

Network Working Group
Internet Draft
Intended status: Standards Track

Expires: April 2013

G. Bernstein
Grotto Networking
Sugang Xu
NICT
Y. Lee
Huawei
G. Martinelli
Cisco
Hiroaki Harai
NICT

October 22, 2012

Signaling Extensions for Wavelength Switched Optical Networks
draft-ietf-ccamp-wson-signaling-04.txt

Abstract

This memo provides extensions to Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS) signaling for control of wavelength switched optical networks (WSON). Such extensions are necessary in WSONs under a number of conditions including: (a) when optional processing, such as regeneration, must be configured to occur at specific nodes along a path, (b) where equipment must be configured to accept an optical signal with specific attributes, or (c) where equipment must be configured to output an optical signal with specific attributes. In addition this memo provides mechanisms to support distributed wavelength assignment with bidirectional LSPs, and choice in distributed wavelength assignment algorithms. These extensions build on previous work for the control of lambda and G.709 based networks.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at
<http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>

Expires April 22, 2013

[Page 1]

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 22, 2007.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2012 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the [Trust Legal Provisions](#) and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Conventions used in this document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

Table of Contents

1. Introduction.....	3
2. Terminology.....	3
3. Requirements for WSON Signaling.....	4
3.1. WSON Signal Characterization.....	4
3.2. Per LSP Network Element Processing Configuration.....	5
3.3. Bi-Directional WSON LSPs.....	5
3.4. Distributed Wavelength Assignment Support.....	6
3.5. Out of Scope.....	6
4. WSON Signal Traffic Parameters, Attributes and Processing.....	6
4.1. Traffic Parameters for Optical Tributary Signals.....	6
4.2. Signal Attributes and Processing.....	7
4.2.1. WSON Processing Object Encoding.....	8
5. Bidirectional Lightpath Setup.....	8
6. RWA Related.....	9
6.1. Wavelength Assignment Method Selection.....	9
7. Security Considerations.....	10

8.	IANA Considerations.....	10
9.	Acknowledgments.....	10
10.	References.....	11
10.1.	Normative References.....	11
10.2.	Informative References.....	11
	Author's Addresses.....	14
	Intellectual Property Statement.....	15
	Disclaimer of Validity.....	16

[1.](#) Introduction

This memo provides extensions to Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS) signaling for control of wavelength switched optical networks (WSON). Fundamental extensions are given to permit simultaneous bi-directional wavelength assignment while more advanced extensions are given to support the networks described in [\[RFC6163\]](#) which feature connections requiring configuration of input, output, and general signal processing capabilities at a node along a LSP.

These extensions build on previous work for the control of lambda and G.709 based networks.

[2.](#) Terminology

CWDM: Coarse Wavelength Division Multiplexing.

DWDM: Dense Wavelength Division Multiplexing.

FOADM: Fixed Optical Add/Drop Multiplexer.

ROADM: Reconfigurable Optical Add/Drop Multiplexer. A reduced port count wavelength selective switching element featuring ingress and egress line side ports as well as add/drop side ports.

RWA: Routing and Wavelength Assignment.

Wavelength Conversion/Converters: The process of converting an information bearing optical signal centered at a given wavelength to one with "equivalent" content centered at a different wavelength. Wavelength conversion can be implemented via an optical-electronic-optical (OEO) process or via a strictly optical process.

WDM: Wavelength Division Multiplexing.

Wavelength Switched Optical Networks (WSON): WDM based optical networks in which switching is performed selectively based on the center wavelength of an optical signal.

AWG: Arrayed Waveguide Grating.

OXC: Optical Cross Connect.

Optical Transmitter: A device that has both a laser tuned on certain wavelength and electronic components, which converts electronic signals into optical signals.

Optical Responder: A device that has both optical and electronic components. It detects optical signals and converts optical signals into electronic signals.

Optical Transponder: A device that has both an optical transmitter and an optical responder.

Optical End Node: The end of a wavelength (optical lambdas) lightpath in the data plane. It may be equipped with some optical/electronic devices such as wavelength multiplexers/demultiplexer (e.g. AWG), optical transponder, etc., which are employed to transmit/terminate the optical signals for data transmission.

3. Requirements for WSON Signaling

The following requirements for GMPLS based WSON signaling are in addition to the functionality already provided by existing GMPLS signaling mechanisms.

