Internet Engineering Task Force Internet-Draft Updates: <u>4462</u> (if approved) Intended status: Standards Track Expires: October 28, 2017

GSS-API Key Exchange with SHA2 draft-ietf-curdle-gss-keyex-sha2-00

Abstract

This document specifies additions and amendments to SSH GSS-API Methods [<u>RFC4462</u>]. It defines a new key exchange method that uses SHA-2 for integrity and deprecates weak DH groups. The purpose of this specification is to modernize the cryptographic primitives used by GSS Key Exchanges.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of <u>BCP 78</u> and <u>BCP 79</u>.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on October 28, 2017.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2017 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to <u>BCP 78</u> and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<u>http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</u>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in <u>Section 4</u>.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> . Introduction	. <u>2</u>
<u>2</u> . Rationale	. <u>2</u>
<u>3</u> . Document Conventions	. <u>3</u>
4. New Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange methods	. <u>3</u>
<u>4.1</u> . gss-group14-sha256-*	. <u>3</u>
<u>4.2</u> . gss-group15-sha512-*	. <u>3</u>
<u>4.3</u> . gss-group16-sha512-*	. <u>4</u>
<u>4.4</u> . gss-group17-sha512-*	. 4
<u>4.5</u> . gss-group18-sha512-*	. <u>4</u>
5. New Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange methods	. 4
5.1. Generic GSS-API Key Exchange with ECDH	. 4
5.2. ECDH Key Exchange Methods	. <u>11</u>
<u>5.2.1</u> . gss-nistp256-sha256-*	. <u>11</u>
<u>5.2.2</u> . gss-nistp384-sha384-*	. <u>12</u>
<u>5.2.3</u> . gss-nistp521-sha512-*	. <u>12</u>
<u>5.2.4</u> . gss-curve25519-sha256-*	. <u>12</u>
<u>5.2.5</u> . gss-curve448-sha512-*	. <u>12</u>
<u>6</u> . IANA Considerations	
Z. Security Considerations	
7.1. New Finite Field DH mechanisms	. 13
7.2. New Elliptic Curve DH mechanisms	
8. Normative References	. 13
	. 15

<u>1</u>. Introduction

SSH GSS-API Methods [<u>RFC4462</u>] allows the use of GSSAPI for authentication and key exchange in SSH. It defines three exchange methods all based on DH groups and SHA-1. The new methods described in this document are intended to support environments that desire to use the SHA-2 cryptographic hash functions.

2. Rationale

Due to security concerns with SHA-1 [<u>RFC6194</u>] and with MODP groups with less than 2048 bits [<u>NIST-SP-800-131Ar1</u>] we propose the use of the SHA-2 based hashes with DH group14, group15, group16, group17 and group18 [<u>RFC3526</u>]. Additionally we add support for key exchange based on Elliptic Curve Diffie Hellman with NIST P-256, P-384 and P-521 as well as X25519 and X448 curves. Following the rationale of [<u>I-D.ietf-curdle-ssh-modp-dh-sha2</u>] only SHA-256 and SHA-512 hashes are used for DH groups. For NIST curves the same curve-to-hashing algorithm pairing used in [<u>RFC5656</u>] is adopted for consistency.

<u>3</u>. Document Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in <u>RFC 2119</u> [<u>RFC2119</u>].

4. New Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange methods

This document adopts the same naming convention defined in [<u>RFC4462</u>] to define families of methods that cover any GSS-API mechanism used with a specific Diffie-Hellman group and SHA-2 Hash combination.

The following new key exchange algorithms are defined:

+----+
| Key Exchange Method Name | Implementation Recommendations |
+----+
gss-group14-sha256-*	SHOULD/RECOMMENDED
gss-group15-sha512-*	MAY/OPTIONAL
gss-group16-sha512-*	MAY/OPTIONAL
gss-group18-sha512-*	MAY/OPTIONAL
+----+

Each key exchange method is implicitly registered by this document. The IESG is considered to be the owner of all these key exchange methods; this does NOT imply that the IESG is considered to be the owner of the underlying GSS-API mechanism.

