

DetNet
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: May 6, 2021

B. Varga, Ed.
J. Farkas
Ericsson
A. Malis
Malis Consulting
S. Bryant
Futurewei Technologies
November 2, 2020

DetNet Data Plane: IP over IEEE 802.1 Time Sensitive Networking (TSN)
draft-ietf-detnet-ip-over-tsn-04

Abstract

This document specifies the Deterministic Networking IP data plane when operating over a TSN sub-network. This document does not define new procedures or processes. Whenever this document makes requirements statements or recommendations, these are taken from normative text in the referenced RFCs.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on May 6, 2021.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2020 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect

to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Terminology	3
2.1.	Terms Used In This Document	3
2.2.	Abbreviations	3
2.3.	Requirements Language	3
3.	DetNet IP Data Plane Overview	3
4.	DetNet IP Flows over an IEEE 802.1 TSN sub-network	4
4.1.	Functions for DetNet Flow to TSN Stream Mapping	5
4.2.	TSN requirements of IP DetNet nodes	6
4.3.	Service protection within the TSN sub-network	8
4.4.	Aggregation during DetNet flow to TSN Stream mapping	8
5.	Management and Control Implications	8
6.	Security Considerations	10
7.	IANA Considerations	10
8.	Acknowledgements	10
9.	References	10
9.1.	Normative references	10
9.2.	Informative references	11
	Authors' Addresses	12

[1.](#) Introduction

Deterministic Networking (DetNet) is a service that can be offered by a network to DetNet flows. DetNet provides these flows extremely low packet loss rates and assured maximum end-to-end delivery latency. General background and concepts of DetNet can be found in the DetNet Architecture [[RFC8655](#)].

[I-D.ietf-detnet-ip] specifies the DetNet data plane operation for IP hosts and routers that provide DetNet service to IP encapsulated data. This document focuses on the scenario where DetNet IP nodes are interconnected by a TSN sub-network.

The DetNet Architecture decomposes the DetNet related data plane functions into two sub-layers: a service sub-layer and a forwarding sub-layer. The service sub-layer is used to provide DetNet service protection and reordering. The forwarding sub-layer is used to provides congestion protection (low loss, assured latency, and limited reordering). As described in [[I-D.ietf-detnet-ip](#)] no DetNet specific headers are added to support DetNet IP flows, only the forwarding sub-layer functions are supported inside the DetNet

domain. Service protection can be provided on a per sub-network basis as shown here for the IEEE802.1 TSN sub-network scenario.

2. Terminology

2.1. Terms Used In This Document

This document uses the terminology and concepts established in the DetNet architecture [[RFC8655](#)], and the reader is assumed to be familiar with that document and its terminology.

2.2. Abbreviations

The following abbreviations used in this document:

DetNet	Deterministic Networking.
DF	DetNet Flow.
FRER	Frame Replication and Elimination for Redundancy (TSN function).
L2	Layer-2.
L3	Layer-3.
PREOF	Packet Replication, Ordering and Elimination Function.
TSN	Time-Sensitive Networking, TSN is a Task Group of the IEEE 802.1 Working Group.

2.3. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [BCP 14](#) [[RFC2119](#)] [[RFC8174](#)] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

3. DetNet IP Data Plane Overview

[I-D.ietf-detnet-ip] describes how IP is used by DetNet nodes, i.e., hosts and routers, to identify DetNet flows and provide a DetNet service. From a data plane perspective, an end-to-end IP model is followed. DetNet uses "6-tuple" based flow identification, where "6-tuple" refers to information carried in IP and higher layer protocol headers.

DetNet flow aggregation may be enabled via the use of wildcards, masks, prefixes and ranges. IP tunnels may also be used to support flow aggregation. In these cases, it is expected that DetNet aware intermediate nodes will provide DetNet service assurance on the aggregate through resource allocation and congestion control mechanisms.

Congestion protection, latency control and the resource allocation (queuing, policing, shaping) are supported using the underlying link / sub-net specific mechanisms. Service protections (packet replication and packet elimination functions) are not provided at the IP DetNet layer end-to-end due the lack of a unified end-to-end sequencing information that would be available for intermediate nodes. However, such service protection can be provided on a per underlying L2 link and sub-network basis.

DetNet routers ensure that DetNet service requirements are met per hop by allocating local resources, both receive and transmit, and by mapping the service requirements of each flow to appropriate sub-network mechanisms. Such mappings are sub-network technology specific. DetNet nodes interconnected by a TSN sub-network are the primary focus of this document. The mapping of DetNet IP flows to TSN streams and TSN protection mechanisms are covered in [Section 4](#).

