Internet-Draft
Network Working Group
Intended Status: Informational
Expires: June 10, 2018

Bhuvaneswaran Vengainathan
Anton Basil
Veryx Technologies
Mark Tassinari
Hewlett-Packard
Vishwas Manral
Nano Sec
Sarah Banks
VSS Monitoring
January 10, 2018

Terminology for Benchmarking SDN Controller Performance
draft-ietf-bmwg-sdn-controller-benchmark-term-07

Abstract

This document defines terminology for benchmarking an SDN controller's control plane performance. It extends the terminology already defined in RFC 7426 for the purpose of benchmarking SDN controllers. The terms provided in this document help to benchmark SDN controller's performance independent of the controller's supported protocols and/or network services. A mechanism for benchmarking the performance of SDN controllers is defined in the companion methodology document. These two documents provide a standard mechanism to measure and evaluate the performance of various controller implementations.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress.

This Internet-Draft will expire on June 10, 2018.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2018 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction....4
2. Term Definitions....4
  2.1. SDN Terms....4
    2.1.1. Flow....4
    2.1.2. Northbound Interface....5
    2.1.3. Controller Forwarding Table....5
    2.1.4. Proactive Flow Provisioning Mode....5
    2.1.5. Reactive Flow Provisioning Mode....6
    2.1.6. Path....6
    2.1.7. Standalone Mode....6
    2.1.8. Cluster/Redundancy Mode....7
    2.1.9. Asynchronous Message....7
    2.1.10. Test Traffic Generator....8
  2.2. Test Configuration/Setup Terms....8
    2.2.1. Number of Network Devices....8
    2.2.2. Trial Repetition....8
    2.2.3. Trial Duration....9
    2.2.4. Number of Cluster nodes....9
  2.3. Benchmarking Terms....10
    2.3.1. Performance....10
      2.3.1.1. Network Topology Discovery Time....10
      2.3.1.2. Asynchronous Message Processing Time....10
      2.3.1.3. Asynchronous Message Processing Rate....11
      2.3.1.4. Reactive Path Provisioning Time....12
      2.3.1.5. Proactive Path Provisioning Time....12
      2.3.1.6. Reactive Path Provisioning Rate....13
      2.3.1.7. Proactive Path Provisioning Rate....14
      2.3.1.8. Network Topology Change Detection Time....14

2.3.2. Scalability.....15
2.3.2.1. Control Sessions Capacity.....15
2.3.2.2. Network Discovery Size.....15
2.3.2.3. Forwarding Table Capacity.....16
2.3.3. Security.....16
2.3.3.1. Exception Handling.....16
2.3.3.2. Denial of Service Handling.....17
2.3.4. Reliability.....17
2.3.4.1. Controller Failover Time.....17
2.3.4.2. Network Re-Provisioning Time.....18
3. Test Setup.....18
3.1. Test setup - Controller working in Standalone Mode.....19
3.2. Test setup - Controller working in Cluster Mode.....20
4. Test Coverage.....21
5. References.....22
5.1. Normative References.....22
5.2. Informative References.....22
6. IANA Considerations.....22
7. Security Considerations.....22
8. Acknowledgements.....23
9. Authors' Addresses.....23

1. Introduction

Software Defined Networking (SDN) is a networking architecture in which network control is decoupled from the underlying forwarding function and is placed in a centralized location called the SDN controller. The SDN controller provides an abstraction of the underlying network and offers a global view of the overall network to applications and business logic. Thus, an SDN controller provides the flexibility to program, control, and manage network behaviour dynamically through standard interfaces. Since the network controls are logically centralized, the need to benchmark the SDN controller performance becomes significant. This document defines terms to benchmark various controller designs for performance, scalability, reliability and security, independent of northbound and southbound protocols.

Conventions used in this document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119.

2. Term Definitions

2.1. SDN Terms

The terms defined in this section are extensions to the terms defined in [RFC7426] "Software-Defined Networking (SDN): Layers and Architecture Terminology". This RFC should be referred before attempting to make use of this document.

2.1.1. Flow

Definition:
The definition of Flow is same as microflows defined in [RFC4689] Section 3.1.5.

