

Dynamic Host Configuration WG  
Internet Draft: DHC-AUTOCONFIG  
Document: [draft-ietf-dhc-autoconfig-00.txt](#)  
Expires: February 1999

R. Troll  
Carnegie Mellon  
August 1998

## DHCP Option to Disable Stateless Auto-Configuration in IPv4 Clients

<[draft-ietf-dhc-autoconfig-00.txt](#)>

### Status of this memo

This document is an Internet-Draft. Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

To view the entire list of current Internet-Drafts, please check the "1id-abstracts.txt" listing contained in the Internet-Drafts Shadow Directories on ftp.is.co.za (Africa), ftp.nordu.net (Northern Europe), ftp.nis.garr.it (Southern Europe), munnari.oz.au (Pacific Rim), ftp.ietf.org (US East Coast), or ftp.isi.edu (US West Coast).

### Abstract

Operating Systems are now attempting to support ad-hoc networks of two or more systems, while keeping user configuration at a minimum. To accommodate this, in the absence of a central configuration mechanism (DHCP), some OS's are automatically choosing an IP address which will allow them to communicate with other hosts running the same OS. However, some sites depend on the fact that a host with no DHCP response will have no IP address. This draft describes a mechanism by which DHCP servers are able to tell clients that they do not have an IP address to offer, and that the client should not auto-configure it's own.

### 1. Introduction

With computers becoming a larger part of everyday life, operating systems must be able to support a larger range of operating environments. One aspect of this support is the selection of an IP

address. The Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol [[DHCP](#)] provides a superb method by which site administrators may supply IP addresses (and other network parameters) to network devices. However, some operating environments are not centrally maintained, and operating systems must now be able to handle this quickly and easily.

IPv6 accounts for this, and allows an IPv6 stack to assign itself a link-local address in the absence of any other mechanism for configuration. This ability, described in [[IPv6SAC](#)], describes three types of network nodes:

- \* A node for which the site administrator will hand out configuration information,
- \* A node on a network segment for which there is no site administrator, and
- \* A node on a network segment that has a central site administrator, and that administrator chooses not to hand out any configuration information to the node.

The difference between the second and third cases is the clients behavior. In one case, the node may assign itself a link-local IP address, and have full connectivity with other nodes on the local wire. In the last case, the node is specifically told not to do this, and the node winds up with no IP address at all.

Operating System designers can't wait for IPv6 support everywhere. They need to be able to handle these same three network scenarios under IPv4. The first scenario is handled by the current DHCP standard. However, at this time, DHCP clients are unable to determine whether they are on a subnet with no administration, or with administration that is choosing not to hand out addresses.

This document describes a method by which DHCP clients will be able to determine whether or not the network is being centrally administrated, allowing it to intelligently determine whether or not it should assign itself a "link-local" address.

### 1.1 Conventions Used in the Document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", and "MAY"

in this document are to be interpreted as defined in "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels" [[KEYWORDS](#)]

## [1.2](#) Terminology

DHCP client      A DHCP client is an Internet host using DHCP to

Troll

[Page 2]

---

Internet Draft

DHCP Auto-Configuration Option

August 1998

obtain configuration parameters such as a network address.

DHCP server      A DHCP server is an Internet host that returns configuration parameters to DHCP clients.

## [2.](#) The Auto-Configure Option

This option code is used to ask whether, and be notified if, auto-configuration should be disabled on the local subnet. The auto-configure option is an 8-bit number.

Code	Len	Value
+-----+	+-----+	+-----+
TBD	1	a
+-----+	+-----+	+-----+

The code for this option is TBD (To Be Determined), and its length is 1.

This code, along with the IP address assignment, will allow a DHCP client to determine whether or not it should auto-configure.

### [2.1.](#) Auto-Configure Values

The auto-configure option uses the following values:

DoNOTAutoConfigure	1
AutoConfigure	2

When a server responds with the value "AutoConfigure", the client MAY auto-configure if appropriate. However, if the server responds

with "DoNOTAutoConfigure", the client MUST not auto-configure, possibly leaving it with no IP address.

## [2.2.](#) DHCP Client Behavior

Clients that have auto-configuration capabilities MUST add the Auto-Configure option to the list of requested parameters in its initial DHCPDISCOVER message. ([\[DHCP\] Section 4.4.1](#)) At this time, the option's value should be set to "AutoConfigure".

When a DHCP OFFER is received, it is handled as described in [\[DHCP\]](#), section 4.4.1, with one exception. If the 'ciaddr' field is 0x00000000, the AutoConfigure option must be consulted. If this


option is set to "AutoConfigure", then the DHCP OFFER MUST be ignored, and the DHCP Client MAY auto-configure. However, if this option is set to "DoNOTAutoConfigure", then the DHCP OFFER MUST be ignored, and the client MUST not auto-configure.


If a DHCP Client receives any DHCP OFFER which contains a 'ciaddr' of 0x00000000, and the Auto-Configure flag says 'DoNOTAutoConfigure', in the absence of a DHCP OFFER with a valid 'ciaddr', the DHCP Client MUST not Auto-Configure.

DHCP OFFERS with a 'ciaddr' of 0x00000000 will only be sent by DHCP servers supporting the AutoConfigure option when the DHCPDISCOVER contained the Auto-Configure option. Since the DHCPDISCOVER will only contain the Auto-Configure option when a DHCP Client knows how to handle it, there will be no inter-operability problems.

