

Network Working Group
Internet Draft

Vishwas Manral
Netplane Systems
Russ White
Cisco Systems
Aman Shaikh
University of California
January 2004

Expiration Date: July 2004

File Name: [draft-bmwg-ospfconv-term-07.txt](#)

OSPF Benchmarking Terminology and Concepts
draft-ietf-bmwg-ospfconv-term-07.txt

1. Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of [Section 10 of RFC2026](#).

Internet Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its Areas, and its Working Groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet Drafts.

Internet Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months. Internet Drafts may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is not appropriate to use Internet Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as a "working draft" or "work in progress".

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

2. Abstract

This draft explains the terminology and concepts used in OSPF benchmarking. While some of these terms may be defined elsewhere, and we will refer the reader to those definitions in some cases, we also include discussions concerning these terms as they relate specifically to the tasks involved in benchmarking the OSPF protocol.

3. Motivation

This draft is a companion to [[BENCHMARK](#)], which describes basic Open Shortest Path First [OSPF] testing methods. This draft explains terminology and concepts used in OSPF Testing Framework Drafts, such as [[BENCHMARK](#)].

4. Common Definitions

Definitions in this section are well known industry and benchmarking terms which may be defined elsewhere.

o White Box (Internal) Measurements

- Definition

White Box measurements are measurements reported and collected on the Device Under Test (DUT) itself.

- Discussion

These measurements rely on output and event recording, along with the clocking and timestamping available on the DUT itself. Taking measurements on the DUT may impact the actual outcome of the test, since it can increase processor loading, memory utilization, and timing factors. Some devices may not have the required output readily available for taking internal measurements, as well.

Note: White box measurements can be influenced by the vendor's implementation of the various timers and processing models. Whenever possible, internal measurements should be compared to external measurements to verify and validate them.

Because of the potential for variations in collection and presentation methods across different DUTs, white box measurements MUST NOT be used as a basis of comparison in benchmarks. This has been a guiding principal of Benchmarking Methodology Working Group.

o Black Box (External) Measurements

- Definition

Black Box measurements infer the performance of the DUT through observation of its communications with other devices.

- Discussion

One example of a black box measurement is when a downstream device receives complete routing information from the DUT, it can be inferred that the DUT has transmitted all the routing information available. External measurements of internal operations may suffer in that they include not just the protocol action times, but also propagation delays, queuing delays, and other such factors.

For the purposes of [[BENCHMARK](#)], external techniques are more readily applicable.

- o Multi-device Measurements

- Measurements assessing communications (usually in combination with internal operations) between two or more DUTs. Multi-device measurements may be internal or external.

[5. Terms Defined Elsewhere](#)

Terms in this section are defined elsewhere, and included only to include a discussion of those terms in reference to [[BENCHMARK](#)].

- o Point-to-Point links

- Definition

See [OSPF], [Section 1.2](#).

- Discussion

A point-to-point link can take lesser time to converge than a broadcast link of the same speed because it does not have the overhead of DR election. Point-to-point links

can be either numbered or unnumbered. However in the context of [[BENCHMARK](#)] and [OSPF], the two can be regarded the same.

o Broadcast Link

- Definition

See [OSPF], [Section 1.2](#).

- Discussion

The adjacency formation time on a broadcast link can be more than that on a point-to-point link of the same speed, because DR election has to take place. All routers on a broadcast network form adjacency with the DR and BDR.

Async flooding also takes place thru the DR. In context of convergence, it may take more time for an LSA to be flooded from one DR-other router to another DR-other router, because the LSA has to be first processed at the DR.

o Shortest Path First Execution Time

- Definition

The time taken by a router to complete the SPF process, as described in [OSPF].

- Discussion

This does not include the time taken by the router to give routes to the forwarding engine.

Some implementations may force two intervals, the SPF hold time and the SPF delay, between successive SPF calculations. If an SPF hold time exists, it should be subtracted from the total SPF execution time. If an SPF delay exists, it should be noted in the test results.

- o Measurement Units

The SPF time is generally measured in milliseconds.

