CoRE Working Group Internet-Draft Intended status: Informational Expires: April 25, 2019

Z. Shelby ARM M. Koster SmartThings C. Groves

J. Zhu Huawei B. Silverajan, Ed. Tampere University of Technology October 22, 2018

Reusable Interface Definitions for Constrained RESTful Environments draft-ietf-core-interfaces-13

Abstract

This document defines a set of Constrained RESTful Environments (CoRE) Link Format Interface Descriptions [RFC6690] applicable for use in constrained environments. These include the: Actuator, Parameter, Read-only parameter, Sensor, Batch, Linked Batch and Link List interfaces.

The Batch, Linked Batch and Link List interfaces make use of resource collections. This document further describes how collections relate to interfaces.

Many applications require a set of interface descriptions in order provide the required functionality. This document defines an Interface Description attribute value to describe resources conforming to a particular interface.

Editor's notes:

o The git repository for the draft is found at <u>https://github.com/</u> core-wg/interfaces

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of <u>BCP 78</u> and <u>BCP 79</u>.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Shelby, et al. Expires April 25, 2019

[Page 1]

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 25, 2019.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2018 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to **BCP** 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<u>https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</u>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> . Int	roduction	3
<u>2</u> . Ter	minology	4
<u>3</u> . Col	lections	4
<u>3.1</u> .	Introduction to Collections	5
<u>3.2</u> .	Use Cases for Collections	5
<u>3.3</u> .	Collection Types	<u>6</u>
<u>3.4</u> .	Content-Formats for Collections	<u>3</u>
<u>3.5</u> .	Link Embedding	7
<u>3.6</u> .	Links and Items in Collections	7
<u>3.7</u> .	Queries on Collections	<u>3</u>
<u>3.8</u> .	Observing Collections	<u>3</u>
<u>4</u> . Int	erface Descriptions	<u>9</u>
<u>4.1</u> .	Link List	1
<u>4.2</u> .	Batch	1
<u>4.3</u> .	Linked Batch 12	2
<u>4.4</u> .	Sensor	3
<u>4.5</u> .	Parameter	4
<u>4.6</u> .	Read-only Parameter 14	4
<u>4.7</u> .	Actuator	4
<u>5</u> . Sec	urity Considerations	5
<u>6</u> . IAN	A Considerations	5
<u>6.1</u> .	Link List	5
<u>6.2</u> .	Batch	<u>3</u>
6.3.	Linked Batch	6

<u>6.4</u> .	Sensor .		• •	• •	•	• •	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	<u>16</u>
<u>6.5</u> .	Parameter																			<u>17</u>
<u>6.6</u> .	Read-only	parame	eter																	<u>17</u>
<u>6.7</u> .	Actuator																			<u>17</u>
7. Ackı	nowledgemei	nts .																		<u>17</u>
<u>8</u> . Cont	tributors																			<u>18</u>
9. Chai	ngelog																			<u>18</u>
<u>10</u> . Refe	erences .																			<u>22</u>
<u>10.1</u> .	Normative	e Refe	rence	s.																<u>22</u>
<u>10.2</u> .	Informat	ive Re	feren	ces																<u>22</u>
<u>Appendi</u>	<u>(A</u> . Curre	ent Usa	age o	fI	nte	rfa	ces	5												<u>23</u>
A.1.	Constraine	ed RES	Tful	Env	iro	nme	nts	5 (CoR	E)	Li	ink	F	or	ma	ιt				
	(IETF) .																			<u>23</u>
<u>A.2</u> .	Open Conne	ectivi	ty Fo	unda	ati	on	(00	F)												<u>24</u>
Authors	' Addresses	s																		24

1. Introduction

IETF Standards for machine to machine communication in constrained environments describe a REST protocol and a set of related information standards that may be used to represent machine data and machine metadata in REST interfaces. CoRE Link-format is a standard for doing Web Linking [RFC8288] in constrained environments. SenML [RFC8428] is a simple data model and representation format for composite and complex structured resources. CoRE Link-Format and SenML can be used by CoAP [RFC7252] or HTTP servers.

