Internet Engineering Task Force

Internet-Draft

H. Kario Updates: 4462 (if approved) Red Hat, Inc. Intended status: Standards Track Nov 6, 2018

Expires: May 10, 2019

GSS-API Key Exchange with SHA2 draft-ietf-curdle-gss-keyex-sha2-07

Abstract

This document specifies additions and amendments to RFC4462. It defines a new key exchange method that uses SHA-2 for integrity and deprecates weak DH groups. The purpose of this specification is to modernize the cryptographic primitives used by GSS Key Exchanges.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on May 10, 2019.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2018 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents

(https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

S. Sorce

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Int	roduction																		<u>2</u>
<u>2</u> .	Rat	ionale																		2
<u>3</u> .	Doci	ument Conv	entions	· .																2
<u>4</u> .	New	Diffie-He	llman k	Key E	Exch	ang	e m	eth	100	ls										3
<u>5</u> .	New	Elliptic	Curve [iffi	Le-H	ell	man	Ke	y	Ex	ch	an	ge	m	et	ho	ds	;		<u>4</u>
<u>5.</u>	<u>.1</u> .	Generic G	SS-API	Key	Exc	han	ge	wit	h	EC	DH									<u>4</u>
<u>5</u> .	<u>. 2</u> .	ECDH Key	Exchanç	ge Me	etho	ds														8
<u>6</u> .	IAN	A Consider	ations																	9
<u>7</u> .	Seci	urity Cons	iderati	ions																9
<u>7.</u>	<u>.1</u> .	New Finit	e Field	d DH	mec	han	ism	S												9
<u>7.</u>	<u>. 2</u> .	New Ellip	tic Cur	ve D)H m	ech	ani	sms	5											<u>10</u>
<u>7.</u>	<u>.3</u> .	GSSAPI De	legatio	n .																<u>10</u>
<u>8</u> .	Ref	erences .																		<u>10</u>
8.	<u>.1</u> .	Normative	Refere	ences	·															<u>10</u>
8.	<u>. 2</u> .	Informati	ve Refe	erend	es															<u>11</u>
Auth	nors	' Addresse	s																	<u>12</u>

1. Introduction

SSH GSS-API Methods [RFC4462] allows the use of GSSAPI for authentication and key exchange in SSH. It defines three exchange methods all based on DH groups and SHA-1. This document updates RFC4462 with new methods intended to support environments that desire to use the SHA-2 cryptographic hash functions.

2. Rationale

Due to security concerns with SHA-1 [RFC6194] and with MODP groups with less than 2048 bits [NIST-SP-800-131Ar1] we propose the use of the SHA-2 [RFC6234] based hashes with DH group14, group15, group16, group17 and group18 [RFC3526]. Additionally we add support for key exchange based on Elliptic Curve Diffie Hellman with the NIST P-256, P-384 and P-521 as well as the X25519 and X448 curves. Following the rationale of [RFC8268] only SHA-256 and SHA-512 hashes are used for DH groups. For NIST curves the same curve-to-hashing algorithm pairing used in [RFC5656] is adopted for consistency.

3. Document Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

4. New Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange methods

This document adopts the same naming convention defined in [RFC4462] to define families of methods that cover any GSS-API mechanism used with a specific Diffie-Hellman group and SHA-2 Hash combination.

The following new key exchange algorithms are defined:

Key Exchange Method Name Implementation Recommendations +	++	+
gss-group14-sha256-* SHOULD/RECOMMENDED gss-group15-sha512-* MAY/OPTIONAL gss-group16-sha512-* SHOULD/RECOMMENDED gss-group17-sha512-* MAY/OPTIONAL	Key Exchange Method Name	Implementation Recommendations
	gss-group14-sha256-* gss-group15-sha512-* gss-group16-sha512-* gss-group17-sha512-*	SHOULD/RECOMMENDED MAY/OPTIONAL SHOULD/RECOMMENDED MAY/OPTIONAL

Each key exchange method is implicitly registered by this document. The IESG is considered to be the owner of all these key exchange methods; this does NOT imply that the IESG is considered to be the owner of the underlying GSS-API mechanism.

