Definitions of Managed Objects for Bridges with Rapid Spanning Tree Protocol

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is subject to all provisions of Section 10 of RFC2026. Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/1id-abstracts.html

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2001). All Rights Reserved.

Abstract

This memo defines a portion of the Management Information Base (MIB) for use with network management protocols in TCP/IP based internets. In particular, it defines a MIB module for managing the Rapid Spanning Tree capability defined by the IEEE P802.1t [802.1t] and P802.1w [802.1w] amendments to IEEE Std 802.1D-1998 for bridging between Local Area Network (LAN) segments.

Provisions are made for support of transparent bridging. Provisions are also made so that these objects apply to bridges connected by subnetworks other than LAN segments. This memo also includes a MIB module in a manner that is compliant to SMIv2 [RFC2578].

This memo supplements RFC 1493 [BRIDGEMIB] and RFC 2674 [Q-BRIDGE-MIB].

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> The SNMP Management Framework	<u>3</u>
<u>2</u> Overview	<u>4</u>
<u>2.1</u> Scope	<u>4</u>
<u>3</u> Structure of MIBs	<u>4</u>
3.1 Structure of RSTP-MIB	<u>4</u>
3.4 Relationship to Other MIBs	<u>5</u>
3.4.1 Relationship to Original Bridge MIB	<u>5</u>
3.4.1.1 The dot1dBase Group	<u>5</u>
3.4.1.2 The dot1dStp Group	<u>5</u>
3.4.1.3 The dot1dTp Group	<u>6</u>
3.4.1.4 The dot1dStatic Group	<u>6</u>
<u>4</u> Definition for RSTP-MIB	7
5 Acknowledgments	<u>13</u>
<u>6</u> Security consideration	<u>13</u>
<u>7</u> References	<u>14</u>
<u>8</u> Authors' Addresses	<u>16</u>
<u>9</u> Full Copyright	<u>17</u>

[Page 2]

1. The SNMP Management Framework

The SNMP Management Framework presently consists of five major components:

- o An overall architecture, described in <u>RFC 2571</u> [<u>RFC2571</u>].
- Mechanisms for describing and naming objects and events for the purpose of management. The first version of this Structure of Management Information (SMI) is called SMIv1 and described in STD 16, <u>RFC 1155</u> [<u>RFC1155</u>], STD 16, <u>RFC 1212</u> [<u>RFC1212</u>] and <u>RFC 1215</u> [<u>RFC1215</u>]. The second version, called SMIv2, is described in STD 58, <u>RFC 2578</u> [<u>RFC2578</u>], STD 58, <u>RFC 2579</u> [<u>RFC2579</u>] and STD 58, <u>RFC 2580</u> [<u>RFC2580</u>].
- Message protocols for transferring management information. The first version of the SNMP message protocol is called SNMPv1 and described in STD 15, <u>RFC 1157</u> [<u>RFC1157</u>]. A second version of the SNMP message protocol, which is not an Internet standards track protocol, is called SNMPv2c and described in <u>RFC 1901</u> [<u>RFC1901</u>] and <u>RFC 1906</u> [<u>RFC1906</u>]. The third version of the message protocol is called SNMPv3 and described in <u>RFC 1906</u> [<u>RFC1906</u>], <u>RFC 2572</u> [<u>RFC2572</u>] and <u>RFC 2574</u> [<u>RFC2574</u>].
- Protocol operations for accessing management information. The first set of protocol operations and associated PDU formats is described in STD 15, <u>RFC 1157</u> [<u>RFC1157</u>]. A second set of protocol operations and associated PDU formats is described in <u>RFC 1905</u> [<u>RFC1905</u>].
- A set of fundamental applications described in <u>RFC 2573</u> [<u>RFC2573</u>] and the view-based access control mechanism described in <u>RFC 2575</u> [<u>RFC2575</u>].

A more detailed introduction to the current SNMP Management Framework can be found in <u>RFC 2570</u> [<u>RFC2570</u>].

