Network Working Group Internet-Draft Expires: August 28, 2005 K. Chowdhury Starent Networks P. Yegani Cisco Systems L. Madour Ericsson February 27, 2005

DHCP Options for Broadcast and Multicast Control Servers draft-ietf-dhc-bcmc-options-00.txt

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is subject to all provisions of <u>section 3 of RFC 3667</u>. By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she become aware will be disclosed, in accordance with RFC 3668.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 28, 2005.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2005).

Abstract

This document defines new options for Broadcast and Multicast Service controller discovery in an IP network. Broadcast service is being developed for 3G wireless networks. Users of the service interact with a controller in the network to derive informations that are

required to receive broadcast service. Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol can be used to configure the controller IPv4 addresses or fully qualified domain names in the user's devices. This document defines the related options and option codes.

Table of Contents

$\underline{1}$. Motivation	<u>3</u>		
$\underline{2}$. Overview of the 3GPP2 BCMCS Network	<u>4</u>		
<u>3</u> . Terminology	<u>5</u>		
$\underline{4}$. Broadcast Service Controller Options	<u>6</u>		
4.1 Broadcast Service Controller Domain Name List Option			
for DHCP	<u>6</u>		
4.2 Broadcast Service Controller Domain Name List Option			
for DHCPv6	<u>7</u>		
<u>4.3</u> Broadcast Service Controller IPv4 Address Option	<u>8</u>		
<u>4.4</u> Broadcast Service Controller IPv6 Address Option	<u>8</u>		
5. Consideration for Client Operation for DHCPv6	<u>9</u>		
$\underline{6}$. Consideration for Server Operation	<u>10</u>		
<u>7</u> . Security Considerations	<u>11</u>		
8. IANA Considerations	<u>12</u>		
9. Acknowledgements	<u>13</u>		
<u>10</u> . Normative References	<u>13</u>		
Authors' Addresses	<u>13</u>		
Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements $\frac{15}{2}$			

1. Motivation

Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol [<u>RFC2131</u>] and [<u>RFC3315</u>] can be used to configure various non-IP address type of parameters. These parameters are required for normal operation of various services that are offered over an IP network.

Broadcast and multicast service (BCMCS) is one such service that is currently being standardized in various mobile wireless standard bodies such as 3GPP, 3GPP2 and OMA. A description of the BCMCS, for example, in 3GPP2 can be found in [<u>BCMCS</u>].

While DHCP offers necessary mechanisms for device configuration, it lacks the information elements required to configure a mobile device to support BCMCS. This memo is an effort to define the extensions needed for DHCP to provide necessary configuration information to a mobile device in a BCMCS network.

DHCP is being used in 3GPP2, for example, to assist with the discovery of the BCMCS Controller in a mobile operators IP network. The BCMCS includes a controller component that is responsible for managing the service via interaction with the users and other network entities.

An overview of the 3GPP2 BCMCS architecture is given in the next section. It provides enough information to understand the basics of the 3GPP2 BCMCS operation. Readers are encouraged to find a more detailed description in [BCMCS].

As described in [BCMCS], the users of the service are required to know the IPv4 or the IPv6 address of the controller entity so that they can download all the necessary information about a desired broadcast program. In a roaming environment static configuration of the controller's IP address becomes unrealistic. Therefore, DHCP is considered to be a method to dynamically configure the controller's IP address or the fully qualified domain name of the controller in the 3G wireless networks.

In order to allow the users to discover the broadcast controllers, the clients request for appropriate option codes from the DHCP servers using Parameter Request List option. The DHCP servers need to return the corresponding configuration options that carry either broadcast and multicast service controller's IP address or fully qualified domain name based on configuration. The motivation for this document is to define the necessary options and option codes.


Chowdhury, et al. Expires August 28, 2005

[Page 3]

Internet-Draft

2. Overview of the 3GPP2 BCMCS Network

The Broadcast and Multicast Service architecture in a 3G wireless network such as 3GPP2 has the following model:



Note that this figure is shown here for broad understanding of how Broadcast and Multicast service works in a 3G mobile wireless IP network. The network elements except MN/user and the DHCP server are not relevant to the text in this document.

