

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: January 9, 2017

J. Chroboczek
IRIF, University of Paris-Diderot
July 8, 2016

**Applicability of the Babel routing protocol
draft-ietf-babel-applicability-00**

Abstract

This document describes some application areas where the Babel routing protocol [[RFC6126](#)] has been found to be useful.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on January 9, 2017.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2016 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Existing successful deployments of Babel	2
2.1.	Hybrid networks	2
2.2.	Large scale overlay networks	2
2.3.	Small unmanaged networks	3
3.	Potential deployments of Babel	3
3.1.	Pure mesh networks	3
4.	Application Areas where Babel is not recommended	3
4.1.	Large, stable networks	3
4.2.	Low-power networks	3
5.	References	4
	Author's Address	5

[1.](#) Introduction

Babel [[RFC6126](#)] is a loop-avoiding distance-vector routing protocol that aims to be robust in a variety of environments.

This document describes a few areas where Babel has been found to be useful. It is structured as follows. In [Section 2](#), we describe application areas where Babel has been successfully deployed. In [Section 3](#), we describe application areas where Babel works well, but has not been widely deployed yet. In [Section 4](#), we describe application areas where deployment of Babel is not encouraged because better alternatives are available.

[2.](#) Existing successful deployments of Babel

[2.1.](#) Hybrid networks

Babel is able to deal with both classical, prefix-based ("Internet-style") routing and flat ("mesh-style") over non-transitive link technologies. Because of that, it has seen a number of successful deployments in medium-sized hybrid networks, networks that combine a wired, aggregated backbone with meshy wireless bits at the edges. No other routing protocol known to us is similarly robust and efficient in this particular type of network.

[2.2.](#) Large scale overlay networks

The algorithms used by Babel (loop avoidance, hysteresis, delayed updates) allow it to remain stable and efficient in the presence of unstable metrics, even in the presence of a feedback loop. For this reason, it has been successfully deployed in large scale overlay networks, built out of thousands of tunnels spanning continents,

where it is used with a metric computed from links' latencies [[DELAY-BASED](#)].

2.3. Small unmanaged networks

Because of its small size and simple configuration, Babel has been deployed in small, unmanaged networks (three to five routers), where it serves as a more efficient replacement for RIP [[RFC2453](#)], albeit with good support for wireless links.

3. Potential deployments of Babel

There are application areas for which Babel is a good fit, but where it has not seen major deployments yet.

3.1. Pure mesh networks

Babel has been repeatedly shown to be competitive with dedicated routing protocols for wireless mesh networks [[REAL-WORLD](#)] [[BRIDGING-LAYERS](#)]. However, this particular niche is already served by a number of mature protocols, notably OLSR-ETX as well as OLSRV2 [[RFC7181](#)] equipped with the DAT metric [[DAT](#)], so Babel has not seen major deployments in pure meshes yet.

4. Application Areas where Babel is not recommended

There are a number of application areas where Babel is a poor fit.

4.1. Large, stable networks

Babel relies on periodic updates, and even in a stable network, it generates a constant amount of background traffic. In large, stable, well-administered networks, it is preferable to use protocols layered above a reliable transport mechanism, such as OSPF [[RFC5340](#)], EIGRP [[EIGRP](#)] or IS-IS [[RFC1195](#)].

4.2. Low-power networks

Babel relies on periodic updates and maintains within each node an amount of state that is proportional to the number of reachable destinations. In networks containing resource-constrained or extremely low-power nodes, it may be preferable to use a protocol that limits the amount of state maintained and propagated; we have heard of AODVv2 [[AODVv2](#)], RPL [[RFC6550](#)] and LOADng [[LOADng](#)].

5. References

- [AODVv2] Perkins, C., Ratliff, S., Dowdell, J., Steenbrink, L., and V. Mercieca, "Ad Hoc On-demand Distance Vector Version 2 (AODVv2) Routing", [draft-ietf-manet-aodvv2-13](#) (work in progress), January 2016.
- [BRIDGING-LAYERS] Murray, D., Dixon, M., and T. Koziniec, "An Experimental Comparison of Routing Protocols in Multi Hop Ad Hoc Networks", Proc. ATNAC 2010, 2010.
- [DAT] Rogge, H. and E. Baccelli, "Packet Sequence Number based directional airtime metric for OLSRV2", [draft-ietf-manet-olsrv2-dat-metric-12](#) (work in progress), December 2015.
- [DELAY-BASED] Jonglez, B. and J. Chroboczek, "A delay-based routing metric", March 2014, <<http://arxiv.org/abs/1403.3488>>.
- [EIGRP] Savage, D., Ng, J., Moore, S., Slice, D., Paluch, P., and R. White, "Enhanced Interior Gateway Routing Protocol", [draft-savage-eigrp-04](#) (work in progress), August 2015.
- [LOADng] Clausen, T., Verdiere, A., Yi, J., Niktash, A., Igarashi, Y., Satoh, H., Herberg, U., Lavenue, C., Lys, T., and J. Dean, "The Lightweight On-demand Ad hoc Distance-vector Routing Protocol - Next Generation (LOADng)", [draft-clausen-lln-loadng-14](#) (work in progress), January 2016.
- [REAL-WORLD] Abolhasan, M., Hagelstein, B., and J. Wang, "Real-world performance of current proactive multi-hop mesh protocols", Asia-Pacific Conference on Communication 2009, 2009.
- [RFC1195] Callon, R., "Use of OSI IS-IS for routing in TCP/IP and dual environments", [RFC 1195](#), December 1990.
- [RFC2453] Malkin, G., "RIP Version 2", STD 56, [RFC 2453](#), November 1998.
- [RFC5340] Coltun, R., Ferguson, D., Moy, J., and A. Lindem, "OSPF for IPv6", [RFC 5340](#), July 2008.
- [RFC6126] Chroboczek, J., "The Babel Routing Protocol", [RFC 6126](#), February 2011.

- [RFC6550] Winter, T., Ed., Thubert, P., Ed., Brandt, A., Hui, J., Kelsey, R., Levis, P., Pister, K., Struik, R., Vasseur, JP., and R. Alexander, "RPL: IPv6 Routing Protocol for Low-Power and Lossy Networks", [RFC 6550](#), March 2012.
- [RFC7181] Clausen, T., Dearlove, C., Jacquet, P., and U. Herberg, "The Optimized Link State Routing Protocol Version 2", [RFC 7181](#), April 2014.

Author's Address

Juliusz Chroboczek
IRIF, University of Paris-Diderot
Case 7014
75205 Paris Cedex 13
France

Email: jch@pps.univ-paris-diderot.fr