4. DetNet IP Flows over an IEEE 802.1 TSN sub-network

This section covers how DetNet IP flows operate over an IEEE 802.1 TSN sub-network. Figure 1 illustrates such a scenario, where two IP (DetNet) nodes are interconnected by a TSN sub-network. Dotted lines around the Service components of the IP (DetNet) Nodes indicate that they are DetNet service aware but do not perform any DetNet service sub-layer function. Node-1 is single homed and Node-2 is dual-homed to the TSN sub-network.




Figure 1: DetNet (DN) Enabled IP Network over a TSN sub-network

The Time-Sensitive Networking (TSN) Task Group of the IEEE 802.1 Working Group have defined (and are defining) a number of amendments to IEEE 802.1Q [[IEEE8021Q](#)] that provide zero congestion loss and bounded latency in bridged networks. Furthermore, IEEE 802.1CB [[IEEE8021CB](#)] defines frame replication and elimination functions for reliability that should prove both compatible with and useful to DetNet networks. All these functions have to identify flows that require TSN treatment.

TSN capabilities of the TSN sub-network are made available for IP (DetNet) flows via the protocol interworking function described in Annex C.5 of IEEE 802.1CB [[IEEE8021CB](#)]. For example, applied on the TSN edge port it can convert an ingress unicast IP (DetNet) flow to use a specific Layer-2 multicast destination MAC address and a VLAN, in order to direct the packet through a specific path inside the bridged network. A similar interworking function pair at the other end of the TSN sub-network would restore the packet to its original Layer-2 destination MAC address and VLAN.

Placement of TSN functions depends on the TSN capabilities of nodes. IP (DetNet) Nodes may or may not support TSN functions. For a given TSN Stream (i.e., a mapped DetNet flow) an IP (DetNet) node is treated as a Talker or a Listener inside the TSN sub-network.

[4.1. Functions for DetNet Flow to TSN Stream Mapping](#)

Mapping of a DetNet IP flow to a TSN Stream is provided via the combination of a passive and an active stream identification function that operate at the frame level (Layer-2). The passive stream identification function is used to catch the 6-tuple of a DetNet IP flow and the active stream identification function is used to modify the Ethernet header according to the ID of the mapped TSN Stream.

Clause 6.7 of IEEE 802.1CB [[IEEE8021CB](#)] defines an IP Stream identification function that can be used as a passive function for IP DetNet flows using UDP or TCP. Clause 6.8 of IEEE P802.1CBdb [[IEEEP8021CBdb](#)] defines a Mask-and-Match Stream identification function that can be used as a passive function for any IP DetNet flows.

Clause 6.6 of IEEE 802.1CB [[IEEE8021CB](#)] defines an Active Destination MAC and VLAN Stream identification function, what can replace some Ethernet header fields namely (1) the destination MAC-address, (2) the VLAN-ID and (3) priority parameters with alternate values. Replacement is provided for the frame passed down the stack from the upper layers or up the stack from the lower layers.

Active Destination MAC and VLAN Stream identification can be used within a Talker to set flow identity or a Listener to recover the original addressing information. It can be used also in a TSN bridge that is providing translation as a proxy service for an End System.

4.2. TSN requirements of IP DetNet nodes

This section covers required behavior of a TSN-aware DetNet node using a TSN sub-network. The implementation of TSN packet processing functions must be compliant with the relevant IEEE 802.1 standards.

From the TSN sub-network perspective DetNet IP nodes are treated as Talker or Listener, that may be (1) TSN-unaware or (2) TSN-aware.

In cases of TSN-unaware IP DetNet nodes the TSN relay nodes within the TSN sub-network must modify the Ethernet encapsulation of the DetNet IP flow (e.g., MAC translation, VLAN-ID setting, Sequence number addition, etc.) to allow proper TSN specific handling inside the sub-network. There are no requirements defined for TSN-unaware IP DetNet nodes in this document.

IP (DetNet) nodes being TSN-aware can be treated as a combination of a TSN-unaware Talker/Listener and a TSN-Relay, as shown in Figure 2. In such cases the IP (DetNet) node must provide the TSN sub-network specific Ethernet encapsulation over the link(s) towards the sub-network.




Figure 2: IP (DetNet) node with TSN functions

A TSN-aware IP (DetNet) node implementations must support the Stream Identification TSN component for recognizing flows.

A Stream identification component must be able to instantiate the following functions (1) Active Destination MAC and VLAN Stream identification function, (2) IP Stream identification function, (3) Mask-and-Match Stream identification function and (4) the related managed objects in Clause 9 of IEEE 802.1CB [IEEE8021CB] and IEEE P802.1CBdb [IEEEP8021CBdb].

