

Workgroup: Internet Engineering Task Force
Internet-Draft: draft-ietf-core-yang-cbor-20
Published: 11 April 2022
Intended Status: Standards Track
Expires: 13 October 2022
Authors: M. Veillette, Ed. I. Petrov, Ed.
 Trilliant Networks Inc. Google Switzerland GmbH
 A. Pelov C. Bormann
 Acklio Universität Bremen TZI
 M. Richardson
 Sandelman Software Works

CBOR Encoding of Data Modeled with YANG

Abstract

Based on the Concise Binary Object Representation (CBOR, RFC 8949), this document defines encoding rules for representing configuration data, state data, parameters and results of Remote Procedure Call (RPC) operations or actions, and notifications, defined using YANG (RFC 7950).

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 13 October 2022.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2022 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this

document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

- [1. Introduction](#)
- [2. Terminology and Notation](#)
- [3. Properties of the CBOR Encoding](#)
 - [3.1. CBOR diagnostic notation](#)
 - [3.2. YANG Schema Item iDentifier](#)
 - [3.3. Name](#)
- [4. Encoding of Representation Nodes](#)
 - [4.1. The 'leaf'](#)
 - [4.1.1. Using SIDs in keys](#)
 - [4.1.2. Using names in keys](#)
 - [4.2. The 'container' and other nodes from the data tree](#)
 - [4.2.1. Using SIDs in keys](#)
 - [4.2.2. Using names in keys](#)
 - [4.3. The 'leaf-list'](#)
 - [4.3.1. Using SIDs in keys](#)
 - [4.3.2. Using names in keys](#)
 - [4.4. The 'list' and 'list' entries](#)
 - [4.4.1. Using SIDs in keys](#)
 - [4.4.2. Using names in keys](#)
 - [4.5. The 'anydata'](#)
 - [4.5.1. Using SIDs in keys](#)
 - [4.5.2. Using names in keys](#)
 - [4.6. The 'anyxml'](#)
 - [4.6.1. Using SIDs in keys](#)
 - [4.6.2. Using names in keys](#)
- [5. Encoding of 'yang-data' extension](#)
 - [5.1. Using SIDs in keys](#)
 - [5.2. Using names in keys](#)
- [6. Representing YANG Data Types in CBOR](#)
 - [6.1. The unsigned integer Types](#)
 - [6.2. The integer Types](#)
 - [6.3. The 'decimal64' Type](#)
 - [6.4. The 'string' Type](#)
 - [6.5. The 'boolean' Type](#)
 - [6.6. The 'enumeration' Type](#)
 - [6.7. The 'bits' Type](#)
 - [6.8. The 'binary' Type](#)
 - [6.9. The 'leafref' Type](#)
 - [6.10. The 'identityref' Type](#)
 - [6.10.1. SIDs as identityref](#)
 - [6.10.2. Name as identityref](#)
 - [6.11. The 'empty' Type](#)
 - [6.12. The 'union' Type](#)

- [6.13. The 'instance-identifier' Type](#)
 - [6.13.1. SIDs as instance-identifier](#)
 - [6.13.2. Names as instance-identifier](#)
- [7. Content-Types](#)
- [8. Security Considerations](#)
- [9. IANA Considerations](#)
 - [9.1. Media-Types Registry](#)
 - [9.2. CoAP Content-Formats Registry](#)
 - [9.3. CBOR Tags Registry](#)
- [10. References](#)
 - [10.1. Normative References](#)
 - [10.2. Informative References](#)
- [Acknowledgments](#)
- [Authors' Addresses](#)

1. Introduction

The specification of the YANG 1.1 data modeling language [[RFC7950](#)] defines an XML encoding for data instances, i.e., contents of configuration datastores, state data, RPC inputs and outputs, action inputs and outputs, and event notifications.

An additional set of encoding rules has been defined in [[RFC7951](#)] based on the JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) Data Interchange Format [[RFC8259](#)].

The aim of this document is to define a set of encoding rules for the Concise Binary Object Representation (CBOR) [[RFC8949](#)], collectively called *YANG-CBOR*. The resulting encoding is more compact compared to XML and JSON and more suitable for Constrained Nodes and/or Constrained Networks as defined by [[RFC7228](#)].

2. Terminology and Notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [[RFC2119](#)] [[RFC8174](#)] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

The following terms are defined in [[RFC7950](#)]:

*action

*anydata

*anyxml

*data node

*data tree

*datastore

*feature

*identity

*module

*notification

*RPC

*schema node

*submodule

The following term is defined in [[RFC8040](#)]:

*yang-data extension

The following term is defined in [[RFC8791](#)]:

*YANG data structure

This specification also makes use of the following terminology:

*YANG Schema Item iDentifier (YANG SID or simply SID): 63-bit unsigned integer used to identify different YANG items.

*delta: Difference between the current YANG SID and a reference YANG SID. A reference YANG SID is defined for each context for which deltas are used.

*absolute SID: YANG SID not encoded as a delta. This is usually called out explicitly only in positions where normally a delta would be found.

*representation tree: a YANG data tree, possibly enclosed by a representation of a schema node such as a YANG data structure, a notification, an RPC, or an action.

*representation node: a node in a representation tree, i.e., a data tree node, or a representation of a schema node such as a YANG data structure, a notification, an RPC, or an action.

*item: A schema node, an identity, a module, or a feature defined using the YANG modeling language.

*list entry: the data associated with a single entry of a list (see [Section 7.8](#) of [[RFC7950](#)]).

*parent (of a representation node): the schema node of the closest enclosing representation node in which a given representation node is defined.

3. Properties of the CBOR Encoding

This document defines CBOR encoding rules for YANG data trees and their subtrees.

A YANG data tree can be enclosed by a representation of a schema node such as a YANG data structure, a notification, an RPC, or an action; this is called a representation tree. The data tree nodes and the enclosing schema node representation, if any, are collectively called the representation nodes.

A representation node such as container, list entry, YANG data structure, notification, RPC input, RPC output, action input, or action output is serialized using a CBOR map in which each schema node defined within is encoded using a key and a value. This specification supports two types of CBOR keys; YANG Schema Item Identifier (YANG SID) as defined in [Section 3.2](#) and names as defined in [Section 3.3](#). Each of these key types is encoded using a specific CBOR type which allows their interpretation during the deserialization process. Protocols or mechanisms implementing this specification can mandate the use of a specific key type or allow the generator to choose freely per key.

In order to minimize the size of the encoded data, the mapping avoids any unnecessary meta-information beyond that directly provided by the CBOR basic generic data model ([Section 2](#) of [[RFC8949](#)]). For instance, CBOR tags are used solely in the case of an absolute SID, anyxml data nodes, or the union datatype, to distinguish explicitly the use of different YANG datatypes encoded using the same CBOR major type.

Unless specified otherwise by the protocol or mechanism implementing this specification, the indefinite length encoding as defined in [Section 3.2](#) of [[RFC8949](#)] **SHALL** be supported by the CBOR decoders employed with YANG-CBOR. (This enables an implementation to begin emitting an array or map before the number of entries in that structure is known, possibly also avoiding excessive locking or race conditions. On the other hand, it deprives the receiver of the encoded data from advance announcement about some size information, so a generator should choose indefinite length encoding only when these benefits do accrue.)

Data nodes implemented using a CBOR array, map, byte string, or text string can be instantiated but empty. In this case, they are encoded with a length of zero.

When representation nodes are serialized using the rules defined by this specification as part of an application payload, the payload **SHOULD** include information that would allow a stateless way to identify each node, such as the SID number associated with the node, SID delta from another SID in the application payload, the namespace qualified name, or the instance-identifier.

Examples in [Section 4](#) include a root CBOR map with a single entry having a key set to either a namespace qualified name or a SID. This root CBOR map is provided only as a typical usage example and is not part of the present encoding rules. Only the value within this CBOR map is compulsory.