3.1. WSON Signal Characterization

WSON signaling MUST convey sufficient information characterizing the signal to allow systems along the path to determine compatibility and perform any required local configuration. Examples of such systems include intermediate nodes (ROADMs, OXCs, Wavelength converters, Regenerators, OEO Switches, etc...), links (WDM systems) and end systems (detectors, demodulators, etc...). The details of any local configuration processes are out of the scope of this document.

From [[RFC6163](#)] we have the following list of WSON signal characteristic information:

List 1. WSON Signal Characteristics

1. Optical tributary signal class (modulation format).
2. FEC: whether forward error correction is used in the digital stream and what type of error correcting code is used
3. Center frequency (wavelength)
4. Bit rate
5. G-PID: General Protocol Identifier for the information format

The first three items on this list can change as a WSON signal traverses a network with regenerators, OEO switches, or wavelength converters. These parameters are summarized in the Optical Interface Class as defined in the [[WSON-Info](#)] and the assumption is that a class always includes signal compatibility information. An ability to control wavelength conversion already exists in GMPLS signaling along with the ability to share client signal type information (G-PID). In addition, bit rate is a standard GMPLS signaling traffic parameter. It is referred to as Bandwidth Encoding in [[RFC3471](#)].

[3.2.](#) Per LSP Network Element Processing Configuration

In addition to configuring a network element (NE) along an LSP to input or output a signal with specific attributes, we may need to signal the NE to perform specific processing, such as 3R regeneration, on the signal at a particular NE. In [[RFC6163](#)] we discussed three types of processing not currently covered by GMPLS:

- (A) Regeneration (possibly different types)
- (B) Fault and Performance Monitoring
- (C) Attribute Conversion

The extensions here MUST provide for the configuration of these types of processing at nodes along an LSP.

[3.3.](#) Bi-Directional WSON LSPs

WSON signaling MAY support LSP setup consistent with the wavelength continuity constraint for bi-directional connections. The following cases MAY be separately supported:

- (a) Where the same wavelength is used for both upstream and downstream directions
- (b) Where different wavelengths can be used for both upstream and downstream directions.

This document will review current GMPLS bidirectional solutions according to WSON case.

3.4. Distributed Wavelength Assignment Support

WSON signaling MAY support the selection of a specific distributed wavelength assignment method.

This method is beneficial in cases of equipment failure, etc., where fast provisioning used in quick recovery is critical to protect carriers/users against system loss. This requires efficient signaling which supports distributed wavelength assignment, in particular when the centralized wavelength assignment capability is not available.

As discussed in the [[RFC6163](#)] different computational approaches for wavelength assignment are available. One method is the use of distributed wavelength assignment. This feature would allow the specification of a particular approach when more than one is implemented in the systems along the path.

3.5. Out of Scope

This draft does not address signaling information related to optical impairments.

4. WSON Signal Traffic Parameters, Attributes and Processing

As discussed in [[RFC6163](#)] single channel optical signals used in WSONs are called "optical tributary signals" and come in a number of classes characterized by modulation format and bit rate. Although WSONs are fairly transparent to the signals they carry, to ensure compatibility amongst various networks devices and end systems it can be important to include key lightpath characteristics as traffic parameters in signaling [[RFC6163](#)].

4.1. Traffic Parameters for Optical Tributary Signals

In [[RFC3471](#)] we see that the G-PID (client signal type) and bit rate (byte rate) of the signals are defined as parameters and in

[RFC3473] they are conveyed Generalized Label Request object and the RSVP SENDER_TSPEC/FLOWSPEC objects respectively.

4.2. Signal Attributes and Processing

[Section 3.2](#). gave the requirements for signaling to indicate to a particular NE along an LSP what type of processing to perform on an optical signal or how to configure that NE to accept or transmit an optical signal with particular attributes.

One way of accomplishing this is via a new EXPLICIT_ROUTE subobject. Reference [\[RFC3209\]](#) defines the EXPLICIT_ROUTE object (ERO) and a number of subobjects, while reference [\[RFC5420\]](#) defines general mechanisms for dealing with additional LSP attributes. Although reference [\[RFC5420\]](#) defines a RECORD_ROUTE object (RRO) attributes subobject, it does not define an ERO subobject for LSP attributes.

Regardless of the exact coding for the ERO subobject conveying the input, output, or processing instructions. This new "processing" subobject would follow a subobject containing the IP address, or the interface identifier [\[RFC3477\]](#), associated with the link on which it is to be used along with any label subobjects [\[RFC3473\]](#).