The discovery of resources offered by a constrained server is very important in machine-to-machine applications where there are no humans in the loop. Machine application clients must be able to adapt to different resource organizations without advance knowledge of the specific data structures hosted by each connected thing. The use of Web Linking for the description and discovery of resources hosted by constrained origin servers is specified by CoRE Link Format [RFC6690]. CoRE Link Format additionally defines a link attribute for interface description ("if") that can be used to describe the REST interface of a resource, and may include a link to a description document.

This document defines a set of Link Format interface descriptions for some common design patterns that enable the server side composition and organization, and client side discovery and consumption, of machine resources using Web Linking. A client discovering the "if" link attribute will be able to consume resources based on its knowledge of the expected interface types. In this sense the Interface Type acts in a similar way as a Content-Format, but as a selector for a high level functional abstraction.

An interface description describes a resource in terms of its associated content formats, data types, URI templates, REST methods, parameters, and responses. Basic interface descriptions are defined for sensors, and actuators.

A set of collection types is defined for organizing resources for discovery, and for various forms of bulk interaction with resource sets using typed embedding links.

This document first defines the concept of collection interface descriptions. It then defines a number of generic interface descriptions that may be used in contrained environments. Several of these interface descriptions utilise collections.

Whilst this document assumes the use of CoAP [RFC7252], the REST interfaces described can also be realized using HTTP [RFC7230].

2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

This document requires readers to be familiar with all the terms and concepts that are discussed in [RFC8288] and [RFC6690]. This document makes use of the following additional terminology:

Gradual Reveal: A REST design where resources are discovered progressively using Web Linking.

- Interface Description: The Interface Description describes the generic REST interface to interact with a resource or a set of resources. Its use is described via the Interface Description 'if' attribute which is an opaque string used to provide a name or URI indicating a specific interface definition used to interact with the target resource. One can think of this as describing verbs usable on a resource.
- Resource Discovery: The process allowing a client to identify resources being hosted on an origin server.

3. Collections

3.1. Introduction to Collections

A Collection is a resource which represents one or more related resources. [RFC6573] describes the "item" and "collection" Link Relation. An "item" link relation identifies a member of collection. A "collection" indicates the collection that an item is a member of. For example, a collection might be a resource representing a catalog of products, while an item is a resource related to an individual product.

Section 1.2.2/[RFC6690] also describes resource collections.

This document uses the concept of "collection" and applies it to interface descriptions. A collection interface description consists of a set of links and a set of items pointed to by the links which may be sub-resources of the collection resource. The collection interface descriptions described in this document are Link List, Batch and Linked Batch.

The links in a collection are represented in CoRE Link-Format Content-Formats including JSON and CBOR variants, and the items in the collection may be represented by SenML, including JSON and CBOR variants. In general, a collection may support items of any available Content-Format.

A particular resource item may be a member of more than one collection at a time by being linked to, but may only be a subresource of one collection.

Some collections may have pre-configured items and links, and some collections may support dynamic creation and removal of items and links. Likewise, modification of items in some collections may be permitted, and not in others.

Links in collections may be selected for processing by a particular request by using Query Filtering as described in CoRE Link-Format [<u>RFC6690</u>].

3.2. Use Cases for Collections

Collections may be used to provide gradual reveal of resources on an endpoint. There may be a small set of links at the .well-known/core location, which may in turn point to other collections of resources that represent device information, device configuration, device management, and various functional clusters of resources on the device.

A collection may be used to group a set of like resources for bulk state update or actuation. For example, the brightness control resources of a number of luminaries may be grouped by linking to them in a collection. The collection type may support receiving a single update from a client and sending that update to each resource item in the collection.

Items may be sub-resources of the collection resource. This enables updates to multiple items in the collection to be processed together within the context of the collection resource.

3.3. Collection Types

There are three collection types defined in this document:

+	++
Collection Type	
+	++
Link List	core.ll
Batch	core.b
Linked Batch	core.lb
+	++

Table 1: Collection Type Summary

The interface description defined in this document offer a deeper explanation of the methods that may be applied to the three collections.

