

dhc Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: August 8, 2009

B. Volz
R. Droms
Cisco Systems, Inc.
February 4, 2009

DHCPv6 Server Reply Sequence Number Option
draft-ietf-dhc-dhcpv6-srsn-option-02.txt

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 8, 2009.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2009 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document.

Abstract

This memo defines the Server Reply Sequence Number option for the Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol for IPv6 (DHCPv6). This option

is sent from a DHCPv6 server to a DHCPv6 relay agent to allow a relay agent to detect proper sequencing of Relay-Reply messages that could be delivered out of order.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	3
2.	Terminology	3
3.	The Server Reply Sequence Number Option	3
4.	DHCPv6 Relay Agent Behavior	4
5.	DHCPv6 Server Behavior	5
6.	IANA considerations	5
7.	Security considerations	6
8.	Modification History	6
9.	References	6
9.1.	Normative References	6
9.2.	Informative References	6
	Authors' Addresses	7

1. Introduction

When a DHCPv6 server sends a Reply, there is no guarantee as to the order of delivery of those datagrams sent by a server. A DHCPv6 client is protected against delivery of old Reply messages because of the transaction-id in the message. However, a relay agent receiving Relay-Reply messages and maintaining client state information (e.g., [\[I-D.ietf-dhc-dhcpv6-agentopt-delegate\]](#) has no such technique. Thus a delayed earlier Relay-Reply may arrive after other Relay-Reply messages. As an example, suppose a client sends a Request, the Reply (encapsulated in a Relay-Reply) is delayed between server and relay agent. The client retransmits the Request, the retransmitted Reply is processed through the relay agent and then by the client. The client next transacts a Release/Reply sequence, which causes the relay agent to remove the client's state information when relaying the Relay-Reply. However, now the delayed first Request's Reply arrives at the relay agent; if the relay agent were to update the client's state based on this out of order message (e.g., [\[I-D.ietf-dhc-dhcpv6-agentopt-delegate\]](#)), it would add client state that is no longer valid. The Server Reply Sequence Number (SRSN) option can be used to prevent this as the relay agent can detect and discard out of order message.

To allow a relay agent to detect and discard out of order messages, the relay agent requests the server to include a SRSN option in Relay-Reply messages. The SRSN option contains a monotonically increasing sequence number that the relay agent can use to re-sequence (or discard) out of order Relay-Reply messages from the server.

2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [\[RFC2119\]](#).

Additional terms used in the description of DHCPv6 and DHCPv6 prefix delegation are defined in [\[RFC3315\]](#) and [\[RFC3633\]](#).

3. The Server Reply Sequence Number Option

The SRSN option is used by a server to indicate the order in which the server has generated replies and therefore allows a relay agent receiving Relay-Reply messages to determine the order in which those Relay-Reply messages were originally sent. The Relay-Reply messages are sent via UDP and, therefore, may be delivered out of order.

A relay agent that uses the SRSN option needs do the following when maintaining client state information:

1. Only update existing client state information if the received server-reply-sequence-number (if from the same server) is greater than the stored server-reply-sequence-number for the information; the received server-reply-sequence-number and Server Identifier must be stored with the client state information.
2. Delay removing expired client state information from its storage for at least the maximum lifetime of a datagram. This assures that any undelivered Relay-Reply datagrams will have expired and been dropped from the network; and thus the server-reply-sequence-number checking will prevent outdated information from being used. A value of 2 minutes is the recommended value for the maximum datagram lifetime, based on the maximum segment lifetime used by the Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) [[RFC0793](#)].

A change in the server-reply-sequence-number MUST NOT be used to assume a client's state has changed, as a server may be retransmitting the same information but with a different server-reply-sequence-number.

5. DHCPv6 Server Behavior

If a relay agent has requested the SRSN option in an ORO, the server SHOULD return the option with a monotonically increasing sequence number. And, the server MUST also include a Server Identifier Option (OPTION_SERVER_ID) in the Relay-Reply if it includes the SRSN option.

The server MUST monotonically increase the sequence number for any Relay-Reply messages it transmits which include a SRSN option. A server MAY increase the sequence number for each message it transmits, even those that do not include a SRSN option.

One technique for a server to provide the monotonically increasing sequence number is by splitting the 64-bit number into two 32-bit values (minding network/host byte ordering) - a major (most significant bits) and minor sequence number. When the server starts, the major sequence number is set to the current time (in seconds since Jan 1, 1970). The minor sequence number is set to 0 and only it is incremented while the server is running (except if it rolls over, in which case the major sequence number MUST be updated); there is no need to commit the sequence number to stable storage.

6. IANA considerations

IANA is requested to assign an option code from the "DHCPv6 and

DHCPv6 options" registry,
<http://www.iana.org/assignments/dhcpv6-parameters>, to OPTION_SRSN.

7. Security considerations

Security issues related to DHCP are described in [[RFC3315](#)] and [[RFC3633](#)].

The DHCPv6 Server Reply Sequence Number option may be used to mount a denial of service attack by causing a relay agent to incorrectly record a very high server-reply-sequence-number and thus preventing legitimate Relay-Reply messages from a server from being processed. Communication between a server and a relay agent, and communication between relay agents, can be secured through the use of IPSec, as described in [section 21.1 of \[RFC3315\]](#).

8. Modification History

Changes in rev -02: None except boiler plate, version number, and date.

Changes in rev -01 (to fix idnits):

- o Revised terminology section to match recommended [RFC 2119](#) syntax.
- o Used new I-D boilerplate.
- o Added this section.

9. References

9.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC3315] Droms, R., Bound, J., Volz, B., Lemon, T., Perkins, C., and M. Carney, "Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol for IPv6 (DHCPv6)", [RFC 3315](#), July 2003.
- [RFC3633] Troan, O. and R. Droms, "IPv6 Prefix Options for Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCP) version 6", [RFC 3633](#), December 2003.

9.2. Informative References

- [RFC0793] Postel, J., "Transmission Control Protocol", STD 7, [RFC 793](#), September 1981.

[I-D.ietf-dhc-dhcpv6-agentopt-delegate]

Droms, R., "DHCPv6 Relay Agent Assignment Notification
(RAAN) Option", [draft-ietf-dhc-dhcpv6-agentopt-delegate-02](#)
(work in progress), November 2006.

Authors' Addresses

Bernie Volz
Cisco Systems, Inc.
1414 Massachusetts Avenue
Boxborough, MA 01719
USA

Phone: +1 978.936.0382
Email: volz@cisco.com

Ralph Droms
Cisco Systems, Inc.
1414 Massachusetts Avenue
Boxborough, MA 01719
USA

Phone: +1 978.936.1674
Email: rdroms@cisco.com

