CLUE Working Group C. Holmberg Internet-Draft Ericsson November 21, 2014

Intended status: Standards Track

Expires: May 25, 2015

CLUE Protocol Data Channel draft-ietf-clue-datachannel-04

Abstract

This document defines how to use the WebRTC Data Channel mechanism, together with the Data Channel Establishment Protocol (DCEP) and the SDP-based "SCTP over DTLS" data channel negotiation mechanism, in order to establish a data channel, referred to as CLUE Data Channel, for transporting CLUE protocol messages between two CLUE entities.

The document defines the SCTP considerations specific to a CLUE Data Channel, the SDP Media Description- and SDP dcmap attribute values, and the DCEP procedures for opening, a CLUE Data Channel.

Details and procedures associated with the CLUE protocol, and the SDP Offer/Answer procedures for negotiating a CLUE data channel, are outside the scope of this document.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on May 25, 2015.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect

to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Introdu	ction																<u>3</u>
<u>2</u> .	Convent																	
<u>3</u> .	CLUE Da	ta Ch	annel															
3	<u>.1</u> . Gen	eral																<u>4</u>
3	<u>.2</u> . SDP	Cons	iderat	ions														<u>4</u>
	<u>3.2.1</u> .		ral .															<u>4</u>
	<u>3.2.2</u> .	SDP	Media	Descr	ipt	ion	Fi	.el	.ds									<u>4</u>
	<u>3.2.3</u> .		sctpma															
	<u>3.2.4</u> .	SDP	dcpmap	Attr	ibu	te												
	<u>3.2.5</u> .	SDP	dcsa A	ttrib	ute													<u>5</u>
	<u>3.2.6</u> .	Exam	ple .															<u>6</u>
3	.3. Dat											•						6
	<u>3.3.1</u> .	Gene	ral .															<u>6</u>
	<u>3.3.2</u> .	•	CLUE															<u>6</u>
	<u>3.3.3</u> .	Clos	e CLUE	Data	ւ Ch	anne	91											<u>6</u>
	<u>3.3.4</u> .	SCTP	Assoc	iatio	n F	ailı	ıre	j										
3	<u>.4</u> . SCT	P Con	sidera	tions	· .													
	<u>3.4.1</u> .	SCTP	Paylo	ad Pr	oto	col	Ιc	ler	ti	fi	er	(1	PPI	D)				7
	<u>3.4.2</u> .		abilit															
	<u>3.4.3</u> .	0rde	r															<u>8</u>
	<u>3.4.4</u> .	Stre	am Res	et .														8
	<u>3.4.5</u> .	SCTP	Multi	homir	ıg													8
<u>4</u> .	Securit	y Con	sidera	tions	· .													8
<u>5</u> .	IANA Co	nside	ration	s														8
<u>5</u>	<u>.1</u> . New	WebR	TC Dat	a Cha	ınne	1 Pı	ot	00	ol	٧	alı	лe						8
<u>5</u>	<u>.2</u> . New	SDP	dcmap	attri	but	e sı	ıbp	rc	to	CO.	1 ١	va.	Lue					9
<u>6</u> .	Acknowl	edgme	nts .															9
<u>7</u> .	Change	Log																9
<u>8</u> .	Referen	ces																<u>10</u>
8	<u>.1</u> . Nor	mativ	e Refe	rence	es													<u>10</u>
8	<u>.2</u> . Inf	ormat.	ive Re	ferer	ices													<u>12</u>
	hor's Ad																	

1. Introduction

This document defines how to use the WebRTC Data Channel mechanism [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-channel], together with the Data Channel Establishment Protocol (DCEP) [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol] and the SDP-based "SCTP over DTLS" data channel negotiation mechanism [add-ref], in order to establish a data channel, referred to as CLUE Data Channel, for transporting CLUE protocol [I-D.ietf-clue-protocol] messages between CLUE entities.

The document defines the SCTP considerations specific to a CLUE Data Channel, the SDP Media Description- and SDP dcmap attribute values, and the DCEP procedures for opening, a CLUE Data Channel.

Details and procedures associated with the CLUE protocol, and the SDP Offer/Answer [RFC3264] procedures for negotiating a CLUE data channel, are outside the scope of this document.

2. Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in $\underline{BCP\ 14}$, $\underline{RFC\ 2119}$ [RFC2119].

WebRTC Data Channel refers to a SCTPoDTLS association [I-D.ietf-tsvwg-sctp-dtls-encaps] that is used to transport non-media data between two entities, according to the procedures in [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-channel].

