Network Working Group Internet-Draft Intended status: Experimental Expires: August 18, 2019 S. Venaas IJ. Wijnands L. Ginsberg Cisco Systems, Inc. M. Sivakumar Juniper Networks February 14, 2019

# BIER MTU Discovery draft-ietf-bier-mtud-00

### Abstract

This document defines an IGP based mechanism for discovering the MTU of a BIER sub-domain. This document defines extensions to OSPF and IS-IS, but other protocols could potentially be extended. MTU discovery is usually done for a given path, while this document defines it for a sub-domain. This allows the computed MTU to be independent of the set of receivers. Also, the MTU is independent of rerouting events within the sub-domain.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of <u>BCP 78</u> and <u>BCP 79</u>.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <u>https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/</u>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 18, 2019.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to <u>BCP 78</u> and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<u>https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</u>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents

# Internet-Draft

BIER MTU Discovery

February 2019

carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

# Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Introduction $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $2$
<u>2</u> .	Conventions used in this document $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $33$
<u>3</u> .	MTU discovery procedure $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $33$
<u>4</u> .	IS-IS BIER Sub-Domain MTU Sub-sub-TLV
<u>5</u> .	OSPF BIER Sub-Domain MTU Sub-TLV
<u>6</u> .	IANA considerations $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $\ldots$ $5$
<u>7</u> .	Acknowledgments
<u>8</u> .	References
<u>8</u>	<u>.1</u> . Normative References
<u>8</u>	<u>.2</u> . Informative References
Autł	hors'Addresses

## <u>1</u>. Introduction

This document defines an IGP based mechanism for discovering the MTU of a BIER sub-domain. The discovered MTU indicates the largest possible BIER packet that can be sent across any link in a BIER subdomain. This is different from [I-D.ietf-bier-path-mtu-discovery] which performs Path MTU Discovery (PMTUD) for a set of receivers. PMTUD is based on probing, and when there are routing changes, e.g., a link going down, the actual MTU for a path may become less than was previously discovered, and there will be some delay until the next probe is performed. Also, the set of receivers for a flow may change at any time, which may cause the MTU to change. This document instead discovers a BIER sub-domain MTU, which is independent of paths and receivers within the sub-domain.

Discovering the sub-domain MTU is much simpler than discovering the multicast path MTU, and is more robust with regards to path changes as discussed above. However, the sub-domain MTU may be a lot smaller than the path MTU would have been for a given flow. The discovery mechanisms may be combined, allowing the discovery of the path MTU for certain flows as needed.

The BIER sub-domain MTU defined here provides the maximum size of a

BIER packet that can be forwarded through the sub-domain regardless of path. A BIER router that performs BIER encapsulation will need to subtract the encapsulation overhead to find the largest size packet that can be encapsulated. This would give the IP MTU, and may be

Venaas, et al.	Expires August 18, 2019	[Page 2]
----------------	-------------------------	----------

Internet-Draft BIER MTU Discovery February 2019

used for IP PMTUD by for instance sending an ICMP Packet too big message if an IP packet will be too large after BIER encapsulation.

 $\underline{2}$ . Conventions used in this document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in <u>BCP</u> <u>14</u> [<u>RFC2119</u>] [<u>RFC8174</u>] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

<u>3</u>. MTU discovery procedure

An interface on a router is said to be a BIER interface if the router has a BIER neighbor on the interface. That is, there is a directly connected router on that interface that is announcing a BIER prefix. Further, the BIER interface is said to belong to a given sub-domain if the router itself announces a prefix tagged with the sub-domain, and there is BIER neighbor on the interface also announcing a prefix tagged with the sub-domain.

The BIER MTU of an interface is the largest BIER packet that can be sent out of the interface. Further, the local sub-domain MTU of a router is the minimum of all the BIER MTUs of the BIER interfaces in the sub-domain. Note that the local sub-domain MTU of a router is only defined if it has at least one BIER interface in the sub-domain.

A BIER router announces a BIER prefix in either IS-IS or OSPF as specified in [RFC8401] and [RFC8444]. They both define a BIER Sub-TLV to be included with the prefix. There is one BIER Sub-TLV included for each sub-domain. This document defines how a router includes its local sub-domain MTU in each of the BIER Sub-TLVs it advertizes.

A router can discover the MTU of a BIER sub-domain by identifying all the prefixes that have a BIER Sub-TLV for the sub-domain. It then computes the minimum of the advertised MTU values for that subdomain. This includes its own local sub-domain MTU. This allows all the routers in the sub-domain to discover the same sub-domain wide MTU.

Note that a router should announce a new local MTU for a sub-domain immediately if the value becomes smaller than what it currently announces. This would happen if the MTU of an interface is configured to a smaller value, or the first BIER neighbor for a subdomain is detected on an interface, and the MTU of the interface is less than all the other local BIER interfaces in the sub-domain. However, if BIER neighbors go away, or if an interface goes down, so

Venaas, et al.	Expires August 18, 2019	[Page 3]
----------------	-------------------------	----------

Internet-Draft

BIER MTU Discovery

February 2019

that the local MTU becomes larger, a router SHOULD NOT immediately announce the larger value. A router MAY after some delay announce the new larger MTU. The intention is that dynamic events such as a quick link flap should not cause the announced MTU to be increased.

