

BFD Working Group
Internet-Draft
Updates: [5880](#) (if approved)
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: 28 July 2022

W. Cheng
R. Wang
China Mobile
X. Min, Ed.
ZTE Corp.
R. Rahman
Individual
R. Boddireddy
Juniper Networks
24 January 2022

Unaffiliated BFD Echo
draft-ietf-bfd-unaffiliated-echo-03

Abstract

Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD) is a fault detection protocol that can quickly determine a communication failure between two forwarding engines. This document proposes a use of the BFD Echo where the local system supports BFD but the neighboring system does not support BFD.

This document updates [RFC 5880](#).

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 28 July 2022.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2022 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

Internet-Draft

Unaffiliated BFD Echo

January 2022

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the [Trust Legal Provisions](#) and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
1.1.	Conventions Used in This Document	3
2.	Updates to RFC 5880	3
3.	Unaffiliated BFD Echo Procedures	6
4.	Unaffiliated BFD Echo Applicability	8
5.	Security Considerations	8
6.	IANA Considerations	9
7.	Acknowledgements	9
8.	Contributors	9
9.	References	9
9.1.	Normative References	9
9.2.	Informative References	9
	Authors' Addresses	10

[1.](#) Introduction

To minimize the impact of device/link faults on services and improve network availability, a network device must be able to quickly detect faults in communication with adjacent devices. Measures can then be taken to promptly rectify the faults to ensure service continuity.

BFD [[RFC5880](#)] is a low-overhead, short-duration method to detect faults on the communication path between adjacent forwarding engines. The faults can be on interfaces, data link(s), and even the forwarding engines. It is a single, unified mechanism to monitor any media and protocol layers in real time.

BFD defines Asynchronous and Demand modes to satisfy various deployment scenarios. It also supports an Echo function to reduce the device requirement for BFD. When the Echo function is activated, the local system sends BFD Echo packets and the remote system loops back the received Echo packets through the forwarding path. If

several consecutive BFD Echo packets are not received by the local system, then the BFD session is declared to be Down.

When using BFD Echo function, there are two typical scenarios as below:

- * Full BFD protocol capability with affiliated Echo function. This scenario requires both the local device and the neighboring device to support the full BFD protocol.
- * BFD Echo-Only method without full BFD protocol capability. This scenario requires only the local device to support sending and demultiplexing BFD Control packets.

The latter scenario is referred to as Unaffiliated BFD Echo in this document.

Section 6.2.2 of [[BBF-TR-146](#)] describes one use case of the Unaffiliated BFD Echo. Section 2 of [[I-D.wang-bfd-one-arm-use-case](#)] describes another use case of the Unaffiliated BFD Echo.

This document describes the use of the Unaffiliated BFD Echo over IPv4 and IPv6 for single IP hop.

[1.1](#). Conventions Used in This Document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [BCP 14](#) [[RFC2119](#)] [[RFC8174](#)] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

[2](#). Updates to [RFC 5880](#)

The Unaffiliated BFD Echo described in this document reuses the BFD Echo function as described in [[RFC5880](#)] and [[RFC5881](#)], but does not require BFD Asynchronous or Demand mode. When using the Unaffiliated BFD Echo, only the local system has the BFD protocol enabled; the remote system just loops back the received BFD Echo packets as regular data packets.

This document updates [[RFC5880](#)] with respect to its descriptions on

the BFD Echo function as follows.

- * The 4th paragraph of [Section 3.2 of \[RFC5880\]](#) is updated as below:
- * OLD TEXT
- * An adjunct to both modes is the Echo function.
- * NEW TEXT
- * An adjunct to both modes is the Echo function, which can also be running independently.

Cheng, et al.

