

dhc Group  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Informational  
Expires: September 2, 2007

B. Volz  
R. Droms  
Cisco Systems, Inc.  
March 1, 2007

**DHCPv6 Server Reply Sequence Number Option**  
**draft-ietf-dhc-dhcpv6-srsn-option-01.txt**

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with [Section 6 of BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 2, 2007.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2007).

Abstract

This memo defines the Server Reply Sequence Number option for the Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol for IPv6 (DHCPv6). This option is sent from a DHCPv6 server to a DHCPv6 relay agent to allow a relay agent to detect proper sequencing of Relay-Reply messages that could be delivered out of order.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	Introduction . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	Terminology . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	The Server Reply Sequence Number Option . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	DHCPv6 Relay Agent Behavior . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	DHCPv6 Server Behavior . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	IANA considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	Security considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">8.</a>	Modification History . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">9.</a>	References . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">9.1.</a>	Normative References . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">9.2.</a>	Informative References . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
	Authors' Addresses . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
	Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements . . . . .	<a href="#">8</a>



## **1. Introduction**

When a DHCPv6 server sends a Reply, there is no guarantee as to the order of delivery of those datagrams sent by a server. A DHCPv6 client is protected against delivery of old Reply messages because of the transaction-id in the message. However, a relay agent receiving Relay-Reply messages and maintaining client state information (e.g., [5] has no such technique. Thus a delayed earlier Relay-Reply may arrive after other Relay-Reply messages. As an example, suppose a client sends a Request, the Reply (encapsulated in a Relay-Reply) is delayed between server and relay agent. The client retransmits the Request, the retransmitted Reply is processed through the relay agent and then by the client. The client next transacts a Release/Reply sequence, which causes the relay agent to remove the client's state information when relaying the Relay-Reply. However, now the delayed first Request's Reply arrives at the relay agent; if the relay agent were to update the client's state based on this out of order message (e.g., [5]), it would add client state that is no longer valid. The Server Reply Sequence Number (SRSN) option can be used to prevent this as the relay agent can detect and discard out of order message.

To allow a relay agent to detect and discard out of order messages, the relay agent requests the server to include a SRSN option in Relay-Reply messages. The SRSN option contains a monotonically increasing sequence number that the relay agent can use to re-sequence (or discard) out of order Relay-Reply messages from the server.

## **2. Terminology**

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [1].

Additional terms used in the description of DHCPv6 and DHCPv6 prefix delegation are defined in [2] and [3].

## **3. The Server Reply Sequence Number Option**

The SRSN option is used by a server to indicate the order in which the server has generated replies and therefore allows a relay agent receiving Relay-Reply messages to determine the order in which those Relay-Reply messages were originally sent. The Relay-Reply messages are sent via UDP and, therefore, may be delivered out of order.

The server's sequence number in the SRSN is a monotonically



1. Only update existing client state information if the received server-reply-sequence-number (if from the same server) is greater than the stored server-reply-sequence-number for the information;



the received server-reply-sequence-number and Server Identifier must be stored with the client state information.

2. Delay removing expired client state information from its storage for at least the maximum lifetime of a datagram. This assures that any undelivered Relay-Reply datagrams will have expired and been dropped from the network; and thus the server-reply-sequence-number checking will prevent outdated information from being used. A value of 2 minutes is the recommended value for the maximum datagram lifetime, based on the maximum segment lifetime used by the Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) [4].

A change in the server-reply-sequence-number MUST NOT be used to assume a client's state has changed, as a server may be retransmitting the same information but with a different server-reply-sequence-number.

## 5. DHCPv6 Server Behavior

If a relay agent has requested the SRSN option in an ORO, the server SHOULD return the option with a monotonically increasing sequence number. And, the server MUST also include a Server Identifier Option (OPTION\_SERVER\_ID) in the Relay-Reply if it includes the SRSN option.

The server MUST monotonically increase the sequence number for any Relay-Reply messages it transmits which include a SRSN option. A server MAY increase the sequence number for each message it transmits, even those that do not include a SRSN option.

One technique for a server to provide the monotonically increasing sequence number is by splitting the 64-bit number into two 32-bit values (minding network/host byte ordering) - a major (most significant bits) and minor sequence number. When the server starts, the major sequence number is set to the current time (in seconds since Jan 1, 1970). The minor sequence number is set to 0 and only it is incremented while the server is running (except if it rolls over, in which case the major sequence number MUST be updated); there is no need to commit the sequence number to stable storage.

## 6. IANA considerations

IANA is requested to assign an option code from the "DHCPv6 and DHCPv6 options" registry,  
<http://www.iana.org/assignments/dhcpv6-parameters>, to OPTION\_SRSN.





## **7. Security considerations**

Security issues related to DHCP are described in [\[2\]](#) and [\[3\]](#).

The DHCPv6 Server Reply Sequence Number option may be used to mount a denial of service attack by causing a relay agent to incorrectly record a very high server-reply-sequence-number and thus preventing legitimate Relay-Reply messages from a server from being processed. Communication between a server and a relay agent, and communication between relay agents, can be secured through the use of IPSec, as described in section 21.1 of [\[2\]](#).

## **8. Modification History**

Changes in rev -01 (to fix idnits):

- o Revised terminology section to match recommended [RFC 2119](#) syntax.
- o Used new I-D boilerplate.
- o Added this section.

## **9. References**

### **9.1. Normative References**

- [1] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [2] Droms, R., Bound, J., Volz, B., Lemon, T., Perkins, C., and M. Carney, "Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol for IPv6 (DHCPv6)", [RFC 3315](#), July 2003.
- [3] Troan, O. and R. Droms, "IPv6 Prefix Options for Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCP) version 6", [RFC 3633](#), December 2003.

### **9.2. Informative References**

- [4] Postel, J., "Transmission Control Protocol", STD 7, [RFC 793](#), September 1981.
- [5] Droms, R., "DHCPv6 Relay Agent Assignment Notification (RAAN) Option", [draft-ietf-dhc-dhcpv6-agentopt-delegate-02](#) (work in progress), November 2006.



Authors' Addresses

Bernie Volz  
Cisco Systems, Inc.  
1414 Massachusetts Avenue  
Boxborough, MA 01719  
USA

Phone: +1 978.936.0382  
Email: volz@cisco.com

Ralph Droms  
Cisco Systems, Inc.  
1414 Massachusetts Avenue  
Boxborough, MA 01719  
USA

Phone: +1 978.936.1674  
Email: rdroms@cisco.com



## Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2007).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in [BCP 78](#), and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

## Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at [ietf-ipr@ietf.org](mailto:ietf-ipr@ietf.org).

## Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is provided by the IETF Administrative Support Activity (IASA).