- o Hello Interval

- Definition

See [OSPF], [Section 7.1](#).

- Discussion

The hello interval should be the same for all routers on a network.

Decreasing the hello interval can allow the router dead interval (below) to be reduced, thus reducing convergence times in those situations where the router dead interval timing out causes an OSPF process to notice an adjacency failure. Further discussion on small hello intervals is given in [[OSPF-SCALING](#)].

- o Router Dead interval

- Definition

See [OSPF], [Section 7.1](#).

- Discussion

This is advertised in the router's Hello Packets in the RouterDeadInterval field. The router dead interval should be some multiple of the HelloInterval (say 4 times the hello interval), and must be the same for all routers attached to a common network.

6. Concepts

6.1. The Meaning of Single Router Control Plane Convergence

A network is termed to be converged when all of the devices within the network have a loop free path to each possible destination. Since we are not testing network convergence, but performance for a particular device within a network, however, this definition needs to be narrowed somewhat to fit within a single device view.

In this case, convergence will mean the point in time when the DUT has performed all actions needed to react to the change in topology represented by the test condition; for instance, an OSPF device must flood any new information it has received, rebuild its shortest path first (SPF) tree, and install any new paths or destinations in the local routing information base (RIB, or routing table).

Note that the word convergence has two distinct meanings; the process of a group of individuals meeting the same place, and the process of a single individual meeting in the same place as an existing group. This work focuses on the second meaning of the word, so we consider the time required for a single device to adapt to a network change to be Single Router Convergence.

This concept does not include the time required for the control plane of the device to transfer the information required to forward packets to the data plane, nor the amount of time between the data plane receiving that information and being able to actually forward traffic.

6.2. Measuring Convergence

Obviously, there are several elements to convergence, even under the definition given above for a single device, including (but not limited to):

- o The time it takes for the DUT to pass the information about a network event on to its neighbors.
- o The time it takes for the DUT to process information about a network event and calculate a new Shortest Path Tree (SPT).

- o The time it takes for the DUT to make changes in its local rib reflecting the new shortest path tree.

6.3. Types of Network Events

A network event is an event which causes a change in the network topology.

- o Link or Neighbor Device Up

The time needed for an OSPF implementation to recognize a new link coming up on the device, build any necessarily adjacencies, synchronize its database, and perform all other needed actions to converge.

- o Initialization

The time needed for an OSPF implementation to be initialized, recognize any links across which OSPF must run, build any needed adjacencies, synchronize its database, and perform other actions needed to converge.

- o Adjacency Down

The time needed for an OSPF implementation to recognize a link down/adjacency loss based on hello timers alone, propagate any information as necessary to its remaining adjacencies, and perform other actions needed to converge.

- o Link Down

The time needed for an OSPF implementation to recognize a link down based on layer 2 provided information, propagate any information as needed to its remaining adjacencies, and perform other actions needed to converge.

7. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Howard Berkowitz (hcb@clark.net), Kevin Dubray, (kdubray@juniper.net), Scott Poretsky (sporetsky@avici.com), and Randy Bush (randy@psg.com) for their discussion, ideas, and support.

8. Normative References

[BENCHMARK]

Manral, V., "Benchmarking Basic OSPF Single Router Control Plane Convergence", [draft-bmwg-ospfconv-intraarea-05](#), March 2003

[OSPF]Moy, J., "OSPF Version 2", [RFC 2328](#), April 1998.

9. Informative References

[OSPF-SCALING]

Choudhury, Gagan L., Editor, "Prioritized Treatment of Specific OSPF Packets and Congestion Avoidance", [draft-ietf-ospf-scalability-06.txt](#), August 2003.

10. Authors' Addresses

Vishwas Manral,
Netplane Systems,
189 Prashasan Nagar,
Road number 72,
Jubilee Hills,
Hyderabad.

vmanral@netplane.com

Russ White
Cisco Systems, Inc.
7025 Kit Creek Rd.
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

riw@cisco.com

Aman Shaikh
University of California
School of Engineering

1156 High Street
Santa Cruz, CA 95064

aman@soe.ucsc.edu