If the DHCP server does have an address to offer, the message states are the same as those described in [\[DHCP\]](#), section 3.

The following depicts the difference in responses for non-registered DHCP Clients that support the "Auto-Configure" option on networks that have DHCP Servers that support auto-configuration and networks with DHCP servers that do not.





### 2.3. DHCP Server Behavior

When a DHCP Server receives a DHCPDISCOVER, it MUST be processed as described in [DHCP], section 4.3.1. However, if no address is chosen for the host, a few additional steps MUST be taken.

If the DHCPDISCOVER does not contain the AutoConfigure option, it is not answered.

If the DHCPDISCOVER contains the AutoConfigure option, and the site administrator has specified that Auto-Configuration should be disabled on the subnet the DHCPDISCOVER is originating from, then a DHCP OFFER MUST be sent to the DHCP Client. This offer MUST be for the address 0x00000000, and the AutoConfigure option MUST be set to "DoNOTAutoConfigure".

If the site administrator allows auto-configuration on the originating subnet, the DHCPDISCOVER is not answered as before.

### 2.4. Mixed Environments

Environments containing a mixture of clients and servers that do and do not support the Auto-Configure option will not be a problem. Every DHCP transaction is between a Server and a Client, and the possible mixed scenarios between these two are listed below.

#### 2.4.1 Client Supports, Server Does Not

If a DHCP Client sends a request that contains the Auto-Configure tag, a DHCP server that does not know what this tag is will respond

normally. According to [[DHCP](#)] [Section 4.3.1](#), the server MUST not return a value for that parameter.

In this case, the server will either respond with a valid DHCP OFFER, or it will not respond at all. In both cases, a DHCP client that supports this option will never care what the state of the option is.

#### [2.4.2](#) Servers Supports, Client Does Not

If the AutoConfigure option is not present in the DHCPDISCOVER, the server will do nothing about it. The client will auto-configure if it doesn't receive a response and believes that's what it should do.

This scenario SHOULD not occur, as any stacks that implement an auto-configuration mechanism MUST implement this option as well.

#### [2.5.](#) Interaction With Other DHCP Messages

As this option only affects the initial IP address selection, it does not apply to subsequent DHCP messages. If the DHCP client received a lease from a DHCP Server, future DHCP messages (RENEW, INFORM, ACK, etc.) have no need to fall over into an auto-configuration state.

If the DHCP Client's lease expires, the client falls back into the INIT state, and the initial DHCPDISCOVER is sent as before.

##### [2.5.1](#) DHCPRELEASE Messages

DHCPRELEASEs occur exactly as described in [[DHCP](#)], section 4.4.6. When a DHCP Client is done with a lease, it MAY notify the server that it is finished. For this to occur, the DHCP Client already

received a DHCP lease, and the state of Auto-Configuration on the local wire does not matter.

##### [2.5.2](#) DHCPDECLINE Messages

A DHCPDECLINE is sent by the DHCP Client when it determines the network address it is attempting to use is already in use. As a

network address has been tested, it must have been offered by the DHCP Server, and the state of Auto-Configuration on the local wire does not matter.

### [2.5.3](#) DHCPINFORM Messages

DHCPINFORMs should be handled as described in [[DHCP](#)], [section 4.4.3](#). No changes are necessary.

## [3](#). Security Considerations

DHCP per se currently provides no authentication or security mechanisms. Potential exposures to attack are discussed in [section 7](#) of the DHCP protocol specification [[DHCP](#)].

This mechanism does add one other potential attack. Malicious users on a subnet may respond to all DHCP requests with responses telling DHCP Clients that they should NOT autoconfigure on the local wire. On a network that Auto-Configuration is required, this will cause all DHCP Clients to not choose an address.

## [4](#). Acknowledgments

This idea started at a joint Common Solutions Group / Microsoft meeting at Microsoft in May, 1998. The IP stacks in Win98 and NT5 assign themselves an IP address (in a specific subnet) in the absence of a responding DHCP server, and this is causing headaches for many sites that actually rely on machines not getting IP addresses when the DHCP servers do not know them.

Walter Wong proposed a solution that would allow the DHCP servers to tell clients not to do this. His initial solution would not work without slight modifications to DHCP itself. This document describes those modifications.

## [5](#). Copyright

Copyright (C) The Internet Society 1998. All Rights Reserved.



This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

## 6. References

[DHCP] Droms, R. "Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol", [RFC 2131](#), Bucknell University, March 1997.

<<ftp://ds.internic.net/rfc/rfc2131.txt>>

[DHCP OPT] Alexander, S. and Droms, R., "DHCP Options and BOOTP Vendor Extension", [RFC 2132](#), March 1997.

<<ftp://ds.internic.net/rfc/rfc2132.txt>>

[KEYWORDS] Bradner, "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [RFC 2119](#), Harvard University, March 1997.

<<ftp://ds.internic.net/rfc/rfc2119.txt>>

[IPv6SAC] Thomson, S. and Narten, T. "IPv6 Stateless Address Autoconfiguration", [RFC 1971](#), August 1996

<<ftp://ds.internic.net/rfc/rfc1971.txt>>

## 7. Author's Address

Internet Draft

DHCP Auto-Configuration Option

August 1998

Ryan Troll  
Network Development  
Carnegie Mellon  
5000 Forbes Avenue  
Pittsburgh, PA 15213

Phone: (412) 268-8691  
EMail: ryan@andrew.cmu.edu

This document will expire February 1999

Troll

[Page 9]