Each method in any family of methods specifies GSS-API-authenticated Diffie-Hellman key exchanges as described in <u>Section 2.1 of [RFC4462]</u>. The method name for each method is the concatenation of the family method name with the Base64 encoding of the MD5 hash [RFC1321] of the ASN.1 DER encoding [ISO-IEC-8825-1] of the underlying GSS-API mechanism's OID. Base64 encoding is described in <u>Section 6.8 of [RFC2045]</u>.

Family method refences

Family Name prefix	Hash Function		Reference
gss-group14-sha256-	SHA-256	2048-bit MODP	<u>Section 3</u> of [RFC3526]
gss-group15-sha512- 	SHA-512	3072-bit MODP	Section 4 of [RFC3526]
gss-group16-sha512- 	SHA-512	4096-bit MODP	<u>Section 5</u> of [RFC3526]
gss-group17-sha512- 	SHA-512	6144-bit MODP	<u>Section 6</u> of [RFC3526]
gss-group18-sha512- 	SHA-512	8192-bit MODP	<u>Section 7</u> of [RFC3526]
+		H	+

5. New Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman Key Exchange methods

In $[{\tt RFC5656}]$ new SSH key exchange algorithms based on Elliptic Curve Cryptography are introduced. We reuse much of <u>section 4</u> to define GSS-API-authenticated ECDH Key Exchanges.

Additionally we utilize also the curves defined in [I-D.ietf-curdle-ssh-curves] to complement the 3 classic NIST defined curves required by [RFC5656].

5.1. Generic GSS-API Key Exchange with ECDH

This section reuses much of the scheme defined in <u>Section 2.1 of [RFC4462]</u> and combines it with the scheme defined in <u>Section 4 of [RFC5656]</u>; in particular, all checks and verification steps prescribed in <u>Section 4 of [RFC5656]</u> apply here as well.

For curve25519 and curve448 related computations see <u>Section 6 of [RFC7748]</u>; implementations MUST check whether the computed Diffie-Hellman shared secret is the all-zero value and abort if so.

This section defers to [RFC7546] as the source of information on GSS-API context establishment operations, Section 3 being the most relevant. All Security Considerations described in [RFC7546] apply here too.

The parties generate each an ephemeral key pair, according to Section 3.2.1 of [SEC1v2]. Keys are verified upon receipt by the parties according to Section 3.2.3.1 of [SEC1v2].

For NIST Curves keys use uncompressed point representation and must be converted using the algorithm in Section 2.3.4 of [SEC1v2]. If the conversion fails or the point is trasmitted using compressed representation, the key exchange MUST fail.

A GSS Context is established according to Section 4 of [RFC5656]; The client initiates the establishment using GSS_Init_sec_context() and the server completes it using GSS_Accept_sec_context(). For the negotiation, the client MUST set mutual_req_flag and integ_req_flag to "true". In addition, deleg_req_flag MAY be set to "true" to request access delegation, if requested by the user. Since the key exchange process authenticates only the host, the setting of anon_req_flag is immaterial to this process. If the client does not support the "gssapi-keyex" user authentication method described in Section 4 of [RFC4462], or does not intend to use that method in conjunction with the GSS-API context established during key exchange, then anon_req_flag SHOULD be set to "true". Otherwise, this flag MAY be set to true if the client wishes to hide its identity. This key

exchange process will exchange only a single token once the context has been established, therefore the replay_det_req_flag and sequence_req_flag SHOULD be set to "false".

The client MUST include its public key with the first message it sends to the server during this process; if the server receives more than one key or none at all, the key exchange MUST fail.