CLUE Data Channel refers to a WebRTC Data Channel

[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-channel], with a specific set of SCTP

characteristics, and usage of the Data Channel Establishment Protocol

(DCEP) [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol] in order to open a WebRTC Data

Channel for the purpose of transporting CLUE protocol

[I-D.ietf-clue-protocol] messages between two CLUE entities.

CLUE entity refers to a SIP User Agent (UA) [RFC3261] that supports the CLUE Data Channel and the CLUE protocol.

CLUE session refers to a SIP session [RFC3261] between to SIP UAs, where a CLUE Data Channel, associated with the SIP session, has been established between the SIP UAs.

[RFC4960] defines an SCTP stream as a unidirectional logical channel established from one to another associated SCTP endpoint, within which all user messages are delivered in sequence except for those submitted to the unordered delivery service.

[RFC4960] defines an SCTP identifier as a unsigned integer, which identifies a SCTP stream.

3. CLUE Data Channel

3.1. General

This section describes the realization of a CLUE Data Channel. This includes a set of SCTP characteristics specific to a CLUE Data Channel, the usage of the SDP-based "SCTP over DTLS" data channel negotiation mechanism to describe a CLUE data channel in SDP, and the usage of the Data Channel Establishment Protocol (DCEP)

[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol] in order to open a WebRTC Data Channel for the purpose of transporting CLUE protocol

[I-D.ietf-clue-protocol] messages between two CLUE entities.

As described in [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-channel], the SCTP streams realizing a WebRTC Data Channel must be associated with the same SCTP association. In addition, both SCTP streams realizing the WebRTC Data Channel must use the same SCTP stream identifier value. These rules also apply to a CLUE Data Channel.

Within a given CLUE session, a CLUE entity MUST use a single CLUE Data Channel for transport of all CLUE messages towards its peer.

3.2. SDP Considerations

3.2.1. General

This section defines how to construct the SDP Media Description ("m=" line), and the associated SDP dcmap attribute, used to describe a CLUE data channel in SDP.

[I-D.ietf-clue-signaling] describes the SDP Offer/Answer procedures for negotiating a CLUE session, including the CLUE controlled media streams and the CLUE data channel.

3.2.2. SDP Media Description Fields

The field values of the "m=" line for the SCTPoDTLS association are set as following:

+	+	+		-+		-+
media			•	•		
+	DTLS po	rt value	"DTLS/SCTP"	SCTP	port value	İ

Table 1: SDP "proto" field values

3.2.3. SDP sctpmap Attribute

The field values of the SDP sctpmap attribute, associated with the "m=" line describing the SCTPoDTLS association, are set as following:

+						-+-		-+
	sctpmap-number						арр	
+						- + -		- +
fm	value	of	the	"m="	line		"webrtc-datachannel"	
+						- + -		-+

Table 2: SDP sctpmap attribute values

3.2.4. SDP dcpmap Attribute

The SDP dcmap attribute [I-D.ejzak-mmusic-data-channel-sdpneg] values for the CLUE data channel, associated with the "m=" line describing the SCTPoDTLS, are set as following:

+	+	label	+ ordered +	+ max-retr 	++ max-time
Value of the SCTP stream used to realize the CLUE data channel	"CLUE" 	"CLUE Data Channel"	N/A 	N/A 	N/A

Table 3: SDP dcmap attribute values

3.2.5. SDP dcsa Attribute

The SDP dcsa attribute [I-D.ejzak-mmusic-data-channel-sdpneg] is not used to describe a CLUE data channel.

3.2.6. Example

m=application 54111 SCTP/DTLS webrtc-datachannel
a=dcmap:2 subprotocol="CLUE";label="CLUE Data Channel"

Figure 1: SDP Media Description for a CLUE Data Channel

3.3. Data Channel Establishment Protocol (DCEP) Considerations

3.3.1. General

A CLUE entity MUST support the Data Channel Establishment Protocol (DCEP) [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-channel], which can be used in order to open a WebRTC Data Channel.

This section describes how to open and close a CLUE data channel using DCEP.

3.3.2. Open CLUE Data Channel

Once the SCTP association, to be used to realized the CLUE Data Channel, has been established, the offerer [RFC3264] is responsible for opening the CLUE Data Channel. If DCEP is used, the offerer MUST send a DCEP DATA_CHANNEL_OPEN message [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol]. The value of the 'protocol' field MUST be "CLUE". The value of the 'channel type' MUST be 'DATA_CHANNEL_RELIABLE'.

Once the offerer has received the associated DCEP DATA_CHANNEL_ACK message $[\underline{\text{I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol}}]$, the CLUE Data channel has been opened.