3.4. Content-Formats for Collections

The collection interfaces can use the CoRE Link-Format for the link representations and SenML or text/plain for representations of items. The examples given are for collections that expose resources and links in these formats.

The choice of whether to return a representation of the links or of the items or of the collection format is determined by the Accept header option in the request. Likewise, the choice of updating link metadata or item data or the collection resource itself is determined by the Content-Format option in the header of the update request operation.

The default Content-Formats for collection types described in this document are:

Links: application/link-format, application/link-format+json

Items: application/senml+json, text/plain

3.5. Link Embedding

Collections may provide resource encapsulation by supporting link embedding. Link embedding may be used to provide a single resource with which a client may interact to obtain a set of related resource values. This is analogous to an image tag (link) causing the image to display inline in a browser window. Link embedding enables the bulk processing of items in the collection using a single operation targeting the collection resource. Performing a GET on a collection resource may return a single representation containing all of the embedded linked resources. For example, a collection for manufacturer parameters may consist of manufacturer name, date of manufacture, location of manufacture, and serial number resources which can be read as a single SenML data object.

A subset of resources in the collection may be selected for operation using Query Filtering. Bulk Read operations using GET return a SenML representation of all selected resources. Bulk item Update operations using PUT or POST apply the payload document to all selected resource items in the collection. A Batch update is performed by applying the resource values in the payload document to all resources in the collection that match any resource name in the payload document.

3.6. Links and Items in Collections

Links use CoRE Link-Format representation by default and may point to any resource reachable from the context of the collection. This includes links to resources with absolute paths as well as links that point to other network locations, if the context of the collection allows. Links to sub-resources in the collection MUST have a pathelement starting with the resource name, as per [RFC3986]. Links to resources in the global context MUST start with a root path identifier [RFC8288]. Links to other collections are formed per [<u>RFC3986</u>].

Examples of links:

- </sen/>;if="core.lb": Link to the /sen/ collection describing it as a core.lb type collection (Linked Batch)
- </sen/temp>;rt="temperature": A link to the temp resource with an absolute path.

<temp>;rt="temperature": Link to the temp subresource of the collection in which this link appears.

<temp>;anchor="/sen/": A link to the temp subresource of the collection /sen/ which is assumed not to be a subresource of the collection in which the link appears, but is expected to be identified in the collection by resource name.

Links in the collection MAY be Read, Updated, Added, or Removed using the CoRE Link-Format or JSON Merge-Patch Content-Formats on the collection resource. Reading links uses the GET method and returns an array or list containing the link-values of all selected links. Links may be added to the collection using POST or PATCH methods. Updates to links MUST use the PATCH method and MAY use query filtering to select links for updating. The PATCH method on links MUST use the JSON Merge-Patch Content-Format (application/mergepatch+json) specified in [RFC7396].

Items in the collection SHOULD be represented using the SenML (application/senml+json) or plain text (text/plain) Content-Formats, depending on whether the representation is of a single data point or multiple data points. Items MAY be represented using any supported Content-Format.

3.7. Oueries on Collections

Internet-Draft

Collections MAY support query filtering as defined in CoRE Link-Format [RFC6690]. Operations targeting either the links or the items MAY select a subset of links and items in the collection by using query filtering. The Content-Format specified in the request header selects whether links or items are targeted by the operation.

3.8. Observing Collections

Resource Observation via [<u>I-D.ietf-core-dynlink</u>] using CoAP [<u>RFC7252</u>] MAY be supported on items in a collection. A subset of the conditional observe parameters MAY be specified to apply. In most cases pmin and pmax are useful. Resource observation on a collection's resource returns the collection representation. Observation Responses, or notifications, SHOULD provide the collection representations in SenML Content-Format. Notifications MAY include multiple observations of the collection resource, with SenML time stamps indicating the observation times.

4. Interface Descriptions

This section defines REST interfaces for Sensor, Parameter, Read-Only Paramter and Actuator resource types, in addition to the Link List, Batch and Linked Batch collection types. Each type is described along with its Interface Description attribute value, valid methods and content formats. These are shown for each interface in the table below.