Discussion:
A flow can be set of packets having same source address, destination address, source port and destination port, or any of these combinations.

Measurement Units:
N/A

See Also:
None

2.1.2. Northbound Interface

Definition:
The definition of northbound interface is same Service Interface defined in [RFC7426] .

Discussion:
The northbound interface allows SDN applications and orchestration systems to program and retrieve the network information through the SDN controller.

Measurement Units:
N/A

See Also:
None

2.1.3. Controller Forwarding Table

Definition:
A controller forwarding table contains flow entries learned in one of two ways: first, entries could be learned from traffic received through the data plane, or second, these entries could be statically provisioned on the controller and distributed to devices via the southbound interface.

Discussion:
The controller forwarding table has an aging mechanism which will be applied only for dynamically learned entries.

Measurement Units:
N/A

See Also:
None

2.1.4. Proactive Flow Provisioning Mode

Definition:
Controller programming flows in Network Devices based on the flow entries provisioned through controller's northbound interface.

Discussion:
Orchestration systems and SDN applications can define the network forwarding behaviour by programming the controller using proactive flow provisioning. The controller can then program the Network Devices with the pre-provisioned entries.

Measurement Units:
N/A

See Also:
None

2.1.5. Reactive Flow Provisioning Mode

Definition:
Controller programming flows in Network Devices based on the traffic received from Network Devices through controller's southbound interface

Discussion:
The SDN controller dynamically decides the forwarding behaviour based on the incoming traffic from the Network Devices. The controller then programs the Network Devices using Reactive Flow Provisioning.

Measurement Units:
N/A

See Also:
None

2.1.6. Path

Definition:
Refer to Section 5 in [RFC2330]

Discussion:
None

Measurement Units:
N/A

See Also:
None

2.1.7. Standalone Mode

Definition:

Single controller handling all control plane functionalities without redundancy, or the ability to provide high availability and/or automatic failover.

Discussion:
In standalone mode, one controller manages one or more network domains.

Measurement Units:
N/A

See Also:
None

2.1.8. Cluster/Redundancy Mode

Definition:
A group of 2 or more controllers handling all control plane functionalities.

Discussion:
In cluster mode, multiple controllers are teamed together for the purpose of load sharing and/or high availability. The controllers in the group may work in active/standby (master/slave) or active/active (equal) mode depending on the intended purpose.

Measurement Units:
N/A

See Also:
None

2.1.9. Asynchronous Message

Definition:
Any message from the Network Device that is generated for network events.

Discussion:
Control messages like flow setup request and response message is classified as asynchronous message. The controller has to return a response message. Note that the Network Device will not be in blocking mode and continues to send/receive other control messages.

Measurement Units:

N/A

See Also:
None

2.1.10. Test Traffic Generator

Definition:
Test Traffic Generator is an entity that generates/receives network traffic.

Discussion:
Test Traffic Generator typically connects with Network Devices to send/receive real-time network traffic.

Measurement Units:
N/A

See Also:
None

2.2. Test Configuration/Setup Terms

2.2.1. Number of Network Devices

Definition:
The number of Network Devices present in the defined test topology.

Discussion:
The Network Devices defined in the test topology can be deployed using real hardware or emulated in hardware platforms.

Measurement Units:
N/A

See Also:
None

2.2.2. Trial Repetition

Definition:
The number of times the test needs to be repeated.

Discussion:

The test needs to be repeated for multiple iterations to obtain a reliable metric. It is recommended that this test SHOULD be performed for at least 10 iterations to increase the confidence in measured result.

Measurement Units:
N/A

See Also:
None

2.2.3. Trial Duration

Definition:
Defines the duration of test trials for each iteration.

Discussion:
Trial duration forms the basis for stop criteria for benchmarking tests. Trials not completed within this time interval is considered as incomplete.

Measurement Units:
seconds

See Also:
None

2.2.4. Number of Cluster nodes

Definition:
Defines the number of controllers present in the controller cluster.

Discussion:
This parameter is relevant when testing the controller performance in clustering/teaming mode. The number of nodes in the cluster MUST be greater than 1.