4.1. gss-group14-sha256-*

Each of these methods specifies GSS-API-authenticated Diffie-Hellman key exchange as described in <u>Section 2.1 of [RFC4462]</u> with SHA-256 as HASH, and the group defined in <u>Section 8.2 of [RFC4253]</u> The method name for each method is the concatenation of the string "gss-group14-sha256-" with the Base64 encoding of the MD5 hash [<u>RFC1321</u>] of the ASN.1 DER encoding [<u>ISO-IEC-8825-1</u>] of the underlying GSS-API mechanism's OID. Base64 encoding is described in <u>Section 6.8 of [RFC2045]</u>.

4.2. gss-group15-sha512-*

Each of these methods specifies GSS-API-authenticated Diffie-Hellman key exchange as described in <u>Section 2.1 of [RFC4462]</u> with SHA-512 as HASH, and the group defined in <u>Section 4 of [RFC3526]</u> The method name for each method is the concatenation of the string "gssgroup15-sha512-" with the Base64 encoding of the MD5 hash of the ASN.1 DER encoding of the underlying GSS-API mechanism's OID.

4.3. gss-group16-sha512-*

Each of these methods specifies GSS-API-authenticated Diffie-Hellman key exchange as described in <u>Section 2.1 of [RFC4462]</u> with SHA-512 as HASH, and the group defined in <u>Section 5 of [RFC3526]</u> The method name for each method is the concatenation of the string "gss-group16-sha512-" with the Base64 encoding of the MD5 hash of the ASN.1 DER encoding of the underlying GSS-API mechanism's OID.

4.4. gss-group17-sha512-*

Each of these methods specifies GSS-API-authenticated Diffie-Hellman key exchange as described in <u>Section 2.1 of [RFC4462]</u> with SHA-512 as HASH, and the group defined in <u>Section 6 of [RFC3526]</u> The method name for each method is the concatenation of the string "gss-group17-sha512-" with the Base64 encoding of the MD5 hash of the ASN.1 DER encoding of the underlying GSS-API mechanism's OID.

4.5. gss-group18-sha512-*

Each of these methods specifies GSS-API-authenticated Diffie-Hellman key exchange as described in <u>Section 2.1 of [RFC4462]</u> with SHA-512 as HASH, and the group defined in <u>Section 7 of [RFC3526]</u> The method name for each method is the concatenation of the string "gss-group18-sha512-" with the Base64 encoding of the MD5 hash of the ASN.1 DER encoding of the underlying GSS-API mechanism's OID.

5. New Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange methods

In [<u>RFC5656</u>] new SSH key exchange algorithms based on Elliptic Curve Cryptography are introduced. We reuse much of <u>section 4</u> to implement GSS-API-authenticated ECDH Key Exchanges.

Additionally we utilize also the curves defined in [<u>I-D.ietf-curdle-ssh-curves</u>] to complement the 3 classic NIST defined curves required by [<u>RFC5656</u>].

5.1. Generic GSS-API Key Exchange with ECDH

This section reuses much of the scheme defined in <u>Section 2.1 of</u> [RFC4462] and combines it with the scheme defined in <u>Section 4 of</u> [RFC5656]; in particular, all checks and verification steps prescribed in <u>Section 4 of [RFC5656]</u> apply here as well.

The symbols used in this description conform to the symbols used in <u>Section 2.1 of [RFC4462]</u>. Additionally, the following symbols are defined:

 Q_C is the client ephemeral public key octet string

Q_S is the server ephemeral public key octet string

This section defers to [<u>RFC7546</u>] as the source of information on GSS-API context establishment operations, <u>Section 3</u> being the most relevant. All Security Considerations described in [<u>RFC7546</u>] apply here too.

The Client:

1. C generates an ephemeral key pair with public key Q_C. It does that by:

For NIST curves:

Selecting a value d_C uniformly at random from the interval [1, n-1] where n is the order of generator of the curve associated with the selected key exchange method.