The user interacts with the Controller to request for broadcast/multicast program information from the network (e.g., scheduled time, multicast IP address, port numbers). The User may also be authenticated by the Controller while downloading the relevant program security related information (such as encryption key). These interactions happen via HTTP and XML. There may be more than one controller in the network. The user should discover the appropriate controller to request the relevant program information. For details of Broadcast and Multicast Service operation in 3GPP2, see [BCMCS].

Chowdhury, et al. Expires August 28, 2005

[Page 4]

3. Terminology

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in <u>RFC 2119</u>.

<u>4</u>. Broadcast Service Controller Options

This section defines the configuration option for the controller of Broadcast Service. The Configuration Option contains the IPv4 address or of the IPv4 address or the fully qualified domain names of the broadcast service controller.

4.1 Broadcast Service Controller Domain Name List Option for DHCP

The option MAY contain multiple domain names, but these domain names SHOULD be used to construct SRV lookups as specified in [BCMCS], rather than querying for different A records. The client MUST try the records in the order listed, applying the mechanism described in [BCMCS] for each entry. The client only resolves the subsequent domain names if attempts to contact the first one failed or yielded no common transport protocols between the client and the controller or denote a domain administratively prohibited by client's policy.

Use of multiple domain names is not meant to replace the SRV records, but rather to allow a single DHCP server to indicate the broadcast controllers in the access provider's network.

Clients MUST support compression according to the encoding in <u>Section</u> <u>4.1.4</u> of "Domain Names - Implementation And Specification [<u>RFC1035</u>].

Since the domain names are supposed to be different domains, compression will likely have little effect, however. If the length of the domain list exceeds the maximum permissible within a single option (254 octets), then the domain list MUST be represented in the DHCP message as specified in [<u>RFC3396</u>].

The DHCP option for this encoding has the following format:

An example case when two controller domain names e.g.

bcmc1.carrier1.com, bcmc2.carrier1.com are returned without compression will be:

4.2 Broadcast Service Controller Domain Name List Option for DHCPv6

The option length is followed by a sequence of labels, encoded according to <u>Section 3.1 of RFC 1035</u> [5].

The option MAY contain multiple domain names, but these domain names SHOULD be used to construct SRV lookups as specified in [BCMCS], rather than querying for different A records. The client MUST try the records in the order listed, applying the mechanism described in [BCMCS] for each entry. The client only resolves the subsequent domain names if attempts to contact the first one failed or yielded no common transport protocols between the client and the controller or denote a domain names is not meant to replace the SRV records, but rather to allow a single DHCPv6 server to indicate the broadcast controllers in the access provider's network.

The DHCPv6 option for Boradcast Service Controller Domain Names has the format shown below.

option-code: OPTION_BCMCS_SERVER_D (TBD)

option-length: Length of the 'Broadcast Control Server Domain Name List' field in octets; variable.

Chowdhury, et al. Expires August 28, 2005 [Page 7]

4.3 Broadcast Service Controller IPv4 Address Option

If the 'enc' byte has a value of 1, the encoding byte is followed by a list of IPv4 addresses indicating broadcast controller IPv4 addresses. The controllers MUST be listed in order of preference. Its minimum length is 5, and the length MUST be a multiple of 4 plus one. The DHCP option for this encoding has the following format:

 Code
 Len
 Address 1
 Address 2

 +----+
 +----+
 +----+
 +----+

 | TBD | n | a1 | a2 | a3 | a4 | a1 | ...
 +----+

4.4 Broadcast Service Controller IPv6 Address Option

This DHCPv6 option MUST carry one or more 128-bit IPv6 address(es) of the Broadcast Service Controller in a operators network.

option-code: OPTION_BCMCS_SERVER_A (TBD)

option-length: Length of the 'Broadcast Control Server IPv6 address' field in octets; variable.

0 1 2 3 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 OPTION_BCMCS_SERVER_A | option-length | | Broadcast Control server-1 address (IPv6 address) Broadcast Control server-2 address (IPv6 address)

Chowdhury, et al. Expires August 28, 2005

[Page 8]

5. Consideration for Client Operation for DHCPv6

A client MAY request either or both of the Broadcast Service Controller Domain Name List and the IPv6 Address options in the Options Request Option (ORO) as described in [<u>RFC3315</u>].