Measurement Units:
N/A

See Also:
None

2.3. Benchmarking Terms

This section defines metrics for benchmarking the SDN controller. The procedure to perform the defined metrics is defined in the accompanying methodology document[I-D.sdn-controller-benchmark-meth]

2.3.1. Performance

2.3.1.1. Network Topology Discovery Time

Definition:
The time taken by controller(s) to determine the complete network topology, defined as the interval starting with the first discovery message from the controller(s) at its Southbound interface, ending with all features of the static topology determined.

Discussion:
Network topology discovery is key for the SDN controller to provision and manage the network. So it is important to measure how quickly the controller discovers the topology to learn the current network state. This benchmark is obtained by presenting a network topology (Tree, Mesh or Linear) with the given number of nodes to the controller and wait for the discovery process to complete. It is expected that the controller supports network discovery mechanism and uses protocol messages for its discovery process.

Measurement Units:
milliseconds

See Also:
None

2.3.1.2. Asynchronous Message Processing Time

Definition:
The time taken by controller(s) to process an asynchronous message, defined as the interval starting with an asynchronous message from a network device after the discovery of all the devices by the controller(s), ending with a response message from the controller(s) at its Southbound interface.

Discussion:
For SDN to support dynamic network provisioning, it is important to measure how quickly the controller responds to an event triggered from the network. The event could be any notification messages

generated by an Network Device upon arrival of a new flow, link down etc. This benchmark is obtained by sending asynchronous messages from every connected Network Devices one at a time for the defined trial duration. This test assumes that the controller will respond to the received asynchronous message.

Measurement Units:
milliseconds

See Also:
None

2.3.1.3. Asynchronous Message Processing Rate

Definition:
The number responses to asynchronous messages (such as new flow arrival notification message, etc.) for which the controller(s) performed processing and replied with a valid and productive (non-trivial) response message.

Discussion:
As SDN assures flexible network and agile provisioning, it is important to measure how many network events the controller can handle at a time. This benchmark is obtained by sending asynchronous messages from every connected Network Device at the rate that the controller processes (without dropping them). This test assumes that the controller responds to all the received asynchronous messages (the messages can be designed to elicit individual responses).

When sending asynchronous messages to the controller(s) at high rates, some messages or responses may be discarded or corrupted and require retransmission to controller(s). Therefore, a useful qualification on Asynchronous Message Processing Rate is whether the in-coming message count equals the response count in each trial. This is called the Loss-free Asynchronous Message Processing Rate.

Note that several of the early controller benchmarking tools did not consider lost messages, and instead report the maximum response rate. This is called the Maximum Asynchronous Message Processing Rate.

To characterize both the Loss-free and Maximum Rates, a test could begin the first trial by sending asynchronous messages to the controller(s) at the maximum possible rate and record the message reply rate and the message loss rate. The message sending rate is

then decreased by the step-size. The message reply rate and the message loss rate are recorded. The test ends with a trial where the controller(s) processes the all asynchronous messages sent without loss. This is the Loss-free Asynchronous Message Processing Rate.

The trial where the controller(s) produced the maximum response rate is the Maximum Asynchronous Message Processing Rate. Of course, the first trial could begin at a low sending rate with zero lost responses, and increase until the Loss-free and Maximum Rates are discovered.

Measurement Units:
Messages processed per second.

See Also:
None

2.3.1.4. Reactive Path Provisioning Time

Definition:
The time taken by the controller to setup a path reactively between source and destination node, defined as the interval starting with the first flow provisioning request message received by the controller(s), ending with the last flow provisioning response message sent from the controller(s) at it Southbound interface.

Discussion:
As SDN supports agile provisioning, it is important to measure how fast that the controller provisions an end-to-end flow in the dataplane. The benchmark is obtained by sending traffic from a source endpoint to the destination endpoint, finding the time difference between the first and the last flow provisioning message exchanged between the controller and the Network Devices for the traffic path.

Measurement Units:
milliseconds.

See Also:
None

2.3.1.5. Proactive Path Provisioning Time

Definition:
The time taken by the controller to proactively setup a path between source and destination node, defined as the interval starting with the first proactive flow provisioned in the controller(s) at its

Northbound interface, ending with the last flow provisioning command message sent from the controller(s) at it Southbound interface.