Performing point multiplication between the curve base point and selected integer d_C to get the public point q_C .

Converts the point q_C to the Q_C octet string by concatenation of value 0x04 and big-endian representation of the x coordinate and then y coordinate. The coordinate coversion MUST preserve leading zero octets. Thus for nistp521 curve the encoded x coordinate will always have a length of 66 octets while the Q_C representation will be 133 octets long. This is the uncompressed representation specified in Section 4.3.6 of [ANSI-X9-62-2005].

For curve25519 and curve448:

Selecting d_C as 32 uniformly distributed random octets for curve25519 and 56 octets for curve448.

Preparing the generator g as the number 9 little-endian encoded in 32 octets for curve25519 and number 5 in 56 octets for curve448. This is the same as an octet of value 0x09 followed by 31 zero octets for curve255519 and as an octect of value 0x05 followed by 55 zero octets.

Calculating Q_C as the result of the call to X25519 or X448 function, respectively for curve25519 and curve448 key exchange, with parameters d_C and g.

GSS Keyex SHA2

2. C calls GSS_Init_sec_context(), using the most recent reply token received from S during this exchange, if any. For this call, the client MUST set mutual_req_flag to "true" to request that mutual authentication be performed. It also MUST set inteq_req_flag to "true" to request that per-message integrity protection be supported for this context. In addition, deleg_req_flag MAY be set to "true" to request access delegation, if requested by the user. Since the key exchange process authenticates only the host, the setting of anon_req_flag is immaterial to this process. If the client does not support the "gssapi-keyex" user authentication method described in Section 4 of [RFC4462], or does not intend to use that method in conjunction with the GSS-API context established during key exchange, then anon_req_flag SHOULD be set to "true". Otherwise, this flag MAY be set to true if the client wishes to hide its identity. Since the key exchange process will involve the exchange of only a single token once the context has been established, it is not necessary that the GSS-API context support detection of replayed or out-of-sequence tokens. Thus, replay_det_req_flag and sequence_req_flag need not be set for this process. These flags SHOULD be set to "false".

If the resulting major_status code is GSS_S_COMPLETE and the mutual_state flag is not true, then mutual authentication has not been established, and the key exchange MUST fail.

If the resulting major_status code is GSS_S_COMPLETE and the integ_avail flag is not true, then per-message integrity protection is not available, and the key exchange MUST fail.

If the resulting major_status code is GSS_S_COMPLETE and both the mutual_state and integ_avail flags are true, the resulting output token is sent to S.

If the resulting major_status code is GSS_S_CONTINUE_NEEDED, the output_token is sent to S, which will reply with a new token to be provided to GSS_Init_sec_context().

The client MUST also include Q_C with the first message it sends to the server during this process; if the server receives more than one Q_C or none at all, the key exchange MUST fail.

It is an error if the call does not produce a token of non- zero length to be sent to the server. In this case, the key exchange MUST fail.

3. When a Q_C key is received, S verifies that the key is valid. If the key is not valid the key exchange MUST fail.

The server first checks if the length of the Q_C matches the selected key exchange: 65 octets for nistp256, 97 octets for nistp384, 133 octets for nistp521, 32 octets for curve25519 or 56 octets for curve448. If the value does not have matching length the key exchange MUST fail.

In case of key exchanges that use NIST curves, the server MUST check if the first octet of the Q_C is equal to 0x04. If the octet has different value the key exchange MUST fail.

For NIST curves, the server converts the octet representation of the key to q_C point representation by interpreting the first half of remaining octets as the unsigned big-endian representation of the x coordinate of the point and the second half as the unsigned big-endian representation of the y coordinate.

For NIST curves, the server verifies that the q_C is not a point at infinity, that both coordinates are in the interval [0, p - 1], where p is the prime associated with the curve of the selected key exchange and that the point lies on the curve (satisfies the curve equation).