In regards to specific information required, the [\[WSON-Encode\]](#) already provides all necessary definitions and encoding. In particular the Resource block information sub-TLV which contains, among others, a list of available Optical Interface Classes and processing capabilities.

The WSON Signal Processing object is defined as an LSP_ATTRIBUTES and extends the PATH message. It is defined as the following:

```
<WSON Processing> ::= <RBInformation>
```

Where:

<RBInformation> is the object defined in [Section 5.1](#) of [\[WSON-Encode\]](#).

This is the only sub-TLV available within the <WSON Processing>. Only one <RBInformation> object MUST be present.

The usage of <WSON Processing> object for the bidirectional case is the same as per unidirectional. When an intermediate node uses information from this object to instruct a node about wavelength regeneration, the same information applies to both downstream and upstream directions.

Some implementations may prefer using two unidirectional LSPs. This solution has been always available as per [\[RFC3209\]](#) however recent work introduces the association concept [\[RFC4872\]](#) and [\[ASSOC-Info\]](#). Recent transport evolutions [ASSOC-ext] provide a way to associate two unidirectional LSPs as a bidirectional LSP. In line with this, a small extension can make this approach work for the WSON case.

6. RWA Related

6.1. Wavelength Assignment Method Selection

Routing + Distributed wavelength assignment (R+DWA) is one of the options defined by the [\[RFC6163\]](#). The output from the routing function will be a path but the wavelength will be selected on a hop-by-hop basis.

Under this hypothesis the node initiating the signaling process needs to declare its own wavelength availability (through a label_set object). Each intermediate node may delete some labels due to connectivity constraints or its own assignment policy. At the end, the destination node has to make the final decision on the wavelength assignment among the ones received through the signaling process.

As discussed in [\[HZang00\]](#) a number of different wavelength assignment algorithms maybe employed. In addition as discussed in [\[RFC6163\]](#) the wavelength assignment can be either for a unidirectional lightpath or for a bidirectional lightpath constrained to use the same lambda in both directions.

A simple TLV could be used to indication wavelength assignment directionality and wavelength assignment method. This would be placed in an LSP_REQUIRED_ATTRIBUTES object per [\[RFC5420\]](#). The use of a TLV in the LSP required attributes object was pointed out in [\[Xu\]](#).

[TO DO: The directionality stuff needs to be reconciled with the earlier material]

Unique Wavelength: 0 same wavelength in both directions, 1 may use different wavelengths [TBD: shall we use only 1 bit]

10. References

10.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC2578] McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., and J. Schoenwaelder, "Structure of Management Information Version 2 (SMIv2)", STD 58, [RFC 2578](#), April 1999.
- [RFC3209] Awduche, D., Berger, L., Gan, D., Li, T., Srinivasan, V., and G. Swallow, "RSVP-TE: Extensions to RSVP for LSP Tunnels", [RFC 3209](#), December 2001.
- [RFC3471] Berger, L., "Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS) Signaling Functional Description", [RFC 3471](#), January 2003.
- [RFC3473] Berger, L., Ed., "Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS) Signaling Resource ReserVation Protocol-Traffic Engineering (RSVP-TE) Extensions", [RFC 3473](#), January 2003.
- [RFC3477] Kompella, K. and Y. Rekhter, "Signalling Unnumbered Links in Resource ReSerVation Protocol - Traffic Engineering (RSVP-TE)", [RFC 3477](#), January 2003.
- [RFC5420] Farrel, A., Ed., Papadimitriou, D., Vasseur, J.-P., and A. Ayyangar, " Encoding of Attributes for MPLS LSP Establishment Using Resource Reservation Protocol Traffic Engineering (RSVP-TE)", [RFC 5420](#), February 2006.
- [WSON-Encode] Bernstein G., Lee Y., Li D., and W. Imajuku, "Routing and Wavelength Assignment Information Encoding for Wavelength Switched Optical Networks", [draft-ietf-ccamp-rwa-wson-encode-18](#) (work in progress), September 2012.

10.2. Informative References

- [WSON-CompOSPF] Y. Lee, G. Bernstein, "OSPF Enhancement for Signal and Network Element Compatibility for Wavelength Switched Optical Networks", work in progress: [draft-lee-ccamp-wson-signal-compatibility-OSPF](#).