If the offerer receives a DCEP DATA_CHANNEL_OPEN message, for the purpose of opening a CLUE Data Channel, the offerer MUST reset the SCTP stream, in order to prevent two CLUE Data Channels from being established within the same CLUE session. The offerer MUST NOT send a DCEP DATA_CHANNEL_ACK message.

3.3.3. Close CLUE Data Channel

DCEP [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol] does not define a message for closing a WebRTC Data Channel. As described in [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol], in order to close a CLUE Data Channel, a SCTP reset message is sent, in order to close the SCTP stream associated with the CLUE Data Channel. The SCTP association,

and WebRTC Data Channels associated with other SCTP streams, are not affected by the SCTP reset message.

3.3.4. SCTP Association Failure

In case of SCTP association failure, the offerer is responsible for trying to re-establish the SCTP association (including sending a new SDP offer, if needed). Once the SCTP association has been successfully re-established, the offerer is responsible for sending a DCEP DATA CHANNEL OPEN message.

3.4. SCTP Considerations

3.4.1. SCTP Payload Protocol Identifier (PPID)

As described in [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol], the PPID value 50 is used when sending a DCEP message on a WebRTC Data Channel.

A CLUE entity MUST use the PPID value 51 when sending a CLUE message on a CLUE Data Channel.

NOTE: As described in [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-channel], the PPID value 51 indicates that the SCTP message contains data encoded in a UTF-8 format. The PPID value 51 does not indicate what application protocol is transported in a WebRTC Data Channel, only the format in which the data is encoded.

++		-+
Protocol	PPID Value	
++		-+
DCEP	50	
CLUE	51	
++		-+

Table 4: CLUE Data Channel PPID Values

3.4.2. Reliability

The usage of SCTP for the CLUE Data Channel ensures reliable transport of CLUE protocol [I-D.ietf-clue-protocol] messages.

A CLUE entity MUST NOT use the partial reliability and limited retransmission extensions defined in [RFC3758].

NOTE: [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-channel] requires the support of the partial reliability extension defined in [RFC3758]. This is not needed for a CLUE Data Channel, as messages are required to always be sent reliably. [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-channel] also mandates support

of the limited retransmission policy defined in [I-D.ietf-tsvwq-sctp-prpolicies].

3.4.3. Order

A CLUE entity MUST use the ordered delivery SCTP service, as described in <u>section 6.6 of [RFC4960]</u>.

3.4.4. Stream Reset

A CLUE entity MUST support the stream reset extension defined in [RFC6525].

The dynamic address reconfiguration extension defined in [RFC5061] MUST be used to signal the support of the stream reset extension defined in [RFC6525]. Other features of [RFC5061] MUST NOT be used.

3.4.5. SCTP Multihoming

SCTP multihoming cannot be used for a CLUE Data Channel.

NOTE: SCTPoDTLS does not support SCTP multihoming.

4. Security Considerations

This specification does not introduce new security considerations, in addition to those defined in $[\underline{I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-channel}]$ and $[\underline{I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol}]$. Security considerations associated with the CLUE protocol are defined in $[\underline{I-D.ietf-clue-protocol}]$.

5. IANA Considerations

5.1. New WebRTC Data Channel Protocol Value

[RFC EDITOR NOTE: Please replace RFC-XXXX with the RFC number of this document.]

This document adds the 'CLUE' value to the "WebSocket Subprotocol Name Registry" as follows:

Subprotocol Identifier: CLUE
Subprotocol Common Name: CLUE
Subprotocol Definition: RFC-XXXX

5.2. New SDP dcmap attribute subprotocol value

[RFC EDITOR NOTE: Please replace RFC-XXXX with the RFC number of this document.]

OPEN ISSUE: [I-D.ejzak-mmusic-data-channel-sdpneg] has not yet created a registry for new subprotocol values.

6. Acknowledgments

Thanks to Paul Kyzivat and Christian Groves for comments on the document.

7. Change Log

[RFC EDITOR NOTE: Please remove this section when publishing]

Changes from draft-ietf-clue-datachannel-03

- o IANA considerations added.
- o Editorial changes based on comments from Christian Groves.

Changes from draft-ietf-clue-datachannel-02

- o SDP m- line example fixed.
- o OPEN ISSUE #1 closed.
- o It was agreed (IETF#91) to use draft-ejzak-mmusic-data-channel- sdpneg, as it was adopted as a WG item in MMUSIC.
- o Details for <u>draft-ejzak-mmusic-data-channel-sdpneg</u> usage added.
- o SDP Offer/Answer procedures removed, as they will be defined in the CLUE protocol draft.
- o References updated.