During GSS Context estalishment multiple tokens may be exchanged by the client and the server. When the GSS Context is established (major_status is GSS_S_COMPLETE) the parties check that mutual_state and integ_avail are both "true". If not the key exchange MUST fail.

Once a party receives the peer's public key it proceeds to compute a shared secret K. For NIST Curves the computation is done according to Section 3.3.1 of [SEC1v2] and the resulting value z is converted to the octet string K using the conversion defined in Section 2.3.5 of [SEC1v2]. For curve25519 and curve448 the algorithm in Section 6 of [RFC7748] is used instead.

To verify the integrity of the handshake, peers use the Hash Function defined by the selected Key Exchange method to calculate H:

```
H = hash(V_C \mid \mid V_S \mid \mid I_C \mid \mid I_S \mid \mid K_S \mid \mid Q_C \mid \mid Q_S \mid \mid K).
```

The GSS_GetMIC() call is used by the server with H as the payload and generates a MIC. The GSS_VerifyMIC() call is used by the client to verify the MIC.

If any GSS_Init_sec_context() or GSS_Accept_sec_context() returns a major_status other than GSS_S_COMPLETE or GSS_S_CONTINUE_NEEDED, or any other GSS-API call returns a major_status other than GSS_S_COMPLETE, the key exchange MUST fail. The same recommendations expressed in Section 2.1 of [RFC4462] are followed with regards to error reporting.

The following is an overview of the key exchange process:

```
Client
                                                          Server
      _ _ _ _ _
                                                          _ _ _ _ _
     Generate ephemeral key pair.
     Calls GSS_Init_sec_context().
     SSH_MSG_KEXGSS_INIT ----->
                                    Verify received key is valid.
  (Optional)
                             <----- SSH_MSG_KEXGSS_HOSTKEY
  (Loop)
                                  Calls GSS_Accept_sec_context().
                             <---- SSH MSG KEXGSS CONTINUE
     Calls GSS_Init_sec_context().
     SSH_MSG_KEXGSS_CONTINUE ----->
                                   Calls GSS_Accept_sec_context().
                                      Generate ephemeral key pair.
                                            Compute shared secret.
                                                 Computes hash H.
                                      Calls GSS\_GetMIC(H) = MIC.
                             <----- SSH_MSG_KEXGSS_COMPLETE
     Verify received key is valid.
     Compute shared secret.
     Compute hash = H
     Calls GSS_VerifyMIC( MIC, H )
This is implemented with the following messages:
The client sends:
    byte
             SSH_MSG_KEXGSS_INIT
             output_token (from GSS_Init_sec_context())
    string
             Q_C, client's ephemeral public key octet string
    string
The server may responds with:
   byte
             SSH_MSG_KEXGSS_HOSTKEY
    string
             server public host key and certificates (K_S)
The server sends:
    bvte
             SSH_MSG_KEXGSS_CONTINUE
           output_token (from GSS_Accept_sec_context())
    string
Each time the client receives the message described above, it makes
another call to GSS_Init_sec_context().
```

Internet-Draft GSS Keyex SHA2 Nov 2018

The client sends:

```
byte SSH_MSG_KEXGSS_CONTINUE
string output_token (from GSS_Init_sec_context())
```

As the final message the server sends either:

```
byte     SSH_MSG_KEXGSS_COMPLETE
string     Q_S, server's ephemeral public key octet string
string     mic_token (MIC of H)
boolean     TRUE
string     output_token (from GSS_Accept_sec_context())
```

Or the following if no output_token is available:

The hash H is computed as the HASH hash of the concatenation of the following:

```
string V_C, the client's version string (CR, NL excluded)
string V_S, server's version string (CR, NL excluded)
string I_C, payload of the client's SSH_MSG_KEXINIT
string I_S, payload of the server's SSH_MSG_KEXINIT
string K_S, server's public host key
string Q_C, client's ephemeral public key octet string
string Q_S, server's ephemeral public key octet string
mpint K, shared secret
```

This value is called the exchange hash, and it is used to authenticate the key exchange. The exchange hash SHOULD be kept secret. If no SSH_MSG_KEXGSS_HOSTKEY message has been sent by the server or received by the client, then the empty string is used in place of K_S when computing the exchange hash.