3.1. CBOR diagnostic notation

Within this document, CBOR binary contents are represented using an equivalent textual form called CBOR diagnostic notation as defined in [Section 8](#) of [\[RFC8949\]](#). This notation is used strictly for documentation purposes and is never used in the data serialization. [Table 1](#) below provides a summary of this notation.

CBOR content	CBOR type	Diagnostic notation	Example	CBOR encoding
Unsigned integer	0	Decimal digits	123	18 7B
Negative integer	1	Decimal digits prefixed by a minus sign	-123	38 7A
Byte string	2	Hexadecimal value enclosed between single quotes and prefixed by an 'h'	h'F15C'	42 F15C
Text string	3	String of Unicode characters enclosed between double quotes	"txt"	63 747874
Array	4	Comma-separated list of values within square brackets	[1, 2]	82 01 02
Map	5	Comma-separated list of key : value pairs within curly braces	{ 1: 123, 2: 456 }	A2 01187B 021901C8
Boolean	7/20	false	false	F4
	7/21	true	true	F5
Null	7/22	null	null	F6
	7/23	undefined	undefined	F7

CBOR content	CBOR type	Diagnostic notation	Example	CBOR encoding
Not assigned				

Table 1: CBOR diagnostic notation summary

Note: CBOR binary contents shown in this specification are annotated with comments. These comments are delimited by slashes ("/") as defined in [[RFC8610](#)] Appendix G.6.

3.2. YANG Schema Item iDentifier

Some of the items defined in YANG [[RFC7950](#)] require the use of a unique identifier. In both Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF) [[RFC6241](#)] and RESTCONF [[RFC8040](#)], these identifiers are implemented using text strings. To allow the implementation of data models defined in YANG in constrained devices and constrained networks, a more compact method to identify YANG items is required. This compact identifier, called YANG Schema Item iDentifier, is an unsigned integer limited to 63 bits of range (i.e., 0..9223372036854775807 or 0..0xffffffffffffffff). The following items are identified using YANG SIDs (often shortened to SIDs):

- *identities
- *data nodes
- *RPCs and associated input(s) and output(s)
- *actions and associated input(s) and output(s)
- *YANG data structures
- *notifications and associated information
- *YANG modules and features

Note that any structuring of modules into submodules is transparent to YANG-CBOR: SIDs are not allocated for the names of submodules, and any items within a submodule are effectively allocated SIDs as part of processing the module that includes them.

To minimize their size, SIDs used as keys in CBOR maps are encoded using deltas, i.e., signed (negative or unsigned) integers that are added to the reference SID applying to the map. The reference SID of an outermost map is zero, unless a different reference SID is unambiguously conferred from the environment in which the outermost map is used. The reference SID of a map that is most directly embedded in a map entry with a name-based key is zero. For all other maps, the reference SID is the SID computed for the map entry it is

most directly embedded in. (The embedding may be indirect if an array intervenes, e.g., in a YANG list.) Where absolute SIDs are desired in map key positions (where a bare integer implies a delta), they need to be identified as absolute SID values by using CBOR tag number 47 (as defined in [Section 4.2.1](#)).

Thus, conversion from SIDs to deltas and back to SIDs is a stateless process solely based on the data serialized or deserialized combined with, potentially, an outermost reference SID unambiguously conferred by the environment.

Mechanisms and processes used to assign SIDs to YANG items and to guarantee their uniqueness are outside the scope of the present specification. If SIDs are to be used, the present specification is used in conjunction with a specification defining this management. A related document, [[I-D.ietf-core-sid](#)], is intended to serve as the definitive way to assign SID values for YANG modules managed by the IETF, and recommends itself for YANG modules managed by non-IETF entities, as well. The present specification has been designed to allow different methods of assignment to be used within separate domains.

To provide implementations with a way to internally indicate the absence of a SID, the SID value 0 is reserved and will not be allocated; it is not used in interchange.

3.3. Name

This specification also supports the encoding of YANG item identifiers as text strings, similar to those used by the JSON Encoding of Data Modeled with YANG [[RFC7951](#)]. This approach can be used to avoid the management overhead associated with SID allocation. The main drawback is the significant increase in size of the encoded data.

YANG item identifiers implemented using names **MUST** be in one of the following forms:

- *simple -- the identifier of the YANG item (i.e., schema node or identity).

- *namespace qualified -- the identifier of the YANG item is prefixed with the name of the module in which this item is defined, separated by the colon character (":").

The name of a module determines the namespace of all YANG items defined in that module. If an item is defined in a submodule, then the namespace qualified name uses the name of the main module to which the submodule belongs.

ABNF syntax [RFC5234] of a name is shown in [Figure 1](#), where the production for "identifier" is defined in [Section 14](#) of [RFC7950].

```
name = [identifier ":" ] identifier
```

Figure 1: ABNF Production for a simple or namespace qualified name

A namespace qualified name **MUST** be used for all members of a top-level CBOR map and then also whenever the namespaces of the representation node and its parent node are different. In all other cases, the simple form of the name **MUST** be used.

Definition example:

```
module example-foomod {
  container top {
    leaf foo {
      type uint8;
    }
  }
}

module example-barmod {
  import example-foomod {
    prefix "foomod";
  }
  augment "/foomod:top" {
    leaf bar {
      type boolean;
    }
  }
}
```

A valid CBOR encoding of the 'top' container is as follows.

CBOR diagnostic notation:

```
{
  "example-foomod:top": {
    "foo": 54,
    "example-barmod:bar": true
  }
}
```

Both the 'top' container and the 'bar' leaf defined in a different YANG module as its parent container are encoded as namespace qualified names. The 'foo' leaf defined in the same YANG module as its parent container is encoded as simple name.

4. Encoding of Representation Nodes

Representation nodes defined using the YANG modeling language are encoded using CBOR [RFC8949] based on the rules defined in this section. We assume that the reader is already familiar with both YANG [RFC7950] and CBOR [RFC8949].

4.1. The 'leaf'

A 'leaf' **MUST** be encoded accordingly to its datatype using one of the encoding rules specified in [Section 6](#).

The following examples show the encoding of a 'hostname' leaf using a SID or a name.

Definition example adapted from [RFC6991] and [RFC7317]:

```
typedef domain-name {
  type string {
    pattern
      '((([a-zA-Z0-9_]([a-zA-Z0-9\_-]){0,61})?[a-zA-Z0-9]\.)*'
      + '([a-zA-Z0-9_]([a-zA-Z0-9\_-]){0,61})?[a-zA-Z0-9]\.?)'
      + '|\. ';
    length "1..253";
  }
}

leaf hostname {
  type inet:domain-name;
}
```

4.1.1. Using SIDs in keys

As with all examples below, the delta in the outermost map assumes a reference YANG SID (current schema node) of 0.

CBOR diagnostic notation:

```
{
  1752 : "myhost.example.com"      / hostname (SID 1752) /
}
```

CBOR encoding:

```
A1          # map(1)
  19 06D8    # unsigned(1752)
  72         # text(18)
    6D79686F73742E6578616D706C652E636F6D # "myhost.example.com"
```

4.1.2. Using names in keys

CBOR diagnostic notation:

```
{
  "ietf-system:hostname" : "myhost.example.com"
}
```

CBOR encoding:

```
A1          # map(1)
  74         # text(20)
    6965744662D73797374656D3A686F73746E616D65
  72         # text(18)
    6D79686F73742E6578616D706C652E636F6D
```

4.2. The 'container' and other nodes from the data tree

Instances of containers, YANG data structures, notification contents, RPC inputs, RPC outputs, action inputs, and action outputs **MUST** be encoded using a CBOR map data item (major type 5). The same encoding is also used for the list entries in a list ([Section 4.4](#)). A map consists of pairs of data items, with each pair consisting of a key and a value. Each key within the CBOR map is set to a schema node identifier, each value is set to the value of this representation node according to the instance datatype.

This specification supports two types of CBOR map keys; SID as defined in [Section 3.2](#) and names as defined in [Section 3.3](#).

The following examples show the encoding of a 'system-state' container representation instance using SIDs or names.