Changes from draft-ietf-clue-datachannel-01

- o Support of interleaving "MUST"->"SHOULD".
- o Example updated.
- o Reference update.

Changes from <u>draft-ietf-clue-datachannel-00</u>

- o SDP Offer/Answer procedures structures according to RFC 3264.
- o Reference update.

Changes from draft-holmberg-clue-datachannel-04

- o Draft submitted as draft-ietf-clue-data-channel-00.
- o Editorial nits fixed.

- o Changes based on comments from Paul Kyzivat (http://www.ietf.org/ mail-archive/web/clue/current/msg03559.html).
- o Proto value fixed.
- o Explicit text that the partial reliability and limited retransmission policies MUST NOT be used.
- o Added open issue on whether the DCEP 'protocol' field value for CLUE should contain a version number.
- o Removed paragraph saying that an offerer must not insert more than one m- line describing an SCTPoDTLS association to be used to realize a CLUE Data Channel, as the draft already states that only one CLUE Data Channel per CLUE session shall be opened.
- o Added reference to draft-ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol regarding details on reseting SCTP streams.
- o Added text saying that the value of the DCEP 'channel type' MUST be DATA_CHANNEL_RELIABLE.
- o Clarified that DCEP must be supported, and used in the absence of another mechanism for opening a CLUE Data Channel.

Changes from draft-holmberg-clue-datachannel-03

- o Procedures updated, based on WG agreement (IETF#89) to use DCEP for the CLUE data channel.
- o Procedures updated, based on WG agreement (IETF#89) that offerer is responsible for sending DCEP DATA CHANNEL OPEN.
- o Editorial changes, and alignments caused by changes in referenced specifications.

Changes from <u>draft-holmberg-clue-datachannel-02</u>

- o PPID value for CLUE messages added
- o References updated

Changes from <u>draft-holmberg-clue-datachannel-01</u>

o More text added

Changes from <u>draft-holmberg-clue-datachannel-00</u>

o Editorial corrections based on comments from Paul K

8. References

8.1. Normative References

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.

- [RFC3261] Rosenberg, J., Schulzrinne, H., Camarillo, G., Johnston, A., Peterson, J., Sparks, R., Handley, M., and E. Schooler, "SIP: Session Initiation Protocol", <u>RFC 3261</u>, June 2002.
- [RFC3264] Rosenberg, J. and H. Schulzrinne, "An Offer/Answer Model with Session Description Protocol (SDP)", RFC 3264, June 2002.
- [RFC4960] Stewart, R., "Stream Control Transmission Protocol", RFC 4960, September 2007.
- [RFC5061] Stewart, R., Xie, Q., Tuexen, M., Maruyama, S., and M.
 Kozuka, "Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP)
 Dynamic Address Reconfiguration", RFC 5061, September
 2007.
- [RFC6525] Stewart, R., Tuexen, M., and P. Lei, "Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP) Stream Reconfiguration", RFC 6525, February 2012.

- [I-D.ietf-tsvwg-sctp-dtls-encaps]

 Tuexen, M., Stewart, R., Jesup, R., and S. Loreto, "DTLS Encapsulation of SCTP Packets", dtls-encaps-06.txt (work in progress), November 2014.
- [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-channel]
 Jesup, R., Loreto, S., and M. Tuexen, "WebRTC Data
 Channels", draft-ietf-rtcweb-data-channel-12.txt (work in
 progress), September 2014.
- [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol]

 Jesup, R., Loreto, S., and M. Tuexen, "WebRTC Data Channel
 Establishment Protocol", <u>draft-ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol-</u>

 <u>08.txt</u> (work in progress), September 2014.

[I-D.ietf-tsvwg-sctp-prpolicies]

Tuexen, M., Seggelmann, R., Stewart, R., and S. Loreto, "Additional Policies for the Partial Reliability Extension of the Stream Control Transmission Protocol", draft-ietf-tsvwg-sctp-prpolicies-05.txt (work in progress), November 2014.

[I-D.ejzak-mmusic-data-channel-sdpneg]

Drage, K., Makaraju, R., Ejzak, R., and J. Marcon, "SDP-based WebRTC data channel negotiation", draft-ejzak-mmusic-data-channel-sdpneg-02.txt (work in progress), October 2014.

8.2. Informative References

[RFC3758] Stewart, R., Ramalho, M., Xie, Q., Tuexen, M., and P. Conrad, "Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP) Partial Reliability Extension", RFC 3758, May 2004.

Author's Address

Christer Holmberg Ericsson Hirsalantie 11 Jorvas 02420 Finland

Email: christer.holmberg@ericsson.com