

Network Working Group
Internet Draft
Updates: [RFC3471](#)
Category: Standards Track

Tomohiro Otani(Ed.)
KDDI
Dan Li(Ed.)
Huawei

Expires: October 2010

April 8, 2010

Generalized Labels for Lambda-Switching Capable Label Switching
Routers

[draft-ietf-ccamp-gmpls-g-694-lambda-labels-07.txt](#)

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at
<http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt>

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at
<http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 30, 2010.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2010 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in [Section 4.e](#) of the Trust

[draft-ietf-ccamp-gmpls-g-694-lambda-labels-07.txt](#)

April 2010

Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Abstract

Technology in the optical domain is constantly evolving and as a consequence new equipment providing lambda switching capability has been developed and is currently being deployed. However, [\[RFC3471\]](#) has defined that a wavelength label ([section 3.2.1.1](#)) "only has significance between two neighbors" and global wavelength continuity is not considered. In order to achieve interoperability in a network composed of next generation lambda switch-capable equipment, this document defines a standard lambda label format, being compliant with either [\[G.694.1\]](#) (DWDM-grid) or [\[G.694.2\]](#) (CWDM-grid). Moreover some consideration on how to ensure lambda continuity with RSVP-TE is provided. This document is a companion to the Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS) signaling. It defines the label format when Lambda Switching is requested in an all optical network.

Conventions used in this document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [\[RFC2119\]](#).

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction.....	3
2.	Assumed network model and related problem statement.....	3
3.	Label Related Formats.....	6
3.1.	Wavelength Labels.....	6
3.2.	DWDM Wavelength Label.....	7
3.3.	CWDM Wavelength Label.....	8
4.	Security Considerations.....	10
5.	IANA Considerations.....	10
6.	Acknowledgments.....	10
7.	References.....	10
7.1.	Normative References.....	10
7.2.	Informative References.....	11
8.	Author's Address.....	12
9.	Appendix A. DWDM Example.....	13

[1. Introduction](#)

As described in [[RFC3945](#)], Generalized MPLS (GMPLS) extends MPLS from supporting only packet (Packet Switching Capable – PSC) interfaces and switching to also include support for four new classes of interfaces and switching:

- o Layer-2 Switch Capable (L2SC)
- o Time-Division Multiplex (TDM)
- o Lambda Switch Capable (LSC)
- o Fiber-Switch Capable (FSC).

A functional description of the extensions to MPLS signaling needed to support new classes of interfaces and switching is provided in [[RFC3471](#)].

This document presents details that are specific to the use of GMPLS with a new generation of Lambda Switch Capable (LSC) equipment. Technologies such as Reconfigurable Optical Add/Drop Multiplex (ROADM) and Wavelength Cross-Connect (WXC) operate at the wavelength switching level. As such, the wavelength is important information that is necessary to set up a wavelength-based LSP appropriately and the wavelength defined in [[G.694.1](#)] or [[G.694.2](#)] is widely utilized.

[2. Assumed network model and related problem statement](#)

Figure 1 depicts an all-optically switched network consisting of different vendor's optical network domains. Vendor A's network consists of ROADM or WXC, and vendor B's network consists of number of photonic cross-connect (PXC) and Dense wavelength division multiplexing (DWDM) multiplexer & demultiplexer, otherwise both vendors' networks might be based on the same technology.

In this case, the use of standardized wavelength label information is

quite significant to establish a wavelength-based LSP. It is also an important constraint when conducting CSPF calculation for RSVP-TE signaling. The way the Constrained Shortest Path First (CSPF) is performed is outside the scope of this document.

It is needless to say, a LSP must be appropriately provisioned between a selected pair of ports not only within Domain A but also over multiple domains satisfying wavelength constraints.

Figure 2 illustrates in detail the interconnection between Domain A and Domain B.




Figure 1 Wavelength-based network model




Figure 2 Interconnecting details between two domains

In the scenario of Figure 1, consider the setting up of a bidirectional LSP from ingress switch 1 to egress switch 9. In order

to satisfy wavelength continuity constraint, a fixed wavelength (λ) needs to be used in domain A and domain B. A Path message will be used for the signaling, the PATH message must contain the upstream label and a label set object; both containing the same λ . The label set object is made by only one sub channel that must be same as the upstream label. The path setup will continue downstream to switch 9 by configuring each λ switch based on the wavelength label. This label allows the correct switching of λ switches and the label contents needs to be used over the inter-domain. As same above, the path setup will continue downstream to switch 9 by configuring λ switch based on multiple wavelength labels. If the node has a tunable wavelength transponder, the tuning wavelength is considered as a part of wavelength switching operation.

Not using a standardized label would add undue burden on the operator to enforce policy as each manufacturer may decide on a different representation and therefore each domain may have its own label formats. Moreover, manual provisioning may lead to misconfiguration if domain-specific labels are used.