Discussion:
For SDN to support pre-provisioning of traffic path from application, it is important to measure how fast that the controller provisions an end-to-end flow in the dataplane. The benchmark is obtained by provisioning a flow on controller's northbound interface for the traffic to reach from a source to a destination endpoint, finding the time difference between the first and the last flow provisioning message exchanged between the controller and the Network Devices for the traffic path.

Measurement Units:
milliseconds.

See Also:
None

2.3.1.6. Reactive Path Provisioning Rate

Definition:
The maximum number of independent paths a controller can concurrently establish between source and destination nodes reactively, defined as the number of paths provisioned by the controller(s) at its Southbound interface for the flow provisioning requests received for path provisioning at its Southbound interface between the start of the trial and the expiry of given trial duration.

Discussion:
For SDN to support agile traffic forwarding, it is important to measure how many end-to-end flows that the controller could setup in the dataplane. This benchmark is obtained by sending traffic each with unique source and destination pairs from the source Network Device and determine the number of frames received at the destination Network Device.

Measurement Units:
Paths provisioned per second.

See Also:
None

2.3.1.7. Proactive Path Provisioning Rate

Definition:
Measure the maximum number of independent paths a controller can concurrently establish between source and destination nodes proactively, defined as the number of paths provisioned by the controller(s) at its Southbound interface for the paths provisioned in its Northbound interface between the start of the trial and the expiry of given trial duration.

Discussion:
For SDN to support pre-provisioning of traffic path for a larger network from the application, it is important to measure how many end-to-end flows that the controller could setup in the dataplane. This benchmark is obtained by sending traffic each with unique source and destination pairs from the source Network Device. Program the flows on controller's northbound interface for traffic to reach from each of the unique source and destination pairs and determine the number of frames received at the destination Network Device.

Measurement Units:
Paths provisioned per second.

See Also:
None

2.3.1.8. Network Topology Change Detection Time

Definition:
The amount of time required for the controller to detect any changes in the network topology, defined as the interval starting with the notification message received by the controller(s) at its Southbound interface, ending with the first topology rediscovery messages sent from the controller(s) at its Southbound interface.

Discussion:
In order to for the controller to support fast network failure recovery, it is critical to measure how fast the controller is able to detect any network-state change events. This benchmark is obtained by triggering a topology change event and measuring the time controller takes to detect and initiate a topology re-discovery process.

Measurement Units:
milliseconds

See Also:

None

2.3.2. Scalability

2.3.2.1. Control Sessions Capacity

Definition:
Measure the maximum number of control sessions the controller can maintain, defined as the number of sessions that the controller can accept from network devices, starting with the first control session, ending with the last control session that the controller(s) accepts at its Southbound interface.

Discussion:
Measuring the controller's control sessions capacity is important to determine the controller's system and bandwidth resource requirements. This benchmark is obtained by establishing control session with the controller from each of the Network Device until it fails. The number of sessions that were successfully established will provide the Control Sessions Capacity.

Measurement Units:
N/A

See Also:
None

2.3.2.2. Network Discovery Size

Definition:
Measure the network size (number of nodes, links and hosts) that a controller can discover, defined as the size of a network that the controller(s) can discover, starting from a network topology given by the user for discovery, ending with the topology that the controller(s) could successfully discover.

Discussion:
For optimal network planning, it is key to measure the maximum network size that the controller can discover. This benchmark is obtained by presenting an initial set of Network Devices for discovery to the controller. Based on the initial discovery, the number of Network Devices is increased or decreased to determine the maximum nodes that the controller can discover.

Measurement Units:

N/A

See Also:
None

2.3.2.3. Forwarding Table Capacity

Definition:
The maximum number of flow entries that a controller can manage in its Forwarding table.

Discussion:
It is significant to measure the capacity of controller's Forwarding Table to determine the number of flows that controller could forward without flooding/dropping. This benchmark is obtained by continuously presenting the controller with new flow entries through reactive or proactive flow provisioning mode until the forwarding table becomes full. The maximum number of nodes that the controller can hold in its Forwarding Table will provide Forwarding Table Capacity.