Since this key exchange method does not require the host key to be used for any encryption operations, the SSH_MSG_KEXGSS_HOSTKEY message is OPTIONAL. If the "null" host key algorithm described in Section 5 of [RFC4462] is used, this message MUST NOT be sent.

If the client receives a SSH_MSG_KEXGSS_CONTINUE message after a call to GSS_Init_sec_context() has returned a major_status code of GSS_S_COMPLETE, a protocol error has occurred and the key exchange MUST fail.

If the client receives a SSH_MSG_KEXGSS_COMPLETE message and a call to GSS_Init_sec_context() does not result in a major_status code of GSS_S_COMPLETE, a protocol error has occurred and the key exchange MUST fail.

5.2. ECDH Key Exchange Methods

The following new key exchange methods are defined:

Each key exchange method is implicitly registered by this document. The IESG is considered to be the owner of all these key exchange methods; this does NOT imply that the IESG is considered to be the owner of the underlying GSS-API mechanism.

Each method in any family of methods specifies GSS-API-authenticated Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman key exchanges as described in Section 5.1. The method name for each method is the concatenation of the family method name with the Base64 encoding of the MD5 hash [RFC1321] of the ASN.1 DER encoding [ISO-IEC-8825-1] of the underlying GSS-API mechanism's OID. Base64 encoding is described in Section 6.8 of [RFC2045].

Family method refences

+	+		++
Family Name prefix	Hash	Parameters /	Definition
gss-nistp256-sha256- 	SHA-256 	secp256r1	<u>Section 2.4.2</u> of [<u>SEC2v2</u>]
gss-nistp384-sha384-	SHA-384 	secp384r1	<u>Section 2.5.1</u> of [<u>SEC2v2</u>]
gss-nistp521-sha512- 	SHA-512 	secp521r1 	<u>Section 2.6.1</u> of [<u>SEC2v2</u>]
gss-curve25519-sha256- 	SHA-256 	X22519 	<u>Section 5</u> of [<u>RFC7748</u>]
gss-curve448-sha512- +	SHA-512 	X448 	<u>Section 5</u> of [<u>RFC7748</u>]

6. IANA Considerations

This document augments the SSH Key Exchange Method Names in [RFC4462].

IANA is requested to update the SSH Protocol Parameters [IANA-KEX-NAMES] registry with the following entries:

+	.++
Key Exchange Method Name	Reference Implementation Support
+	++
gss-group14-sha256-*	This draft SHOULD
gss-group15-sha512-*	This draft MAY
gss-group16-sha512-*	This draft SHOULD
gss-group17-sha512-*	This draft MAY
gss-group18-sha512-*	This draft MAY
gss-nistp256-sha256-*	This draft SHOULD
gss-nistp384-sha384-*	This draft MAY
gss-nistp521-sha512-*	This draft MAY
gss-curve25519-sha256-*	This draft SHOULD
gss-curve448-sha512-*	This draft MAY
+	.+

7. Security Considerations

7.1. New Finite Field DH mechanisms

Except for the use of a different secure hash function and larger DH groups, no significant changes has been made to the protocol

described by [RFC4462]; therefore all the original Security Considerations apply.

7.2. New Elliptic Curve DH mechanisms

Although a new cryptographic primitive is used with these methods the actual key exchange closely follows the key exchange defined in [RFC5656]; therefore all the original Security Considerations as well as those expressed in [RFC5656] apply.