Therefore, a wavelength label should be standardized in order to allow interoperability between multiple domains; otherwise appropriate existing labels are identified in support of wavelength availability. As identical wavelength information, the ITU-T frequency grid specified in [G.694.1] for Dense WDM (DWDM) and wavelength information in [G.694.2] for Coarse WDM (CWDM) are used by LSRs and should be followed as a wavelength label.

3. Label Related Formats

To deal with the widening scope of MPLS into the optical and time domains, several new forms of "label" have been defined in [RFC3471]. This section contains clarifications for the Wavelength label based on [G.694.1] or [G.694.2] and Label Set definition specific for LSC LSRs.

3.1. Wavelength Labels

In [section 3.2.1.1 of \[RFC3471\]](#), a Wavelength label is defined to have significance between two neighbors, and the receiver may need to convert the received value into a value that has local significance.

LSC equipment uses multiple wavelengths controlled by a single

control channel. In such case, the label indicates the wavelength to be used for the LSP. This document proposes to standardize the wavelength label. As an example of wavelength values, the reader is referred to [G.694.1] which lists the frequencies from the ITU-T DWDM frequency grid. The same can be done for CWDM technology by using the wavelength defined in [G.694.2]. In that sense, we can call wavelength labels.

Since the ITU-T DWDM grid is based on nominal central frequencies, we need to indicate the appropriate table, the channel spacing in the grid and a value n that allows the calculation of the frequency. That value can be positive or negative.

The frequency is calculated as such in [G.694.1]:

$$\text{Frequency (THz)} = 193.1 \text{ THz} + n * \text{channel spacing (THz)}$$

, where n is a two's-complement integer (positive, negative or 0) and channel spacing is defined to be 0.0125, 0.025, 0.05 or 0.1 THz. When wider channel spacing such as 0.2 THz is utilized, the combination of narrower channel spacing and the value n can provide proper frequency with that channel spacing. Channel spacing is not utilized to indicate the LSR capability but only to specify a frequency in signaling.

For the other example of the case of the ITU-T CWDM grid, the spacing between different channels was defined to be 20nm, so we need to pass the wavelength value in nm in this case. Examples of CWDM wavelengths are 1471, 1491, etc. nm.

The wavelength is calculated as follows

$$\text{Wavelength (nm)} = 1471 \text{ nm} + n * 20 \text{ nm}$$

, where n is a two's-complement integer (positive, negative or 0). The grids listed in [G.694.1] and [G.694.2] are not numbered and change with the changing frequency spacing as technology advances, so an index is not appropriate in this case.

[3.2. DWDM Wavelength Label](#)

For the case of DWDM, the information carried in a Wavelength label is:

0										1										2										3									
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	0	1								
Grid C.S										Identifier										n																			

(1) Grid: 3 bits

The value for grid is set to 1 for ITU-T DWDM Grid as defined in [\[G.694.1\]](#).

+-----+-----+		
Grid	Value	
+-----+-----+		
Reserved	0	
+-----+-----+		
ITU-T DWDM	1	
+-----+-----+		
ITU-T CWDM	2	
+-----+-----+		
Future use	3 - 7	
+-----+-----+		

(2) C.S.(channel spacing): 4 bits

DWDM channel spacing is defined as follows.

+-----+-----+		
C.S(GHz)	Value	
+-----+-----+		
Reserved	0	
+-----+-----+		
100	1	
+-----+-----+		
50	2	
+-----+-----+		
25	3	
+-----+-----+		
12.5	4	


```

+-----+-----+
|Future use|  5 - 15 |
+-----+-----+

```

(3) Identifier: 9 bits

The identifier field is a per-node assigned and scoped value. This field MAY change on a per-hop basis. In all cases but one, a node MAY select any value, including zero (0), for this field. Once selected, the value MUST NOT change until the LSP is torn down and the value MUST be used in all LSP related messages, e.g., in Resv messages and label RRO subobjects. The sole special case occurs when this label format is used in a label ERO subobject. In this case, the special value of zero (0) means that the referenced node MAY assign any Identifier field value, including zero (0), when establishing the corresponding LSP.

(4) n: 16 bits

n is a two's-complement integer to take either a negative, zero or a positive value. The value used to compute the frequency as shown above.

[3.3. CWDM Wavelength Label](#)

For the case of CWDM, the information carried in a Wavelength label is:

```

      0              1              2              3
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|Grid| C.S |   Identifier   |               n               |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+

```

The structure of the label in the case of CWDM is the same as that of DWDM case.

(1) Grid: 3 bits

The value for grid is set to 2 for ITU-T CWDM Grid as defined in [\[G.694.2\]](#).