For curve25519, the server verifies that the the high-order bit of the last octet is not set - this prevents distinguishing attacks between implementations that use Montgomery ladder implementation of the curve and ones that use generic elliptic-curve libraries. If the bit is set, the key exchange SHOULD fail. For curve448 any bit can be set.

For curve25519 and curve448, the point is not decoded but used as is. Q_C and q_C are considered equivalent.

S calls GSS_Accept_sec_context(), using the token received from
 C.

If the resulting major_status code is GSS_S_COMPLETE and the mutual_state flag is not true, then mutual authentication has not been established, and the key exchange MUST fail.

If the resulting major_status code is GSS_S_COMPLETE and the integ_avail flag is not true, then per-message integrity protection is not available, and the key exchange MUST fail.

If the resulting major_status code is GSS_S_COMPLETE and both the mutual_state and integ_avail flags are true, then the security context has been established, and processing continues with step 5.

If the resulting major_status code is $GSS_S_CONTINUE_NEEDED$, then the output token is sent to C, and processing continues with step 2.

If the resulting major_status code is GSS_S_COMPLETE, but a nonzero-length reply token is returned, then that token is sent to the client.

5. S generates an ephemeral key pair with public key Q_S calculated the same way it is done in step 1 and peforms the following computations:

K a shared secret obtained using ECDH key exchange:

Both client and server perform the same calculation where d_U is the secret value, d_C for client and d_S for server and q_V is the received public value, q_S for client and q_C for server.

For NIST curves, the peers perform point multiplication using d_U and q_V to get point P.

For NIST curves, peers verify that P is not a point at infinity. If P is a point at infinity, the key exchange MUST fail.

For NIST curves, the shared secret is the zero-padded bigendian representation of the x coordinate of P.

For curve25519 and curve448, the peers apply the X25519 or X448 function, respectively for curve25519 and curve448, on the d_U and q_V. The result of the function is the shared secret.

For curve25519 and curve448, if all the octets of the shared secret are zero octets, the key exchange MUST fail.

 $H = hash(V_C || V_S || I_C || I_S || K_S || Q_C || Q_S || K).$

MIC is the GSS-API message integrity code for H computed by calling GSS_GetMIC().

6. This step is performed only if the server's final call to GSS_Accept_sec_context() produced a non-zero-length final reply token to be sent to the client and if no previous call by the client to GSS_Init_sec_context() has resulted in a major_status of GSS_S_COMPLETE. Under these conditions, the client makes an

additional call to GSS_Init_sec_context() to process the final reply token. This call is made exactly as described above. However, if the resulting major_status is anything other than GSS_S_COMPLETE, or a non-zero-length token is returned, it is an error and the key exchange MUST fail.

7. C verifies that the key Q_S is valid the same way it is done in step 3. If the key is not valid the key exchange MUST fail.

8. C computes the shared secret K and H the same way it is done in step 5. It then calls GSS_VerifyMIC() to check that the MIC sent by S verifies H's integrity. If the MIC is not successfully verified, the key exchange MUST fail.

If any GSS_Init_sec_context() or GSS_Accept_sec_context() returns a major_status other than GSS_S_COMPLETE or GSS_S_CONTINUE_NEEDED, or any other GSS-API call returns a major_status other than GSS_S_COMPLETE, the key exchange MUST fail. The same recommendations expressed in <u>Section 2.1 of [RFC4462]</u> are followed with regards to error reporting.

This exchange is implemented with the following messages:

The client sends:

byte	SSH_MSG_KEXGSS_INIT
string	output_token (from GSS_Init_sec_context())
string	Q_C, client's ephemeral public key octet string

The server may responds with:

byte	SSH_MSG_	_KEXGSS	S_HOST	ſKEY				
string	server p	oublic	host	key	and	certificates	(K_S)	

Since this key exchange method does not require the host key to be used for any encryption operations, this message is OPTIONAL. If the "null" host key algorithm described in <u>Section 5 of [RFC4462]</u> is used, this message MUST NOT be sent.

