

BESS Working Group
Internet-Draft
Updates: [6514](#) (if approved)
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: August 5, 2016

E. Rosen
Juniper Networks, Inc.
T. Morin
Orange
February 2, 2016

**Registry and Extensions for
P-Multicast Service Interface Tunnel Attribute Flags
draft-ietf-bess-pta-flags-02.txt**

Abstract

The BGP-based control procedures for Multicast Virtual Private Networks make use of a BGP attribute known as the "P-Multicast Service Interface (PMSI) Tunnel" attribute. The attribute contains a one-octet "Flags" field. The purpose of this document is to establish an IANA registry for the assignment of the bits in this field. Since the Flags field contains only eight bits, this document also defines a new BGP Extended Community, "Additional PMSI Tunnel Attribute Flags", that can be used to carry additional flags for the PMSI Tunnel attribute. This document updates [RFC 6514](#).

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 5, 2016.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2016 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of

publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Extending the PMSI Tunnel Attribute Flags Field	2
3.	IANA Considerations	3
4.	Acknowledgments	4
5.	Security Considerations	5
6.	Normative References	5
	Authors' Addresses	5

[1.](#) Introduction

A BGP attribute known as the "P-Multicast Service Interface (PMSI) Tunnel" attribute is defined in [[RFC6514](#)]. This attribute contains a one-octet of "Flags" field. Only one flag is defined in that RFC, but there is now a need to define additional flags. However, that RFC did not create an IANA registry for the assignment of bits in the Flags field. This document creates a registry for that purpose. In addition, there may be a need to define more than eight flags. Therefore this document defines a new BGP Extended Community, "Additional PMSI Tunnel Attribute Flags", that can be used to carry additional flags for the PMSI Tunnel attribute. A registry is also created for this Extended Community, allowing IANA to assign bits from the Extended Community's six-octet value field.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

[2.](#) Extending the PMSI Tunnel Attribute Flags Field

In [[RFC6514](#)], only a single octet in the PMSI Tunnel attribute is defined to carry bit flags. This allows eight flags, which is unlikely to be sufficient for all future applications.

This document defines a new Transitive Opaque Extended Community, "Additional PMSI Tunnel Attribute Flags". It also defines a new bit flag in the PMSI Tunnel Attribute flags field, called the "Extension" flag.

The Additional PMSI Tunnel Attribute Flags Extended Community MUST NOT be carried by a given BGP UPDATE message unless the following conditions both hold:

- o the given BGP UPDATE message is also carrying a PMSI Tunnel attribute, and
- o the Extension flag of that PMSI Tunnel attribute's Flags field is set.

If a given BGP UPDATE message is carrying a PMSI Tunnel attribute, but is not carrying an Additional PMSI Tunnel Attribute Flags Extended Community, then the Extension flag in the PMSI Tunnel attribute MUST be clear.

If a BGP speaker receives an UPDATE message that contains an Additional PMSI Tunnel Attribute Flags Extended Community, but either (a) that UPDATE message does not contain a PMSI Tunnel attribute, or (b) the Extension flag of the PMSI Tunnel attribute is not set, then the Extended Community SHOULD be removed and SHOULD NOT be redistributed. The BGP UPDATE message MUST be processed (and if necessary, redistributed) as if the Extended Community had not been present.

Suppose a BGP speaker receives an UPDATE message that contains a PMSI Tunnel attribute, but does not contain an Additional PMSI Tunnel Attribute Flags Extended Community. If the Extension flag of the PMSI Tunnel attribute is set, then the "treat-as-withdraw" procedure of [[RFC7606](#)] MUST be applied.

3. IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to create a new registry called "P-Multicast Service Interface (PMSI) Tunnel Attribute Flags" in the "Border Gateway Protocol (BGP) Parameters" registry.

Per [[RFC6514](#)] [section 5](#), a PMSI Tunnel Attribute contains a "Flags" octet. The Flags field is a single octet, with bits numbered, left-to-right, from 0 to 7. IANA is requested to initialize the registry as follows:

Bit Position (left to right)	Description	Reference
0	unassigned	This document
1	Extension	
2	unassigned	
3	unassigned	
4	unassigned	
5	unassigned	
6	unassigned	RFC6514
7	Leaf Information Required (L)	

PMSI Tunnel Attribute Flags

The registration procedure for this registry is Standards Action.

IANA is also requested to assign a codepoint, from the "First Come, First Served" range of the Transitive Opaque Extended Community Sub-Types registry, for "Additional PMSI Tunnel Attribute Flags".

[TO BE REMOVED: This registration should take place at the following location: <http://www.iana.org/assignments/bgp-extended-communities/bgp-extended-communities.xhtml#trans-opaque>]

IANA is further requested to establish a registry for the bit flags carried in the Additional PMSI Tunnel Attribute Flags extended community. The bits shall be numbered 0-47, with 0 being the most significant bit and 47 being the least significant bit. The registration policy for this registry shall be "Standards Action".

[TO BE REMOVED: The creation of the registry should take place at the following location: <http://www.iana.org/assignments/bgp-extended-communities/bgp-extended-communities.xhtml>]

The initial registry should be as follows:

Bit Flag	Name	Reference
0-47	unassigned	

Additional PMSI Tunnel Attribute Flags

4. Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Martin Vigoureux for his review of this document.

5. Security Considerations

This document establishes an IANA registry, and defines a new transitive opaque Extended Community.

Establishment of an IANA registry does not raise any security considerations.

While the document defines a new Extended Community for carrying bit flags, it does not define any of the bit flags in that Extended Community. Therefore no security considerations are raised.

6. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC6514] Aggarwal, R., Rosen, E., Morin, T., and Y. Rekhter, "BGP Encodings and Procedures for Multicast in MPLS/BGP IP VPNs", [RFC 6514](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6514, February 2012, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6514>>.
- [RFC7606] Chen, E., Ed., Scudder, J., Ed., Mohapatra, P., and K. Patel, "Revised Error Handling for BGP UPDATE Messages", [RFC 7606](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7606, August 2015, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7606>>.

Authors' Addresses

Eric C. Rosen
Juniper Networks, Inc.
10 Technology Park Drive
Westford, Massachusetts 01886
United States

Email: erosen@juniper.net

Thomas Morin
Orange
2, avenue Pierre-Marzin
22307 Lannion Cedex
France

Email: thomas.morin@orange.com