Expires 28 July 2022

[Page 3]

Internet-Draft

Unaffiliated BFD Echo

January 2022

- * OLD TEXT
- * Since the Echo function is handling the task of detection, the rate of periodic transmission of Control packets may be reduced (in the case of Asynchronous mode) or eliminated completely (in the case of Demand mode).
- * NEW TEXT
- * Since the Echo function is handling the task of detection, the rate of periodic transmission of Control packets may be reduced (in the case of Asynchronous mode) or eliminated completely (in the case of Demand mode). The Echo function may also be used independently, with neither Asynchronous nor Demand mode.
- * The 3rd and 9th paragraphs of [Section 6.1 of \[RFC5880\]](#) are updated as below:
- * OLD TEXT
- * Once the BFD session is Up, a system can choose to start the Echo function if it desires and the other system signals that it will allow it. The rate of transmission of Control packets is typically kept low when the Echo function is active.
- * NEW TEXT
- * When a system is running with Asynchronous or Demand mode, once the BFD session is Up, it can choose to start the Echo function if

it desires and the other system signals that it will allow it. The rate of transmission of Control packets is typically kept low for Asynchronous mode or eliminated completely for Demand mode when the Echo function is active.

* OLD TEXT

* If the session goes Down, the transmission of Echo packets (if any) ceases, and the transmission of Control packets goes back to the slow rate.

* NEW TEXT

* In Asynchronous mode, if the session goes Down, the transmission of Echo packets (if any) ceases, and the transmission of Control packets goes back to the slow rate. Demand mode MUST NOT be active if the session goes Down.

* The 2nd paragraph of [Section 6.4 of \[RFC5880\]](#) is updated as below:

* OLD TEXT

* When a system is using the Echo function, it is advantageous to choose a sedate reception rate for Control packets, since liveness detection is being handled by the Echo packets. This can be controlled by manipulating the Required Min RX Interval field (see [section 6.8.3](#)).

* NEW TEXT

* When a system is using the Echo function with Asynchronous mode, it is advantageous to choose a sedate reception rate for Control packets, since liveness detection is being handled by the Echo packets. This can be controlled by manipulating the Required Min RX Interval field (see [section 6.8.3](#)). Note that a system operating in Demand mode would direct the remote system to cease the periodic transmission of BFD Control packets, by setting the Demand (D) bit in its BFD Control packets.

* The 2nd paragraph of [Section 6.8 of \[RFC5880\]](#) is updated as below:

* OLD TEXT

- * When a system is said to have "the Echo function active" it means that the system is sending BFD Echo packets, implying that the session is Up and the other system has signaled its willingness to loop back Echo packets.
- * NEW TEXT
- * When a system in Asynchronous or Demand mode is said to have "the Echo function active" it means that the system is sending BFD Echo packets, implying that the session is Up and the other system has signaled its willingness to loop back Echo packets.
- * The 7th paragraph of [Section 6.8.3 of \[RFC5880\]](#) is updated as below:
- * OLD TEXT
- * When the Echo function is active, a system SHOULD set `bfd.RequiredMinRxInterval` to a value of not less than one second (1,000,000 microseconds). This is intended to keep received BFD Control traffic at a negligible level, since the actual detection function is being performed using BFD Echo packets.
- * NEW TEXT

- * When the Echo function is active with Asynchronous mode, a system SHOULD set `bfd.RequiredMinRxInterval` to a value of not less than one second (1,000,000 microseconds). This is intended to keep received BFD Control traffic at a negligible level, since the actual detection function is being performed using BFD Echo packets. While a system operating in Demand mode would not receive BFD Control traffic.
- * The 1st and 2nd paragraphs of [Section 6.8.9 of \[RFC5880\]](#) are updated as below:
- * OLD TEXT
- * BFD Echo packets MUST NOT be transmitted when `bfd.SessionState` is not Up. BFD Echo packets MUST NOT be transmitted unless the last

BFD Control packet received from the remote system contains a nonzero value in Required Min Echo RX Interval.

* NEW TEXT

* When a system is using the Echo function with either Asynchronous or Demand mode, BFD Echo packets MUST NOT be transmitted when bfd.SessionState is not Up, and BFD Echo packets MUST NOT be transmitted unless the last BFD Control packet received from the remote system contains a nonzero value in Required Min Echo RX Interval.