Each time the server's call to GSS_Accept_sec_context() returns a major_status code of GSS_S_CONTINUE_NEEDED

The server replies:

byte	SSH_MSG_KEXGSS_CONTINUE
string	<pre>output_token (from GSS_Accept_sec_context())</pre>

If the client receives this message after a call to GSS_Init_sec_context() has returned a major_status code of GSS_S_COMPLETE, a protocol error has occurred and the key exchange MUST fail.

Each time the client receives the message described above, it makes another call to GSS_Init_sec_context().

The client sends:

byte	SSH_MSG_KEXGSS_CONTINUE
string	<pre>output_token (from GSS_Init_sec_context())</pre>

The server and client continue to trade these two messages as long as the server's calls to GSS_Accept_sec_context() result in major_status codes of GSS_S_CONTINUE_NEEDED. When a call results in a major_status code of GSS_S_COMPLETE, it sends one of two final messages.

If the server's final call to GSS_Accept_sec_context() (resulting in a major_status code of GSS_S_COMPLETE) returns a non-zero-length token to be sent to the client, it sends the following:

byte	SSH_MSG_KEXGSS_COMPLETE
string	Q_S, server's ephemeral public key octet string
string	mic_token (MIC of H)
boolean	TRUE
string	<pre>output_token (from GSS_Accept_sec_context())</pre>

If the client receives this message after a call to GSS_Init_sec_context() has returned a major_status code of GSS_S_COMPLETE, a protocol error has occurred and the key exchange MUST fail.

If the server's final call to GSS_Accept_sec_context() (resulting in a major_status code of GSS_S_COMPLETE) returns a zero-length token or no token at all, it sends the following:

byte	SSH_MSG_KEXGSS_COMPLETE
string	Q_S, server's ephemeral public key octet string
string	mic_token (MIC of H)
boolean	FALSE

If the client receives this message when no call to GSS_Init_sec_context() has yet resulted in a major_status code of GSS_S_COMPLETE, a protocol error has occurred and the key exchange MUST fail.

In case of errors the messages described in <u>Section 2.1 of [RFC4462]</u> are used as well as the recommendation about the messages' order.

The hash H is computed as the HASH hash of the concatenation of the following:

string	V_C,	the client's version string (CR, NL excluded)
string	V_S,	server's identification string (CR and LF excluded)
string	I_C,	payload of the client's SSH_MSG_KEXINIT
string	I_S,	payload of the server's SSH_MSG_KEXINIT
string	K_S,	server's public host key
string	Q_C,	client's ephemeral public key octet string
string	Q_S,	server's ephemeral public key octet string
mpint	К,	shared secret

This value is called the exchange hash, and it is used to authenticate the key exchange. The exchange hash SHOULD be kept secret. If no SSH_MSG_KEXGSS_HOSTKEY message has been sent by the server or received by the client, then the empty string is used in place of K_S when computing the exchange hash.

The GSS_GetMIC call MUST be applied over H, not the original data.

<u>5.2</u>. ECDH Key Exchange Methods

The following new key exchange methods are defined:

++	+
Key Exchange Method Name	Implementation Recommendations
++	+
gss-nistp256-sha256-*	SHOULD/RECOMMENDED
gss-nistp384-sha384-*	MAY/OPTIONAL
gss-nistp521-sha512-*	MAY/OPTIONAL
gss-curve25519-sha256-*	SHOULD/RECOMMENDED
gss-curve448-sha512-*	MAY/OPTIONAL
++	+

Each key exchange method is implicitly registered by this document. The IESG is considered to be the owner of all these key exchange methods; this does NOT imply that the IESG is considered to be the owner of the underlying GSS-API mechanism.