Grid	Value
Reserved	0
ITU-T DWDM	1
ITU-T CWDM	2
Future use	3 - 7

(2) C.S.(channel spacing): 4 bits

CWDM channel spacing is defined as follows.

C.S(nm)	Value
Reserved	0
20	1
Future use	2 - 15

(3) Identifier: 9 bits

The identifier field is a per-node assigned and scoped value. This field MAY change on a per-hop basis. In all cases but one, a node MAY

select any value, including zero (0), for this field. Once selected, the value MUST NOT change until the LSP is torn down and the value MUST be used in all LSP related messages, e.g., in Resv messages and label RRO subobjects. The sole special case occurs when this label

format is used in a label ERO subobject. In this case, the special value of zero (0) means that the referenced node MAY assign any Identifier field value, including zero (0), when establishing the corresponding LSP.

(4) n: 16 bits

n is a two's-complement integer. The value used to compute the wavelength as shown above.

We do not need to define a new type as the information stored is either a port label or a wavelength label. Only the wavelength label as above needs to be defined.

[4. Security Considerations](#)

This document introduces no new security considerations to [[RFC3473](#)]. GMPLS security is described in [section 11 of \[RFC3471\]](#) and refers to [[RFC3209](#)] for RSVP-TE.

[5. IANA Considerations](#)

This document has no actions for IANA.

[6. Acknowledgments](#)

The authors would like to thank Adrian Farrel, Lou Berger, Lawrence Mao, Zafar Ali and Daniele Ceccarelli for the discussion and their comments.

[7. References](#)

[7.1. Normative References](#)

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.

[RFC3209] Awduche, D., Berger, L., Gan, D., Li, T., Srinivasan, V., and G. Swallow, "RSVP-TE: Extensions to RSVP for LSP Tunnels", [RFC 3209](#), December 2001.

- [RFC3471] Berger, L., "Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (MPLS) Signaling Functional Description", [RFC 3471](#), January 2003.
- [RFC3473] Berger, L., "Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (MPLS) Signaling - Resource ReserVation Protocol Traffic Engineering (RSVP-TE) Extensions", [RFC 3473](#), January 2003.
- [RFC3945] Mannie, E., Ed., "Generalized Multiprotocol Label Switching (GMPLS) Architecture", [RFC 3945](#), October 2004.

[7.2](#). Informative References

- [G.694.1] ITU-T Recommendation G.694.1, "Spectral grids for WDM applications: DWDM frequency grid", June 2002.
- [G.694.2] ITU-T Recommendation G.694.2, "Spectral grids for WDM applications: CWDM wavelength grid", December 2003.

8. Author's Address

Tomohiro Otani
KDDI Corporation
2-3-2 Nishishinjuku Shinjuku-ku
Tokyo, 163-8003, Japan
Phone: +81-3-3347-6006
Email: tm-otani@kddi.com

Richard Rabbat
Google, Inc.
1600 Amphitheatre Pkwy
Mountain View, CA 94043
Email: rabbat@alum.mit.edu

Sidney Shiba
Email: sidney.shiba@yahoo.com

Hongxiang Guo
Email: hongxiang.guo@gmail.com

Keiji Miyazaki
Fujitsu Laboratories Ltd
4-1-1 Kotanaka Nakahara-ku,
Kawasaki Kanagawa, 211-8588, Japan
Phone: +81-44-754-2765
Email: miyazaki.keiji@jp.fujitsu.com

Diego Caviglia
Ericsson
16153 Genova Cornigliano, ITALY
Phone: +390106003736
Email: diego.caviglia@ericsson.com

Dan Li
Huawei Technologies
F3-5-B R&D Center, Huawei Base,
Shenzhen 518129 China
Phone: +86 755-289-70230
Email: danli@huawei.com

Takehiro Tsuritani
KDDI R&D Laboratories Inc.
2-1-15 Ohara Fujimino-shi
Saitama, 356-8502, Japan
Phone: +81-49-278-7806
Email: tsuri@kddilabs.jp

Node 4 signals the LSP via a Path message including a Wavelength Label structured as defined in [section 4.3](#):

[draft-ietf-ccamp-gmpls-g-694-lambda-labels-07.txt](#)

April 2010

```

      0               1               2               3
    0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+
|Grid | C.S | Identifier | n |
+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+

```

Where:

Grid = 2 : ITU-T CWDM grid

C.S. = 1 : 20 nm channel spacing

$$n = -7 :$$

$$\text{Wavelength (nm)} = 1471 \text{ nm} + n * 20 \text{ nm}$$

$$1331 \text{ (nm)} = 1471 \text{ (nm)} + n * 20 \text{ nm}$$

$$n = (1331 - 1471) / 20 = -7$$

I. Otani et al.

Expires October 2010

[Page 14]