Definition example adapted from [[RFC6991](#)] and [[RFC7317](#)]:

```

typedef date-and-time {
  type string {
    pattern '\d{4}-\d{2}-\d{2}T\d{2}:\d{2}:\d{2}(\.\d+)?'
      + '(Z|[\+\-]\d{2}:\d{2})';
  }
}

container system-state {

  container clock {
    leaf current-datetime {
      type date-and-time;
    }

    leaf boot-datetime {
      type date-and-time;
    }
  }
}

```

4.2.1. Using SIDs in keys

In the context of containers and other nodes from the data tree, CBOR map keys within inner CBOR maps can be encoded using deltas (bare integers) or absolute SIDs (tagged with tag number 47).

Delta values are computed as follows:

- *In the case of a 'container', deltas are equal to the SID of the current representation node minus the SID of the parent 'container'.

- *In the case of a 'list', deltas are equal to the SID of the current representation node minus the SID of the parent 'list'.

- *In the case of an 'RPC input' or 'RPC output', deltas are equal to the SID of the current representation node minus the SID of the 'RPC'.

- *In the case of an 'action input' or 'action output', deltas are equal to the SID of the current representation node minus the SID of the 'action'.

- *In the case of a 'notification content', deltas are equal to the SID of the current representation node minus the SID of the 'notification'.

CBOR diagnostic notation:

```

{
  1720 : {
    1 : {
      2 : "2015-10-02T14:47:24Z-05:00", / current-datetime(SID 1723)/
      1 : "2015-09-15T09:12:58Z-05:00" / boot-datetime (SID 1722) /
    }
  }
}

```

CBOR encoding:

```

A1                                # map(1)
19 06B8                          # unsigned(1720)
A1                                # map(1)
  01                             # unsigned(1)
  A2                             # map(2)
    02                          # unsigned(2)
    78 1A                       # text(26)
      323031352D31302D30325431343A34373A32345A2D30353A3030
    01                          # unsigned(1)
    78 1A                       # text(26)
      323031352D30392D31355430393A31323A35385A2D30353A3030

```

Figure 2: System state clock encoding

4.2.2. Using names in keys

CBOR map keys implemented using names **MUST** be encoded using a CBOR text string data item (major type 3). A namespace-qualified name **MUST** be used each time the namespace of a representation node and its parent differ. In all other cases, the simple form of the name **MUST** be used. Names and namespaces are defined in [Section 4](#) of [\[RFC7951\]](#).

The following example shows the encoding of a 'system' container representation node instance using names.

CBOR diagnostic notation:

```

{
  "ietf-system:system-state" : {
    "clock" : {
      "current-datetime" : "2015-10-02T14:47:24Z-05:00",
      "boot-datetime" : "2015-09-15T09:12:58Z-05:00"
    }
  }
}

```

CBOR encoding:

```
A1                                # map(1)
  78 18                          # text(24)
    696574662D73797374656D3A73797374656D2D7374617465
  A1                              # map(1)
    65                          # text(5)
      636C6F636B                # "clock"
    A2                          # map(2)
      70                        # text(16)
        63757272656E742D6461746574696D65
      78 1A                      # text(26)
        323031352D31302D30325431343A34373A32345A2D30353A3030
      6D                        # text(13)
        626F6F742D6461746574696D65
      78 1A                      # text(26)
        323031352D30392D31355430393A31323A35385A2D30353A3030
```

4.3. The 'leaf-list'

A leaf-list **MUST** be encoded using a CBOR array data item (major type 4). Each entry of this array **MUST** be encoded accordingly to its datatype using one of the encoding rules specified in [Section 6](#).

The following example shows the encoding of the 'search' leaf-list representation node instance containing two entries, "ietf.org" and "ieee.org".

Definition example adapted from [\[RFC6991\]](#) and [\[RFC7317\]](#):

```
typedef domain-name {
  type string {
    pattern
      '((([a-zA-Z0-9_]([a-zA-Z0-9\_-]){0,61})?[a-zA-Z0-9]\.)*'
      + '([a-zA-Z0-9_]([a-zA-Z0-9\_-]){0,61})?[a-zA-Z0-9]\.?)'
      + '|\.';
    length "1..253";
  }
}

leaf-list search {
  type domain-name;
  ordered-by user;
}
```

4.3.1. Using SIDs in keys

CBOR diagnostic notation:

```
{
  1746 : [ "ietf.org", "ieee.org" ]    / search (SID 1746) /
}
```

CBOR encoding:

```
A1                # map(1)
  19 06D2          # unsigned(1746)
  82              # array(2)
    68            # text(8)
      696574662E6F7267 # "ietf.org"
    68            # text(8)
      696565652E6F7267 # "ieee.org"
```

4.3.2. Using names in keys

CBOR diagnostic notation:

```
{
  "ietf-system:search" : [ "ietf.org", "ieee.org" ]
}
```

CBOR encoding:

```
A1                # map(1)
  72              # text(18)
    696574662D73797374656D3A736561726368 # "ietf-system:search"
  82              # array(2)
    68            # text(8)
      696574662E6F7267 # "ietf.org"
    68            # text(8)
      696565652E6F7267 # "ieee.org"
```

4.4. The 'list' and 'list' entries

A list or a subset of a list **MUST** be encoded using a CBOR array data item (major type 4). Each list entry within this CBOR array is

encoded using a CBOR map data item (major type 5) based on the encoding rules of a collection as defined in [Section 4.2](#).

It is important to note that this encoding rule also applies to a 'list' representation node instance that has a single entry.

The following examples show the encoding of a 'server' list using SIDs or names.

Definition example simplified from [[RFC7317](#)]:

```
list server {
  key name;

  leaf name {
    type string;
  }
  choice transport {
    case udp {
      container udp {
        leaf address {
          type host;
          mandatory true;
        }
        leaf port {
          type port-number;
        }
      }
    }
  }
  leaf association-type {
    type enumeration {
      enum server;
      enum peer;
      enum pool;
    }
    default server;
  }
  leaf iburst {
    type boolean;
    default false;
  }
  leaf prefer {
    type boolean;
    default false;
  }
}
```


4.4.1. Using SIDs in keys

The encoding rules of each 'list' entry are defined in [Section 4.2.1](#).

CBOR diagnostic notation:

```
{
  1756 : [                                / server (SID 1756) /
    {
      3 : "NRC TIC server",              / name (SID 1759) /
      5 : {                              / udp (SID 1761) /
        1 : "tic.nrc.ca",                / address (SID 1762) /
        2 : 123                          / port (SID 1763) /
      },
      1 : 0,                             / association-type (SID 1757) /
      2 : false,                         / iburst (SID 1758) /
      4 : true                           / prefer (SID 1760) /
    },
    {
      3 : "NRC TAC server",              / name (SID 1759) /
      5 : {                              / udp (SID 1761) /
        1 : "tac.nrc.ca"                 / address (SID 1762) /
      }
    }
  ]
}
```

CBOR encoding:

```

A1                                     # map(1)
  19 06DC                             # unsigned(1756)
  82                                   # array(2)
    A5                               # map(5)
      03                             # unsigned(3)
      6E                             # text(14)
        4E52432054494320736572766572 # "NRC TIC server"
      05                             # unsigned(5)
      A2                             # map(2)
        01                           # unsigned(1)
        6A                           # text(10)
          7469632E6E72632E6361       # "tic.nrc.ca"
        02                           # unsigned(2)
        18 7B                        # unsigned(123)
      01                             # unsigned(1)
      00                             # unsigned(0)
      02                             # unsigned(2)
      F4                             # primitive(20)
      04                             # unsigned(4)
      F5                             # primitive(21)
    A2                               # map(2)
      03                             # unsigned(3)
      6E                             # text(14)
        4E52432054414320736572766572 # "NRC TAC server"
      05                             # unsigned(5)
      A1                             # map(1)
        01                           # unsigned(1)
        6A                           # text(10)
          7461632E6E72632E6361       # "tac.nrc.ca"

```

4.4.2. Using names in keys

The encoding rules of each 'list' entry are defined in [Section 4.2.2](#).

