indicate -127 dBov for digital silence, and that level measurement MAY be done prior to encoding audio.
- o A reference to [[I-D.ietf-avtcore-srtp-encrypted-header-ext](#)] has been added to the security considerations.
- o The term "header extension" is now used consistently throughout the document (as opposed to "extension header").

### Authors' Addresses

Jonathan Lennox (editor)  
Vidyo, Inc.  
433 Hackensack Avenue  
Seventh Floor  
Hackensack, NJ 07601  
US

Email: [jonathan@vidyo.com](mailto:jonathan@vidyo.com)

Emil Ivov  
Jitsi  
Strasbourg 67000  
France

Email: [emcho@jitsi.org](mailto:emcho@jitsi.org)

Enrico Marocco

Telecom Ititalia  
Via G. Reiss Romoli, 274  
Turin 10148  
Italy

Email: [enrico.marocco@telecomitalia.it](mailto:enrico.marocco@telecomitalia.it)