7.3. GSSAPI Delegation

Some GSSAPI mechanisms can optionally delegate credentials to the target host by setting the deleg_ret_flag. In this case extra care must be taken to ensure that the acceptor being authenticated matches the target the user intended. Some mechanisms implementations (like commonly used krb5 libraries) may use insecure DNS resolution to canonicalize the target name; in these cases spoofing a DNS response that points to an attacker-controlled machine may results in the user silently delegating credentials to the attacker, who can then impersonate the user at will.

8. References

8.1. Normative References

- [I-D.ietf-curdle-ssh-curves]
 - Adamantiadis, A., Josefsson, S., and M. Baushke, "Secure Shell (SSH) Key Exchange Method using Curve25519 and Curve448", draft-ietf-curdle-ssh-curves-07 (work in progress), January 2018.
- [RFC2045] Freed, N. and N. Borenstein, "Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part One: Format of Internet Message Bodies", RFC 2045, DOI 10.17487/RFC2045, November 1996, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2045.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate
 Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119,
 DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997,
 <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>.

- [RFC3526] Kivinen, T. and M. Kojo, "More Modular Exponential (MODP)
 Diffie-Hellman groups for Internet Key Exchange (IKE)",
 RFC 3526, DOI 10.17487/RFC3526, May 2003,
 https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3526.
- [RFC4462] Hutzelman, J., Salowey, J., Galbraith, J., and V. Welch,
 "Generic Security Service Application Program Interface
 (GSS-API) Authentication and Key Exchange for the Secure
 Shell (SSH) Protocol", RFC 4462, DOI 10.17487/RFC4462, May
 2006, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4462.

- [RFC7748] Langley, A., Hamburg, M., and S. Turner, "Elliptic Curves for Security", RFC 7748, DOI 10.17487/RFC7748, January 2016, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7748.
- [SEC1v2] Certicom Research, "SEC 1: Elliptic Curve Cryptography", Standards for Efficient Cryptography SEC 1, Version 2.0, 2009.
- [SEC2v2] Certicom Research, "SEC 2: Recommended Elliptic Curve Domain Parameters", Standards for Efficient Cryptography SEC 2, Version 2.0, 2010.

8.2. Informative References

[IANA-KEX-NAMES]

Internet Assigned Numbers Authority, "Secure Shell (SSH) Protocol Parameters: Key Exchange Method Names", June 2005, https://www.iana.org/assignments/ssh-parameters/ssh-parameters.xhtml#ssh-parameters-16.

[ISO-IEC-8825-1]

International Organization for Standardization / International Electrotechnical Commission, "ASN.1 encoding rules: Specification of Basic Encoding Rules (BER), Canonical Encoding Rules (CER) and Distinguished Encoding Rules (DER)", ISO/IEC 8825-1, November 2015, http://standards.iso.org/ittf/PubliclyAvailableStandards/c068345_ISO_IEC_8825-1_2015.zip.

[NIST-SP-800-131Ar1]

National Institute of Standards and Technology,
"Transitions: Recommendation for Transitioning of the Use
of Cryptographic Algorithms and Key Lengths", NIST Special
Publication 800-131A Revision 1, November 2015,
http://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/SpecialPublications/
NIST.SP.800-131Ar1.pdf>.

- [RFC6194] Polk, T., Chen, L., Turner, S., and P. Hoffman, "Security Considerations for the SHA-0 and SHA-1 Message-Digest Algorithms", RFC 6194, DOI 10.17487/RFC6194, March 2011, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6194.
- [RFC8268] Baushke, M., "More Modular Exponentiation (MODP) Diffie-Hellman (DH) Key Exchange (KEX) Groups for Secure Shell (SSH)", RFC 8268, DOI 10.17487/RFC8268, December 2017, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8268>.

Authors' Addresses

Simo Sorce Red Hat, Inc. 140 Broadway 24th Floor New York, NY 10025 USA

Email: simo@redhat.com

Hubert Kario Red Hat, Inc. Purkynova 115 Brno 612 00 Czech Republic

Email: hkario@redhat.com