CBOR diagnostic notation:

```
{
  "ietf-system:server" : [
    {
      "name" : "NRC TIC server",
      "udp" : {
        "address" : "tic.nrc.ca",
        "port" : 123
      },
      "association-type" : 0,
      "iburst" : false,
      "prefer" : true
    },
    {
      "name" : "NRC TAC server",
      "udp" : {
        "address" : "tac.nrc.ca"
      }
    }
  ]
}
```

CBOR encoding:

```

A1                                     # map(1)
72                                     # text(18)
696574662D73797374656D3A736572766572
82                                     # array(2)
A5                                     # map(5)
64                                     # text(4)
6E616D65                             # "name"
6E                                     # text(14)
4E52432054494320736572766572
63                                     # text(3)
756470                               # "udp"
A2                                     # map(2)
67                                     # text(7)
61646472657373                       # "address"
6A                                     # text(10)
7469632E6E72632E6361                 # "tic.nrc.ca"
64                                     # text(4)
706F7274                             # "port"
18 7B                                # unsigned(123)
70                                     # text(16)
6173736F63696174696F6E2D74797065
00                                     # unsigned(0)
66                                     # text(6)
696275727374                         # "iburst"
F4                                     # primitive(20)
66                                     # text(6)
707265666572                         # "prefer"
F5                                     # primitive(21)
A2                                     # map(2)
64                                     # text(4)
6E616D65                             # "name"
6E                                     # text(14)
4E52432054414320736572766572
63                                     # text(3)
756470                               # "udp"
A1                                     # map(1)
67                                     # text(7)
61646472657373                       # "address"
6A                                     # text(10)
7461632E6E72632E6361                 # "tac.nrc.ca"

```

4.5. The 'anydata'

An anydata serves as a container for an arbitrary set of representation nodes that otherwise appear as normal YANG-modeled data. An anydata representation node instance is encoded using the

same rules as a container, i.e., CBOR map. The requirement that anydata content can be modeled by YANG implies the following:

- *CBOR map keys of any inner representation nodes **MUST** be set to valid deltas or names.

- *CBOR arrays **MUST** contain either unique scalar values (as a leaf-list, see [Section 4.3](#)), or maps (as a list, see [Section 4.4](#)).

- *CBOR map values **MUST** follow the encoding rules of one of the datatypes listed in [Section 4](#).

The following example shows a possible use of an anydata. In this example, an anydata is used to define a representation node containing a notification event; this representation node can be part of a YANG list to create an event logger.

Definition example:

```
module event-log {
  ...
  anydata last-event;          # SID 60123
}
```

This example also assumes the assistance of the following notification.

```
module example-port {
  ...

  notification example-port-fault { # SID 60200
    leaf port-name {                # SID 60201
      type string;
    }
    leaf port-fault {                # SID 60202
      type string;
    }
  }
}
```

4.5.1. Using SIDs in keys

CBOR diagnostic notation:

```

{
  60123 : {
    / last-event (SID 60123) /
    77 : {
      / example-port-fault (SID 60200) /
      1 : "0/4/21",
      / port-name (SID 60201) /
      2 : "Open pin 2"
      / port-fault (SID 60202) /
    }
  }
}

```

CBOR encoding:

```

A1                                # map(1)
19 EADB                          # unsigned(60123)
A1                                # map(1)
  18 4D                          # unsigned(77)
  A2                              # map(2)
    01                          # unsigned(1)
    66                          # text(6)
      302F342F3231             # "0/4/21"
    02                          # unsigned(2)
    6A                          # text(10)
      4F70656E2070696E2032    # "Open pin 2"

```

In some implementations, it might be simpler to use the absolute SID encoding (tag number 47) for the anydata root element. CBOR diagnostic notation:

```

{
  60123 : {
    / last-event (SID 60123) /
    47(60200) : {
      / event-port-fault (SID 60200) /
      1 : "0/4/21",
      / port-name (SID 60201) /
      2 : "Open pin 2"
      / port-fault (SID 60202) /
    }
  }
}

```

4.5.2. Using names in keys

CBOR diagnostic notation:

```

{
  "event-log:last-event" : {
    "example-port:example-port-fault" : {
      "port-name" : "0/4/21",
      "port-fault" : "Open pin 2"
    }
  }
}

```

CBOR encoding:

```

A1                                     # map(1)
74                                     # text(20)
6576656E742D6C6F673A6C6173742D6576656E74
A1                                     # map(1)
78 1F                                 # text(31)
6578616D706C652D706F72743A
6578616D706C652D706F72742D6661756C74
A2                                     # map(2)
69                                     # text(9)
706F72742D6E616D65                  # "port-name"
66                                     # text(6)
302F342F3231                        # "0/4/21"
6A                                     # text(10)
706F72742D6661756C74                # "port-fault"
6A                                     # text(10)
4F70656E2070696E2032                # "Open pin 2"

```

4.6. The 'anyxml'

An anyxml representation node is used to serialize an arbitrary CBOR content, i.e., its value can be any CBOR binary object. (The "xml" in the name is a misnomer that only applied to YANG-XML [\[RFC7950\]](#).) An anyxml value **MAY** contain CBOR data items tagged with one of the tags listed in [Section 9.3](#). The tags listed in [Section 9.3](#) **SHALL** be supported.

The following example shows a valid CBOR encoded anyxml representation node instance consisting of a CBOR array containing the CBOR simple values 'true', 'null' and 'true'.

Definition example from [\[RFC7951\]](#):

```

module bar-module {
    ...
    anyxml bar;      # SID 60000
}

```

4.6.1. Using SIDs in keys

CBOR diagnostic notation:

```

{
  60000 : [true, null, true] / bar (SID 60000) /
}

```

CBOR encoding:

```

A1          # map(1)
  19 EA60   # unsigned(60000)
  83        # array(3)
    F5      # primitive(21)
    F6      # primitive(22)
    F5      # primitive(21)

```

4.6.2. Using names in keys

CBOR diagnostic notation:

```

{
  "bar-module:bar" : [true, null, true] / bar (SID 60000) /
}

```

CBOR encoding:

```

A1          # map(1)
  6E        # text(14)
    6261722D6D6F64756C653A626172   # "bar-module:bar"
  83        # array(3)
    F5      # primitive(21)
    F6      # primitive(22)
    F5      # primitive(21)

```


5. Encoding of 'yang-data' extension

The yang-data extension [[RFC8040](#)] is used to define data structures in YANG that are not intended to be implemented as part of a datastore.

The yang-data extension will specify a container that **MUST** be encoded using the encoding rules of nodes of data trees as defined in [Section 4.2](#).

Just like YANG containers, the yang-data extension can be encoded using either SIDs or names.

Definition example from [[I-D.ietf-core-comi](#)] Appendix A:

```
module ietf-coreconf {
  ...

  import ietf-restconf {
    prefix rc;
  }

  rc:yang-data yang-errors {
    container error {
      leaf error-tag {
        type identityref {
          base error-tag;
        }
      }
      leaf error-app-tag {
        type identityref {
          base error-app-tag;
        }
      }
      leaf error-data-node {
        type instance-identifier;
      }
      leaf error-message {
        type string;
      }
    }
  }
}
```

5.1. Using SIDs in keys

The yang-data extensions encoded using SIDs are carried in a CBOR map containing a single item pair. The key of this item is set to

the SID assigned to the yang-data extension container; the value is set to the CBOR encoding of this container as defined in [Section 4.2](#).

This example shows a serialization example of the yang-errors yang-data extension as defined in [[I-D.ietf-core-comi](#)] using SIDs as defined in [Section 3.2](#).