A TSN-aware IP (DetNet) node implementations must support the Sequencing function and the Sequence encode/decode function as defined in Clause 7.4 and 7.6 of IEEE 802.1CB [IEEE8021CB] if FRER is used inside the TSN sub-network.

The Sequence encode/decode function must support the Redundancy tag (R-TAG) format as per Clause 7.8 of IEEE 802.1CB [IEEE8021CB].

A TSN-aware IP (DetNet) node implementations must support the Stream splitting function and the Individual recovery function as defined in Clause 7.7 and 7.5 of IEEE 802.1CB [IEEE8021CB] when the node is a replication or elimination point for FRER.

4.3. Service protection within the TSN sub-network

TSN Streams supporting DetNet flows may use Frame Replication and Elimination for Redundancy (FRER) as defined in Clause 8. of IEEE 802.1CB [[IEEE8021CB](#)] based on the loss service requirements of the TSN Stream, which is derived from the DetNet service requirements of the DetNet mapped flow. The specific operation of FRER is not modified by the use of DetNet and follows IEEE 802.1CB [[IEEE8021CB](#)].

FRER function and the provided service recovery is available only within the TSN sub-network as the TSN Stream-ID and the TSN sequence number are not valid outside the sub-network. An IP (DetNet) node represents a L3 border and as such it terminates all related information elements encoded in the L2 frames.

4.4. Aggregation during DetNet flow to TSN Stream mapping

Implementations of this document shall use management and control information to map a DetNet flow to a TSN Stream. N:1 mapping (aggregating DetNet flows in a single TSN Stream) shall be supported. The management or control function that provisions flow mapping shall ensure that adequate resources are allocated and configured to provide proper service requirements of the mapped flows.

5. Management and Control Implications

DetNet flow and TSN Stream mapping related information are required only for TSN-aware IP (DetNet) nodes. From the Data Plane perspective there is no practical difference based on the origin of flow mapping related information (management plane or control plane).

The following summarizes the set of information that is needed to configure DetNet IP over TSN:

- o DetNet IP related configuration information according to the DetNet role of the DetNet IP node, as per [[I-D.ietf-detnet-ip](#)].
- o TSN related configuration information according to the TSN role of the DetNet IP node, as per [[IEEE8021Q](#)], [[IEEE8021CB](#)] and [[IEEEP8021CBdb](#)].
- o Mapping between DetNet IP flow(s) (as flow identification defined in [[I-D.ietf-detnet-ip](#)], it is summarized in [Section 5.1](#) of that document, and includes all wildcards, port ranges and the ability to ignore specific IP fields) and TSN Stream(s) (as stream identification information defined in [[IEEE8021CB](#)] and [[IEEEP8021CBdb](#)]). Note, that managed objects for TSN Stream identification can be found in [[IEEEP8021CBcv](#)].

This information must be provisioned per DetNet flow.

Mappings between DetNet and TSN management and control planes are out of scope of the document. Some of the challenges are highlighted below.

TSN-aware IP DetNet nodes are member of both the DetNet domain and the TSN sub-network. Within the TSN sub-network the TSN-aware IP (DetNet) node has a TSN-aware Talker/Listener role, so TSN specific management and control plane functionalities must be implemented. There are many similarities in the management plane techniques used in DetNet and TSN, but that is not the case for the control plane protocols. For example, RSVP-TE and MSRP behaves differently. Therefore management and control plane design is an important aspect of scenarios, where mapping between DetNet and TSN is required.

In order to use a TSN sub-network between DetNet nodes, DetNet specific information must be converted to TSN sub-network specific ones. DetNet flow ID and flow related parameters/requirements must be converted to a TSN Stream ID and stream related parameters/requirements. Note that, as the TSN sub-network is just a portion of the end-to-end DetNet path (i.e., single hop from IP perspective), some parameters (e.g., delay) may differ significantly. Other parameters (like bandwidth) also may have to be tuned due to the L2 encapsulation used within the TSN sub-network.

In some case it may be challenging to determine some TSN Stream related information. For example, on a TSN-aware IP (DetNet) node that acts as a Talker, it is quite obvious which DetNet node is the Listener of the mapped TSN stream (i.e., the IP Next-Hop). However it may be not trivial to locate the point/interface where that Listener is connected to the TSN sub-network. Such attributes may require interaction between control and management plane functions and between DetNet and TSN domains.