The if= column defines the Interface Description (if=) attribute value to be used in the CoRE Link Format for a resource conforming to that interface. When this value appears in the if= attribute of a link, the resource MUST support the corresponding REST interface described in this section. The resource MAY support additional functionality, which is out of scope for this document. Although these interface descriptions are intended to be used with the CoRE Link Format, they are applicable for use in any REST interface definition.

The Methods column defines the methods supported by that interface, which are described in more detail below.

+ Interface	if=	Methods	++ Content-Formats					
Link List	core.ll	GET	++ link-format					
 Batch	core.b	GET, PUT, POST						
 Linked Batch	core.lb	GET, PUT, POST,	link-format, senml					
		DELETE						
 Sensor	core.s	GET	senml,					
			text/plain					
Parameter	core.p	GET, PUT	senml,					
			text/plain					
Read-only	core.rp	GET	senml,					
Parameter			text/plain					
Actuator	core.a	GET, PUT, POST	senml,					
' +	 +	· 	text/plain					

Table 2: Interface Description Summary

The following is an example of links in the CoRE Link Format using these interface descriptions.

```
Req: GET /.well-known/core
Res: 2.05 Content (application/link-format)
</s/>;rt="simple.sen";if="core.b",
</s/light>;rt="simple.sen.lt";if="core.s";obs,
</s/temp>;rt="simple.sen.hum";if="core.s";obs,
</s/humidity>;rt="simple.sen.hum";if="core.s",
</a/>;rt="simple.act";if="core.b",
</a/1/led>;rt="simple.act.led";if="core.a",
</a/2/led>;rt="simple.act.led";if="core.a",
</d/>;rt="simple.dev";if="core.ll",
</l/>;if="core.lb"
```

Figure 1: Binding Interface Example

Internet-Draft

4.1. Link List

Link List is the base interface to provide gradual reveal of resources on a CoRE origin server. It is used to retrieve (GET) a list of resources on an origin server. The GET request SHOULD contain an Accept option with the application/link-format content format. However if the resource does not support any other form of content-format the Accept option MAY be elided.

Note: The use of an Accept option with application/link-format is recommended even though it is not strictly needed for the Link List interface because this interface is extended by the batch and linked batch interfaces where different content-formats are possible.

The request returns a list of URI references with absolute paths to the resources as defined in CoRE Link Format. This interface is typically used with a parent resource to enumerate sub-resources but may be used to reference any resource on an origin server.

The following example interacts with a Link List /d/ containing Parameter sub-resources /d/name, /d/model.

Req: GET /d/ (Accept:application/link-format) Res: 2.05 Content (application/link-format) </d/name>;rt="simple.dev.n";if="core.p", </d/model>;rt="simple.dev.mdl";if="core.rp"

4.2. Batch

The Batch interface is used to manipulate a collection of subresources at the same time. The Batch interface description supports the same methods as its sub-resources, and can be used to read (GET), update (PUT) or apply (POST) the values of those sub-resource with a single resource representation. The sub-resources of a Batch MAY be heterogeneous. Hence, a method used on the Batch only applies to sub-resources that support it. For example Sensor interfaces do not support PUT, and thus a PUT request to a Sensor member of that Batch would be ignored. A batch requires the use of SenML Media types in order to support multiple sub-resources.

The following example interacts with a Batch /s/ with Sensor subresources /s/light, /s/temp and /s/humidity.

```
Req: GET /s/
Res: 2.05 Content (application/senml+json)
[
        { "bn": "example.com/s/" },
        { "n": "light", "v": 123, "u": "lx" },
        { "n": "temp", "v": 27.2, "u": "Cel" },
        { "n": "humidity", "v": 80, "u": "%RH" }
]
```

4.3. Linked Batch

The Linked Batch interface is an extension of the Batch interface. Contrary to the basic Batch which is a collection statically defined by the origin server, a Linked Batch is dynamically controlled by a client. A Linked Batch resource has no sub-resources. Instead the resources forming the batch are referenced using Web Linking [RFC8288] and the CoRE Link Format [RFC6690]. A request with a POST method and a content format of application/link-format simply appends new resource links to the collection. The links in the payload MUST reference a resource on the origin server with an absolute path. A DELETE request removes the entire collection. All other requests available for a basic Batch are still valid for a Linked Batch.