Managed objects are accessed via a virtual information store, termed the Management Information Base or MIB. Objects in the MIB are defined using the mechanisms defined in the SMI.

This memo specifies a MIB module that is compliant to the SMIv2. A MIB conforming to the SMIv1 can be produced through the appropriate translations. The resulting translated MIB must be semantically equivalent, except where objects or events are omitted because no translation is possible (use of Counter64). Some machine readable information in SMIv2 will be converted into textual descriptions in SMIv1 during the translation process. However, this loss of machine

[Page 3]

readable information is not considered to change the semantics of the MIB.

2. Overview

A common device present in many networks is the Bridge. This device is used to connect Local Area Network segments below the network layer. These devices are often known as 'layer 2 switches'.

There are two major modes defined for this bridging: Source-Route and transparent. Source-Route bridging is described by IEEE 802.5 [802.5] and is not discussed further in this document.

The transparent method of bridging is defined by IEEE 802.1D-1998 [802.1D] Managed objects for that original specification of transparent bridging were defined in <u>RFC 1493</u> [<u>BRIDGEMIB</u>].

2.1. Scope

This MIB includes a comprehensive set of managed objects which attempts to match the set defined in IEEE P802.1t [802.1t] and P802.1w [802.1w].

<u>3</u>. Structure of MIBs

This document defines additional managed objects for Rapid Spanning Tree Protocol defined by IEEE P802.1t and IEEE P802.1w, on top of those existing in the original BRIDGE-MIB module defined in [BRIDGEMIB]: that MIB module is to be maintained unchanged for backwards compatibility. <u>Section 3.4.1</u> of the present document contains some recommendations regarding usage of objects in the original bridge MIB by devices implementing the enhancements defined here.

3.1. Structure of RSTP-MIB

Objects in this MIB are defined as an addition to the dot1dStp group in the original bridge MIB [BRIDGE-MIB]. The overall structure is shown below:

[Page 4]

Internet Draft

Bridge MIB extensions 2

Bridge MIB Name	IEEE P802.1t(t) or 802.1w(w) Reference
dot1dStp	
dot1dStpVersion	(w) 17.6.1 ForceVersion
dot1dStpTxHoldCount	(w) 17.16.6 TxHoldCount
dot1dStpPathCostDefault	
dot1dStpExtPortTable	
dot1dStpPortProtocolMigration	(w) 17.18.10 mcheck
dot1dStpPortAdminEdgePort	(t) 18.3.3 adminEdgePort
dot1dStpPortOperEdgePort	(t) 18.3.4 operEdgePort
dot1dStpPortAdminPointToPoint	<pre>(w) 6.4.3 adminPointToPointMAC</pre>
dot1dStpPortOperPointToPoint	<pre>(w) 6.4.3 operPointToPointMAC</pre>

3.4. Relationship to Other MIBs

As described above, some IEEE 802.1D management objects have not been included in this MIB because they overlap with objects in other MIBs applicable to a bridge implementing this MIB. In particular, it is assumed that a bridge implementing this MIB will implement the original bridge MIB [BRIDGEMIB].

3.4.1. Relation to Original Bridge MIB

This section defines how objects in the original bridge MIB module [BRIDGEMIB] should be represented for devices which implement all the MIB modules described in this memo. Some of the old objects are less useful in such devices but must still be implemented for reasons of backwards compatibility.

<u>3.4.1.1</u>. The dot1dBase Group

This mandatory group contains the objects which are applicable to all types of bridges. Interpretation of this group is unchanged.

3.4.1.2. The dot1dStp Group

This group contains the objects that denote the bridge's state with respect to the Spanning Tree Protocol. If a node does not implement the Spanning Tree Protocol, this group will not be implemented.

In a device supporting the Spanning Tree Algorithm and Protocol defined in IEEE 802.1D-1998 Clause 8, interpretation of this group is unchanged.