<u>5.2.1</u>. gss-nistp256-sha256-*

Each of these methods specifies GSS-API-authenticated Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman key exchange as described in <u>Section 5.1</u> of this document with SHA-256 as HASH, and the curve and base point defined in section 2.4.2 of [<u>SEC2v2</u>] as secp256r1. The method name for each

GSS Keyex SHA2

method is the concatenation of the string "gss-nistp256-sha256-" with the Base64 encoding of the MD5 hash [<u>RFC1321</u>] of the ASN.1 DER encoding [<u>ISO-IEC-8825-1</u>] of the underlying GSS-API mechanism's OID. Base64 encoding is described in <u>Section 6.8 of [RFC2045]</u>.

5.2.2. gss-nistp384-sha384-*

Each of these methods specifies GSS-API-authenticated Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman key exchange as described in <u>Section 5.1</u> of this document with SHA-384 as HASH, and the curve and base point defined in section 2.5.1 of [<u>SEC2v2</u>] as secp384r1. The method name for each method is the concatenation of the string "gss-nistp384-sha384-" with the Base64 encoding of the MD5 hash [<u>RFC1321</u>] of the ASN.1 DER encoding [<u>ISO-IEC-8825-1</u>] of the underlying GSS-API mechanism's OID. Base64 encoding is described in <u>Section 6.8 of [RFC2045]</u>.

5.2.3. gss-nistp521-sha512-*

Each of these methods specifies GSS-API-authenticated Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman key exchange as described in <u>Section 5.1</u> of this document with SHA-512 as HASH, and the curve and base point defined in section 2.6.1 of [<u>SEC2v2</u>] as secp521r1. The method name for each method is the concatenation of the string "gss-nistp521-sha512-" with the Base64 encoding of the MD5 hash [<u>RFC1321</u>] of the ASN.1 DER encoding [<u>ISO-IEC-8825-1</u>] of the underlying GSS-API mechanism's OID. Base64 encoding is described in <u>Section 6.8 of [RFC2045]</u>.

5.2.4. gss-curve25519-sha256-*

Each of these methods specifies GSS-API-authenticated Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman key exchange as described in <u>Section 5.1</u> of this document with SHA-256 as HASH, and the X25519 function defined in <u>section 5 of [RFC7748]</u>. The method name for each method is the concatenation of the string "gss-curve25519-sha256-" with the Base64 encoding of the MD5 hash [<u>RFC1321</u>] of the ASN.1 DER encoding [<u>ISO-IEC-8825-1</u>] of the underlying GSS-API mechanism's OID. Base64 encoding is described in <u>Section 6.8 of [RFC2045]</u>.

5.2.5. gss-curve448-sha512-*

Each of these methods specifies GSS-API-authenticated Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman key exchange as described in <u>Section 5.1</u> of this document with SHA-512 as HASH, and the X448 function defined in <u>section 5 of [RFC7748]</u>. The method name for each method is the concatenation of the string "gss-curve448-sha512-" with the Base64 encoding of the MD5 hash [<u>RFC1321</u>] of the ASN.1 DER encoding [<u>ISO-IEC-8825-1</u>] of the underlying GSS-API mechanism's OID. Base64 encoding is described in <u>Section 6.8 of [RFC2045]</u>.

GSS Keyex SHA2

6. IANA Considerations

This document augments the SSH Key Exchange Method Names in [<u>RFC4462</u>].

IANA is requested to update the SSH algorithm registry with the following entries:

7. Security Considerations

7.1. New Finite Field DH mechanisms

Except for the use of a different secure hash function and larger DH groups, no significant changes has been made to the protocol described by [RFC4462]; therefore all the original Security Considerations apply.

7.2. New Elliptic Curve DH mechanisms

Although a new cryptographic primitive is used with these methods the actual key exchange closely follows the key exchange defined in [<u>RFC5656</u>]; therefore all the original Security Considerations as well as those expressed in [<u>RFC5656</u>] apply.

8. Normative References

[ANSI-X9-62-2005]

American National Standards Institute, "Public Key Cryptography for the Financial Services Industry, The Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA)", ANSI Standard X9.62, 2005.

[FIPS-180-4]

National Institute of Standards and Technology, "FIPS PUB 180-4: Secure Hash Standard (SHS)", FIPS PUB 180-4, August 2015, <<u>http://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/FIPS/</u> <u>NIST.FIPS.180-4.pdf</u>>.