CBOR diagnostic notation:

```
{
  1024 : {                                / error (SID 1024) /
    4 : 1011,                            / error-tag (SID 1028) /
                                          / = invalid-value (SID 1011) /
    1 : 1018,                            / error-app-tag (SID 1025) /
                                          / = not-in-range (SID 1018) /
    2 : 1740,                            / error-data-node (SID 1026) /
                                          / = timezone-utc-offset (SID 1740) /
    3 : "Maximum exceeded"              / error-message (SID 1027) /
  }
}
```

CBOR encoding:

```
A1                                     # map(1)
  19 0400                             # unsigned(1024)
  A4                                  # map(4)
    04                               # unsigned(4)
    19 03F3                          # unsigned(1011)
    01                               # unsigned(1)
    19 03FA                          # unsigned(1018)
    02                               # unsigned(2)
    19 06CC                          # unsigned(1740)
    03                               # unsigned(3)
    70                               # text(16)
    4D6178696D756D2065786365565646564 # "Maximum exceeded"
```

5.2. Using names in keys

The yang-data extensions encoded using names are carried in a CBOR map containing a single item pair. The key of this item is set to the namespace qualified name of the yang-data extension container; the value is set to the CBOR encoding of this container as defined in [Section 4.2](#).

This example shows a serialization example of the yang-errors yang-data extension as defined in [[I-D.ietf-core-comi](#)] using names as defined [Section 3.3](#).

CBOR diagnostic notation:

```
{
  "ietf-coreconf:error" : {
    "error-tag" : "invalid-value",
    "error-app-tag" : "not-in-range",
    "error-data-node" : "timezone-utc-offset",
    "error-message" : "Maximum exceeded"
  }
}
```

CBOR encoding:

```
A1                                # map(1)
  73                              # text(19)
    696574662D636F7265636F6E663A6572726F72  # "ietf-coreconf:error"
  A4                              # map(4)
    69                              # text(9)
      6572726F722D746167              # "error-tag"
    6D                              # text(13)
      696E76616C69642D76616C7565      # "invalid-value"
    6D                              # text(13)
      6572726F722D6170702D746167      # "error-app-tag"
    6C                              # text(12)
      6E6F742D696E2D72616E6765        # "not-in-range"
    6F                              # text(15)
      6572726F722D646174612D6E6F6465  # "error-data-node"
    73                              # text(19)
      74696E64657A6F6E652D7574632D6F66666736574
                                      # "timezone-utc-offset"
    6D                              # text(13)
      6572726F722D6D657373616765      # "error-message"
    70                              # text(16)
      4D6178696D756D206578636565646564  # "Maximum exceeded"
```

6. Representing YANG Data Types in CBOR

The CBOR encoding of an instance of a leaf or leaf-list representation node depends on the built-in type of that representation node. The following sub-section defines the CBOR encoding of each built-in type supported by YANG as listed in [Section 4.2.4](#) of [[RFC7950](#)]. Each subsection shows an example value

assigned to a representation node instance of the discussed built-in type.

6.1. The unsigned integer Types

Leafs of type uint8, uint16, uint32 and uint64 **MUST** be encoded using a CBOR unsigned integer data item (major type 0).

The following example shows the encoding of an 'mtu' leaf representation node instance set to 1280 bytes.

Definition example from [[RFC8344](#)]:

```
leaf mtu {  
  type uint16 {  
    range "68..max";  
  }  
}
```

CBOR diagnostic notation: 1280

CBOR encoding: 19 0500

6.2. The integer Types

Leafs of type int8, int16, int32 and int64 **MUST** be encoded using either CBOR unsigned integer (major type 0) or CBOR negative integer (major type 1), depending on the actual value.

The following example shows the encoding of a 'timezone-utc-offset' leaf representation node instance set to -300 minutes.

Definition example from [[RFC7317](#)]:

```
leaf timezone-utc-offset {  
  type int16 {  
    range "-1500 .. 1500";  
  }  
}
```

CBOR diagnostic notation: -300

CBOR encoding: 39 012B

6.3. The 'decimal64' Type

Leafs of type decimal64 **MUST** be encoded using a decimal fraction as defined in [Section 3.4.4](#) of [\[RFC8949\]](#).

The following example shows the encoding of a 'my-decimal' leaf representation node instance set to 2.57.

Definition example from [\[RFC7317\]](#):

```
leaf my-decimal {  
  type decimal64 {  
    fraction-digits 2;  
    range "1 .. 3.14 | 10 | 20..max";  
  }  
}
```

CBOR diagnostic notation: 4([-2, 257])

CBOR encoding: C4 82 21 19 0101

6.4. The 'string' Type

Leafs of type string **MUST** be encoded using a CBOR text string data item (major type 3).

The following example shows the encoding of a 'name' leaf representation node instance set to "eth0".

Definition example from [\[RFC8343\]](#):

```
leaf name {  
  type string;  
}
```

CBOR diagnostic notation: "eth0"

CBOR encoding: 64 65746830

6.5. The 'boolean' Type

Leafs of type boolean **MUST** be encoded using a CBOR simple value 'true' (major type 7, additional information 21) or 'false' (major type 7, additional information 20).

The following example shows the encoding of an 'enabled' leaf representation node instance set to 'true'.

Definition example from [\[RFC7317\]](#):

```
leaf enabled {  
    type boolean;  
}
```

CBOR diagnostic notation: true

CBOR encoding: F5

6.6. The 'enumeration' Type

Leafs of type enumeration **MUST** be encoded using a CBOR unsigned integer (major type 0) or CBOR negative integer (major type 1), depending on the actual value, or exceptionally as a tagged text string (see below). Enumeration values are either explicitly assigned using the YANG statement 'value' or automatically assigned based on the algorithm defined in [Section 9.6.4.2](#) of [\[RFC7950\]](#).

The following example shows the encoding of an 'oper-status' leaf representation node instance set to 'testing'.

Definition example from [\[RFC7317\]](#):

```
leaf oper-status {  
    type enumeration {  
        enum up { value 1; }  
        enum down { value 2; }  
        enum testing { value 3; }  
        enum unknown { value 4; }  
        enum dormant { value 5; }  
        enum not-present { value 6; }  
        enum lower-layer-down { value 7; }  
    }  
}
```

CBOR diagnostic notation: 3

CBOR encoding: 03

Values of 'enumeration' types defined in a 'union' type **MUST** be encoded using a CBOR text string data item (major type 3) and **MUST** contain one of the names assigned by 'enum' statements in YANG (see

also [Section 6.12](#)). The encoding **MUST** be enclosed by the enumeration CBOR tag as specified in [Section 9.3](#).

Definition example from [[RFC7950](#)]:

```
type union {
  type int32;
  type enumeration {
    enum unbounded;
  }
}
```

CBOR diagnostic notation: 44("unbounded")

CBOR encoding: D8 2C 69 756E626F756E646564

6.7. The 'bits' Type

Keeping in mind that bit positions are either explicitly assigned using the YANG statement 'position' or automatically assigned based on the algorithm defined in [Section 9.7.4.2](#) of [[RFC7950](#)], each element of type bits could be seen as a set of bit positions (or offsets from position 0), that have a value of either 1, which represents the bit being set or 0, which represents that the bit is not set.

Leafs of type bits **MUST** be encoded either using a CBOR array or byte string (major type 2), or exceptionally as a tagged text string (see below). In case CBOR array representation is used, each element is either a positive integer (major type 0 with value 0 being disallowed) that can be used to calculate the offset of the next byte string, or a byte string (major type 2) that carries the information whether certain bits are set or not. The initial offset value is 0 and each unsigned integer modifies the offset value of the next byte string by the integer value multiplied by 8. For example, if the bit offset is 0 and there is an integer with value 5, the first byte of the byte string that follows will represent bit positions 40 to 47 both ends included. If the byte string has a second byte, it will carry information about bits 48 to 55 and so on. Within each byte, bits are assigned from least to most significant. After the byte string, the offset is modified by the number of bytes in the byte string multiplied by 8. Bytes with no bits set (zero bytes) at the end of the byte string are never generated: If they would occur at the end of the array, the zero bytes are simply omitted; if they occur at the end of a byte string preceding an integer, the zero bytes are removed and the integer adjusted upwards by the number of zero bytes removed. An example follows.