The following example interacts with a Linked Batch /l/ and creates a collection containing /s/light, /s/temp and /s/humidity in 2 steps.

```
Req: POST /l/ (Content-Format: application/link-format)
</s/light>,</s/temp>
Res: 2.04 Changed
Reg: GET /1/
Res: 2.05 Content (application/senml+json)
Γ
   { "bn": "example.com/" },
   { "n": "/s/light", "v": 123, "u": "lx" },
   { "n": "/s/temp", "v": 27.2, "u": "Cel" }
1
Req: POST /l/ (Content-Format: application/link-format)
</s/humidity>
Res: 2.04 Changed
Req: GET /l/ (Accept: application/link-format)
Res: 2.05 Content (application/link-format)
</s/light>, </s/temp>, </s/humidity>
Req: GET /1/
Res: 2.05 Content (application/senml+json)
Γ
   { "bn": "example.com/" },
   { "n": "/s/light", "v": 123, "u": "lx" },
   { "n": "/s/temp", "v": 27.2, "u": "Cel" },
   { "n": "/s/humidity", "v": 80, "u": "%RH" }
1
Reg: DELETE /1/
Res: 2.02 Deleted
```

4.4. Sensor

The Sensor interface allows the value of a sensor resource to be read (GET). The Media type of the resource can be either plain text or SenML. Plain text MAY be used for a single measurement that does not require meta-data. For a measurement with meta-data such as a unit or time stamp, SenML SHOULD be used. A resource with this interface MAY use SenML to return multiple measurements in the same representation, for example a list of recent measurements.

The following are examples of Sensor interface requests in both text/ plain and application/senml+json.

4.5. Parameter

The Parameter interface allows configurable parameters and other information to be modeled as a resource. The value of the parameter can be read (GET) or update (PUT). Plain text or SenML Media types MAY be returned from this type of interface.

The following example shows request for reading and updating a parameter.

```
Req: GET /d/name
Res: 2.05 Content (text/plain)
node5
Req: PUT /d/name (text/plain)
outdoor
```

Res: 2.04 Changed

4.6. Read-only Parameter

The Read-only Parameter interface allows configuration parameters to be read (GET) but not updated. Plain text or SenML Media types MAY be returned from this type of interface.

The following example shows request for reading such a parameter.

```
Req: GET /d/model
Res: 2.05 Content (text/plain)
SuperNode200
```

4.7. Actuator

The Actuator interface is used by resources that model different kinds of actuators (changing its value has an effect on its environment). Examples of actuators include for example LEDs, relays, motor controllers and light dimmers. The current value of the actuator can be read (GET) or the actuator value can be updated

Internet-Draft Interface Definitions for CoRE October 2018 (PUT). In addition, this interface allows the use of POST to change the state of an actuator, for example to toggle between its possible values. Plain text or SenML Media types MAY be returned from this type of interface. A resource with this interface MAY use SenML to include multiple measurements in the same representation, for example a list of recent actuator values or a list of values to updated. The following example shows requests for reading, setting and toggling an actuator (turning on a LED).

Req: GET /a/1/led
Res: 2.05 Content (text/plain)
0
Req: PUT /a/1/led (text/plain)
1
Res: 2.04 Changed
Req: POST /a/1/led (text/plain)
Res: 2.04 Changed
Req: GET /a/1/led
Res: 2.05 Content (text/plain)
0

5. Security Considerations

An implementation of a client needs to be prepared to deal with responses to a request that differ from what is specified in this document. A server implementing what the client thinks is a resource with one of these interface descriptions could return malformed representations and response codes either by accident or maliciously. A server sending maliciously malformed responses could attempt to take advantage of a poorly implemented client for example to crash the node or perform denial of service. Conversely, a malicious client could attempt to write to arbitrary resources on a poorly implemented server described in a linked batch.

