

Request for Comments: DRAFT

Richard Johnson  
Kim Kinnear  
Mark Stapp  
Jay Kumarasamy  
Cisco Systems, Inc.

July 2001  
Expires January 2002

**DHCP VPN Information option**  
**<[draft-ietf-dhc-vpn-option-00.txt](#)>**

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of [Section 10 of RFC2026](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

To learn the current status of any Internet-Draft, please check the "1id-abstracts.txt" listing contained in the Internet-Drafts Shadow Directories on ds.internic.net (US East Coast), nic.nordu.net (Europe), ftp.isi.edu (US West Coast), or munnari.oz.au (Pacific Rim).

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2000). All Rights Reserved.

## Abstract

This memo defines a new DHCP option for passing VPN information between the DHCP client and the DHCP server. It is intended for use primarily by DHCP proxy clients in situations where VPN information needs to be passed to the DHCP server for proper address allocation to take place.

## Introduction

There is a growing use of Virtual Private Network (VPN) configurations. The growth comes from many areas; individual client systems needing to appear to be on the home corporate network even when traveling, ISPs providing extranet connectivity for customer companies, etc. In some of these cases there is a need for the DHCP client to communicate to the DHCP server the VPN from which an address, and other resources, should be allocated. Currently there is no way to pass this information.

This option would most likely not be used by an actual DHCP end-user client such as a workstation or laptop. It is primarily intended to be used by a DHCP proxy client which would be using DHCP in order to allocate an IP address on behalf of some other protocol or client.

This memo defines a new DHCP option, the VPN Information option, which allows the DHCP client to specify the VPN Information needed in order to allocate an address. If the receiving DHCP server understands the VPN Information option, this information may be used in conjunction with other information in determining the subnet on which to select an address as well as other information such as DNS server, default router, etc.

## VPN Information Option Definition

The VPN Information option is a DHCP option. The option contains generalized VPN information in one of two formats: NVT ASCII VPN identifier, or [RFC2685](#) VPN-ID.

The format of the option is:

```

Code   Len   Type   VPN Information octets
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
| TBD |  n  |  t  | v1 | v2 | v3 | ...
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+

```

Type:	0	NVT ASCII VPN identifier
	1	<a href="#">RFC2685</a> VPN-ID
	2-255	Not Allowed



The option minimum length (n) is 2.

There are two types of identifiers which can be placed in the VPN Information Suboption. The first type of identifier which can be placed in the VPN Information Suboption is an NVT ASCII string. It MUST NOT be terminated with a zero byte.

The second type of identifier which can be placed in the VPN Information Suboption is an [RFC2685](#) VPN-ID [[RFC 2685](#)], which is typically 14 hex digits in length (though it can be any length as far as the VPN Information Suboption is concerned).

If the type field is set to zero (0), it indicates that all following bytes of the option contain a NVT ASCII string. This string MUST NOT be terminated with a zero byte.

If the type field is set to one (1), it indicates that all following bytes should be interpreted in agreement with [[RFC2685](#)] as a VPN Identifier, typically 14 hex digits.

All other values of the type field are invalid as of this memo and VPN options containing any other value than zero (0) or one (1) SHOULD be ignored.

Any VPN information contained in a DHCP Relay suboption SHOULD override the information contained in this VPN Information option.

Servers configured to support this option MUST return an identical copy of the option to any client that sends it, regardless of whether or not the client requests the option in a parameter request list. Clients using this option MUST discard DHCP OFFER or DHCP ACK packets that do not contain this option.

This option provides the DHCP server additional information upon which to make a determination of address to be assigned. The DHCP server, if it is configured to support this option, should use this information in addition to other options included in the DHCP DISCOVER packet in order to assign an IP address for DHCP client.

In the event that a VPN Information Option and a VPN Information Relay Suboption are both received in a particular DHCP client packet, the information from the VPN Information Suboption MUST be used in preference to the information in the VPN Information Option.

Servers that do not understand this option will allocate an address using their normal algorithms and will not return this option in the DHCP OFFER or DHCP ACK. In this case the client will discard the DHCP OFFER or DHCP ACK. Servers that understand this option but are



administratively configured to ignore the option MUST ignore the option, use their normal algorithms to allocate an address, and MUST NOT return this option in the DHCP OFFER or DHCP ACK. In this case the client will discard the DHCP OFFER or DHCP ACK. In other words, this option MUST not appear in a DHCP OFFER from a server unless it was used by the server in making the address allocation requested.

## Security Considerations

DHCP currently provides no authentication or security mechanisms. Potential exposures to attack are discussed in [section 7](#) of the protocol specification [[RFC2131](#)].

The VPN Information option could be used by a client in order to obtain an IP address from a VPN other than the one where it should. DHCP relays MAY choose to remove the option before passing on DHCP DISCOVER packets. Another possible defense would be for the DHCP relay to insert a Relay option containing a VPN Information Suboption, which would override the DHCP VPN Information option.

This option would allow a client to perform a more complete address-pool exhaustion attack since the client would no longer be restricted to attacking address-pools on just its local subnet.

Servers that implement the VPN Information option MUST by default disable use of the feature; it must specifically be enabled through configuration. Moreover, a server SHOULD provide the ability to selectively enable use of the feature under restricted conditions, e.g., by enabling use of the option only from explicitly configured client-ids, enabling its use only by clients on a particular subnet, or restricting the VPNs from which addresses may be requested.

## IANA Considerations

IANA has assigned a value of TBD for the DHCP option code described in this document. No assignment of values for the type field need be made at this time. New values may only be defined by IETF Consensus, as described in [[RFC 2434](#)]. Basically, this means that they are defined by RFCs approved by the IESG.

Moreover, any changes or additions to the type byte codes MUST be made concurrently in the type byte codes of the VPN Information Option. The type bytes and data formats of the VPN Information Option and VPN Information Suboption MUST always be identical.



## Acknowledgements

This document is the result of work done within Cisco Systems.  
Thanks to Kim Kinnear, Mark Stapp, and Jay Kumarasamy for their work on this option definition and the other related work for which this is necessary.

## References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [RFC 2119](#), [BCP 14](#), March 1997.
- [RFC2131] Droms, R. "Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol", [RFC 2131](#), March 1997.
- [RFC2132] Alexander, S. and Droms, R., "DHCP Options and BOOTP Vendor Extensions", [RFC 2132](#), March 1997.
- [RFC2685] Fox, B. and Gleeson, B., "Virtual Private Networks Identifier", [RFC 2685](#), September 1999

## Author Information:

Richard Johnson  
Jay Kumarasamy  
Cisco Systems  
170 W. Tasman Dr.  
San Jose, CA 95134

Phone: (408) 526-4000

EMail: jayk@cisco.com  
raj@cisco.com

Kim Kinnear  
Mark Stapp  
Cisco Systems  
250 Apollo Drive  
Chelmsford, MA 01824

Phone: (978) 244-8000

EMail: kkinnear@cisco.com  
mjs@cisco.com