The following example shows the encoding of an 'alarm-state' leaf representation node instance with the 'critical' (position 2), 'warning' (position 8) and 'indeterminate' (position 128) flags set.

```
typedef alarm-state {
  type bits {
    bit unknown;
    bit under-repair;
    bit critical;
    bit major;
    bit minor;
    bit warning {
      position 8;
    }
    bit indeterminate {
      position 128;
    }
  }
}

leaf alarm-state {
  type alarm-state;
}
```

CBOR diagnostic notation: [h'0401', 14, h'01']

CBOR encoding: 83 42 0401 0E 41 01

In a number of cases the array would only need to have one element -- a byte string with a few bytes inside. For this case, it is **REQUIRED** to omit the array element and have only the byte array that would have been inside. To illustrate this, let us consider the same example YANG definition, but this time encoding only 'under-repair' and 'critical' flags. The result would be

CBOR diagnostic notation: h'06'

CBOR encoding: 41 06

Elements in the array **MUST** be either byte strings that do not end in a zero byte, or positive unsigned integers, where byte strings and integers **MUST** alternate, i.e., adjacent byte strings or adjacent integers are an error. An array with a single byte string **MUST** instead be encoded as just that byte string. An array with a single positive integer is an error. Note that a recipient can handle trailing zero bytes in the byte strings using the normal rules without any issue, so an implementation **MAY** silently accept them.

Values of 'bits' types defined in a 'union' type **MUST** be encoded using a CBOR text string data item (major type 3) and **MUST** contain a space-separated sequence of names of 'bits' that are set (see also [Section 6.12](#)). The encoding **MUST** be enclosed by the bits CBOR tag as specified in [Section 9.3](#).

The following example shows the encoding of an 'alarm-state' leaf representation node instance defined using a union type with the 'under-repair' and 'critical' flags set.

Definition example:

```
leaf alarm-state-2 {  
  type union {  
    type alarm-state;  
    type bits {  
      bit extra-flag;  
    }  
  }  
}
```

CBOR diagnostic notation: 43("under-repair critical")

CBOR encoding: D8 2B 75 756E6465722D72657061697220637269746963616C

6.8. The 'binary' Type

Leafs of type binary **MUST** be encoded using a CBOR byte string data item (major type 2).

The following example shows the encoding of an 'aes128-key' leaf representation node instance set to 0x1f1ce6a3f42660d888d92a4d8030476e.

Definition example:

```
leaf aes128-key {  
  type binary {  
    length 16;  
  }  
}
```

CBOR diagnostic notation: h'1F1CE6A3F42660D888D92A4D8030476E'

CBOR encoding: 50 1F1CE6A3F42660D888D92A4D8030476E

6.9. The 'leafref' Type

Leafs of type leafref **MUST** be encoded using the rules of the representation node referenced by the 'path' YANG statement.

The following example shows the encoding of an 'interface-state-ref' leaf representation node instance set to "eth1".

Definition example from [[RFC8343](#)]:

```
typedef interface-state-ref {  
  type leafref {  
    path "/interfaces-state/interface/name";  
  }  
}  
  
container interfaces-state {  
  list interface {  
    key "name";  
    leaf name {  
      type string;  
    }  
    leaf-list higher-layer-if {  
      type interface-state-ref;  
    }  
  }  
}
```

CBOR diagnostic notation: "eth1"

CBOR encoding: 64 65746831

6.10. The 'identityref' Type

This specification supports two approaches for encoding identityref: as a YANG Schema Item iDentifier as defined in [Section 3.2](#), or as a name as defined in [Section 6.8](#) of [[RFC7951](#)]. See [Section 6.12](#) for an exceptional case when this representation needs to be tagged.

6.10.1. SIDs as identityref

When representation nodes of type identityref are implemented using SIDs, they **MUST** be encoded using a CBOR unsigned integer data item (major type 0). (Note that, as they are not used in the position of CBOR map keys, no delta mechanism is employed for SIDs used for identityref.)

The following example shows the encoding of a 'type' leaf representation node instance set to the value 'iana-if-type:ethernetCsmacd' (SID 1880).

Definition example from [[RFC7317](#)]:

```
identity interface-type {  
}  
  
identity iana-interface-type {  
  base interface-type;  
}  
  
identity ethernetCsmacd {  
  base iana-interface-type;  
}  
  
leaf type {  
  type identityref {  
    base interface-type;  
  }  
}
```

CBOR diagnostic notation: 1880

CBOR encoding: 19 0758

6.10.2. Name as identityref

Alternatively, an identityref **MAY** be encoded using a name as defined in [Section 3.3](#). When names are used, identityref **MUST** be encoded using a CBOR text string data item (major type 3). If the identity is defined in different module than the leaf node containing the identityref data node, the namespace qualified form **MUST** be used. Otherwise, both the simple and namespace qualified forms are permitted. Names and namespaces are defined in [Section 3.3](#).

The following example shows the encoding of the identity 'iana-if-type:ethernetCsmacd' using its namespace qualified name. This example is described in [Section 6.10.1](#).

CBOR diagnostic notation: "iana-if-type:ethernetCsmacd"

CBOR encoding: 78 1b

696165612D696662D747970653A65746865726E657443736D616364

6.11. The 'empty' Type

Leafs of type empty **MUST** be encoded using the CBOR null value (major type 7, additional information 22).

The following example shows the encoding of an 'is-router' leaf representation node instance when present.

Definition example from [\[RFC8344\]](#):

```
leaf is-router {  
  type empty;  
}
```

CBOR diagnostic notation: null

CBOR encoding: F6

6.12. The 'union' Type

Leafs of type union **MUST** be encoded using the rules associated with one of the types listed. When used in a union, the following YANG datatypes are enclosed by a CBOR tag to avoid confusion between different YANG datatypes encoded using the same CBOR major type.

*bits

*enumeration

*identityref

*instance-identifier

See [Section 9.3](#) for the assigned value of these CBOR tags.

As mentioned in [Section 6.6](#) and in [Section 6.7](#), 'enumeration' and 'bits' are encoded as a CBOR text string data item (major type 3) when defined within a 'union' type. (This adds considerable complexity, but is necessary because of an idiosyncrasy of the YANG data model for unions; the workaround allows compatibility to be maintained with the encoding of overlapping unions in XML and JSON. See also [Section 9.12](#) of [\[RFC7950\]](#).)

The following example shows the encoding of an 'ip-address' leaf representation node instance when set to "2001:db8:a0b:12f0::1".

Definition example (adapted from [\[RFC6991\]](#)):

```

typedef ipv4-address {
  type string {
    pattern
      '(([0-9]|[1-9][0-9]|1[0-9][0-9]|2[0-4][0-9]|25[0-5])\.){3}'
    + '([0-9]|[1-9][0-9]|1[0-9][0-9]|2[0-4][0-9]|25[0-5])'
    + '(%[\p{N}\p{L}]+)?';
  }
}

typedef ipv6-address {
  type string {
    pattern '(:|[0-9a-fA-F]{0,4}):([0-9a-fA-F]{0,4}:{0,5}'
      + '((( [0-9a-fA-F]{0,4})?:)?(:|[0-9a-fA-F]{0,4}))|'
      + '(((25[0-5]|2[0-4][0-9]|01?[0-9]?[0-9])\.){3}'
      + '(25[0-5]|2[0-4][0-9]|01?[0-9]?[0-9]))))'
      + '(%[\p{N}\p{L}]+)?';
    pattern '([[:^:]]{6}([[:^:]]+:[[:^:]]+)|(.*\.\.)*))|'
      + '([[:^:]]+:[[:^:]]+)?::([[:^:]]+:[[:^:]]+)?'
      + '(%.+)?';
  }
}

typedef ip-address {
  type union {
    type ipv4-address;
    type ipv6-address;
  }
}

leaf address {
  type ip-address;
}

```

CBOR diagnostic notation: "2001:db8:a0b:12f0::1"

CBOR encoding: 74 323030313A6462383A6130623A313266303A3A31

6.13. The 'instance-identifier' Type

This specification supports two approaches for encoding an instance-identifier, one based on YANG Schema Item `iDentifier` as defined in [Section 3.2](#) and one based on names as defined in [Section 3.3](#). See [Section 6.12](#) for an exceptional case when this representation needs to be tagged.