If a client receives both the Broadcast Service Controller Domain Name List and IPv6 Address options, it SHOULD use the Domain Name List option. In this case, the client MAY use the Broadcast Service Controller IPv6 Address option only if, no server in the Broadcast Service Controller Domain Name List can be resolved or reached.

6. Consideration for Server Operation

A server MAY send a client either the Broadcast Service Controller Domain Name List Option or the Broadcast Service Controller IPv6 Address/IPv4 Address options if the server is configured to do so.

In case of DHCPv6, If a client requests both options and the server is configured with both types of information, the server MAY send the client only one of these options if it is configured to do so. In this case the server SHOULD send the Broadcast Service Controller Domain Name List option.

A server configured with the Broadcast Service Controller IPv6 Address information MUST send a client the Broadcast Service Controller IPv6 Address option if that client requested only the Broadcast Service Controller IPv6 address option and not the Broadcast Service Controller Domain Name List option in the ORO [RFC3315].

If a client requests for the Broadcast Service Controller IPv6 option and the Server is configured only with the Domain Names, the Server MUST return the Domain Names List and vice versa.

The following table summarizes the server's response for DHCPv6:

Client sends in ORO	Domain Name List	IPv6 Address List
Neither option	SHOULD	MAY
Domain Name List	MUST	MAY
IPv6 Address	MAY	MUST
Both options	SHOULD	MAY

7. Security Considerations

The security considerations in the base DHCP specs [RFC2131] and [RFC3315] apply. An attacker may change information of the Broadcast Service Controller in packets that are in-tranist from DHCP server to the MN, if integrity protection is not in place. In that event, the user of the Broadcast service may be diverted to a rogue broadcast service controller. In the absence of a mutual authentication procedure between MN and the Broadcast controller, the MN may receive wrong or fraudulent information about Broadcast Service.

8. IANA Considerations

The option code for Broadcast Service Controller options MUST be assigned by IANA.

9. Acknowledgements

Thanks to the following indivduals for their review and constructive comments during the development of this document:

AC Mahendran, Jun Wang, Raymond Hsu, Jayshree Bharatia, Ralph Droms, Ted Lemon, Bernie Volz.

10 Normative References

- [BCMCS] 3GPP2, www.3gpp2.org, "X.S0022, Broadcast and Multicast Service in cdma2000 Wireless IP Network.", February 2005.
- [RFC1035] Mockapetris, P., "Domain names implementation and specification", STD 13, <u>RFC 1035</u>, November 1987.
- [RFC2131] Droms, R., "Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol", <u>RFC</u> 2131, March 1997.
- [RFC3315] Droms, R., Bound, J., Volz, B., Lemon, T., Perkins, C. and M. Carney, "Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol for IPv6 (DHCPv6)", <u>RFC 3315</u>, July 2003.
- [RFC3396] Lemon, T. and S. Cheshire, "Encoding Long Options in the Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCPv4)", <u>RFC 3396</u>, November 2002.

Authors' Addresses

Kuntal Chowdhury Starent Networks 30 International Place Tewksbury, MA 01876 US

Phone: +1 214-550-1416 EMail: kchowdhury@starentnetworks.com

Parviz Yegani Cisco Systems 3625 Cisco Way San Jose, CA 95134 US

Phone: +1 408-832-5729 EMail: pyegani@cisco.com

Chowdhury, et al. Expires August 28, 2005 [Page 13]

February 2005

Internet-Draft

Lila Madour Ericsson 8400, Decarie Blvd Town of Mount Royal, Quebec H4P 2N2 CANADA

Phone: +1 514-345-7900 EMail: Lila.Madour@ericsson.com

Internet-Draft

Intellectual Property Statement

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in <u>BCP 78</u> and <u>BCP 79</u>.

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at http://www.ietf.org/ipr.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

Disclaimer of Validity

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2005). This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in <u>BCP 78</u>, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.

Chowdhury, et al. Expires August 28, 2005 [Page 15]