Measurement Units:
Maximum number of flow entries managed.

See Also:
None

2.3.3. Security

2.3.3.1. Exception Handling

Definition:
To determine the effect of handling error packets and notifications on performance tests.

Discussion:
This benchmark test is to be performed after obtaining the baseline performance of the performance tests defined in Section 2.3.1. This benchmark determines the deviation from the baseline performance due to the handling of error or failure messages from the connected Network Devices.

Measurement Units:
N/A

See Also:

None

2.3.3.2. Denial of Service Handling

Definition:
To determine the effect of handling denial of service (DoS) attacks on performance and scalability tests.

Discussion:
This benchmark test is to be performed after obtaining the baseline performance of the performance and scalability tests defined in section 2.3.1 and section 2.3.1.. This benchmark determines the deviation from the baseline performance due to the handling of denial of service attacks on controller.

Measurement Units:
Deviation of baseline metrics while handling Denial of Service Attacks.

See Also:
None

2.3.4. Reliability

2.3.4.1. Controller Failover Time

Definition:
The time taken to switch from an active controller to the backup controller, when the controllers work in redundancy mode and the active controller fails, defined as the interval starting with the active controller bringing down, ending with the first re-discovery message received from the new controller at its Southbound interface.

Discussion:
This benchmark determine the impact of provisioning new flows when controllers are teamed and the active controller fails.

Measurement Units:
milliseconds.

See Also:
None

2.3.4.2. Network Re-Provisioning Time

Definition:
The time taken to re-route the traffic by the Controller, when there is a failure in existing traffic paths, defined as the interval starting from the first failure notification message received by the controller, ending with the last flow re-provisioning message sent by the controller at its Southbound interface .

Discussion:
This benchmark determines the controller's re-provisioning ability upon network failures. This benchmark test assumes the following:

i. Network topology supports redundant path between source and destination endpoints.
ii. Controller does not pre-provision the redundant path.

Measurement Units:
milliseconds.

See Also:
None

3. Test Setup

This section provides common reference topologies that are later referred to in individual tests defined in the companion methodology document.

3.1. Test setup - Controller working in Standalone Mode

```
+-------------------------------------------------------------+
|               Application Plane Test Emulator               |
|                                                             |
|        +-----------------+      +-------------+            |
|        |   Application   |      |   Service   |            |
|        +-----------------+      +-------------+            |
|                                                             |
+-----------------------------+(I2)-------------------------+
                              |
                              |
                              | (Northbound interface)
             +-------------------------------+
             |       +----------------+      |
             |       | SDN Controller |      |
             |       +----------------+      |
             |                               |
             |    Device Under Test (DUT)    |
             +-------------------------------+
                              | (Southbound interface)
                              |
                              |
+-----------------------------+(I1)-------------------------+
|                                                             |
|          +-----------+              +-----------+          |
|          |  Network  |l1        ln-1|  Network  |          |
|          |  Device 1 |---- .... ----|  Device n |          |
|          +-----------+              +-----------+          |
|               |l0                        |ln                |
|               |                          |                  |
|               |                          |                  |
|       +---------------+          +---------------+         |
|       | Test Traffic  |          | Test Traffic  |         |
|       |  Generator    |          |  Generator    |         |
|       |    (TP1)      |          |    (TP2)      |         |
|       +---------------+          +---------------+         |
|                                                             |
|              Forwarding Plane Test Emulator                 |
+-------------------------------------------------------------+
```