* OLD TEXT

* BFD Echo packets MAY be transmitted when bfd.SessionState is Up. The interval between transmitted BFD Echo packets MUST NOT be less than the value advertised by the remote system in Required Min Echo RX Interval...

* NEW TEXT

* When a system is using the Echo function with either Asynchronous or Demand mode, BFD Echo packets MAY be transmitted when bfd.SessionState is Up, and the interval between transmitted BFD Echo packets MUST NOT be less than the value advertised by the remote system in Required Min Echo RX Interval...

[3.](#) Unaffiliated BFD Echo Procedures



Figure 1: Unaffiliated BFD Echo diagram

As shown in Figure 1, device A supports BFD, whereas device B does not support BFD. Device A would send BFD Echo packets, and after receiving the BFD Echo packets sent from device A, the one-hop-away BFD peer device B immediately loops them back by normal IP forwarding, this allows device A to rapidly detect a connectivity loss to device B. Note that device B would not intercept any received BFD Echo packet or parse any BFD protocol field within the BFD Echo packet.

To rapidly detect any IP forwarding faults between device A and device B, a BFD Echo session MUST be created at device A, and the BFD Echo session MUST follow the BFD state machine defined in [Section 6.2 of \[RFC5880\]](#), except that the received state is not sent but echoed from the remote system, and AdminDown state is ruled out because AdminDown effectively means removal of BFD Echo session. In this case, although BFD Echo packets are transmitted with destination UDP port 3785 as defined in [\[RFC5881\]](#), the BFD Echo packets sent by device A are BFD Control packets too, the looped BFD Echo packets back from device B would drive BFD state change at device A, substituting the BFD Control packets sent from the BFD peer. Also note that when device A receives looped BFD Control packets, the validation procedures of [\[RFC5880\]](#) are used.

Once a BFD Echo session is created at device A, it starts sending BFD Echo packets, which MUST include BFD Echo session demultiplexing fields, such as BFD "Your Discriminator" defined in [\[RFC5880\]](#) (BFD "My Discriminator" can be set to 0 to avoid confusion), except for BFD "Your Discriminator", device A can also use IP source address or UDP source port to demultiplex BFD Echo session, or there is only one BFD Echo session running at device A. Device A would send BFD Echo packets with IP destination address destined for itself, such as the IP address of interface 1 of device A. All BFD Echo packets for the session MUST be sent with a Time to Live (TTL) or Hop Limit value of 255.

Within the BFD Echo packet, the "Desired Min TX Interval" and

"Required Min RX Interval" defined in [[RFC5880](#)] may be populated with one second, which however has no real application and would be ignored by the receiver.

Considering that the BFD peer device B wouldn't advertise "Required Min Echo RX Interval" as defined in [[RFC5880](#)], the transmission interval for sending BFD Echo packets MUST be provisioned at device A, how to make sure the BFD peer device B is willing and able to loop back BFD Echo packets sent with the provisioned transmission interval is outside the scope of this document. Similar to what's specified in [[RFC5880](#)], the BFD Echo session begins with the periodic, slow transmission of BFD Echo packets, the slow transmission rate SHOULD be no less than one second per packet, until the session is Up, after that the provisioned transmission interval is applied, and reverting back to the slow rate once the session goes Down. Considering that the BFD peer wouldn't advertise "Detect Mult" as defined in [[RFC5880](#)], the "Detect Mult" for calculating the Detection Time MUST be provisioned at device A, the Detection Time at device A is equal to the provisioned "Detect Mult" multiplied by the provisioned transmission interval.

4. Unaffiliated BFD Echo Applicability

Some devices that would benefit from the use of BFD may be unable to support the full BFD protocol. Examples of such devices include servers running virtual machines, or Internet of Things (IoT) devices. The Unaffiliated BFD Echo can be used when two devices are connected and only one of them supports the BFD protocol, and the other is capable of looping BFD Echo packets.