In a device supporting the Rapid Spanning Tree Algorithm and Protocol defined in IEEE 802.1w Clause 17, the interpretation of objects in this group is unchanged except for those listed below:

[Page 5]

dot1dStpPriority Definition remains unchanged, but the permissible values are changed to 0-61440, in steps of 4096.

dot1dStpPortPriority

Definition remains unchanged, but the permissible values are changed to 0-240, in steps of 16.

dot1dStpTimeSinceTopologyChange

The time since the tcWhile timer for any port on this Bridge was non-zero.

dot1dStpTopChanges

The number of times that there have been at least one non-zero tcWhile timer on this Bridge.

In a device supporting the 32-bit default Path Costs defined in IEEE 802.1t Table 8-5, the interpretation of objects in this group is unchanged except for the following:

dot1dStpPortPathCost

Definition remains unchanged, but the permissible values are extended to 1-200,000,000.

3.4.1.3. The dot1dTp Group

This group contains objects that describe the entity's state with respect to transparent bridging. Interpretation for this group is unchanged.

<u>3.4.1.4</u>. The dot1dStatic Group

This group contains objects that describe the entity's state with respect to destination-address filtering. Interpretation for this group is unchanged.

[Page 6]

```
Internet Draft
                    Bridge MIB extensions 2
                                                   March 2002
4. Definitions for RSTP-MIB
RSTP-MIB DEFINITIONS ::= BEGIN
______
-- MIB for IEEE 802.1w Rapid Spanning Tree Protocol
-- -----
                                          TMPORTS
   MODULE-IDENTITY, OBJECT-TYPE
      FROM SNMPv2-SMI
   TruthValue
      FROM SNMPv2-TC
   MODULE-COMPLIANCE, OBJECT-GROUP
      FROM SNMPv2-CONF
   dot1dBridge, dot1dStp, dot1dStpPortEntry
      FROM BRIDGE-MIB;
rstpMIB MODULE-IDENTITY
   LAST-UPDATED "200111160000Z"
   ORGANIZATION "IETF Bridge MIB Working Group"
   CONTACT-INFO
      "Email: Bridge-mib@ietf.org"
   DESCRIPTION
      "The Bridge MIB Extension module for managing devices
       that support the Rapid Spanning Tree Protocol defined
       by IEEE 802.1w."
   REVISION
             "200111160000Z"
   DESCRIPTION
       "Draft 1"
   ::= { dot1dBridge 11 }
_____
-- Addition to the dot1dStp group
_____
dot1dStpVersion OBJECT-TYPE
   SYNTAX
             INTEGER {
                stpCompatible(0),
                rstp(2)
             }
   MAX-ACCESS read-write
   STATUS
          current
   DESCRIPTION
      "The version of Spanning Tree Protocol the bridge is
       currently running. The value 'stpCompatible(0)'
       indicates the Spanning Tree Protocol specified in
       IEEE 802.1D and 'rstp(2)' indicates the Rapid Spanning
```

[Page 7]

```
"IEEE 802.1w clause 14.8.1, 17.12, 17.16.1"
    DEFVAL
                { rstp }
    ::= { dot1dStp 16 }
dot1dStpTxHoldCount OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX
               INTEGER (1..10)
   MAX-ACCESS read-write
               current
    STATUS
    DESCRIPTION
        "The value used by the Port Transmit state machine to limit
        the maximum transmission rate."
    REFERENCE
        "IEEE 802.1w clause 17.16.6"
               { 3 }
    DEFVAL
    ::= { dot1dStp 17 }
dot1dStpPathCostDefault OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX
                INTEGER {
                    stp8021d1998(1),
                    stp8021t2001(2)
                }
    MAX-ACCESS read-write
    STATUS
               current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The version of the Spanning Tree default Path Costs that
         are to be used by this Bridge. A value of 8021d1998(1)
         uses the 16-bit default Path Costs from IEEE Std. 802.1D-1998.
         A value of stp8021t2001(2) uses the 32-bit default Path
         Costs from IEEE Std. 802.1t."
    REFERENCE
        "IEEE 802.1D & 802.1t Table 8-5"
    ::= { dot1dStp 18 }
dot1dStpExtPortTable OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX
               SEQUENCE OF Dot1dStpExtPortEntry
    MAX-ACCESS not-accessible
    STATUS
            current
    DESCRIPTION
        "A table that contains port-specific Rapid Spanning Tree
         information."
    ::= { dot1dStp 19 }
dot1dStpExtPortEntry OBJECT-TYPE
```

```
SYNTAX Dot1dStpExtPortEntry
```

Internet Draft

REFERENCE

available."