6.13.1. SIDs as instance-identifier

SIDs uniquely identify a schema node. In the case of a single instance schema node, i.e., a schema node defined at the root of a YANG module or submodule or schema nodes defined within a container, the SID is sufficient to identify this instance (representation node). (Note that no delta mechanism is employed for SIDs used for identityref, see [Section 6.10.1.](#))

In the case of a representation node that is an entry of a YANG list, a SID is combined with the list key(s) to identify each instance within the YANG list(s).

Instance identifiers of single instance schema nodes **MUST** be encoded using a CBOR unsigned integer data item (major type 0) and set to the targeted schema node SID.

Instance identifiers of representation node entries of a YANG list **MUST** be encoded using a CBOR array data item (major type 4) containing the following entries:

- *The first entry **MUST** be encoded as a CBOR unsigned integer data item (major type 0) and set to the targeted schema node SID.

- *The following entries **MUST** contain the value of each key required to identify the instance of the targeted schema node. These keys **MUST** be ordered as defined in the 'key' YANG statement, starting from the top level list, and followed by each of the subordinate list(s).

Examples within this section assume the definition of a schema node of type 'instance-identifier':

Definition example from [\[RFC7950\]](#):

```
container system {  
  ...  
  leaf reporting-entity {  
    type instance-identifier;  
  }  
}
```

First example:

The following example shows the encoding of the 'reporting-entity' value referencing data node instance "/system/contact" (SID 1741).

Definition example from [\[RFC7317\]](#):

```
container system {  
  
    leaf contact {  
        type string;  
    }  
  
    leaf hostname {  
        type inet:domain-name;  
    }  
}
```

CBOR diagnostic notation: 1741

CBOR encoding: 19 06CD

Second example:

This example aims to show how a representation node entry of a YANG list is identified. It uses a somewhat arbitrarily modified YANG module version from [\[RFC7317\]](#) by adding country to the leafs and keys of authorized-key.

The following example shows the encoding of the 'reporting-entity' value referencing list instance "/system/authentication/user/authorized-key/key-data" (which is assumed to have SID 1734) for username "bob" and authorized-key with name "admin" and country "france".

```

list user {
  key name;

  leaf name {
    type string;
  }

  leaf password {
    type ianach:crypt-hash;
  }

list authorized-key {
  key "name country";

  leaf country {
    type string;
  }

  leaf name {
    type string;
  }

  leaf algorithm {
    type string;
  }

  leaf key-data {
    type binary;
  }
}
}

```

CBOR diagnostic notation: [1734, "bob", "admin", "france"]

CBOR encoding:

```

84          # array(4)
19 06C6    # unsigned(1734)
63         # text(3)
   626F62  # "bob"
65         # text(5)
   61646D696E # "admin"
66         # text(6)
   6672616E6365 # "france"

```

Third example:

The following example shows the encoding of the 'reporting-entity' value referencing the list instance "/system/authentication/user" (SID 1730) corresponding to username "jack".

CBOR diagnostic notation: [1730, "jack"]

CBOR encoding:

```
82          # array(2)
19 06C2     # unsigned(1730)
64         # text(4)
  6A61636B # "jack"
```

6.13.2. Names as instance-identifier

An "instance-identifier" value is encoded as a text string that is analogous to the lexical representation in XML encoding; see [Section 9.13.2](#) of [\[RFC7950\]](#). However, the encoding of namespaces in instance-identifier values follows the rules stated in [Section 3.3](#), namely:

- *The leftmost (top-level) data node name is always in the namespace qualified form.

- *Any subsequent data node name is in the namespace qualified form if the node is defined in a module other than its parent node, and the simple form is used otherwise. This rule also holds for node names appearing in predicates.

For example,

```
/ietf-interfaces:interfaces/interface[name='eth0']/ietf-ip:ipv4/ip
```

is a valid instance-identifier value because the data nodes "interfaces", "interface", and "name" are defined in the module "ietf-interfaces", whereas "ipv4" and "ip" are defined in "ietf-ip".

The resulting xpath **MUST** be encoded using a CBOR text string data item (major type 3).

First example:

This example is described in [Section 6.13.1](#).

CBOR diagnostic notation: "/ietf-system:system/contact"

CBOR encoding:

78 1c 2F696574662D73797374656D3A73797374656D2F636F6E74616374

Second example:

This example is described in [Section 6.13.1](#).

CBOR diagnostic notation (the line break is inserted for exposition only):

```
"/ietf-system:system/authentication/user[name='bob']/  
authorized-key[name='admin'][country='france']/key-data"
```

CBOR encoding:

```
78 6B  
2F696574662D73797374656D3A73797374656D2F61757468656E74696361  
74696F6E2F757365725B6E616D653D27626F62275D2F617574686F72697A  
65642D6B65795B6E616D653D2761646D696E275D5B636F756E7472793D27  
6672616E6365275D2F6B65792D64617461
```

Third example:

This example is described in [Section 6.13.1](#).

CBOR diagnostic notation:

```
"/ietf-system:system/authentication/user[name='jack']"
```

CBOR encoding:

```
78 34                                     # text(52)  
2F696574662D73797374656D3A73797374656D2F61757468656E74696361  
74696F6E2F757365725B6E616D653D276A61636B275D
```

7. Content-Types

This specification defines the media-type `application/yang-data+cbor`, which can be used without parameters or with the `id` parameter set to either `name` or `sid`.

This media-type represents a YANG-CBOR document containing a representation tree. If the media-type parameter `id` is present, depending on its value, each representation node is identified by its associated namespace qualified name as defined in [Section 3.3](#) (`id=name`), or by its associated YANG SID (represented, e.g., in CBOR map keys as a SID delta or via tag number 47) as defined in [Section 3.2](#) (`id=sid`), respectively. If no `id` parameter is given, both forms may be present.

The format of an `application/yang-data+cbor` representation is that of a CBOR map, mapping names and/or SIDs (as defined above) into instance values (using the rules defined in [Section 4](#)).

It is not foreseen at this point that the valid set of values for the `id` parameter will extend beyond `name`, `sid`, or being unset; if that does happen, any new value is foreseen to be of the form `[a-z][a-z0-9]*(-[a-z0-9]+)*`.

In summary, this document defines three content-types, which are intended for use by different classes of applications:

- *`application/yang-data+cbor; id=sid` -- for use by applications that need to be frugal with encoding space and text string processing (e.g., applications running on constrained nodes [\[RFC7228\]](#), or applications with particular performance requirements);
- *`application/yang-data+cbor; id=name` -- for use by applications that do not want to engage in SID management, and that have ample resources to manage text-string based item identifiers (e.g., applications that directly want to substitute `application/yang.data+json` with a more efficient representation without any other changes);
- *`application/yang-data+cbor` -- for use by more complex applications that can benefit from the increased efficiency of SID identifiers but also need to integrate databases of YANG modules before SID mappings are defined for them.

All three content-types are based on the same representation mechanisms, parts of which are simply not used in the first and second case.

How the use of one of these content types is selected in a transfer protocol is outside the scope of this specification. The last paragraph of [Section 5.2](#) of [\[RFC8040\]](#) discusses how to indicate and request the usage of specific content-types in RESTCONF. Similar mechanisms are available in CoAP [\[RFC7252\]](#) using the Content-Format and Accept Options; [\[I-D.ietf-core-comi\]](#) demonstrates specifics on how Content-Format may be used to indicate the `id=sid` case.

8. Security Considerations

The security considerations of [\[RFC8949\]](#) and [\[RFC7950\]](#) apply.

This document defines an alternative encoding for data modeled in the YANG data modeling language. As such, this encoding does not contribute any new security issues in addition to those identified for the specific protocol or context for which it is used.

To minimize security risks, software on the receiving side **SHOULD** reject all messages that do not comply to the rules of this document and reply with an appropriate error message to the sender.