Mapping between DetNet flow identifiers and TSN Stream identifiers, if not provided explicitly, can be done by a TSN-aware IP (DetNet) node locally based on information provided for configuration of the TSN Stream identification functions (IP Stream identification, Mask-and-match Stream identification and active Stream identification function).

Triggering the setup/modification of a TSN Stream in the TSN sub-network is an example where management and/or control plane interactions are required between the DetNet and TSN sub-network. TSN-unaware IP (DetNet) nodes make such a triggering even more complicated as they are fully unaware of the sub-network and run independently.

Configuration of TSN specific functions (e.g., FRER) inside the TSN sub-network is a TSN domain specific decision and may not be visible in the DetNet domain.

6. Security Considerations

Security considerations for DetNet are described in detail in [[I-D.ietf-detnet-security](#)]. General security considerations are described in [[RFC8655](#)]. DetNet IP data plane specific considerations are summarized in [[I-D.ietf-detnet-ip](#)]. This section considers exclusively security considerations which are specific to the DetNet IP over TSN sub-network scenario.

The sub-network between DetNet nodes needs to be subject to appropriate confidentiality. Additionally, knowledge of what DetNet/TSN services are provided by a sub-network may supply information that can be used in a variety of security attacks. The ability to modify information exchanges between connected DetNet nodes may result in bogus operations. Therefore, it is important that the interface between DetNet nodes and TSN sub-network are subject to authorization, authentication, and encryption.

The TSN sub-network operates at Layer-2 so various security mechanisms defined by IEEE can be used to secure the connection between the DetNet nodes (e.g., encryption may be provided using MACSec [[IEEE802.1AE-2018](#)]).

7. IANA Considerations

None.

8. Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Norman Finn, Lou Berger, Craig Gunther, Christophe Mangin and Jouni Korhonen for their various contributions to this work.

9. References

9.1. Normative references

[[I-D.ietf-detnet-ip](#)]
Varga, B., Farkas, J., Berger, L., Fedyk, D., and S. Bryant, "DetNet Data Plane: IP", [draft-ietf-detnet-ip-07](#) (work in progress), July 2020.

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in [RFC 2119](#) Key Words", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 8174](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.

9.2. Informative references

- [I-D.ietf-detnet-flow-information-model]
Varga, B., Farkas, J., Cummings, R., Jiang, Y., and D. Fedyk, "DetNet Flow Information Model", [draft-ietf-detnet-flow-information-model-11](#) (work in progress), October 2020.
- [I-D.ietf-detnet-security]
Grossman, E., Mizrahi, T., and A. Hacker, "Deterministic Networking (DetNet) Security Considerations", [draft-ietf-detnet-security-12](#) (work in progress), October 2020.
- [IEEE802.1AE-2018]
IEEE Standards Association, "IEEE Std 802.1AE-2018 MAC Security (MACsec)", 2018, <<https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/8585421>>.
- [IEEE8021CB]
IEEE 802.1, "Standard for Local and metropolitan area networks - Frame Replication and Elimination for Reliability (IEEE Std 802.1CB-2017)", 2017, <<http://standards.ieee.org/about/get/>>.
- [IEEE8021Q]
IEEE 802.1, "Standard for Local and metropolitan area networks--Bridges and Bridged Networks (IEEE Std 802.1Q-2018)", 2018, <<http://standards.ieee.org/about/get/>>.
- [IEEEP8021CBcv]
Kehrer, S., "FRER YANG Data Model and Management Information Base Module", IEEE P802.1CBcv /D0.4 P802.1CBcv, August 2020, <<https://www.ieee802.org/1/files/private/cv-drafts/d0/802-1CBcv-d0-4.pdf>>.

[IEEEP8021CBdb]

Mangin, C., "Extended Stream identification functions",
IEEE P802.1CBdb /D1.0 P802.1CBdb, September 2020,
<<http://www.ieee802.org/1/files/private/db-drafts/d1/802-1CBdb-d1-0.pdf>>.

[RFC8655] Finn, N., Thubert, P., Varga, B., and J. Farkas,
"Deterministic Networking Architecture", [RFC 8655](#),
DOI 10.17487/RFC8655, October 2019,
<<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8655>>.

Authors' Addresses

Balazs Varga (editor)
Ericsson
Magyar Tudosok krt. 11.
Budapest 1117
Hungary

Email: balazs.a.varga@ericsson.com

Janos Farkas
Ericsson
Magyar Tudosok krt. 11.
Budapest 1117
Hungary

Email: janos.farkas@ericsson.com

Andrew G. Malis
Malis Consulting

Email: agmalis@gmail.com

Stewart Bryant
Futurewei Technologies

Email: stewart.bryant@gmail.com

