

**Simple Network Time Protocol Configuration Option for DHCPv6
draft-ietf-dhc-dhcpv6-opt-sntp-01**

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is subject to all provisions of [section 3 of RFC 3667](#). By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she become aware will be disclosed, in accordance with [RFC 3668](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 2005.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2004). All Rights Reserved.

Abstract

This document describes a new DHCPv6 option for passing a list of

Simple Network Time Protocol (SNTP) server addresses to a client.

1. Introduction

This document describes a new option called SNTP [\[3\]](#) servers Option for passing information about SNTP servers in DHCPv6 [\[1\]](#).

2. Requirements

The keywords MUST, MUST NOT, REQUIRED, SHALL, SHALL NOT, SHOULD, SHOULD NOT, RECOMMENDED, MAY, and OPTIONAL, when they appear in this document, are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [\[2\]](#).

option-code: OPTION_SNTP_SERVERS (tbd)

option-len: Length of the 'SNTP server' fields in octets; It must be a multiple of 16

SNTP server: IPv6 address of SNTP server

5. Appearance of this option

The SNTP servers option MUST NOT appear in other than the following messages: Solicit, Advertise, Request, Renew, Rebind, Information-Request and Reply. If this option appears in other than the

messages specified above, the receiver SHOULD ignore the option.

The option number for this option MAY appear in the Option Request Option [1] in the following messages: Solicit, Request, Renew, Rebind, Information-Request and Reconfigure. If this option number appear in the Option Request Option in other than the messages specified above, the receiver SHOULD ignore the option number.

6. Security Considerations

The SNTP servers option may be used by an intruder DHCPv6 server to cause DHCPv6 clients to contact a rogue SNTP server, resulting in invalid synchronization of time in client and finally leading to time critical applications running inaccurately in client machine. The time accuracy can be crucial to some security algorithms. For example, it may cause expired certificates to gain a new life, making the applications running on the client machine less secure. It can even cause clients to set their time incorrectly, making them vulnerable to replay attacks in protocols that use time stamps to detect replays.

To avoid attacks through these options, the DHCPv6 client SHOULD use authenticated DHCPv6 (see "Authentication of DHCP messages" section in the DHCPv6 specification [1]).

7. IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to assign an option code to the following options from the option-code space defined in "DHCPv6 Options" section of the DHCPv6 specification [1].

Option Name	Value	Described in
OPTION_SNTP_SERVERS	tbd	Section 4 .

8. Normative References

- [1] Bound, J., Carney, M., Perkins, C., Lemon, T., Volz, B. and R. Droms (ed.), "Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol for IPv6 (DHCPv6)", [RFC 3315](#), July 2003.
- [2] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement

Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.

9. Informative References

- [3] D. Mills. Simple Network Time Protocol (SNTP) Version 4 for IPv4, IPv6 and OSI. Request for Comments (Informational) [2030](#), Internet Engineering Task Force, October 1996.
- [4] Alexander, S. and R. Droms, "DHCP Options and BOOTP Vendor Extensions", [RFC 2132](#), March 1997.

Acknowledgements

Thanks to the DHC Working Group for their time and input into the specification. In particular, thanks to (in alphabetical order) Bernie Volz, Jim Bound, Margaret Wasserman, Pekka Savola, Ralph Droms, Robert Elz and Thomas Narten for their thorough review.

Author's Address

Vijayabhaskar A Kalusivalingam
Cisco Systems (India) Private Limited,
No: 9, Brunton Road,
Bangalore - 560025
India

Phone: +91-80-51036615
EMail: vibhaska@cisco.com

Intellectual Property Statement

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

Disclaimer of Validity

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2004). This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in [BCP 78](#), and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the

Vijay

Expires Apr, 2005

[Page 5]