

Internet Engineering Task Force
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: February 27, 2020

A. Adamantiadis
libssh
S. Josefsson
SJD AB
M. Baushke
Juniper Networks, Inc.
August 26, 2019

Secure Shell (SSH) Key Exchange Method using Curve25519 and Curve448
draft-ietf-curdle-ssh-curves-10

Abstract

This document describes the conventions for using Curve25519 and Curve448 key exchange methods in the Secure Shell (SSH) protocol.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on February 27, 2020.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Internet-Draft

Curve25519/448 for SSH

August 2019

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Requirements Language	3
3.	Key Exchange Methods	3
3.1.	Shared Secret Encoding	4
4.	Acknowledgements	4
5.	Security Considerations	4
6.	IANA Considerations	5
7.	References	5
7.1.	Normative References	5
7.2.	Informative References	6
Appendix A.	Copying conditions	6
	Authors' Addresses	6

[1.](#) Introduction

Secure Shell (SSH) [[RFC4251](#)] is a secure remote login protocol. The key exchange protocol described in [[RFC4253](#)] supports an extensible set of methods. [[RFC5656](#)] defines how elliptic curves are integrated into this extensible SSH framework, and this document reuses the Elliptic Curve Diffie-Hellman (ECDH) key exchange protocol messages defined in [section 7.1](#) "ECDH Message Numbers" [[RFC5656](#)]. Other parts of [[RFC5656](#)], such as Elliptic Curve Menezes-Qu-Vanstone (ECMQV) key agreement, and Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA) are not considered in this document.

This document describes how to implement key exchange based on Curve25519 and Curve448 [[RFC7748](#)] in SSH. For Curve25519 with SHA-256 [[RFC6234](#)], the algorithm described is equivalent to the privately defined algorithm "curve25519-sha256@libssh.org", which at the time of publication was implemented and widely deployed in libssh and OpenSSH. The Curve448 key exchange method is similar but uses SHA-512 [[RFC6234](#)] to further separate it from the Curve25519 alternative.

This document provide Curve25519 as the preferred choice, but suggests that the Curve448 is implemented to provide more than 128 bits of security strength should that become a requirement.

At the time of writing this specification, high-quality free implementations of Curve25519 has been in deployed use for several years, while Curve448 implementations are slowly appearing, so it is

accepted that adoption of Curve448 would be slower.

2. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [BCP 14 \[RFC2119\]](#) [[RFC8174](#)] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

3. Key Exchange Methods

The key exchange procedure is similar to the ECDH method described in chapter 4 of [[RFC5656](#)], though with a different wire encoding used for public values and the final shared secret. Public ephemeral keys are encoded for transmission as standard SSH strings.

The protocol flow, the SSH_MSG_KEX_ECDH_INIT and SSH_MSG_KEX_ECDH_REPLY messages, and the structure of the exchange hash are identical to chapter 4 of [[RFC5656](#)].

The method names registered by this document are "curve25519-sha256" and "curve448-sha512".

The methods are based on Curve25519 and Curve448 scalar multiplication, as described in [[RFC7748](#)]. Private and public keys are generated as described therein. Public keys are defined as strings of 32 bytes for Curve25519 and 56 bytes for Curve448.

Key-agreement schemes "curve25519-sha256" and "curve448-sha512" perform the Diffie-Hellman protocol using the functions X25519 and X448, respectively. Implementations SHOULD compute these functions using the algorithms described in [[RFC7748](#)]. When they do so, implementations MUST check whether the computed Diffie-Hellman shared secret is the all-zero value and abort if so, as described in [Section 6 of \[RFC7748\]](#). Alternative implementations of these functions SHOULD abort when either input forces the shared secret to one of a small set of values, as described in [Section 7 of \[RFC7748\]](#).

Clients and servers MUST fail the key exchange if the length of the received public keys are not the expected lengths. An abort for these purposes is defined as a disconnect of the session with an appropriate SSH "protocol error" for the fault provided to or by the client. No further validation is required beyond what is described in [\[RFC7748\]](#). The derived shared secret is 32 bytes when "curve25519-sha256" is used and 56 bytes when "curve448-sha512" is used. The encodings of all values are defined in [\[RFC7748\]](#). The hash used is SHA-256 for "curve25519-sha256" and SHA-512 for "curve448-sha512".

[3.1.](#) Shared Secret Encoding

The following step differs from [\[RFC5656\]](#), which uses a different conversion. This is not intended to modify that text generally, but only to be applicable to the scope of the mechanism described in this document.