Figure 1

3.2. Test setup - Controller working in Cluster Mode

```
+-------------------------------------------------------------+
|               Application Plane Test Emulator               |
|                                                             |
|        +-----------------+      +-------------+            |
|        |   Application   |      |   Service   |            |
|        +-----------------+      +-------------+            |
|                                                             |
+-----------------------------+(I2)-------------------------+
                              |
                              |
                              | (Northbound interface)
 +-----------------------------------------------------------+
 |                                                           |
 |  ------------------             ------------------        |
 | | SDN Controller 1 | <--E/W--> | SDN Controller n |       |
 |  ------------------             ------------------        |
 |                                                           |
 |                    Device Under Test (DUT)                |
 +-----------------------------------------------------------+
                              | (Southbound interface)
                              |
                              |
+-----------------------------+(I1)-------------------------+
|                                                             |
|          +-----------+             +-----------+          |
|          |  Network  |l1        ln-1|  Network  |          |
|          |  Device 1 |---- .... ----|  Device n |          |
|          +-----------+             +-----------+          |
|               |l0                        |ln               |
|               |                          |                 |
|               |                          |                 |
|       +---------------+          +---------------+        |
|       | Test Traffic  |          | Test Traffic  |        |
|       |  Generator    |          |  Generator    |        |
|       |    (TP1)      |          |    (TP2)      |        |
|       +---------------+          +---------------+        |
|                                                             |
|              Forwarding Plane Test Emulator                 |
+-------------------------------------------------------------+
```

Figure 2

4. Test Coverage

	Speed	Scalability	Reliability
Setup	1. Network Topolo-gy Discovery 2. Reactive Path Provisioning Time 3. Proactive Path Provisioning Time 4. Reactive Path Provisioning Rate 5. Proactive Path Provisioning Rate	1. Network Discovery Size	
Operational	1. Maximum Asynchronous Message Proces-sing Rate 2. Loss-Free Asynchronous Message Proces-sing Rate 3. Asynchronous Message Proces-sing Time	1. Control Sessions Capacity 2. Forwarding Table Capacity	1. Network Topology Change Detection Time 2. Exception Handling Detection Time 3. Denial of Service Handling 4. Network Re-Provisioning Time
Tear Down			1. Controller Failover Time

5. References

5.1. Normative References

[RFC7426] E. Haleplidis, K. Pentikousis, S. Denazis, J. Hadi Salim, D. Meyer, O. Koufopavlou "Software-Defined Networking (SDN): Layers and Architecture Terminology", RFC 7426, January 2015.

[RFC4689] S. Poretsky, J. Perser, S. Erramilli, S. Khurana "Terminology for Benchmarking Network-layer Traffic Control Mechanisms", RFC 4689, October 2006.

[RFC2330] V. Paxson, G. Almes, J. Mahdavi, M. Mathis, "Framework for IP Performance Metrics", RFC 2330, May 1998.

[I-D.sdn-controller-benchmark-meth] Bhuvaneswaran.V, Anton Basil, Mark.T, Vishwas Manral, Sarah Banks "Benchmarking Methodology for SDN Controller Performance", draft-ietf-bmwg-sdn-controller-benchmark-meth-07 (Work in progress), January 10, 2018

5.2. Informative References

[OpenFlow Switch Specification] ONF,"OpenFlow Switch Specification" Version 1.4.0 (Wire Protocol 0x05), October 14, 2013.

6. IANA Considerations

This document does not have any IANA requests.

7. Security Considerations

Security issues are not discussed in this memo.

8. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge Al Morton (AT&T) for the significant contributions to the earlier versions of this document. The authors would like to thank the following individuals for providing their valuable comments to the earlier versions of this document: Sandeep Gangadharan (HP), M. Georgescu (NAIST), Andrew McGregor (Google), Scott Bradner (Harvard University), Jay Karthik (Cisco), Ramakrishnan (Dell), Khasanov Boris (Huawei).

9. Authors' Addresses

Bhuvaneswaran Vengainathan
Veryx Technologies Inc.
1 International Plaza, Suite 550
Philadelphia
PA 19113

Email: bhuvaneswaran.vengainathan@veryxtech.com

Anton Basil
Veryx Technologies Inc.
1 International Plaza, Suite 550
Philadelphia
PA 19113

Email: anton.basil@veryxtech.com

Mark Tassinari
Hewlett-Packard,
8000 Foothills Blvd,
Roseville, CA 95747

Email: mark.tassinari@hpe.com

Vishwas Manral
Nano Sec,CA

Email: vishwas.manral@gmail.com

Sarah Banks
VSS Monitoring
930 De Guigne Drive,
Sunnyvale, CA

Email: sbanks@encrypted.net