<u>6</u>. IANA Considerations

This document registers the following CoRE Interface Description (if=) Link Target Attribute Values.

6.1. Link List

Attribute Value: core.ll

- Description: The Link List interface is used to retrieve a list of resources on an origin server.
- Reference: This document. Note to RFC Editor please insert the appropriate RFC reference.

Notes: None

6.2. Batch

Attribute Value: core.b

Description: The Batch interface is used to manipulate a collection of sub-resources at the same time.

Reference: This document. Note to RFC Editor - please insert the appropriate RFC reference.

Notes: None

6.3. Linked Batch

Attribute Value: core.lb

- Description: The Linked Batch interface is an extension of the Batch interface. Contrary to the basic Batch which is a collection statically defined by the origin server, a Linked Batch is dynamically controlled by a client.
- Reference: This document. Note to RFC Editor please insert the appropriate RFC reference.

Notes: None

6.4. Sensor

Attribute Value: core.s

- Description: The Sensor interface allows the value of a sensor resource to be read.
- Reference: This document. Note to RFC Editor please insert the appropriate RFC reference.

Notes: None

6.5. Parameter

Attribute Value: core.p

- Description: The Parameter interface allows configurable parameters and other information to be modeled as a resource. The value of the parameter can be read or update.
- Reference: This document. Note to RFC Editor please insert the appropriate RFC reference.

Notes: None

6.6. Read-only parameter

Attribute Value: core.rp

- Description: The Read-only Parameter interface allows configuration parameters to be read but not updated.
- Reference: This document. Note to RFC Editor please insert the appropriate RFC reference.

Notes: None

6.7. Actuator

Attribute Value: core.a

- Description: The Actuator interface is used by resources that model different kinds of actuators (changing its value has an effect on its environment). Examples of actuators include LEDs, relays, motor controllers and light dimmers. The current value of the actuator can be read or the actuator value can be updated. In addition, this interface allows the use of POST to change the state of an actuator, for example to toggle between its possible values.
- Reference: This document. Note to RFC Editor please insert the appropriate RFC reference.

Notes: None

7. Acknowledgements

Acknowledgement is given to colleagues from the SENSEI project who were critical in the initial development of the well-known REST interface concept, to members of the IPSO Alliance where further

requirements for interface descriptions have been discussed, and to Szymon Sasin, Cedric Chauvenet, Daniel Gavelle and Carsten Bormann who have provided useful discussion and input to the concepts in this document. Ari Keraenen provided updated SenML examples. Christian Amsuss supplied a comprehensive review of draft -12.

8. Contributors

Matthieu Vial Schneider-Electric Grenoble France Phone: +33 (0)47657 6522 EMail: matthieu.vial@schneider-electric.com

9. Changelog

Changes from -12 to -13:

- o SenML examples now use the Base Name (bn) labels from RFC 8428
- o Security considerations discusses client misuse of linked batches

Changes from -11 to -12:

- o Removed all text referring to function sets/profiles
- o Clarified list collections
- o Content-formats for collections and items rectified
- o Simplified Appendix A and removed Appendix B
- Changes from -10 to -11:
- o Added a new Section 3.4 for Link Embedding
- o Updated examples in Section 3.5
- o Removed "Service Discovery" from Terminologies
- o Removed discussion of function sets
- Changes from -09 to -10:
- o <u>Section 1</u>: Amendments to remove discussing properties. *

o New author and editor added.

Changes from -08 to -09:

- o <u>Section 3.6</u>: Modified to indicate that the entire collection resource is returned.
- o General: Added editor's note with open issues.

Changes from -07 to -08:

- o <u>Section 3.3</u>: Modified Accepts to Accept header option.
- o Addressed the editor's note in <u>Section 4.1</u> to clarify the use of the Accept option.

Changes from -06 to -07:

- o Corrected Figure 1 sub-resource names e.g. tmp to temp and hum to humidity.
- o Addressed the editor's note in <u>Section 4.2</u>.
- Removed section on function sets and profiles as agreed to at the IETF#97.