[I-D.ietf-curdle-ssh-curves]

Adamantiadis, A., Josefsson, S., and M. Baushke, "Secure Shell (SSH) Key Exchange Method using Curve25519 and Curve448", <u>draft-ietf-curdle-ssh-curves-04</u> (work in progress), April 2017.

[I-D.ietf-curdle-ssh-modp-dh-sha2]

Baushke, M., "More Modular Exponential (MODP) Diffie-Hellman (DH) Key Exchange (KEX) Groups for Secure Shell (SSH)", <u>draft-ietf-curdle-ssh-modp-dh-sha2-04</u> (work in progress), April 2017.

[ISO-IEC-8825-1]

International Organization for Standardization /
International Electrotechnical Commission, "ASN.1 encoding
rules: Specification of Basic Encoding Rules (BER),
Canonical Encoding Rules (CER) and Distinguished Encoding
Rules (DER)", ISO/IEC 8825-1, November 2015,
<<u>http://standards.iso.org/ittf/PubliclyAvailableStandards/
c068345_ISO_IEC_8825-1_2015.zip</u>>.

[NIST-SP-800-131Ar1]

National Institute of Standards and Technology, "Transitions: Recommendation for Transitioning of the Use of Cryptographic Algorithms and Key Lengths", NIST Special Publication 800-131A Revision 1, November 2015, <<u>http://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/SpecialPublications/</u> <u>NIST.SP.800-131Ar1.pdf</u>>.

- [RFC1321] Rivest, R., "The MD5 Message-Digest Algorithm", <u>RFC 1321</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC1321, April 1992, <<u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc1321</u>>.
- [RFC2045] Freed, N. and N. Borenstein, "Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part One: Format of Internet Message Bodies", <u>RFC 2045</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC2045, November 1996, <<u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2045</u>>.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119</u>>.

- [RFC3526] Kivinen, T. and M. Kojo, "More Modular Exponential (MODP) Diffie-Hellman groups for Internet Key Exchange (IKE)", <u>RFC 3526</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC3526, May 2003, <http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3526>.
- [RFC4253] Ylonen, T. and C. Lonvick, Ed., "The Secure Shell (SSH) Transport Layer Protocol", <u>RFC 4253</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC4253, January 2006, <<u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4253</u>>.
- [RFC4462] Hutzelman, J., Salowey, J., Galbraith, J., and V. Welch, "Generic Security Service Application Program Interface (GSS-API) Authentication and Key Exchange for the Secure Shell (SSH) Protocol", <u>RFC 4462</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC4462, May 2006, <<u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4462</u>>.
- [RFC5656] Stebila, D. and J. Green, "Elliptic Curve Algorithm Integration in the Secure Shell Transport Layer", <u>RFC 5656</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC5656, December 2009, <<u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5656</u>>.
- [RFC6194] Polk, T., Chen, L., Turner, S., and P. Hoffman, "Security Considerations for the SHA-0 and SHA-1 Message-Digest Algorithms", <u>RFC 6194</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC6194, March 2011, <<u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6194></u>.
- [RFC7546] Kaduk, B., "Structure of the Generic Security Service (GSS) Negotiation Loop", <u>RFC 7546</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC7546, May 2015, <<u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7546</u>>.
- [RFC7748] Langley, A., Hamburg, M., and S. Turner, "Elliptic Curves for Security", <u>RFC 7748</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC7748, January 2016, <<u>http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7748</u>>.
- [SEC2v2] Certicom Research, "SEC 2: Recommended Elliptic Curve Domain Parameters", Standards for Efficient Cryptography SEC 2, 2010.

Authors' Addresses

Simo Sorce Red Hat, Inc. 140 Broadway 24th Floor New York, NY 10025 USA

Email: simo@redhat.com

Hubert Kario Red Hat, Inc. Purkynova 99/71 Brno 612 45 Czech Republic

Email: hkario@redhat.com