- [RFC6163] Y. Lee, G. Bernstein, W. Imajuku, "Framework for GMPLS and PCE Control of Wavelength Switched Optical Networks", work in progress: [draft-bernstein-ccamp-wavelength-switched-03.txt](#), February 2008.
- [WSON-Info] G. Bernstein, Y. Lee, D. Li, W. Imajuku, "Routing and Wavelength Assignment Information Model for Wavelength Switched Optical Networks", work in progress: [draft-ietf-ccamp-rwa-info-16](#), September 2012.
- [HZang00] H. Zang, J. Jue and B. Mukherjee, "A review of routing and wavelength assignment approaches for wavelength-routed optical WDM networks", Optical Networks Magazine, January 2000.
- [Xu] S. Xu, H. Harai, and D. King, "Extensions to GMPLS RSVP-TE for Bidirectional Lightpath the Same Wavelength", work in progress: [draft-xu-rsvpte-bidir-wave-01](#), November 2007.
- [Winzer06] Peter J. Winzer and Rene-Jean Essiambre, "Advanced Optical Modulation Formats", Proceedings of the IEEE, vol. 94, no. 5, pp. 952-985, May 2006.
- [G.959.1] ITU-T Recommendation G.959.1, Optical Transport Network Physical Layer Interfaces, March 2006.
- [G.694.1] ITU-T Recommendation G.694.1, Spectral grids for WDM applications: DWDM frequency grid, June 2002.
- [G.694.2] ITU-T Recommendation G.694.2, Spectral grids for WDM applications: CWDM wavelength grid, December 2003.
- [G.Sup43] ITU-T Series G Supplement 43, Transport of IEEE 10G base-R in optical transport networks (OTN), November 2006.
- [RFC4427] Mannie, E., Ed., and D. Papadimitriou, Ed., "Recovery (Protection and Restoration) Terminology for Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS)", [RFC 4427](#), March 2006.
- [RFC4872] Lang, J., Rekhter, Y., and Papadimitriou, D., "RSVP-TE Extensions in Support of End-to-End Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS) Recovery", [RFC 4872](#),
- [ASSOC-Info] Berger, L., Faucheur, F., and A. Narayanan, "Usage of The RSVP Association Object", [draft-ietf-ccamp-assoc-info-00](#) (work in progress), October 2010.

[ASSOC-Ext] Zhang, F., Jing, R., "RSVP-TE Extension to Establish Associated Bidirectional LSP", [draft-zhang-mpls-tp-rsvp-te-ext-associated-lsp-03](#) (work in progress), February 2011.

Author's Addresses

Greg M. Bernstein (editor)
Grotto Networking
Fremont California, USA

Phone: (510) 573-2237
Email: gregb@grotto-networking.com

Nicola Andriolli
Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna, Pisa, Italy
Email: nick@sssup.it

Alessio Giorgetti
Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna, Pisa, Italy
Email: a.giorgetti@sssup.it

Lin Guo
Key Laboratory of Optical Communication and Lightwave Technologies
Ministry of Education
P.O. Box 128, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications,
P.R.China
Email: guolintom@gmail.com

Hiroaki Harai
National Institute of Information and Communications Technology
4-2-1 Nukui-Kitamachi, Koganei,
Tokyo, 184-8795 Japan

Phone: +81 42-327-5418
Email: harai@nict.go.jp

Yuefeng Ji
Key Laboratory of Optical Communication and Lightwave Technologies
Ministry of Education
P.O. Box 128, Beijing University of Posts and Telecommunications,
P.R.China
Email: jyf@bupt.edu.cn

Daniel King
Old Dog Consulting

Email: daniel@olddog.co.uk

Young Lee (editor)
Huawei Technologies

1700 Alma Drive, Suite 100
Plano, TX 75075
USA

Phone: (972) 509-5599 (x2240)
Email: ylee@huawei.com

Sugang Xu
National Institute of Information and Communications Technology
4-2-1 Nukui-Kitamachi, Koganei,
Tokyo, 184-8795 Japan

Phone: +81 42-327-6927
Email: xsg@nict.go.jp

Giovanni Martinelli
Cisco
Via Philips 12
20052 Monza, IT

Phone: +39 039-209-2044
Email: giomarti@cisco.com

Intellectual Property Statement

The IETF Trust takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in any IETF Document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights.

Copies of Intellectual Property disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary

rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement any standard or specification contained in an IETF Document. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

Disclaimer of Validity

All IETF Documents and the information contained therein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION THEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.