Changes from -05 to -06:

- o Updated the abstract.
- o <u>Section 1</u>: Updated introduction.
- o <u>Section 2</u>: Alphabetised the order
- o <u>Section 2</u>: Removed the collections definition in favour of the complete definition in the collections section.
- o Removed <u>section 3</u> on interfaces in favour of an updated definition in <u>section 1.3</u>.
- o General: Changed interface type to interface description as that is the term defined in <u>RFC6690</u>.
- o Removed section on future interfaces.
- o <u>Section 8</u>: Updated IANA considerations.

o Added <u>Appendix A</u> to discuss current state of the art wrt to collections, function sets etc.

Changes from -04 to -05:

- Removed Link Bindings and Observe attributes. This functionality is now contained in I-D.ietf-core-dynlink.
- o Hypermedia collections have been removed. This is covered in a new T2TRG draft.
- o The WADL description has been removed.
- o Fixed minor typos.
- o Updated references.

Changes from -03 to -04:

- o Fixed tickets #385 and #386.
- o Changed abstract and into to better describe content.
- o Focus on Interface and not function set/profiles in intro.
- o Changed references from draft-core-observe to RFC7641.
- o Moved Function sets and Profiles to section after Interfaces.
- o Moved Observe Attributes to the Link Binding section.
- o Add a Collection section to describe the collection types.

o Add the Hypermedia Collection Interface Description.

Changes from -02 to -03:

o Added lt and gt to binding format section.

o Added pmin and pmax observe parameters to Observation Attributes.

- o Changed the definition of lt and gt to limit crossing.
- o Added definitions for getattr and setattr to WADL.
- o Added getattr and setattr to observable interfaces.
- o Removed query parameters from Observe definition.

 Added observe-cancel definition to WADL and to observable interfaces.

Changes from -01 to -02:

- o Updated the date and version, fixed references.
- o "Removed pmin and pmax observe parameters "[Ticket #336]"."

Changes from -00 to WG Document -01

o Improvements to the Function Set section.

Changes from -05 to WG Document -00

o Updated the date and version.

Changes from -04 to -05

o Made the Observation control parameters to be treated as resources rather than Observe query parameters. Added Less Than and Greater Than parameters.

Changes from -03 to -04

o Draft refresh

Changes from -02 to -03

- o Added Bindings
- o Updated all rt= and if= for the new Link Format IANA rules

Changes from -01 to -02

- o Defined a Function Set and its guidelines.
- o Added the Link List interface.
- o Added the Linked Batch interface.
- o Improved the WADL interface definition.
- o Added a simple profile example.

Internet-Draft Interface

10. References

<u>**10.1</u>**. Normative References</u>

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>.
- [RFC6690] Shelby, Z., "Constrained RESTful Environments (CoRE) Link Format", <u>RFC 6690</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC6690, August 2012, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6690</u>>.
- [RFC8288] Nottingham, M., "Web Linking", <u>RFC 8288</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC8288, October 2017, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8288</u>>.

<u>10.2</u>. Informative References

[I-D.ietf-core-dynlink]

Shelby, Z., Koster, M., Groves, C., Zhu, J., and B. Silverajan, "Dynamic Resource Linking for Constrained RESTful Environments", <u>draft-ietf-core-dynlink-06</u> (work in progress), July 2018.

[I-D.ietf-core-resource-directory]

Shelby, Z., Koster, M., Bormann, C., Stok, P., and C. Amsuess, "CoRE Resource Directory", <u>draft-ietf-core-</u> <u>resource-directory-15</u> (work in progress), October 2018.

[OIC-Core]

"OIC Resource Type Specification v1.1.0", 2016, <<u>https://openconnectivity.org/resources/specifications</u>>.

[OIC-SmartHome]

"OIC Smart Home Device Specification v1.1.0", 2016, <<u>https://openconnectivity.org/resources/specifications</u>>.

[OMA-TS-LWM2M]

"Lightweight Machine to Machine Technical Specification", 2016, <<u>http://technical.openmobilealliance.org/Technical/</u> technical-information/release-program/current-releases/ oma-lightweightm2m-v1-0>.