It is a concern that the sub-domain MTU will be based on the link with the smallest MTU. This means that if for instance a single link is accidentally configured with an extra small MTU, it will impact the sub-domain MTU and potentially all the flows through the subdomain. As an example, an administrator might decide to use jumbo frames and has configured that on all the links. But accidentally forget to configure it on a new link before it is brought up. То provide some protection against this, an implementation SHOULD provide a configurable minimum BIER sub-domain MTU. When this is configured, the MTU discovery is still done according to the above procedure, but if the resulting MTU value is less than the configured minimum, the configured minimum MUST be used instead. If the discovery procedure later should provide an MTU larger than the minimum, then the discovered MTU MUST be used. An implementation SHOULD provide notification to the administrator when the discovered MTU is less than the minimum, as this is likely a configuration mistake that should be corrected.

4. IS-IS BIER Sub-Domain MTU Sub-sub-TLV

A router uses the BIER Sub-Domain MTU Sub-sub-TLV to announce the minimum BIER MTU of all its BIER enabled interfaces in a sub-domain. The BIER Sub-Domain MTU is the largest BIER packet that can be sent out of all the interfaces in a sub-domain. The Sub-sub-TLV MUST be ignored if it is included multiple times.

Type: TBD

Length: 2

MTU: MTU in octets

Venaas, et al. Expires August 18, 2019 [Page 4]

Internet-Draft

BIER MTU Discovery

February 2019

5. OSPF BIER Sub-Domain MTU Sub-TLV

A router uses the BIER Sub-Domain MTU Sub-TLV to announce the minimum BIER MTU of all its BIER enabled interfaces in a sub-domain. The BIER Sub-Domain MTU is the largest BIER packet that can be sent out of all the interfaces in a sub-domain. The Sub-TLV MUST be ignored if it is included multiple times.

Θ		1	2	3
0123	4 5 6 7 8 9	0 1 2 3 4	5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	45678901
+-+-+-+	+-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-+	+-	+-+-+-+-+-+-+
	Туре		Len	gth
+-				
	MTU		Rese	rved
+-+-+-+	+-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-+	+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+++++	+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+

Type: TBD2

Length: 4

MTU: MTU in octets

### 6. IANA considerations

An allocation from the "sub-sub-TLVs for BIER Info sub-TLV" registry as defined in [<u>RFC8401</u>] is requested for the IS-IS BIER Sub-Domain MTU Sub-sub-TLV. Please replace the string TBD in this document with the appropriate value.

An allocation from the "OSPF Extended Prefix sub-TLV" registry as defined in [<u>RFC7684</u>] is requested for the OSPF BIER Sub-Domain MTU Sub-TLV. Please replace the string TBD2 in this document with the appropriate value.

7. Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Greg Mirsky in particular for fruitful discussions and input. Valuable comments were also provided by Alia Atlas, Eric C Rosen, Toerless Eckert, Tony Przygienda and Xie Jingrong.

<u>8</u>. References

Venaas, et al.	Expires August 18, 2019	[Page 5]

Internet-Draft BIER MTU Discovery February 2019

# <u>8.1</u>. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119</u>>.
- [RFC7684] Psenak, P., Gredler, H., Shakir, R., Henderickx, W., Tantsura, J., and A. Lindem, "OSPFv2 Prefix/Link Attribute Advertisement", <u>RFC 7684</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC7684, November 2015, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7684</u>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in <u>RFC</u> 2119 Key Words", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 8174</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174</u>>.

- [RFC8401] Ginsberg, L., Ed., Przygienda, T., Aldrin, S., and Z. Zhang, "Bit Index Explicit Replication (BIER) Support via IS-IS", <u>RFC 8401</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC8401, June 2018, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8401</u>>.
- [RFC8444] Psenak, P., Ed., Kumar, N., Wijnands, IJ., Dolganow, A., Przygienda, T., Zhang, J., and S. Aldrin, "OSPFv2 Extensions for Bit Index Explicit Replication (BIER)", <u>RFC 8444</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC8444, November 2018, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8444</u>>.
- <u>8.2</u>. Informative References
  - [I-D.ietf-bier-path-mtu-discovery]

Mirsky, G., Przygienda, T., and A. Dolganow, "Path Maximum Transmission Unit Discovery (PMTUD) for Bit Index Explicit Replication (BIER) Layer", <u>draft-ietf-bier-path-mtu-</u> <u>discovery-05</u> (work in progress), December 2018.

Authors' Addresses

Stig Venaas Cisco Systems, Inc. Tasman Drive San Jose CA 95134 USA

Email: stig@cisco.com

Venaas, et al.	Expires August 18, 2019	[Page 6]

Internet-Draft

BIER MTU Discovery

February 2019

IJsbrand Wijnands Cisco Systems, Inc. De kleetlaan 6a Diegem 1831 Belgium

Email: ice@cisco.com

Les Ginsberg Cisco Systems, Inc. Tasman Drive San Jose CA 95134 USA

Email: ginsberg@cisco.com

Mahesh Sivakumar Juniper Networks 1133 Innovation Way Sunnyvale CA 94089 USA

Email: sivakumar.mahesh@gmail.com

Venaas, et al. Expires August 18, 2019

[Page 7]