For instance, when the 'id' parameter to the media type is used, it is important to properly reject identifiers of the other type, to avoid scenarios where different implementations interpret a given content in different ways.

When SIDs are in use, the interpretation of encoded data not only relies on having the right YANG modules, but also on having the right SID mapping information. Management and evolution of that mapping information therefore requires the same care as the management and evolution of the YANG modules themselves. The procedures in [\[I-D.ietf-core-sid\]](#) are being defined with this in mind.

9. IANA Considerations

9.1. Media-Types Registry

This document adds the following Media-Type to the "Media Types" registry.

Name	Template	Reference
yang-data+cbor	application/yang-data+cbor	RFC XXXX

Table 2

// RFC Ed.: please replace RFC XXXX with this RFC number and remove this note.

Type name: application

Subtype name: yang-data+cbor

Required parameters: N/A

Optional parameters: id (see [Section 7](#) of RFC XXXX)

Encoding considerations: binary (CBOR)

Security considerations: see [Section 8](#) of RFC XXXX

Published specification: RFC XXXX

Person & email address to contact for further information: CORE WG mailing list (core@ietf.org), or IETF Applications and Real-Time Area (art@ietf.org)

Intended usage: COMMON
Restrictions on usage: none
Author/Change controller: IETF

9.2. CoAP Content-Formats Registry

This document adds the following Content-Format to the "CoAP Content-Formats", within the "Constrained RESTful Environments (CoRE) Parameters" registry, where TBD3 comes from the "Expert Review" 0-255 range and TBD1 and TBD2 come from the "IETF Review" 256-9999 range.

Content Type	Content Coding	ID	Reference
application/yang-data+cbor	-	TBD1	RFC XXXX
application/yang-data+cbor; id=name	-	TBD2	RFC XXXX
application/yang-data+cbor; id=sid	-	TBD3	RFC XXXX

Table 3

// RFC Ed.: please replace TBDx with assigned IDs, remove the requested ranges, and remove this note.
// RFC Ed.: please replace RFC XXXX with this RFC number and remove this note.

9.3. CBOR Tags Registry

In the registry "[CBOR Tags](#)" [[IANA.cbor-tags](#)], as per [Section 9.2](#) of [[RFC8949](#)], IANA has allocated the CBOR tags in [Table 4](#) for the YANG datatypes listed.

Tag	Data Item	Semantics	Reference
43	text string	YANG bits datatype; see Section 6.7	RFC XXXX
44	text string	YANG enumeration datatype; see Section 6.6 .	RFC XXXX
45	unsigned integer or text string	YANG identityref datatype; see Section 6.10 .	RFC XXXX
46	unsigned integer or text string or array	YANG instance-identifier datatype; see Section 6.13 .	RFC XXXX
47	unsigned integer	YANG Schema Item identifier (SID); see Section 3.2 .	RFC XXXX

Table 4: CBOR tags defined by this specification

// RFC Ed.: please replace RFC XXXX with RFC number and remove this note

10. References

10.1. Normative References

- [IANA.cbor-tags] IANA, "Concise Binary Object Representation (CBOR) Tags", <<https://www.iana.org/assignments/cbor-tags>>.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC5234] Crocker, D., Ed. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", STD 68, RFC 5234, DOI 10.17487/RFC5234, January 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5234>>.
- [RFC7950] Bjorklund, M., Ed., "The YANG 1.1 Data Modeling Language", RFC 7950, DOI 10.17487/RFC7950, August 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7950>>.
- [RFC7951] Lhotka, L., "JSON Encoding of Data Modeled with YANG", RFC 7951, DOI 10.17487/RFC7951, August 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7951>>.
- [RFC8040] Bierman, A., Bjorklund, M., and K. Watsen, "RESTCONF Protocol", RFC 8040, DOI 10.17487/RFC8040, January 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8040>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.
- [RFC8259] Bray, T., Ed., "The JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) Data Interchange Format", STD 90, RFC 8259, DOI 10.17487/RFC8259, December 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8259>>.
- [RFC8610] Birkholz, H., Vigano, C., and C. Bormann, "Concise Data Definition Language (CDDL): A Notational Convention to Express Concise Binary Object Representation (CBOR) and JSON Data Structures", RFC 8610, DOI 10.17487/RFC8610, June 2019, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8610>>.
- [RFC8791] Bierman, A., Björklund, M., and K. Watsen, "YANG Data Structure Extensions", RFC 8791, DOI 10.17487/RFC8791, June 2020, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8791>>.
- [RFC8949] Bormann, C. and P. Hoffman, "Concise Binary Object Representation (CBOR)", STD 94, RFC 8949, DOI 10.17487/

RFC8949, December 2020, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8949>>.

10.2. Informative References

- [I-D.ietf-core-comi] Veillette, M., Stok, P. V. D., Pelov, A., Bierman, A., and I. Petrov, "CoAP Management Interface (CORECONF)", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-core-comi-11, 17 January 2021, <<https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-ietf-core-comi-11.txt>>.
- [I-D.ietf-core-sid] Veillette, M., Pelov, A., Petrov, I., Bormann, C., and M. Richardson, "YANG Schema Item iDentifier (YANG SID)", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-core-sid-18, 18 November 2021, <<https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-ietf-core-sid-18.txt>>.
- [RFC6241] Enns, R., Ed., Bjorklund, M., Ed., Schoenwaelder, J., Ed., and A. Bierman, Ed., "Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", RFC 6241, DOI 10.17487/RFC6241, June 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6241>>.
- [RFC6991] Schoenwaelder, J., Ed., "Common YANG Data Types", RFC 6991, DOI 10.17487/RFC6991, July 2013, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6991>>.
- [RFC7228] Bormann, C., Ersue, M., and A. Keranen, "Terminology for Constrained-Node Networks", RFC 7228, DOI 10.17487/RFC7228, May 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7228>>.
- [RFC7252] Shelby, Z., Hartke, K., and C. Bormann, "The Constrained Application Protocol (CoAP)", RFC 7252, DOI 10.17487/RFC7252, June 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7252>>.
- [RFC7317] Bierman, A. and M. Bjorklund, "A YANG Data Model for System Management", RFC 7317, DOI 10.17487/RFC7317, August 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7317>>.
- [RFC8343] Bjorklund, M., "A YANG Data Model for Interface Management", RFC 8343, DOI 10.17487/RFC8343, March 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8343>>.
- [RFC8344] Bjorklund, M., "A YANG Data Model for IP Management", RFC 8344, DOI 10.17487/RFC8344, March 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8344>>.

Acknowledgments

This document has been largely inspired by the extensive works done by Andy Bierman and Peter van der Stok on [[I-D.ietf-core-comi](#)]. [[RFC7951](#)] has also been a critical input to this work. The authors would like to thank the authors and contributors to these two drafts.

The authors would also like to acknowledge the review, feedback, and comments from Ladislav Lhotka and Jürgen Schönwälder, and from the document shepherd Marco Tiloca. Extensive comments helped us further improve the document in the IESG review process; the authors would like to call out specifically the feedback and guidance by the responsible AD Francesca Palombini and the significant improvements suggested by IESG members Benjamin Kaduk and Rob Wilton.

Authors' Addresses

Michel Veillette (editor)
Trilliant Networks Inc.
610 Rue du Luxembourg
Granby Quebec J2J 2V2
Canada

Email: michel.veillette@trilliantinc.com

Ivaylo Petrov (editor)
Google Switzerland GmbH
Brandschenkestrasse 110
CH-8002 Zurich
Switzerland

Email: ivaylopetrov@google.com

Alexander Pelov
Acklio
1137A avenue des Champs Blancs
35510 Cesson-Sevigne
France

Email: a@ackl.io

Carsten Bormann
Universität Bremen TZI
Postfach 330440
D-28359 Bremen
Germany

Phone: [+49-421-218-63921](tel:+49-421-218-63921)

Email: [cabo@tzi.org](mailto: cabo@tzi.org)

Michael Richardson
Sandelman Software Works
Canada

Email: mcr+ietf@sandelman.ca