[oneM2MTS0008]

"TS 0008 v1.3.2 CoAP Protocol Binding", 2016, <<u>http://www.onem2m.org/technical/published-documents</u>>.

[oneM2MTS0023]

"TS 0023 v2.0.0 Home Appliances Information Model and Mapping", 2016, <http://www.onem2m.org/technical/published-documents>.

- [RFC3986] Berners-Lee, T., Fielding, R., and L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifier (URI): Generic Syntax", STD 66, RFC 3986, DOI 10.17487/RFC3986, January 2005, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3986>.
- [RFC6573] Amundsen, M., "The Item and Collection Link Relations", RFC 6573, DOI 10.17487/RFC6573, April 2012, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6573>.
- [RFC7230] Fielding, R., Ed. and J. Reschke, Ed., "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Message Syntax and Routing", RFC 7230, DOI 10.17487/RFC7230, June 2014, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7230>.
- [RFC7252] Shelby, Z., Hartke, K., and C. Bormann, "The Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP)", RFC 7252, DOI 10.17487/RFC7252, June 2014, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7252>.
- [RFC7396] Hoffman, P. and J. Snell, "JSON Merge Patch", RFC 7396, DOI 10.17487/RFC7396, October 2014, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7396>.
- [RFC8428] Jennings, C., Shelby, Z., Arkko, J., Keranen, A., and C. Bormann, "Sensor Measurement Lists (SenML)", RFC 8428, DOI 10.17487/RFC8428, August 2018, <<u>https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8428</u>>.

Appendix A. Current Usage of Interfaces

Editor's note: This appendix will be removed. It is only included for information.

This appendix analyses the current landscape with regards the definition and use of collections and interfaces. This should be considered when considering the scope of this document.

A.1. Constrained RESTful Environments (CoRE) Link Format (IETF)

[RFC6690] assumes that different deployments or application domains will define the appropriate REST Interface Descriptions along with Resource Types to make discovery meaningful. It highlights that collections are often used for these interfaces.

Whilst 3.2/[<u>RFC6690</u>] defines a new Interface Description 'if' attribute the procedures around it are about the naming of the interface not what information should be included in the documentation about the interface.

A.2. Open Connectivity Foundation (OCF)

The OIC Core Specification [OIC-Core] most closely aligns with the work in this specification. It makes use of interface descriptions as per [RFC6690] and has registered several interface identifiers (https://www.iana.org/assignments/core-parameters/core-parameters.xhtml#if-link-target-att-value). These interface descriptors are similar to those defined in this specification. From a high level perspective:

```
links list: OCF (oic.if.ll) -> IETF (core.ll)
Note: it's called "link list" in the IETF.
linked batch: OCF (oic.if.b) -> IETF (core.lb)
read-only: OCF (oic.if.r) -> IETF (core.rp)
read-write: OCF (oic.if.rw) -> IETF (core.p)
actuator: OCF (oic.if.a) -> IETF (core.a)
sensor: OCF (oic.if.s) -> IETF (core.s)
batch: No OCF equivalent -> IETF (core.b)
```

Some of the OCF interfaces make use of collections.

The OIC Core specification does not use the concept of function sets. It does however discuss the concept of profiles. The OCF defines two sets of documents. The core specification documents such as [OIC-Core] and vertical profile specification documents which provide specific information for specific applications. The OIC Smart Home Device Specification [OIC-SmartHome] is one such specification. It provides information on the resource model, discovery and data types.

Authors' Addresses

Zach Shelby ARM Kidekuja 2 Vuokatti 88600 FINLAND

Phone: +358407796297 Email: zach.shelby@arm.com

Michael Koster SmartThings 665 Clyde Avenue Mountain View 94043 USA Email: michael.koster@smartthings.com Christian Groves Australia Email: cngroves.std@gmail.com Jintao Zhu Huawei No.127 Jinye Road, Huawei Base, High-Tech Development District Xi'an, Shaanxi Province China Email: jintao.zhu@huawei.com Bilhanan Silverajan (editor) Tampere University of Technology Korkeakoulunkatu 10

Email: bilhanan.silverajan@tut.fi

Tampere FI-33720

Finland