5. Security Considerations

All Security Considerations from [[RFC5880](#)] and [[RFC5881](#)] apply.

Note that the Unaffiliated BFD Echo prevents the use of Unicast Reverse Path Forwarding (URPF) [[RFC3704](#)] [[RFC8704](#)] in strict mode.

As specified in [Section 5 of \[RFC5880\]](#), since BFD Echo packets may be spoofed, some form of authentication SHOULD be included. Considering the BFD Echo packets in this document are also BFD Control packets, the "Authentication Section" as defined in [[RFC5880](#)] for BFD Control packet is RECOMMENDED to be included within the BFD Echo packet.

In order to mitigate the potential reflector attack by the remote attackers, or infinite loop of the BFD Echo packets, it's RECOMMENDED to put two requirements on the device looping BFD Echo packets, the first one is that a packet SHOULD NOT be looped unless it has a TTL

or Hop Limit value of 255, and the second one is that a packet being looped MUST NOT reset the TTL or Hop Limit value to 255, and MUST use a TTL or Hop Limit value of 254.

6. IANA Considerations

This document has no IANA action requested.

7. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge Ketan Talaulikar, Greg Mirsky and Santosh Pallagatti for their careful review and very helpful comments.

The authors would like to acknowledge Jeff Haas for his insightful review and very helpful comments.

8. Contributors

Liu Aihua ZTE Email: liu.aihua@zte.com.cn

Qian Xin ZTE Email: qian.xin2@zte.com.cn

Zhao Yanhua ZTE Email: zhao.yanhua3@zte.com.cn

9. References

9.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC5880] Katz, D. and D. Ward, "Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD)", [RFC 5880](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5880, June 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5880>>.
- [RFC5881] Katz, D. and D. Ward, "Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD) for IPv4 and IPv6 (Single Hop)", [RFC 5881](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5881, June 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5881>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in [RFC 2119](#) Key Words", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 8174](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.

9.2. Informative References

Cheng, et al.

Expires 28 July 2022

[Page 9]

Internet-Draft

Unaffiliated BFD Echo

January 2022

[BBF-TR-146]

Broadband Forum, "BBF Technical Report - Subscriber Sessions Issue 1", 2013, <<https://www.broadband-forum.org/technical/download/TR-146.pdf>>.

[I-D.wang-bfd-one-arm-use-case]

Wang, R., Cheng, W., Zhao, Y., and A. Liu, "Using One-Arm BFD in Cloud Network", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, <draft-wang-bfd-one-arm-use-case-00>, 18 November 2019, <<https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-wang-bfd-one-arm-use-case-00.txt>>.

[RFC3704] Baker, F. and P. Savola, "Ingress Filtering for Multihomed Networks", [BCP 84](BCP_84), [RFC 3704](RFC_3704), DOI 10.17487/RFC3704, March 2004, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3704>>.

[RFC8704] Sriram, K., Montgomery, D., and J. Haas, "Enhanced Feasible-Path Unicast Reverse Path Forwarding", [BCP 84](BCP_84), [RFC 8704](RFC_8704), DOI 10.17487/RFC8704, February 2020, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8704>>.

Authors' Addresses

Weiqiang Cheng
China Mobile
Beijing
China

Email: chengweiqiang@chinamobile.com

Ruixue Wang
China Mobile
Beijing
China

Email: wangruixue@chinamobile.com

Xiao Min (editor)
ZTE Corp.
Nanjing
China

Email: xiao.min2@zte.com.cn

Cheng, et al.

Expires 28 July 2022

[Page 10]

Internet-Draft

Unaffiliated BFD Echo

January 2022

Reshad Rahman
Individual
Kanata
Canada

Email: reshad@yahoo.com

Raj Chetan Boddireddy
Juniper Networks

Email: rchetan@juniper.net