Tree Protocol specified in IEEE 802.1w. New value may be defined as future versions of the protocol become

[Page 8]

```
MAX-ACCESS not-accessible
    STATUS
                current
    DESCRIPTION
        "A list of Rapid Spanning Tree information maintained by
         each port."
    AUGMENTS
                { dot1dStpPortEntry }
    ::= { dot1dStpExtPortTable 1 }
Dot1dStpExtPortEntry ::=
    SEQUENCE {
        dot1dStpPortProtocolMigration
            TruthValue,
        dot1dStpPortAdminEdgePort
            TruthValue,
        dot1dStpPortOperEdgePort
            TruthValue,
        dot1dStpPortAdminPointToPoint
            INTEGER,
        dot1dStpPortOperPointToPoint
            TruthValue
    }
dot1dStpPortProtocolMigration OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX
                TruthValue
    MAX-ACCESS read-write
    STATUS
               current
    DESCRIPTION
        "When operating in RSTP (version 2) mode, writing TRUE(1)
         to this object forces this port to transmit RSTP BPDUs.
         Any other operation on this object has no effect and
         it always returns FALSE(2) when read."
    REFERENCE
        "IEEE 802.1w clause 14.8.2.4, 17.18.10, 17.26"
    ::= { dot1dStpExtPortEntry 1 }
dot1dStpPortAdminEdgePort OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX
                TruthValue
    MAX-ACCESS read-write
    STATUS
                current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The administrative value of the Edge Port parameter. A
         value of TRUE(1) indicates that this port should be
         assumed as an edge-port and a value of FALSE(2) indicates
         that this port should be assumed as a non-edge-port."
    REFERENCE
        "IEEE 802.1t clause 14.8.2, 18.3.3"
    ::= { dot1dStpExtPortEntry 2 }
```

Bridge MIB extensions 2

Internet Draft

[Page 9]

```
dot1dStpPortOperEdgePort OBJECT-TYPE
   SYNTAX
               TruthValue
   MAX-ACCESS read-only
    STATUS
               current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The operational value of the Edge Port parameter. The
        object is initialized to the value of
        dot1dStpPortAdminEdgePort and is set FALSE on reception of
        a BPDU."
    REFERENCE
        "IEEE 802.1t clause 14.8.2, 18.3.4"
    ::= { dot1dStpExtPortEntry 3 }
dot1dStpPortAdminPointToPoint OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX
               INTEGER {
                    forceTrue(0),
                    forceFalse(1),
                    auto(2)
                }
   MAX-ACCESS read-write
    STATUS
               current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The administrative point-to-point status of the LAN segment
        attached to this port. A value of forceTrue(0) indicates that
        this port should always be treated as if it is connected to
        a point-to-point link. A value of forceFalse(1) indicates
        that this port should be treated as having a shared media
        connection. A value of auto(2) indicates that this port is
        considered to have a point-to-point link if it is an Aggregator
        and all of its members are aggregatable, or if the MAC entity
        is configured for full duplex operation, either through
        auto-negotiation or by management means."
  REFERENCE
       "IEEE 802.1w clause 6.4.3, 6.5, 14.8.2"
   ::= { dot1dStpExtPortEntry 4 }
dot1dStpPortOperPointToPoint OBJECT-TYPE
   SYNTAX
               TruthValue
   MAX-ACCESS read-only
    STATUS
               current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The operational point-to-point status of the LAN segment
        attached to this port. It indicates whether a port is
        considered to have a point-to-point connection or not.
        The value is determined by management or by auto-detection,
        as described in the dot1dStpPortAdminPointToPoint object."
    REFERENCE
        "IEEE 802.1w clause 6.4.3, 6.5, 14.8.2"
```