The shared secret, K, is defined in [\[RFC4253\]](#) and [\[RFC5656\]](#) as an integer encoded as a multiple precision integer (mpint). Curve25519/448 outputs a binary string X, which is the 32 or 56 byte point obtained by scalar multiplication of the other side's public key and the local private key scalar. The 32 or 56 bytes of X are converted into K by interpreting the octets as an unsigned fixed-length integer encoded in network byte order.

The integer K is then encoded as an mpint using the process described in [section 5 of \[RFC4251\]](#) and the resulting bytes are fed as described in [\[RFC4253\]](#) to the key exchange method's hash function to generate encryption keys.

When performing the X25519 or X448 operations, the integer values there will be encoded into byte strings by doing a fixed-length unsigned little-endian conversion, per [\[RFC7748\]](#). It is only later when these byte strings are then passed to the ECDH code in SSH that the bytes are re-interpreted as a fixed-length unsigned big-endian integer value K, and then later that K value is encoded as a variable-length signed "mpint" before being fed to the hash algorithm used for key generation. The mpint K is then fed along with other data to the key exchange method's hash function to generate

encryption keys.

[4.](#) Acknowledgements

The "curve25519-sha256" key exchange method is identical to the "curve25519-sha256@libssh.org" key exchange method created by Aris Adamantiadis and implemented in libssh and OpenSSH.

Thanks to the following people for review and comments: Denis Bider, Damien Miller, Niels Moeller, Matt Johnston, Eric Rescorla, Ron Frederick, Stefan Buehler.

[5.](#) Security Considerations

The security considerations of [[RFC4251](#)], [[RFC5656](#)], and [[RFC7748](#)] are inherited.

Curve25519 provide strong security and is efficient on a wide range of architectures, and has properties that allows better

implementation properties compared to traditional elliptic curves. Curve448 with SHA-512 is similar, but has not received the same cryptographic review as Curve25519, and is slower, but it is provided as a hedge to combat unforeseen analytical advances against Curve25519 and SHA-256.

The way the derived binary secret string is encoded into a mpint before it is hashed (i.e., adding or removing zero-bytes for encoding) raises the potential for a side-channel attack which could determine the length of what is hashed. This would leak the most significant bit of the derived secret, and/or allow detection of when the most significant bytes are zero. For backwards compatibility reasons it was decided not to address this potential problem.

[6.](#) IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to add "curve25519-sha256" and "curve448-sha512" to the "Key Exchange Method Names" registry for SSH [[IANA-KEX](#)] that was created in [RFC 4250 section 4.10](#) [[RFC4250](#)].

[7.](#) References

7.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC4250] Lehtinen, S. and C. Lonvick, Ed., "The Secure Shell (SSH) Protocol Assigned Numbers", [RFC 4250](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4250, January 2006, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4250>>.
- [RFC4251] Ylonen, T. and C. Lonvick, Ed., "The Secure Shell (SSH) Protocol Architecture", [RFC 4251](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4251, January 2006, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4251>>.
- [RFC4253] Ylonen, T. and C. Lonvick, Ed., "The Secure Shell (SSH) Transport Layer Protocol", [RFC 4253](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4253, January 2006, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4253>>.
- [RFC5656] Stebila, D. and J. Green, "Elliptic Curve Algorithm Integration in the Secure Shell Transport Layer", [RFC 5656](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5656, December 2009, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5656>>.

- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in [RFC 2119](#) Key Words", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 8174](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.

7.2. Informative References

- [IANA-KEX] Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA), "Secure Shell (SSH) Protocol Parameters: Key Exchange Method Names", June 2018, <<http://www.iana.org/assignments/ssh-parameters/ssh-parameters.xhtml#ssh-parameters-16>>.
- [RFC6234] Eastlake 3rd, D. and T. Hansen, "US Secure Hash Algorithms (SHA and SHA-based HMAC and HKDF)", [RFC 6234](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6234, May 2011,

<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6234>>.

[RFC7748] Langley, A., Hamburg, M., and S. Turner, "Elliptic Curves for Security", [RFC 7748](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7748, January 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7748>>.

[Appendix A](#). Copying conditions

Regarding this entire document or any portion of it, the authors make no guarantees and are not responsible for any damage resulting from its use. The authors grant irrevocable permission to anyone to use, modify, and distribute it in any way that does not diminish the rights of anyone else to use, modify, and distribute it, provided that redistributed derivative works do not contain misleading author or version information. Derivative works need not be licensed under similar terms.

Authors' Addresses

Aris Adamantiadis
libssh

Email: aris@badcode.be

Simon Josefsson
SJD AB

Email: simon@josefsson.org

Mark D. Baushke
Juniper Networks, Inc.

Email: mdb@juniper.net