Bridge MIB extensions 2

Internet Draft

[Page 10]

```
::= { dot1dStpExtPortEntry 5 }
_____
-- rstpMIB - Conformance Information
_____
rstpConformance OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { rstpMIB 1 }
rstpGroups OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { rstpConformance 1 }
rstpCompliances OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { rstpConformance 2 }
-- -----
-- Units of conformance
_____
rstpBridgeGroup OBJECT-GROUP
   OBJECTS {
      dot1dStpVersion,
      dot1dStpTxHoldCount
   }
   STATUS current
   DESCRIPTION
      "Rapid Spanning Tree information for the bridge."
   ::= { rstpGroups 1 }
rstpDefaultPathCostGroup OBJECT-GROUP
   OBJECTS {
      dot1dStpPathCostDefault
   }
   STATUS current
   DESCRIPTION
      "Default Spanning Tree path cost information."
   ::= { rstpGroups 2 }
rstpPortGroup OBJECT-GROUP
   OBJECTS {
      dot1dStpPortProtocolMigration,
      dot1dStpPortAdminEdgePort,
      dot1dStpPortOperEdgePort,
      dot1dStpPortAdminPointToPoint,
      dot1dStpPortOperPointToPoint
   }
   STATUS
           current
   DESCRIPTION
      "Rapid Spanning Tree information for individual ports."
   ::= { rstpGroups 3 }
```

[Page 11]

```
Internet Draft
                   Bridge MIB extensions 2
                                                March 2002
_____
-- Compliance statements
_____
rstpCompliance MODULE-COMPLIANCE
   STATUS
           current
   DESCRIPTION
      "The compliance statement for device support of bridging
       services."
   MODULE
      MANDATORY-GROUPS {
         rstpBridgeGroup,
         rstpPortGroup
      }
      GROUP rstpDefaultPathCostGroup
         DESCRIPTION
            "Support for this group is mandatory only if
             both 16-bit and 32-bit Path Costs are supported."
   ::= { rstpCompliances 1 }
```

```
END
```

Ngai et. al Expires August 2002 [Page 12]

5. Acknowledgments

This document was produced on behalf of the Bridge MIB Working Group in the Operations and Management area of the Internet Engineering Task Force.

The authors wish to thank the members of the Bridge MIB Working Group for their comments and suggestions which improved this effort.

<u>6</u>. Security Considerations

There are a number of management objects defined in this MIB that have a MAX-ACCESS clause of read-write and/or read-create. Such objects may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. The support for SET operations in a non-secure environment without proper protection can have a negative effect on network operations.

SNMPv1 by itself is not a secure environment. Even if the network itself is secure (for example by using IPSec), even then, there is no control as to who on the secure network is allowed to access and GET/SET (read/change/create/delete) the objects in this MIB.

It is recommended that the implementers consider the security features as provided by the SNMPv3 framework. Specifically, the use of the User-based Security Model [USM] and the View-based Access Control Model [VACM] is recommended.

Ngai et. al Expires August 2002 [Page 13]

Internet Draft

Bridge MIB extensions 2

References

- [RFC2571] Harrington, D., Presuhn, R., and B. Wijnen, An Architecture for Describing SNMP Management Frameworks, <u>RFC 2571</u>, April 1999.
- [RFC1155] Rose, M., and K. McCloghrie, Structure and Identification of Management Information for TCP/IP-based Internets, STD 16, <u>RFC 1155</u>, May 1990.
- [RFC1212] Rose, M., and K. McCloghrie, Concise MIB Definitions, STD 16, <u>RFC 1212</u>, March 1991.
- [RFC1215] M. Rose, A Convention for Defining Traps for use with the SNMP, <u>RFC 1215</u>, March 1991.
- [RFC2578] McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., Schoenwaelder, J., Case, J., Rose, M., and S. Waldbusser, Structure of Management Information Version 2 (SMIv2), STD 58, <u>RFC 2578</u>, April 1999.
- [RFC2579] McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., Schoenwaelder, J., Case, J., Rose, M., and S. Waldbusser, Textual Conventions for SMIv2, STD 58, <u>RFC 2579</u>, April 1999.
- [RFC2580] McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., Schoenwaelder, J., Case, J., Rose, M., and S. Waldbusser, Conformance Statements for SMIv2, STD 58, <u>RFC 2580</u>, April 1999.
- [RFC1157] Case, J., Fedor, M., Schoffstall, M., and J. Davin, Simple Network Management Protocol, STD 15, <u>RFC 1157</u>, May 1990.
- [RFC1901] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M., and S. Waldbusser, Introduction to Community-based SNMPv2, <u>RFC 1901</u>, January 1996.
- [RFC1906] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M., and S. Waldbusser, Transport Mappings for Version 2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2), <u>RFC 1906</u>, January 1996.
- [RFC2572] Case, J., Harrington D., Presuhn R., and B. Wijnen, Message Processing and Dispatching for the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP), <u>RFC 2572</u>, April 1999.
- [RFC2574] Blumenthal, U., and B. Wijnen, User-based Security Model (USM) for version 3 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv3), <u>RFC 2574</u>, April 1999.

[Page 14]

Internet Draft Bridge MIB extensions 2

- [RFC1905] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M., and S. Waldbusser, Protocol Operations for Version 2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2), <u>RFC 1905</u>, January 1996.
- [RFC2573] Levi, D., Meyer, P., and B. Stewart, SNMPv3 Applications, <u>RFC 2573</u>, April 1999.
- [RFC2575] Wijnen, B., Presuhn, R., and K. McCloghrie, View-based Access Control Model (VACM) for the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP), RFC 2575, April 1999.
- [RFC2570] Case, J., Mundy, R., Partain, D., and B. Stewart, Introduction to Version 3 of the Internet-standard Network Management Framework, <u>RFC 2570</u>, April 1999.
- [RFC2674] Bell, E., Smith, A., Langille, P., Rijhsinghani, A. and McCloghrie, "Definitions of Managed Objects for Bridges with Traffic Classes, Multicast Filtering and Virtual LAN Extensions", <u>RFC 2674</u>, August 1999.
- [802.1D] "Information technology Telecommunications and information exchange between systems - Local and metropolitan area networks - Common specifications - Part 3: Media Access Control (MAC) Bridges: Revision. This is a revision of ISO/IEC 10038: 1993, 802.1j-1992 and 802.6k-1992. It incorporates P802.11c, P802.1p and P802.12e." ISO/IEC 15802-3: 1998.
- [BRIDGEMIB] Decker, E., Langille, P., Rijsinghani, A. and K. McCloghrie, "Definitions of Managed Objects for Bridges", <u>RFC 1493</u>, July 1993.
- [802.1t] IEEE 802.1t-2001, "(Amendment to IEEE Standard 802.1D) IEEE Standard for Information technology - Telecommunications and information exchange between systems - Local and metropolitan area networks - Common specifications - Part 3: Media Access Control (MAC) Bridges: Technical and Editorial Corrections".
- [802.1w] IEEE 802.1w-2001, "(Amendment to IEEE Standard 802.1D) IEEE Standard for Information technology--Telecommunications and information exchange between systems--Local and metropolitan area networks--Common Specifications--Part 3: Media Access Control (MAC) Bridges: Rapid Reconfiguation".

[Page 15]

9. Authors' Addresses

Les Bell 3Com Europe Limited eCom Centre, Boundary Way Hemel Hempstead Herts. HP2 7YU UK

Phone: +44 1442 438025 Email: Les_Bell@3Com.com

Vivian Ngai Enterasys Networks 2691 South Decker Lake Lane Salt Lake City, UT 84119 USA

Phone: +1 801-887-9802 Fax: +1 801-972-5789 Email: vngai@enterasys.com

Ngai et. al Expires August 2002 [Page 16]

9. Full Copyright

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (date). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Ngai et. al Expires August 2002 [Page 17]